

Scott Perry Overview

After nearly 30 years in politics, Scott Perry cares more about his Washington donors than Central Pennsylvanians. Perry takes hundreds of thousands of dollars in campaign contributions from the corporate special interests that are crushing Pennsylvanians with higher costs, and helps them profit instead of fighting for Central Pennsylvanians.

After Nearly 30 Years In Politics,

Perry Was In The Pennsylvania State House From 2007-2013 And The U.S. House From 2013-Present

2007-2013: Perry Served In The Pennsylvania State House Of Representatives. “PERRY, Scott, a Representative from Cumberland and York Counties; born, May 27, 1962 in San Diego, San Diego County, Ca.; graduated, Northern High School, 1980; B.S., business administration management, The Pennsylvania State University, 1991; M.A., strategic studies, United States Army War College, brigadier general, Pennsylvania Army National Guard (1980-present; Iraq War); general manager, Hydrotech Mechanical Services, Inc.; elected, Carroll Township Republican Committee; member, York County Executive Committee; president, Pennsylvania Young Republicans; member, Republican Club of York; member Northern York County Republican Club; elected as a Republican to the Pennsylvania House of Representatives for the 2007, 2009 and 2011 terms; appointed, Deputy Whip (2009-2012); not a candidate for reelection to the House (2012); elected, United States Congress (2013-present); appointed, Pennsylvania Statewide Suicide Prevention Task Force (2019-present).” [Pennsylvania House of Representatives Archives, accessed [8/20/26](#)]

- **Perry Served Three Terms In The Pennsylvania State House.** “Perry served three terms in the Pennsylvania State House (2006-2012), where he established a record of protecting Taxpayers' rights. Perry knows the importance of hard work and dedication. He's the grandson of Colombian immigrants, and the son of a single mom who fled abuse and worked several jobs to survive and support her children – with whom she finally landed in Central Pennsylvania in their first home in Harrisburg on Berryhill Street, then to Dillsburg.” [U.S. House of Representatives, Rep. Scott Perry, Biography, accessed [8/20/26](#)]
- **2026: Perry Was Seeking An Eighth Term In Congress.** “Pennsylvania's 10th District, considered highly politically competitive, is comprised of all of Dauphin County, most of Cumberland County, and roughly the northern half of York County. Perry, a conservative Republican and major Trump ally from northern York County, is seeking an eighth-term in the House. Janelle Stelson, a former broadcaster, and Dauphin County Commissioner Justin Douglas are running for the Democratic Party nomination. The Pennsylvania primary election is scheduled for May 19.” [Penn Live, [3/6/26](#)]

Perry Has Been Involved In Politics Since The 1990s, And Attended The Republican National Convention In 1996, Which Was 30 Years Ago

1996: Perry Attended The Republican National Convention. “Born in California, Perry says he has no memory of ever living in the same house with his father, Jim. He recalls seeking out his Dad for a meeting in 1996, while attending the Republican National Convention in San Diego, maybe to spark a relationship, but at the least to answer some gaping questions. [...] Perry was always interested in politics; he'd just always figured the doors to that kind of world were not open to people like him - people with dirt



under their fingernails. At the end of a political science class at Penn State, he asked his professor what he could do to become personally involved, and the prof put him in touch with the Republican State Committee. Working under the mentorship of longtime York GOP Chairman John Thompson, he gravitated to the Young Republicans organization, eventually becoming state chair of that organization.” [PennLive, [11/2/18](#)]

Perry After College Was The State Chair Of The Young Republicans Organization. “Working under the mentorship of longtime York GOP Chairman John Thompson, he gravitated to the Young Republicans organization, eventually becoming state chair of that organization.” [Patriot News, 11/4/18]

Perry Attended The Pennsylvania State University, Harrisburg, And Graduated In 1991. [Congressman Scott Perry House Bio, Accessed [7/18/19](#)]

Scott Perry Cares More About His Washington Donors Than Central Pennsylvanians.

Backed up below.

Perry Takes Hundreds Of Thousands Of Dollars In Campaign Contributions From The Corporate Special Interests That Are Crushing Pennsylvanians With Higher Costs,

Perry Has Accepted Nearly \$1 Million From Corporate PACs Since 2012

2012-2026: Perry’s Committee “Patriots For Perry” Has Accepted \$982,272.38 From Corporate PACs. [FEC, accessed [8/17/26](#)]

Perry Accepted \$30,000 From PPL, An Electric Utility That Announced It Was Raising Prices On Pennsylvanians

2012-2026: Perry Accepted \$30,000 From PPL Corporation’s PAC

2012-2026: Perry Has Accepted \$30,000 From PPL Corporation People For Good Government. [FEC, accessed [8/17/26](#)]

Patriots for Perry: Contributions From PPL, 2012-2026		
Date	Spender	Amount
6/26/2019	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$3,000.00
3/20/2019	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$2,000.00
9/4/2018	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$2,000.00
5/7/2018	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$1,500.00
6/27/2017	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$2,500.00
2/8/2017	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$1,000.00
9/26/2016	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$2,500.00
9/13/2016	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$2,500.00
4/11/2016	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$500.00

2/5/2016	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$1,000.00
9/21/2015	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$2,500.00
4/6/2015	PPL CORPORATION PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$1,000.00
7/21/2014	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$2,000.00
6/4/2014	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$500.00
7/29/2013	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$500.00
9/24/2012	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$4,500.00
7/30/2012	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$500.00
TOTAL:		\$30,000.00

[FEC, accessed [8/17/26](#)]

PPL Corporation Was An Electric Utility That Recently Announced It Was Increasing Prices On Ratepayers In Pennsylvania

June 2026: Bucks County Courier Times: “PPL Customers Will See Higher Electricity Rates Beginning July 1.” “PPL customers will see higher electricity rates beginning July 1 despite a new settlement aimed at limiting the burden of data center energy costs on small customers. The average residential customer will see a distribution rate hike of about 4.9%, paying about \$184.49 per month total, according to the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission. The average small commercial customer will see an average bill of \$186.76, reflecting a rise of about 5.5%. Industrial customers will see a rate hike of 2.5%, for an average bill of about \$2,467.40.” [Bucks County Courier Times, [6/10/26](#)]

HEADLINE: “Electric Bills Will Soon Rise For Many PPL, Met-Ed, And PECO Customers.”
[WFMZ, [11/24/25](#)]

July 2025: PPL Corporation Requested A Base Rate Increase For The First Time In A Decade. “PPL Corporation, the parent company of PPL Electric Utilities, will seek a base rate increase later this year in Pennsylvania, its first such increase in a decade. It was one of many topics discussed during a teleconference Thursday about the company's fiscal 2025 second quarter. PPL's last base rate increase took effect on January 1, 2016.” [WFMZ, [7/31/25](#)]

September 2025: PPL Electric Announced It Was Going To Request A \$356 Million Distribution Rate Increase, Which Was Expected To Increase Consumers’ Monthly Bills By Around 7%. “The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) is investigating a \$356 million distribution rate increase requested by PPL Electric. The utility, based in Allentown, announced in September that it would be seeking the increase, which would add about \$13 a month to the bill of a residential customer using 1,000 kilowatt-hours (kWh) a month, or about 7 percent.” [WFMZ, [10/23/25](#)]

- **PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Requested A Rate Increase “To Cover Infrastructure Investments And Other Operational Costs.”** “The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) voted to suspend and investigate a proposed rate increase by PPL Electric Utilities Corporation that would affect nearly 1.5 million customers across 29 counties in central and eastern Pennsylvania. The commission voted 5-0 on Thursday to open a formal investigation into the request, which PPL filed on Sept. 30. The company is seeking an annual revenue increase of \$356.3 million — about 33.4% overall — to cover infrastructure investments and other operational costs.” [Lehigh Daily News, [10/24/25](#)]

And Helps Them Profit Instead Of Fighting For Pennsylvanians.

Perry Took More Than \$300,000 From Big Banking Interests, And Voted To Disapprove Rules To Limit The Overdraft Fees Banks Could Charge Americans

2025-2026: Perry Took \$314,637 From Big Banking Interests

OpenSecrets: As Of 8/19/26, Perry Took \$314,637 From Big Banking Interests For The 2026 Election Cycle. [OpenSecrets, accessed [8/19/26](#)]

OpenSecrets: 2026 Cycle: Scott Perry Contributions From Wall Street	
Sector	2026 Total
Private Equity & Investment Firms	\$100,994
Security brokers & investment companies	\$53,300
Investors	\$39,657
Venture capital	\$34,897
Hedge Funds	\$29,694
Commercial banks & bank holding companies	\$29,559
Financial services & consulting	\$10,908
Stock exchanges	\$7,000
Mortgage bankers and brokers	\$5,652
Commodity brokers/dealers	\$1,496
Credit agencies & finance companies	\$1,000
Investment banking	\$480
TOTAL	\$314,637

[OpenSecrets, accessed [8/19/26](#)]

Throughout His Congressional Career, Perry Received More Than \$33,000 From The American Bankers Association. According to the Center for Responsive Politics, over the course of his congressional career, Perry received \$33,479 from the American Bankers Association. [OpenSecrets, accessed [11/3/25](#)]

- **The American Bankers Association Was One Of The Leading Trade Groups For Financial Firms.** “Financial firms, spurred by the Trump administration’s promises to deregulate, hope to return to offering short-term, high-interest loans after being pushed out of the sector by Obama-era rules. Two leading trade groups, the American Bankers Association and Consumer Bankers Association, recently proposed to Treasury Secretary Steven Mnuchin several steps they say would encourage banks to offer such loans.” [Wall Street Journal, [5/20/17](#)]

Perry Voted To Disapprove A CFPB Rule That Would Have Limited Overdraft Fees To \$5, Which Could Have Saved Americans \$5 Billion Annually

2025: Perry Voted To Disapprove A CFPB Rule That Would Have Limited Overdraft Fees To \$5 And Subject Institutions That Do Not Limit Their Fees To Lending Laws. In March 2025, Perry voted for, according to Congressional Quarterly, the joint resolution that would provide for congressional

disapproval of, and nullify, a December 2024 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau rule which regulates overdraft protection services offered by very large financial institutions, including by capping the fees that covered institutions may charge for the service.’ The vote was on passage. The House passed the resolution by a vote of 217 to 211. [House Vote 96, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Quarterly, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Actions, [S.J.Res. 18](#)]

- **Republicans Opposed The Measure, Calling It An Example Of ‘Midnight Rulemaking’ While Democrats Argued It Would Decrease Banking Costs For Low-Income Americans.** According to Congressional Quarterly, “Republican critics say the regulation is an example of ‘midnight rulemaking’ that the CFPB engaged in after the election but before President Donald Trump’s inauguration. Democrats defend the rule, saying it would help reduce banking costs for low-income savers.” [Congressional Quarterly, [3/27/25](#)]
- **The Cap Would Have Saved American Consumers \$5 Billion Annually.** According to Congressional Quarterly, “Senate Banking ranking member Elizabeth Warren, D-Mass., criticized Republicans for supporting the resolution. She said the rule would save Americans about \$5 billion annually by preventing exorbitant overdraft penalties.” [Congressional Quarterly, [3/27/25](#)]

Scott Perry Message #1

Perry voted 6 times for the war with Iran which caused gas prices to skyrocket and is making everything you buy more expensive. This foreign war has cost taxpayers tens of billions of dollars.

Perry Voted 6 Times For The War With Iran Which Caused Gas Prices To Skyrocket And Is Making Everything You Buy More Expensive.

Perry Voted 6 Times For The War With Iran

Vote #1: March 2026: Perry Voted Against Terminating Hostilities Against Iran. In March 2026, Perry voted against: “Adoption of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran or any part of its military, unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-219. [H Con Res 38, [Vote #85](#), 3/5/26; CQ, [3/5/26](#)]

Vote #2: April 2026: Perry Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, Perry voted against: “Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, [Vote #114](#), 4/16/26; CQ, [4/16/26](#)]

Vote #3: May 2026: Perry Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In May 2026, Perry voted against: “Adoption of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or a specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. It would clarify that nothing in the measure could be construed to disrupt intelligence, counterintelligence or investigative activities.” The resolution was rejected by a vote of 212-212. [H. Con. Res. 75, [Vote #170](#), 5/28/26; CQ, [5/28/26](#)]

Vote #4: June 2026: Perry Voted Against Iran War Powers Resolution. In June 2026, Perry voted against: “Adoption of a resolution that would direct the president to remove U.S. Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The bill adopted, a ‘nay’ vote is in support of the president’s position, by a vote of 215-208. [H Con Res 86, [Vote #199](#), 6/3/26; CQ, [6/3/26](#)]

Vote #5: July 2026: Perry Voted Against The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. In July 2026, Perry voted against: “Adoption of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to remove U.S. armed forces from hostilities in Iran.” The bill passed by a vote of 214-208. [H Con Res 89, [Vote #282](#), 7/23/26; CQ, [7/23/26](#)]

Vote #6: September 2026: Perry Voted Against Adopting The Iran War Powers Resolution Directing The President To Remove U.S. Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. In September 2026, Perry voted against: “Adoption of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to remove U.S. armed forces from hostilities with Iran that Congress has not specifically authorized.” The bill was adopted by a vote 220-204. [H. Con. Res 93, [Vote #307](#), 9/15/26; CQ, [9/15/26](#)]

The Iran War Raised Gas Prices And Increased Costs Across The U.S. Economy

The Iran War Drove Up Costs Across The U.S. Economy Due To The Increased Costs Of Shipping

CNN Headline: “The Iran War Is Making Life More Expensive For Americans” [CNN Business, [4/9/26](#)]

CNN: The War With Iran Drove Up Prices Across The U.S. Economy, Including For Food, Mortgages, Gas, And Credit Cards, As Companies Have Added Surcharges To Cover For Increased Shipping Costs. “The war with Iran has roiled Wall Street, driving up the cost of a mortgage along with auto and credit card loans, making everyday life more expensive for Americans. [...] Average US gas prices topped \$4 in March, according to AAA. Countries worldwide have faced gas price hikes, a direct result of surging crude oil prices. The spike in energy prices has shaken global markets, from stocks to bonds, gold and currencies. This affects both investors and consumers. Increased shipping costs have led to higher food prices in the US and other countries. Produce prices could also be affected by potential fertilizer shortages. Insurers and shipping companies have added US surcharges as diesel prices rise, influencing shipping rates. Flight prices are climbing worldwide as jet fuel spikes. Route changes and security threats, especially in the Middle East, are also affecting the tourism industry.” [CNN Business, [4/9/26](#)]

CNN Business: The War With Iran Has Kept Credit Card Interest Rates High, Making It More Difficult To Afford Routine Purchases That People Put On Credit Cards, Including Groceries. “The war with Iran has roiled Wall Street, driving up the cost of a mortgage along with auto and credit card loans, making everyday life more expensive for Americans. [...] Credit card rates soared in 2022 and 2023, and the average annual rate remains above 19%. Credit card rates have stayed elevated despite the Fed cutting rates a few times across 2024 and 2025. The war with Iran has not directly pushed those card rates higher, but it’s unlikely they’ll come down anytime soon. Traders have dialed back expectations for the Fed to cut interest rates this year, with markets now anticipating the central bank will hold rates steady in the coming months. ‘If the Fed keeps rates where they are and doesn’t cut, then credit card rates will remain elevated, making it more difficult to afford more routine purchases such as groceries or other spending that ends up in credit card balances,’ Stimel said.” [CNN Business, [4/9/26](#)]

The Iran War Caused Gas Prices To Increase As The Conflict Disrupted Oil Supplies

Politico: Increases In Fuel Prices “Is Largely Due To The U.S. And Israel Attack On Iran And The Subsequent Closure Of Hormuz.” “When fuel prices hit record highs under Biden, it was largely due to post-Covid supply disruptions and because Russia’s war on Ukraine threatened global energy markets. The current price increase is largely due to the U.S. and Israel attack on Iran and the subsequent closure of Hormuz. Diesel prices in February, before U.S. and Israeli forces attacked Iran, were about \$3.75.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

The Iran War Caused Gas Prices To Increase As The Conflict Disrupted Oil Supplies. “As prices are surging across the United States as the fallout from the U.S.-Israeli attack on Iran continues to choke global oil supplies. The national average for a gallon of regular gasoline jumped by 14% in a week to \$3.41 on Saturday, according to data from the AAA motor club.” [Time, [3/7/26](#)]

Time Headline: “Gas Prices Surge In U.S. As Iran War Chokes Global Oil Supply. What You Need To Know.” [Time, [3/7/26](#)]

June 2026: Since The Iran War Began, Americans Spent An Additional \$300 On Average To Fill Up Their Tanks Of Gas. “The Iran war has cost Americans roughly \$1,000 per household in higher fuel, food and other expenses since the start of the conflict in February, according to an estimate shared last week by Moody’s Analytics chief economist Mark Zandi. [...] According to Zandi, the biggest war-related expense for Americans has been gasoline, which peaked at \$4.56 a gallon on May 21 before dipping back below \$4 a gallon earlier this month. Since the war began on Feb. 28, Americans have shelled out an additional \$300 on average to fill up their tanks, he found, an estimate that only factors in the higher cost of regular gas.” [CBS News, [6/29/26](#)]

The War In Iran Pushed Up The Price Of Groceries, And U.S. Households Spent An Extra \$200 On Food Costs Since The Iran War Started

Politico: The “Inflationary Impact Of High Diesel” Affects “The Cost Of Everything From Eggs To Sneakers,” And Results In Price Rises That Are “Passed Along To Consumers.” “Beyond the impacts to the cost of everything from eggs to sneakers, the inflationary impact of high diesel fuel lasts much longer in the economy than gasoline prices, said John Auers, analyst at consulting firm RBN Energy. Trucking, rail and freight companies sign long-term supply contracts for their diesel, meaning that a higher price will be baked into their costs – and passed along to consumers — for months at a time.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

June 2026: U.S. Households Spent An Extra \$200 On Groceries Since The Iran War Started. “The Iran war has cost Americans roughly \$1,000 per household in higher fuel, food and other expenses since the start of the conflict in February, according to an estimate shared last week by Moody’s Analytics chief economist Mark Zandi. [...] Higher diesel costs have also increased the cost of transporting products from farms, factories and seaports, leading to higher retail costs, Zandi said. He estimates the typical U.S. household has spent an extra \$200 on groceries due to higher fuel costs since the start of the war.” [CBS News, [6/29/26](#)]

New York Times: Grocery Prices Climbed At Their Fastest Rate In Four Years As The Effects Of The Iran War Weigh On The U.S. Economy. “Grocery prices climbed at their fastest rate in nearly four years, putting increased pressure on Americans’ wallets at a time when many are already feeling pessimistic about the economy. Prices for groceries spiked in April, according to data released Tuesday by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, while broader inflation reached its highest level in nearly three years, as the effects of the war in Iran start to weigh on the U.S. economy.” [New York Times, [5/12/26](#)]

Washington Post: Tomato Prices Soared 15% In April Because Of The Rising Price Of Diesel Caused By Energy Shocks From The Iran War. “Tomato prices soared 15 percent in April compared with a month ago and are up nearly 40 percent in the last year. The red fruit is facing a barrage of hits, including the rising price of diesel caused by energy shocks from the war in Iran. With the Strait of Hormuz still closed, the global oil market is in turmoil, and prices for refined products, including gasoline and diesel, are sharply rising.” [New York Times, [5/12/26](#)]

New York Time Headline: “Prices For These Grocery Items Have Spiked Highest Since The War Began.” [New York Times, [5/12/26](#)]

This Foreign War Has Cost Taxpayers Tens Of Billions Of Dollars.

The Iran War Cost Over \$40 Billion

The Iran War Cost Over \$40 Billion. “The U.S. military’s price tag for the Iran war has grown to \$43.6 billion as of Sept. 3, according to a new estimate provided this week to lawmakers. The figure from U.S. Central Command, which oversees operations in the Middle East, offers the most up-to-date cost for the conflict since it was launched by the United States and Israel on Feb. 28. A Congressional Budget Office report released Tuesday said the war had cost \$38 billion through Aug. 1 and will continue to rack up expenses of around \$3 billion a month depending on the conflict’s intensity. The new breakdown, seen by The Associated Press and reported earlier by Bloomberg and Punchbowl News, also showed the continued use of expensive munitions by American forces. Weapons costs jumped by more than \$6 billion in just over a month, from \$21.7 billion listed in the CBO report to \$28.1 billion in U.S. Central Command’s estimate.” [AP News, [9/18/26](#)]

Scott Perry Message #2

As the prices of everything are already going up, Perry supports adding a new 30% national sales tax on nearly everything we buy.

As The Prices Of Everything Are Already Going Up, Perry Supports Adding A New 30% National Sales Tax On Nearly Everything We Buy.

Pennsylvanians Were Struggling With Rising Prices For Healthcare, Food, Gas, And Other Goods

Pennsylvania Independent: “Pennsylvania Families Struggle To Afford Healthcare, Food And Gas As Prices Rise” [Pennsylvania Independent, [5/12/26](#)]

Pennsylvanians Were Facing Rising Costs And Spending More Due To Inflation And Tariffs. “One year after President Donald Trump took office on Jan. 20, 2025, Pennsylvanians are seeing their living expenses rise, and they’re not happy about it. Health care premiums are increasing, hundreds of thousands of people across the commonwealth are expected to lose health coverage, families are spending more money due to inflation and tariffs, and unemployment is up. As prices rise, Pennsylvanians report being unhappy with the president and the direction of the country. A poll conducted earlier this month by the Harrisburg-based Bravo Group found that 57% of Pennsylvania voters disapprove of Trump and 56% say the country is headed in the wrong direction. Those numbers reflect voter sentiment nationwide, with nearly six in 10 Americans saying they disapprove of Trump, according to an AP-NORC poll from

January. ‘Pennsylvanians are not in a good mood regarding the economy, the direction of the nation as a whole,’ Christopher Borick, a political science professor at Muhlenberg College in Allentown and the director of the college’s Institute of Public Opinion, told NPR in December. ‘They don’t feel good about a lot of things,’ Borick continued. ‘They don’t feel good about electricity prices. They don’t feel good about housing prices in the area. They certainly don’t feel good about health care.’” [Pennsylvania Independent, [1/20/26](#)]

Perry Co-Sponsored The FairTax Act Of 2025

Perry Co-Sponsored H.R. 25, The FairTax Act Of 2025. [Congress.gov, H.R. 25, 119th Congress, Sponsors and Cosponsors, [1/3/25](#)]

The FairTax Act Would Create A New Sales Tax On All New Goods And Services Of 30% And Would Replace The IRS

The FairTax Act Created A New 30% Tax-Inclusive Federal Tax On Property And Services, And Eliminated The IRS. “This bill replaces federal income, payroll, estate, and gift taxes with a federal sales tax beginning in 2027 and eliminates the Internal Revenue Service. The bill establishes a 23% tax-inclusive (30% tax-exclusive) federal sales tax rate on taxable property and services to be administered primarily by each state. The federal sales tax rate is adjusted annually beginning in 2028 so that it is the sum of the general revenue rate (14.91%); old-age, survivors and disability insurance rate; and hospital insurance rate. The bill includes exemptions for property or services purchased for business, investment, and certain state government functions. Registered, qualified families may receive a monthly sales tax rebate in the amount of the monthly federal poverty level (or twice such amount for married individuals) multiplied by the federal sales tax rate. Each family member must have a Social Security number and be a lawful resident of the United States. Federal sales tax revenues are allocated to general revenue, the Social Security trust funds, and the Medicare trust funds. (Special allocation rules apply for 2027.) The bill eliminates appropriations for the Internal Revenue Service after FY2029 and establishes an Excise Tax Bureau and a Sales Tax Bureau within the Department of the Treasury. Finally, the bill terminates the federal sales tax if the Sixteenth Amendment to the Constitution (authorizing a federal income tax) is not repealed within seven years from the date the bill is enacted.” [Congress.gov, H.R. 25, 119th Congress, Summary, [1/3/25](#)]

- **The FairTax Act Proposed A Tax-Inclusive Tax Rate Where All Purchases Of New Goods And Services Would Be Taxed At Up To 30%.** “The current proposal is for a national sales tax at a 23% flat rate, but that rate is ‘tax-inclusive,’ meaning the percentage you pay is calculated based on the total price of your purchase. In everyday practice, the national sales tax rate under the proposed Fair Tax Act would effectively be 30%. For example, if you bought \$100 worth of goods at a store, you would pay \$30 in sales tax, for a total of \$130. The ‘tax-inclusive’ method of calculating the rate is that \$30 of \$130 is 23%; but effectively, you are paying 30%.” [University of Wisconsin Stevens Point, [2/7/23](#)]

Scott Perry Message #3

Perry voted 8 times for the tariff taxes that are raising the cost of groceries, shoes, appliances, and so many other things.

Perry Voted 8 Times For The Tariff Taxes That Are Raising The Cost Of Groceries, Shoes, Appliances, And So Many Other Things.

Perry Voted 8 Times For Trump's Tariffs

Perry Repeatedly Voted For Trump's Tariffs

Vote #1: March 2025: Perry Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs. In March 2025, Perry voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager's amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, [Vote #67](#), 3/11/25; CQ, [3/11/25](#)]

- **New York Times: "House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump's Tariffs."** "House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump's tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber's ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, [3/11/25](#)]

Vote #2: April 2025: Perry Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Perry voted for: "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, [Vote #91](#), 4/8/25; CQ, [4/8/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/8/25](#)]

Vote #3: April 2025: Perry Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Perry voted for: "Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump's disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, [Vote #93](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/9/25](#)]

Vote #4: September 2025: Perry Voted For A Motion To Discharge From The House Foreign Affairs Committee The Joint Resolution That Would Terminate The National Emergency Declared By President Donald Trump On July 30, 2025, Which Imposed An Additional 40 Percent Tariff On Certain Goods Imported From Brazil. In September 2025, Perry voted for: "Mast, R-Fla., motion to

table the Meeks, D-N.Y., motion to discharge from the House Foreign Affairs Committee the joint resolution that would terminate the national emergency declared by President Donald Trump on July 30, 2025, which imposed an additional 40 percent tariff on certain goods imported from Brazil.” The motion was agreed by a vote of 200-198. [H J Res 117, [Vote #265](#), 9/15/25; CQ, [9/15/25](#)]

Vote #5: September 2025: Perry Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariff Authority Through March 2026. In September 2025, Perry voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 707) providing for floor consideration of four bills that would eliminate the ability of judges in D.C. from treating young adults charged with crimes as juveniles during sentencing (HR 4922), allow vehicular pursuit of a suspect fleeing in a motor vehicle (HR 5143), lower the age at which a juvenile can be charged as an adult for certain crimes from 16 to 14 years of age (HR 5140), and authorize the president to unilaterally make judicial nominations for D.C. courts (HR 5125). It would consider as adopted substitute amendments consisting of the respective texts of their Rules Committee prints. The rule would provide for up to one hour of debate on each measure. The resolution also would provide a closed rule for floor consideration of a bill (HR 1047) that would direct the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to streamline the procedures for processing requests to integrate new energy generation projects into existing transmission systems; a bill (HR 3015) that would reestablish, and codify, the National Coal Council in the Energy Department; and a bill (HR 3062) that would specify that an entity could not construct or operate a border-crossing facility that imports or exports oil or natural gas or transmits electricity across a U.S. international border without a certificate of crossing. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 1407 consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 119-9, as well as a substitute amendments to HR 3015 and HR 3062 recommended by the House Energy and Commerce Committee. It would provide for up to one hour of debate on each measure. The resolution would further provide that in the engrossment of a House-passed digital assets bill (HR 3633), the House clerk would add the text of another House-passed measure (HR 1919) that would prevent a central bank cryptocurrency as a new matter at the end of the assets bill. It would provide that the clerk make technical corrections based on the change. The resolution also would allow for the tolling (the pausing of counting) of days for resolutions of inquiry from Sept. 30, 2025 through March 31, 2026. It also would provide that each day during the period from April 9, 2025, through March 31, 2026. would not constitute a calendar day for the purposes of section 202 of the National Emergencies Act with respect to a joint resolution to terminate President Donald Trump's April 2, 2025 executive order declaring a national emergency regarding tariffs on imported goods. The resolution also would provide that during the period for March 11, 2025 through March 31, 2026, would not constitute a calendar day for purposes of section 202 of the National Emergencies Act with respect to a joint resolution terminating a national emergency executive order declared by President Trump on Feb. 1, 2025. Such an executive order concerned tariffs on many Canadian and Mexican imports and Chinese goods. The resolution also would provide that the provisions of section 202 of the National Emergencies Act would not apply through March 31, 2026 to a joint resolution terminating the national emergency.” The bill passed 213 to 211. [H Res 707, [Vote #268](#), 9/16/25; CQ, [9/16/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** “House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump’s sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gavelled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board.” [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]
- **Politico Headline: “House Again Votes To Surrender Tariff Powers To Trump”** [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

Vote #6: February 2026: Perry Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Perry voted against: “Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada.” The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, [Vote #65](#), 2/11/26; CQ, [2/11/26](#)]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump’s Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** “The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president’s tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House’s first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump’s trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy.” [New York Times, [2/11/26](#)]

Vote #7: February 2026: Perry Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America’s Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump’s Tariffs. In February 2026, Perry voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America’s Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump’s 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies.” The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #60](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#)]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump’s Tariffs.** “The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber’s rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump’s tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters.” [New York Times, [2/10/26](#)]

Vote #8: February 2026: Perry Voted To Block The House From Takin An Up-Or-Down Vote On Trump’s Tariff Policies. In February 2026, Perry voted for: “Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America’s Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, “Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump’s disastrous tariff policies through the end of July.” *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #59](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#); Congressional Record, [2/10/26](#)]

Trump's Tariffs Raised Prices On Groceries

Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. “President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration’s policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump’s tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons.” [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

- **Axios Headline: “Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up.”** [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

Tariffs Raised Prices On Apparel Goods Including Shoes

Tariffs Raised Prices On Apparel Goods Including Shoes. “The impact of President Donald Trump’s tariffs on prices throughout the economy is expected to increase over the summer. At U.S. department store chains including Macy’s, Nordstrom, and Dillard’s, the evidence of sticker price inflation is starting to show up across more items. Recent price increases in apparel, footwear, and bags across major U.S. department-store websites tracked by DataWeave indicate a turning point in May, when prices started their ascent. DataWeave analyzes nearly 15,000 SKUs (stock keeping units), a scannable code retailers use to identify and track a product, and has been collecting that data from January to June for signs of price hikes in footwear, apparel, and bags. ‘Footwear is now as much as 4% above January levels at some banners, while apparel is roughly half that pace,’ said Karthik Bettadapura, co-founder & CEO of DataWeave.” [CNBC, [7/2/25](#)]

- **CNBC Headline: “Inside America’s Department Stores, Tariff-Triggered Price Hikes Are Picking Up.”** [CNBC, [7/2/25](#)]
- **The Guardian Headline: “Clothing Prices Rising In US As Trump Tariffs Kick In, H&M Boss Says.”** [The Guardian, [6/26/25](#)]

Trump's Tariffs Increased The Costs Of Appliances

Trump's Tariffs Increased The Costs Of Appliances. “Two major tariff changes are now in effect: June 23, 2025: The U.S. doubled its steel and aluminum import duty to 50%, raising appliance prices by around 5–10% on metal-heavy products. August 1, 2025: A new 25% country-specific tariff was added to appliances imported from Japan and South Korea, stacking on top of the metal tariff for some brands. If your appliance breaks down this year, you’re looking at a bigger bill for a new one. But that doesn’t mean you’re out of options. Why Steel Tariffs Hit Your Appliances Here’s the simple version: the U.S. now charges a hefty fee on imported steel and aluminum. Since appliances are made with a lot of steel (frames, drums, and panels, etc), manufacturers pass those costs on to you. This translates to about 5–10% more at retail on imported models. The duty applies only to the steel and aluminum content, not the full appliance price, but the impact still adds up, especially on large appliances like refrigerators, washers, and dishwashers.” [Appliance Parts Pros, accessed [9/29/26](#)]

Trump's Tariffs Raised The Cost Of Living

Joint Economic Committee: “The Joint Economic Committee – Minority Estimates That During The Last Year, The Average American Family Has Already Paid More Than \$1,700 In Tariff Costs, With The Costs Climbing Steadily Since The Beginning Of President Trump’s Second Term.” “The Joint Economic Committee – Minority estimates that during the last year, the average American family has already paid more than \$1,700 in tariff costs, with the costs climbing steadily since the beginning of President Trump’s second term. Though the Supreme Court recently struck down key portions of President Trump’s tariff agenda, this analysis shows how much this tax on American consumers has already cost American families. President Trump’s Administration has also already stated that it will roll out new tariffs to replace those that were recently struck down, which could result in further economic uncertainty and higher costs. The Joint Economic Committee – Minority combined official data from the U.S. Treasury Department on the amount of tariff revenue collected between February 2025 and January 2026 with independent estimates from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office of the percent of each tariff dollar that is ultimately paid by American consumers. The Committee found that American consumers overall paid more than \$231 billion in tariff costs between February 2025 and January 2026, an average of roughly \$1,745 per family.” [JEC, Fact Sheet, [February 2026](#)]

- **JEC Headline: “American Families Have Paid More Than \$1,700 Each In Tariff Costs Since Trump Entered Office.”** [JEC, Fact Sheet, [February 2026](#)]