

# Juan Ciscomani (AZ-06) Research Report

## Significant Findings

### **Juan Ciscomani Supported The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Would Kick Nearly 34,000 People Off Their Health Insurance In AZ-06, Threatened Food Assistance For More Than 29,000 Households In The District, And Gutted Revenue For Hospitals In And Around AZ-06 By More Than \$110 Million**

- ✓ May 2025 and July 2025: Ciscomani voted twice for the One Big Beautiful Bill.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill was estimated to kick more than 34,000 of Ciscomani's constituents off their health care, including more than 20,000 Medicaid recipients, and threatened 20,200 health care jobs in Arizona.
- ✓ June 2025: The One Big Beautiful Bill was projected to cause 34,439 people, including 20,539 Medicaid recipients, in Arizona's 6th congressional district to lose their access to health care.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill threatened an estimated 20,200 health care jobs in Arizona.
- ✓ Planned Parenthood no longer accepted patients enrolled in Arizona's Medicaid program due to a provision in the One Big Beautiful Bill that barred abortion providers from receiving Medicaid funding.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill let the ACA tax credits expire, driving up health care costs for more than 43,000 individuals in AZ-06 on marketplace plans.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill made health care more expensive for 43,366 AZ-06 residents who received coverage from ACA marketplace plans.
- ✓ All subsidized enrollees in AZ-06 were expected to see an average premium increase of 69% in their out-of-pocket monthly payments if the ACA tax credits expired
- ✓ At least 10 hospitals in and around Arizona's 6th congressional faced a combined \$110,151,637 in revenue cuts because of the One Big Beautiful Bill.
- ✓ An analysis from Third Way predicted Medicaid cuts in the One Big Beautiful Bill would result in hospitals nationwide losing \$24 billion in Medicaid revenue annually.
- ✓ Eight hospitals in Arizona's 6th congressional district were expected to lose at least \$54,880,085 annually in Medicaid revenue because of Ciscomani's vote in favor of the One Big Beautiful Bill.
- ✓ Two Tucson-area hospitals that fell just outside of Arizona's 6th congressional district but still served its residents were expected to lose at least \$55,271,552 annually in Medicaid revenue.
- ✓ An analysis from the University of North Carolina predicted Medicaid cuts in the One Big Beautiful Bill could force five Arizona hospitals to close.
- ✓ Ciscomani promised to protect Medicaid in the months leading up to his vote in favor of the One Big Beautiful Bill.
- ✓ In April 2025, Ciscomani wrote to House leadership to tell them he "cannot and will not" vote to cut Medicaid during the budget reconciliation process but voted to cut \$863.4 billion from Medicaid and CHIP a month later.

- ✓ In June 2025—after voting for the initial cuts to Medicaid in the May 2025 version of the bill—Ciscomani urged Republican leadership to protect Medicaid during Senate negotiations and then voted to cut federal spending on Medicaid and CHIP benefits by an estimated \$1.02 trillion.
- ✓ Prior to his votes, a hospital groups in Arizona warned Ciscomani that cuts to Medicaid would have disastrous consequences on health care services.
  - ✓ July 1, 2025: The Arizona Hospital and Healthcare Association warned the One Big Beautiful Bill would result in 55% of Arizona hospitals operating in the red.
  - ✓ July 1, 2025: The Arizona Hospital and Healthcare Association asked lawmakers to consider “the unintended consequences” the One Big Beautiful Bill would have both on hospitals and Arizonans’ access to care.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill enacted the largest SNAP cuts in history, threatening food assistance for more than 29,000 households in AZ-06.
  - ✓ Center for American Progress: The One Big Beautiful Bill enacted the largest SNAP cuts in the program’s history.
  - ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill risked food assistance for 29,676 households in AZ-06.
  - ✓ April 2026: 400,000 fewer Arizonans were enrolled in SNAP due to policy changes enacted under the One Big Beautiful Bill.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill gave billions in tax breaks to the ultra-wealthy at the expense of working families.
  - ✓ Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy: The One Big Beautiful Bill would give the richest 1% of Americans \$117 billion in net tax cuts in 2026.
  - ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill’s biggest tax cuts benefited the wealthiest giving them tax cuts three times greater than for working Americans.
- ✓ Ciscomani touted the rural health transformation program in the One Big Beautiful Bill that fell far short of Arizona’s \$34 billion Medicaid funding loss originally caused by the bill.
  - ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill included a \$50 billion fund for rural health care and directed \$167 million to Arizona for 2026.
  - ✓ The Trump administration announced Arizona would receive \$167 million in Rural Health Transformation Program funding for the 2026 federal fiscal year, the sixth-lowest amount of any state.
  - ✓ August 2025: Ciscomani said he was “a force at the table” during rural health transformation program funding negotiations.
  - ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill was slated to cost Arizona \$34 billion in Medicaid funding.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill gutted clean energy tax credits, threatening \$2 billion in clean energy investments in AZ-06, risking an estimated 69,000 jobs in Arizona, and raising energy costs in Arizona households by \$220.

- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill gutted clean energy tax credits.
- ✓ The Atlantic: Future clean energy projects would cost about 50% more than those that received tax credits.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill threatened \$2 billion in clean energy investments in AZ-06.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill was estimated to raise energy costs for Arizona residents by \$220 per year on average.
- ✓ The Arizona Commerce Authority: 69,000 jobs in Arizona could be lost due to cuts to clean energy tax credits.
- ✓ Americans would pay higher energy costs from the One Big Beautiful bill's elimination of clean energy tax credits.

### **Ciscomani Voted To Continue The Iran War, Which Led To Skyrocketing Gas Prices Across Arizona**

- ✓ Ciscomani repeatedly voted against a resolution to end Trump's military action in Iran.
- ✓ May 2026: Ciscomani cast the deciding vote against the Iran war powers resolution.
- ✓ April 16, 2026: Ciscomani voted against the Iran war powers resolution.
- ✓ March 5, 2026: Ciscomani voted no on removing the U.S. Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in Iran.
- ✓ The war powers resolution would have required President Trump to obtain Congressional authorization for his air war in Iran.
- ✓ Ciscomani said the Iran War was a "necessary step" and that he was "supportive of the strategy."
- ✓ The Iran War led to skyrocketing gas prices across Arizona, with warnings of \$6 a gallon prices as strategic reserves ran low.
  - ✓ The Iran War "destabilized the global energy system," which increased gas prices.
  - ✓ Arizona's average regular gas price was \$4.80 a gallon on May 12, 2026, compared with \$3.29 a year earlier.
  - ✓ Consumers in Arizona could see gas increase to \$6 a gallon as strategic reserves run out.

### **Ciscomani Voted Nine Times For Reckless Tariffs That Put Jeopardized Arizona's Economy And Raised Costs For Families**

- ✓ Ciscomani voted nine times to protect tariffs.
  - ✓ May 2026: Ciscomani voted against an Appropriations Committee amendment to prohibit funds made available by the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration bill from being used to implement a tariff that would increase the cost of food.
  - ✓ February 2026: Ciscomani voted against terminating Trump's tariffs, after voting repeatedly to delay a vote on the issue.

- ✓ February 2026: Ciscomani voted for providing consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act, the Undersea Cable Protection Act, the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act, and Blocking Consideration of joint resolutions terminating Trump's tariff.
- ✓ February 2026: Ciscomani voted for blocking consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act, the Undersea Cable Protection Act, and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act.
- ✓ September 2025: House Republicans voted to block Congress from challenging Trump's tariffs through March 2026.
- ✓ April 2025: Ciscomani voted to block Congress from challenging Trump's tariffs through September 2025.
- ✓ April 2025: Ciscomani voted for blocking consideration of an amendment striking a section of the rule that would prevent the House from voting on Trump's tariffs
- ✓ April 2025: Ciscomani voted for blocking consideration of a joint resolution to terminate Trump's tariffs.
- ✓ March 2025: House Republicans voted to block Congress from challenging Trump's tariffs for the rest of the year.
- ✓ Tariffs resulted in seven figure losses for some Arizona businesses and crippled Arizona's cotton industry.
  - ✓ Aluminum manufacturing plant FALCO, based in Chandler, AZ, faced seven figure losses because of Trump's tariffs.
  - ✓ Cotton exports in Arizona dropped 90% due to tariffs and cotton prices fell to about 20 cents below the level at which growers considered profitable.
- ✓ The reckless tariffs were one of the largest tax increases in the country's history and were estimated to cost Americans thousands of dollars.
  - ✓ 2026: Tariffs were estimated to cost American families thousands per year.
    - ✓ Tariffs increased the cost of living by more than \$1,700 per family.
    - ✓ 2026: The Joint Economic Committee estimated that tariffs would cost American families more than \$2,500 on average
  - ✓ Consumers shouldered the burden of tariffs as they raised the price of basic goods like food and clothing.

### **Ciscomani Voted Against Extending ACA Tax Credits, Which Led To Higher Insurance Premiums**

- ✓ Ciscomani warned of "massive" consequences and "real harm" if congress failed to extend ACA tax credits.
- ✓ Ciscomani voted multiple times against extending ACA tax credits.
  - ✓ July 2025: Ciscomani voted for the One Big Beautiful Bill, which did not extend ACA tax credits.

- ✓ January 2026: Ciscomani voted against a three-year ACA tax credit extension.
- ✓ Insurance premiums on the ACA marketplace for Arizona jumped nearly 30% on average, and 70,000 fewer Arizonans signed up for insurance through the Affordable Care Act in 2026 compared to 2025.
- ✓ ACA marketplace insurance premiums for Arizona jumped nearly 30% on average after the tax credits expired.
- ✓ About 70,000 fewer Arizonans signed up for insurance through the Affordable Care Act in 2026 compared to 2025 according to data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.
- ✓ Nearly 400,000 Arizonans were eligible for Affordable Care Act tax credits that allowed them to “pay less each month for health insurance.”

### **Ciscomani Supported The Trump Administration’s Federal Workforce Reductions, Which Gutted The Department Of Veterans Affairs And Led To Dire Staffing Shortages**

- ✓ Ciscomani said he was “thrilled” to join Delivering Outstanding Government Efficiency (DOGE) Caucus and work closely with trump on reducing “waste” and “streamlin[ing] bloated government bureaucracies”
- ✓ The Trump administration initiated a 15% cut in staffing of the Department of Veterans Affairs in 2025, which resulted in 40,000 fewer VA employees.
- ✓ The Department of Veterans Affairs declined to hire replacements for 14,400 unfilled medical vacancies in its health care division despite 90% of its facilities reporting a “severe shortage” of doctors in 2025.
- ✓ A group of nearly 100 doctors who practiced at the Department of Veterans Affairs warned the Trump administration’s cuts to the Department would “divert resources” from the VA’s high-quality direct care to the private sector.

### **Ciscomani Voted To Give ICE \$150 Billion And Refused To Back Legislation Requiring ICE To Seek Approval From Local Government Officials Before Building A New Detention Facility**

- ✓ Ciscomani voted for the One Big Beautiful Bill, which increased Department of Homeland Security funding by \$150 billion.
- ✓ The One Big Beautiful Bill included \$45 billion to expand detention capacity and tripled ICE’s detention budget to at least \$14 billion per year.
- ✓ Under Trump, federal law enforcement and ICE agents killed four American citizens.
- ✓ ICE detained at least 170 American citizens and faced accusations of excessive force and a lack of proper training.
- ✓ Ciscomani declined to answer when asked if he supported legislation requiring ICE to seek approval from local government officials before building a new detention facility after ICE announced plans to build an ice detention facility in Marana, AZ.
- ✓ March 2026: Ciscomani declined to answer when asked if he supported legislation requiring ICE to seek approval from local government officials before building a new detention facility.
- ✓ February 2026: ICE announced plans to build an ICE detention facility in Marana, AZ.

### **Juan Ciscomani Voted In Lockstep With Republican Party Bosses While They Funneled Nearly A Million Dollars Into His Campaign**

- ✓ January 2025-September 2025: Juan Ciscomani voted with Trump 100% of the time.
- ✓ 2025: Ciscomani received nearly \$1 million in contributions from House leadership, which was second most of any other republican incumbent outside of House leadership.

### **Ciscomani Refused To Return The Nearly \$40,000 He Took From Tony Gonzales And Chuck Edwards—Two Congressman Accused Of Sexual Misconduct**

- ✓ Ciscomani received \$30,000 from Tony Gonzales' Leadership PAC, the most of any member of Congress.
- ✓ Gonzales resigned from congress amid a House Ethics Committee investigation after admitting to an extramarital affair with a staffer who later died by suicide.
- ✓ As of May 20, 2026, Ciscomani had not returned the contributions from Gonzales.
- ✓ Ciscomani received \$8,250 from Chuck Edwards' Leadership PAC.
- ✓ May 2026: Edwards was under a House Ethics Committee investigation following allegations that he engaged in sexual harassment and an improper romantic affair with a subordinate staff member.
- ✓ As of May 20, 2026, Ciscomani had not returned the contributions from Edwards.

### **Juan Ciscomani Supported The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Would Kick Nearly 34,000 People Off Their Health Insurance In AZ-06, Threatened Food Assistance For More Than 29,000 Households In The District, And Gutted Revenue For Hospitals In And Around AZ-06 By More Than \$110 Million**

#### **May 2025 And July 2025: Ciscomani Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill**

#### **May 2025: Ciscomani Voted For The House's Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill**

**May 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In May 2025, Ciscomani voted for: "Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate "such sums as necessary" for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who've purchased "silver" plans on the 2010 health care law's exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes

below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

---

**July 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Final Passage Of The Senate Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill Act,**

---

**July 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In July 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Estimated To Kick More Than 34,000 Of Ciscomani’s Constituents Off Their Health Care, Including More Than 20,000 Medicaid Recipients, And Threatened 20,200 Health Care Jobs In Arizona**

---

**June 2025: The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Projected To Cause 34,439 People, Including 20,539 Medicaid Recipients, In Arizona’s 6<sup>th</sup> Congressional District To Lose Their Access To Health Care**

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Projected To Cause 34,439 People In Arizona’s 6<sup>th</sup> Congressional District To Lose Their Access To Health Care.** [Joint Economic Committee Minority, [September 2025](#)]

- **16,925 Of The 31,925 People In In Arizona’s 6<sup>th</sup> Congressional District Who Were Projected To Lose Their Access To Health Care Were Medicaid Recipients.** [Joint Economic Committee Minority, [September 2025](#)]

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Threatened An Estimated 20,200 Health Care Jobs In Arizona**

---

**June 2025: The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Predicted To Eliminate An Estimated 20,200 Health Care Jobs In Arizona.** [The Commonwealth Fund, Appendix Table 1, [6/23/25](#)]

---

**Planned Parenthood No Longer Accepted Patients Enrolled In Arizona’s Medicaid Program Due To A Provisoin In The One Big Beautiful Bill That Barred Abortion Providers From Receiving Medicaid Funding**

---

**Planned Parenthood No Longer Accepted Patients Enrolled In Arizona’s Medicaid Program Due To A Provisoin In The One Big Beautiful Bill That Barred Abortion Providers From Receiving Medicaid Funding.** “Arizonans enrolled in AHCCCS, the state’s Medicaid program, will no longer be able to visit their local Planned Parenthood clinic for an STI test or a cancer screening starting this week. The organization’s decision to stop accepting Medicaid coverage comes as a provision in President Donald Trump’s fiscal plan barring abortion providers from receiving Medicaid funding goes into effect Wednesday. The prohibition sets a one-year ban on Medicaid funding for any nonprofit health care providers who perform abortions and received more than \$800,000 from Medicaid in 2023.” [Arizona Mirror, [9/29/25](#)]

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Let The ACA Tax Credits Expire, Driving Up Health Care Costs For More Than 43,000 Individuals In AZ-06 On Marketplace Plans**

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For 43,366 AZ-06 Residents Who Received Coverage From ACA Marketplace Plans**

**2025: 423,025 Individuals In Arizona Were Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans.** [KFF, [4/2/25](#)]

Number Of Arizona Residents Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans In 2025	
Arizona	ACA Marketplace Enrollees
	423,025

[KFF, [4/2/25](#)]

**2025: 43,366 Individuals In AZ-06 Were Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans.** [KFF, [10/3/25](#)]

Number Of AZ-06 Residents Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans In 2025	
AZ-06	ACA Marketplace Enrollees
	43,366

[KFF, [10/3/25](#)]

**All Subsidized Enrollees In AZ-06 Were Expected To See An Average Premium Increase Of 69% In Their Out-Of-Pocket Monthly Payments If The ACA Tax Credits Expired**


**Individuals In AZ-06 Were Expected To See An Average Increase Of 69% In Costs For Premiums After The Expiration Of The ACA Tax Credits.** [KFF, accessed [5/20/26](#)]

**Subsidies Expire**

Percent Increase in Average Monthly Premium Payments Without Enhanced Subsidies, 2024

**Average** 60-Year Old Couple, \$82,000 40-Year-Old, \$31,000

< 50% 50%–100% 100%–150% 150%–200% 200%–300% ≥ 300%



- **A 60 Year-Old Couple Making \$82,000 In AZ-06 Were Expected To See An Average Increase Of 182% In Costs For Premiums After The Expiration Of The ACA Tax Credits.** [KFF, accessed [4/24/26](#)]

<b>Average Premium Increase Percentage For AZ-06 Residents On Silver Premium Plans After Expiration Of ACA Tax Credits</b>	
AZ-06	Average Premium Increase
	182%

[KFF, accessed [4/24/26](#)]

**KFF Health System Tracker: Insurers That Participated In The ACA Marketplaces Raised Premiums On Average By 20% In 2026.** “For 2026, across 312 insurers participating in the ACA Marketplaces from the 50 states and the District of Columbia, this analysis shows a median proposed premium increase of 18%, which is about 11 percentage points higher than last year. This is the largest rate change insurers have requested since 2018, the last time that policy uncertainty contributed to sharp premium increases. On average, ACA Marketplace insurers are raising premiums by about 20% in 2026. Based on a more detailed analysis of available documents from insurers in 19 states and the District of Columbia, like in prior years, growth in health care prices stood out as a key factor driving costs in 2026. Insurers cite increasing cost and utilization of high-priced drugs as well as general market factors, such as increasing labor costs and inflation, as contributing to premium increases.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans.**

“The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

- **The Expiration Of Enhanced Tax Credits Would Lead To Out-Of-Pocket Premiums For ACA Marketplace Enrollees Increasing By An Average Of Over 75%.** “In addition to rising healthcare costs, the majority of insurers are also taking into account the potential expiration of enhanced premium tax credits in their premium rate increases for the next year. The expiration of enhanced tax credits will lead to out-of-pocket premiums for ACA marketplace enrollees increasing by an average of more than 75%, with insurers expecting healthier enrollees to drop coverage. That, in turn, increases underlying premiums. Other federal policy changes, like the implementation of tariffs and the ACA Marketplace Integrity and Affordability rule were also discussed, though to a lesser extent.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

**At Least 10 Hospitals In And Around Arizona’s 6<sup>th</sup> Congressional Faced A Combined \$110,151,637 In Revenue Cuts Because Of The One Big Beautiful Bill**

**An Analysis From Third Way Predicted Medicaid Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Result In Hospitals Nationwide Losing \$24 Billion In Medicaid Revenue Annually**

**Third Way: Due To The More Than One Trillion In Medicaid Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill, “Hospitals Nationwide Will Experience At Least \$24 Billion In Revenue Loss Annually As A Result.”** “President Trump and Congressional Republicans’ full-throated attack on Medicaid in their budget bill will devastate critical hospitals across the country. The bill cleaves over a trillion dollars from Medicaid over 10 years, and our analysis projects that hospitals nationwide will experience at least \$24 billion in revenue loss annually as a result.” [Third Way, [7/8/25](#)]

**Eight Hospitals In Arizona’s 6<sup>th</sup> Congressional District Were Expected To Lose At Least \$54,880,085 Annually In Medicaid Revenue Because Of Ciscomani’s Vote In Favor Of The One Big Beautiful Bill**

<b>Third Way: Projected Revenue Cuts To Hospitals In AZ-06 As A Result Of The One Big Beautiful Bill</b>		
<b>Hospital</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Predicted Revenue Cut</b>

Mt. Graham Regional Medical Center	1600 Twentieth Avenue, Safford, AZ 85548	\$3,012,620
Carondelet St. Joseph Hospital	350 North Wilmot Road, Tucson, AZ 85732	\$6,339,969
Northwest Medical Center	6200 North La Cholla, Tucson, AZ 85741	\$8,001,720
Northwest Medical Center Oro Valley	1551 E Tangerine Road, Oro Valley, AZ 85755	\$2,474,686
Benson Hospital	475 S. Ocotillo, Benson, Az 85602	\$711,313
Tucson Medical Center	5301 E Grant Road, Tucson, AZ 85712	\$29,649,117
Canyon Vista Medical Center	5700 E. Highway 90, Sierra Vista, AZ 85635	\$3,976,119
Northern Cochise Community Hospital	901 W Rex Allen Drive, Willcox, AZ 85644	\$714,541
<b>Total:</b>		<b>\$54,880,085</b>

[Third Way, [7/8/25](#)]

---

**Two Tucson-Area Hospitals That Fell Just Outside Of Arizona's 6<sup>th</sup> Congressional District But Still Served Its Residents Were Expected To Lose At Least \$55,271,552 Annually In Medicaid Revenue**

---

<b>Third Way: Projected Revenue Cuts To Tucson-Area Hospitals As A Result Of The One Big Beautiful Bill</b>		
<b>Hospital</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Predicted Revenue Cut</b>
Carondelet St. Mary's Hospital	1601 W. St. Mary's Road, Tucson, AZ 85745	\$3,982,910
Banner University Medical Center Tucson	1625 North Campbell Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719	\$51,288,642
<b>Total:</b>		<b>\$55,271,552</b>

*NOTE: Carondelet St. Mary's Hospital and Banner University Medical Center Tucson were in Arizona's 7<sup>th</sup> Congressional District.*

---

**An Analysis From The University Of North Carolina Predicted Medicaid Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill Could Force Five Arizona Hospitals To Close**

---

**An Analysis From University Of North Carolina Predicated Medicaid Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill Could Force Five Arizona Hospitals To Close.** “Large cuts to Medicaid in the massive tax and spending plan that Republicans are finalizing could deal a major blow to Arizona’s rural hospitals, threatening services for tens of thousands of residents. The version that narrowly passed the Senate Tuesday would lop \$930 billion over the next decade, the biggest cut in the program’s history.... The House plan, approved May 22, would cut \$800 billion – enough to put five Arizona hospitals at risk of closing, according to an analysis from University of North Carolina researchers. Those five are Page Hospital in Page, Winslow Memorial Hospital in Winslow, Copper Queen Community Hospital in Bisbee, Carondelet Holy Cross Hospital in Nogales and Cobre Valley Regional Medical Center in Globe.” [Tucson Sentinel, [7/2/25](#)]

---

**Ciscomani Promised To Protect Medicaid In The Months Leading Up To His Vote In Favor Of The One Big Beautiful Bill**

---

**In April 2025, Ciscomani Wrote To House Leadership To Tell Them He “Cannot And Will Not” Vote To Cut Medicaid During The Budget Reconciliation Process But Voted To Cut \$863.4 Billion From Medicaid And CHIP A Month Later**

---

**April 2025: Ciscomani Signed Onto A Letter Telling House Republican Leadership He “Cannot And Will Not” Vote For Legislation That Reduced Medicaid Coverage.** “U.S. Congressman Juan Ciscomani is ramping up pressure to protect Medicaid, telling House Republican leadership in a letter signed by 12 other colleagues that they ‘cannot and will not’ vote for legislation that reduces Medicaid coverage for those who need it. ‘Efficiency and transparency must be prioritized for program beneficiaries, hospitals, and states,’ the lawmakers wrote. ‘We support targeted reforms to improve program integrity, reduce improper payments, and modernize delivery systems to fix flaws in the program that divert resources away from children, seniors, individuals with disabilities, and pregnant women – those who the program was intended to help. However, we cannot and will not support a final reconciliation bill that includes any reduction in Medicaid coverage for vulnerable populations.’” [Rep. Juan Ciscomani, Press Release, [4/17/25](#)]

- **In The Letter, Ciscomani Acknowledged “Cuts To Medicaid Also Threaten The Viability Of Hospitals, Nursing Homes, And Safety-Net Providers Nationwide.”** “Cuts to Medicaid also threaten the viability of hospitals, nursing homes, and safety-net providers nationwide. Many hospitals — particularly in rural and underserved areas — rely heavily on Medicaid funding, with some receiving over half their revenue from the program alone. Providers in these areas are especially at risk of closure, with many unable to recover. When hospitals close, it affects all constituents, regardless of healthcare coverage.” [Rep. Juan Ciscomani, Press Release, [4/17/25](#)]

**The May 2025 Version Of The House Passed Reconciliation Bill Included 863.4 Billion In Cuts To Medicaid And Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Over The Next Ten Years.** “According to updated Congressional Budget Office (CBO) cost estimates of the House-passed bill issued on June 4th, the bill’s Medicaid and CHIP provisions in the House Energy and Commerce Committee section of the bill would cut gross Medicaid and CHIP spending by \$863.4 billion over the next ten years (without accounting for interactions across such provisions and with provisions affecting the Affordable Care Act’s marketplaces and marketplace subsidies and without accounting for revenue effects).<sup>1</sup> Separate updated CBO coverage estimates of the House-passed bill also issued on June 4th find that the Medicaid and CHIP provisions would increase the number of uninsured individuals by 7.8 million by 2034.” [Georgetown University, McCourt School of Public Policy, [5/27/25](#)]

---

**In June 2025—After Voting For The Initial Cuts To Medicaid In The May 2025 Version Of The Bill—Ciscomani Urged Republican Leadership To Protect Medicaid During Senate Negotiations And Then Voted To Cut Federal Spending On Medicaid And CHIP Benefits By An Estimated \$1.02 Trillion**

---

**June 2025: Ciscomani Urged Senate To Protect Medicaid And Prevent Cuts That Jeopardized “The Ability For Hospitals To Provide Care.”** “U.S. Congressman Juan Ciscomani and 15 colleagues are urging Senate and House leaders to protect Medicaid, firmly opposing legislation that limits access to Medicaid coverage for

vulnerable individuals or jeopardizes the ability for hospitals to provide care. ‘Throughout the budget process, we have consistently affirmed our commitment to ensuring that reductions in federal spending do not come at the expense of our most vulnerable constituents,’ the lawmakers wrote in a letter to Senate Majority Leader John Thune and House Speaker Mike Johnson. ‘We write to reiterate that commitment to those we represent here in Washington... The proposal released by the Senate Finance Committee on June 16 includes provisions that go beyond H.R. 1. The House’s approach reflects a more pragmatic and compassionate standard, and we urge that it be retained in the final bill.’” [Rep. Juan Ciscomani, Press Release, [6/24/25](#)]

**CAP: The Nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office Estimated That The OBBBA Will Cut Federal Spending On Medicaid And Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Benefits By \$1.02 Trillion.** “The nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimated that the OBBBA will cut federal spending on Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) benefits by \$1.02 trillion, due in part to eliminating at least 10.5 million people from the programs by 2034. With new federal limits on Medicaid eligibility likely increasing the number of uninsured, along with other provisions that restrict states’ ability to raise revenue to fund their Medicaid programs, states will have to reevaluate their budgets to either supplement the spending or cut services. Research shows that when federal funding for Medicaid decreases, states tend to cut optional benefits such as home- and community-based (HCBS) first. It is nearly impossible to carve out a specific population, such as disabled people or elderly people, because the cuts to Medicaid funding will affect everyone due to hospital closures and health care workforce layoffs.” [Center for American Progress, [7/3/25](#)]

**Prior To His Votes, A Hospital Group In Arizona Warned Ciscomani That Cuts To Medicaid Would Have Disastrous Consequences On Health Care Services**

---

**July 1, 2025: The Arizona Hospital And Healthcare Association Warned The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Result In 55% Of Arizona Hospitals Operating In The Red**

---

**July 1, 2025: The Arizona Hospital And Healthcare Association Warned The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Result In 55% Of Arizona Hospitals Operating In The Red.** “President Trump has consistently stated that budget reductions should not come at the expense of Medicaid, which serves our most vulnerable Arizonans. While the House version of HR1 was not without flaws, it represented a significantly stronger commitment to that principle than the version passed this morning by the Senate... The repercussions of the Senate action would be far-reaching. We estimate Arizona’s healthcare system would lose over \$6 billion over the next seven years. In other words, more than 55% of Arizona hospitals would be operating in the red. Hospitals will be, at best, forced to restrict services such as obstetrics, behavioral healthcare and other complex services, and at worst, will close their doors all together. Access to care will be reduced for everyone in the community, not just those enrolled in Medicaid/AHCCCS.” [Arizona Hospital and Healthcare Association, [7/1/25](#)]

---

**July 1, 2025: The Arizona Hospital And Healthcare Association Asked Lawmakers To Consider “The Unintended Consequences” The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Have Both On Hospitals And Arizonans’ Access To Care**

---

**Arizona Hospital And Healthcare Association Warned That Under The One Big Beautiful Bill “Access To Care Will Be Reduced For Everyone In The Community, Not Just Those Enrolled In Medicaid/AHCCCS.”** “The repercussions of the Senate action would be far-reaching. We estimate Arizona’s healthcare system would lose over \$6 billion over the next seven years. In other words, more than 55% of Arizona hospitals would be operating in the red. Hospitals will be, at best, forced to restrict services such as obstetrics, behavioral healthcare and other complex services, and at worst, will close their doors all together. Access to care will be reduced for everyone in the community, not just those enrolled in Medicaid/AHCCCS. We implore lawmakers to consider the unintended consequences of these decisions and to proceed with a commitment to protecting access to care for all Arizonans, especially those who are most vulnerable. While most members of Congress are not medical doctors, they would be wise to remember the implications of the Hippocratic oath, ‘First, do no harm...’” [Arizona Hospital and Healthcare Association, [7/1/25](#)]

**Arizona Hospital And Healthcare Association: “We Implore Lawmakers To Consider The Unintended Consequences Of These Decisions And To Proceed With A Commitment To Protecting Access To Care For All Arizonans, Especially Those Who Are Most Vulnerable.”** “The repercussions of the Senate action would be far-reaching. We estimate Arizona’s healthcare system would lose over \$6 billion over the next seven years. In other words, more than 55% of Arizona hospitals would be operating in the red. Hospitals will be, at best, forced to restrict services such as obstetrics, behavioral healthcare and other complex services, and at worst, will close their doors all together. Access to care will be reduced for everyone in the community, not just those enrolled in Medicaid/AHCCCS. We implore lawmakers to consider the unintended consequences of these decisions and to proceed with a commitment to protecting access to care for all Arizonans, especially those who are most vulnerable. While most members of Congress are not medical doctors, they would be wise to remember the implications of the Hippocratic oath, ‘First, do no harm...’” [Arizona Hospital and Healthcare Association, [7/1/25](#)]

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Enacted The Largest SNAP Cuts In History, Threatening Food Assistance For More Than 29,000 Households In AZ-06**

---

**Center For American Progress: The One Big Beautiful Bill Enacted The Largest SNAP Cuts In The Program’s History**

---

**Center For American Progress: “The Senate Has Released Its Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill Act, Which Would Enact The Largest Cut To The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) In The Program’s History According To Center For American Progress Estimates.”** “The Senate has released its version of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, which would enact the largest cut to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) in the program’s history according to Center for American Progress estimates. Despite the proposal cutting less than the House-passed version’s nearly \$300 billion in cuts, it is even more extreme than the House version in some key aspects. Moreover, this legislation comes at a time when food insecurity is rising across all 50 states, reaching levels not seen since 2014.” [Center for American Progress, [6/26/25](#)]

- **Axios: “The Bill Would Reduce Nutrition Funding, Which Includes SNAP, By Around \$186 Billion Between 2025 And 2034.”** “By the numbers: The bill would reduce nutrition funding, which includes SNAP, by around \$186 billion between 2025 and 2034. While analyst's projections have fluctuated as the legislation's provisions are tweaked, analysts have indicated millions of people could be cut from SNAP under the work requirement provisions.” [Axios, [7/3/25](#)]
- **HEADLINE: “House Reconciliation Bill Proposes Deepest SNAP Cut In History, Would Take Food Assistance Away From Millions of Low-Income Families.”** [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [5/28/25](#)]

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Cut SNAP By Around \$186 Billion.** President Trump's massive tax and spending bill, which is advancing through the House after surviving its Republican push through the Senate, would slash food benefits for thousands. The big picture: It would mark a historic cut to the social safety net that Republicans claim weeds out waste, fraud and abuse — but experts say the restructuring of assistance programs could leave more people hungry and uninsured. [...] By the numbers: The bill would reduce nutrition funding, which includes SNAP, by around \$186 billion between 2025 and 2034. [Axios, [7/3/25](#)]

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Risked Food Assistance For 29,676 Households In AZ-06**

---

**According To The U.S. Department Of Agriculture, 29,676 Households Participated In Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) In AZ-06.** [USDA, accessed [4/24/26](#)]

## Who Receives SNAP?

---

SNAP provides nutrition benefits to supplement the food budgets of low-income families so they can purchase healthy food.

In AZ District 6, SNAP serves...

29,676

HOUSEHOLDS

---

### 400,000 Fewer Arizonans Were Enrolled In SNAP Due To Policy Changes Enacted Under The One Big Beautiful Bill

---

**April 2026: More Than 400,000 Arizonans Have Lost Their SNAP Benefits Since July 2025, Which Was Nearly 47% Of The State's Participants In The Program.** “More than 400,000 Arizonans have lost their SNAP benefits since July — the largest decline in the nation by a wide margin — as an underfunded state agency administered changes called for in President Donald Trump’s so-called One Big Beautiful Bill Act. The drop represents nearly 47% of the state’s participants in the program better known as food stamps and includes about 180,000 children, according to the Arizona Department of Economic Security, which administers the program.” [ProPublica, [4/8/26](#)]

- **The Drop In SNAP Recipients In Arizona Was Attributed To Policy Changes Enacted Under The One Big Beautiful Bill.** “More than 400,000 Arizonans have lost their SNAP benefits since July — the largest decline in the nation by a wide margin — as an underfunded state agency administered changes called for in President Donald Trump’s so-called One Big Beautiful Bill Act. The drop represents nearly 47% of the state’s participants in the program better known as food stamps and includes about 180,000 children, according to the Arizona Department of Economic Security, which administers the program... Arizona officials attribute the plunging caseload to swift implementation of policy changes forced by the bill, including new work requirements.” [ProPublica, [4/8/26](#)]

### The One Big Beautiful Bill Gave Billions In Tax Breaks To The Ultra-Wealthy At The Expense Of Working Families

---

#### Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Give The Richest 1% Of Americans \$117 Billion In Net Tax Cuts In 2026

---

**Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: The House-Passed Reconciliation Bill Would Give The Richest 1% Of Americans \$117 Billion In Net Tax Cuts In 2026.** “The House of Representatives today narrowly passed a massive tax and spending reconciliation bill that now heads to President Trump’s desk. Please see below for a statement from ITEP and our latest updated analysis of how the bill’s tax provisions will affect families at different income levels nationally and in every state. [...] The richest 1 percent of Americans would receive a total of \$117 billion in net tax cuts in 2026. The middle 20 percent of taxpayers on the income scale, a group that has 20 times the number of taxpayers as the richest 1 percent, would receive less than half that much, \$53 billion in net tax cuts that year.” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [7/3/24](#)]

---

#### The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest Giving Them Tax Cuts Three Times Greater Than For Working Americans

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest Americans.** “The One Big Beautiful Bill includes the largest tax cuts in U.S. history. Whether it’s actually ‘beautiful’ is in the eye of the beholder. At every income level taxes should subside. That’s a big selling point from President Donald Trump and fellow Republicans who pushed the law through Congress with no Democratic support. It will benefit everyone. But the biggest cuts go to the wealthiest taxpayers – the ones who pay the lion’s share of taxes. For most families the savings will be miniscule in comparison, in raw dollars and as a share of income. And millions will lose subsidized

health insurance and other benefits. Critics see a plan that widens income inequality and, worse, offsets tax cuts for the wealthy with draconian spending cuts that hit lower-income households.” [Cronkite News, [7/16/25](#)]

**The House-Passed Republican Reconciliation Bill Extended The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act Which Skewed In Favor Of The Wealthy By Providing Them Tax Cuts That Were Three Times Greater Than For Working Americans.** “The tax provisions of the House Republican reconciliation bill double down on the failures of the 2017 tax law, which was skewed in favor of the richest people in the country, further eroded the nation’s revenue base, and didn’t produce the promised economic gains for working people.[1] Instead of changing course and prioritizing people with low and moderate incomes as House Republicans’ rhetoric about supporting hard-pressed working families would suggest, the House Republican tax bill only offers more of the same. The bill is heavily skewed to the wealthy: Under the bill, the top 1 percent of people would receive tax cuts three times the size of those for people with incomes in the bottom 60 percent, measured as a share of after-tax income. (See Figure 1.) The top 0.6 percent of people — the 1.2 million people with annual incomes above \$1 million — would receive more total tax cuts than the 127 million people with incomes below \$100,000. [...] The bill extends and expands the 2017 tax law’s increase in the estate tax exemption, boosting the cut in estate taxes for the 1 in 1,000 wealthiest estates to \$6.3 million.[3] Next year a couple could pass on an estate worth up to \$30 million tax free, \$1.7 million more than under a simple extension of the 2017 law.” [CBPP, [5/22/25](#)]

**CBS: “Like Penn Wharton, Other Researchers Have Said The Republican Bill Is Likely To Benefit Wealthy Americans At The Expense Of People Lower Down The Ladder.”** “Like Penn Wharton, other researchers have said the Republican bill is likely to benefit wealthy Americans at the expense of people lower down the ladder. The measure would likely reduce the financial resources available to the lowest-earning 10% of U.S. households by \$1,600 per year, or almost 4% of their annual income, according to a report published earlier this month by the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office. White House officials have previously questioned the CBO’s scoring of the bill. But the highest-earning 10% of households would see a gain of \$12,000 per year in resources, while middle-income households would see a gain of \$500 to \$1,000, the CBO projected. Its analysis is based on the bill’s tax breaks, as well as reductions for federal programs and reductions in state funds for safety net programs such as Medicaid and food stamps. In considering the impact of higher U.S. debt on future generations, the cost would come in the form of lower wages and higher costs, such as more expensive mortgages, Smetters said.” [CBS News, [6/26/25](#)]

**The Hill: “The Republicans’ ‘Big, Beautiful Bill’ Will Make The Poorest Americans Even Poorer, While Padding The Wallets Of The Highest Earners The Most, According To An Analysis Released Monday By Congress’s Budget Arm.”** “The Republicans’ ‘big, beautiful bill’ will make the poorest Americans even poorer, while padding the wallets of the highest earners the most, according to an analysis released Monday by Congress’s budget arm. The assessment, conducted by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) at the request of top Democrats, found that the top 10 percent of earners in the country will see an average boost of \$13,600 per year over the next decade as a direct result of provisions in the law, while the bottom 10 percent will see an average annual decrease of \$1,200. The report challenges the arguments made by President Trump and other Republicans that the massive domestic policy package would benefit workers at all levels of wealth and income. And it’s given fuel to the attacks from Democrats that the legislation was, all along, designed to help the wealthiest people at the expense of the working poor.” [The Hill, [8/11/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: “Budget Office Says GOP’s ‘Big, Beautiful Bill’ Will Make Rich Richer, Poor Poorer.”** [Hill, [8/11/25](#)]

**Ciscomani Touted The Rural Health Transformation Program In The One Big Beautiful Bill That Fell Far Short Of Arizona’s \$34 Billion Medicaid Funding Loss Originally Caused By The Bill**

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Included A \$50 Billion Fund For Rural Health Care And Directed \$167 Million To Arizona For 2026**

---

***The One Big Beautiful Bill Included A \$50 Billion “Rural Health Transformation Program” That Represented Just 37% Of The Estimated Loss Of Federal Medicaid Funding In Rural Areas***

**Republicans Included A \$50 Billion Fund For The “Rural Health Transformation Program” After Cutting Medicaid By Over \$900 Billion Over 10 Years.** “On July 4, 2025, President Trump signed a budget reconciliation bill into law that includes significant reductions in federal health care spending, large tax cuts, and other changes. The new law will reduce federal Medicaid spending alone by \$911 billion over ten years and lead to 10 million more people becoming uninsured by 2034 based on Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimates. While this legislation was being debated, Members of Congress from both parties raised concerns about the potential impact on rural hospitals, particularly given the ongoing trend of rural hospital closures. In response, and just prior to passage, the Senate added \$50 billion in funding for a new ‘rural health transformation program,’ referred to here as the ‘rural health fund.’” [KFF, [8/4/25](#)]

**KFF: The \$50 Billion Fund Represented Just 37% Of The Estimated Loss Of Federal Medicaid Funding In Rural Areas, Or 5% Of The Total Federal Medicaid Cuts Nationwide.** “The \$50 billion in new funding could offset a little over a third (37%) of the estimated cuts to federal Medicaid spending in rural areas (\$137 billion over ten years) based on KFF analysis of CBO’s estimates, or about 5% of the total estimated cuts to federal Medicaid spending (\$911 billion over ten years). This does not account for other revenue losses related to the bill, including cuts to federal spending for the ACA Marketplaces, or the revenue losses stemming from the increased number of people who will be uninsured because of the expiration of the enhanced ACA premium tax credits and the implementation of final Marketplace integrity rules. The impact of these changes on rural areas, and the extent to which the rural health fund offsets losses, will vary across the country.” [KFF, [8/4/25](#)]

***The Trump Administration Announced Arizona Would Receive \$167 Million In Rural Health Transformation Program Funding For The 2026 Federal Fiscal Year , The Sixth-Lowest Amount Of Any State***

**The Trump Administration Announced Arizona Would Receive \$167 Million In Rural Health Transformation Program Funding For The 2026 Federal Fiscal Year , The Sixth-Lowest Amount Of Any State.** “Arizona will receive \$167 million for the 2026 federal fiscal year, the sixth-lowest amount of any state. All six of the states with the lowest allocations, including Arizona, are led by Democratic governors.” [Arizona Republic, [1/2/26](#)]

***Arizona Originally Requested \$200 Million From The Fund***

**Arizona Originally Requested \$200 Million From The Rural Health Transformation Fund.** “The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services announced the first round of awards for the 50-state “Rural Health Transformation Program,” a pool of federal funding that was designed to partially offset the deep cuts to Medicaid included in the Republican-led budget passed earlier in 2025. Arizona will receive \$167 million for the 2026 federal fiscal year, the sixth-lowest amount of any state. Arizona had been hoping to receive \$200 million per year, for a total of \$1 billion over the course of the program...The allocation was \$33 million short of what the state had requested. The average allocation was \$200 million.” [Arizona Republic, [1/2/26](#)]

**CMS Announced The Rural Health Transformation Program Would Provide Funding For Five Years From FY 2026 Through FY 2030.** “RHT Program funding is \$50 billion to be allocated to approved States over five fiscal years, with \$10 billion of funding available each fiscal year, beginning in fiscal year 2026 and ending in fiscal year 2030. 50% to be distributed equally amongst all approved States 50% will be allocated by CMS based on a variety of factors including rural population, the proportion of rural health facilities in the State, the situation of certain hospitals in the State, and other factors to be specified by CMS in the NOFO.” [Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, accessed [1/6/26](#)]

---

**August 2025: Ciscomani Said He Was “A Force At The Table” During Rural Health Transformation Program Funding Negotiations**

---

**August 2025: Ciscomani Said He Was “A Force At The Table” During Rural Health Transformation Program Funding Negotiations.** “Ciscomani, who sits on the powerful House Appropriations Committee, told reporters in August he was confident that Arizona would be prioritized in the rural health fund negotiations. ‘We have been a force at the table on this issue,’ he said at the time.” [Arizona Republic, [1/2/26](#)]

---

## **The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Slated To Cost Arizona \$34 Billion In Medicaid Funding**

---

*Arizona Was Expected To Lose \$34 Billion In Medicaid Spending Over Ten Years From The One Big Beautiful Bill*

**Arizona Was Expected To Lose \$34 Billion In Medicaid Spending Over Ten Years From The One Big Beautiful Bill.** “The Trump administration is giving Arizona about two-thirds of what Gov. Katie Hobbs sought in newly available federal aid to help shore up rural health care. New figures from the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services show each state is getting \$100 million in 2026 — and identical amounts for the following four years — under the Rural Health Transition Program. That \$5 billion a year is designed, at least in small part, to help states deal with the future loss of federal Medicaid dollars...He pointed out that the \$10 billion in the federal law, to be divided among the states over the next five years — half by giving each state an allocation and half through the award process — is tiny in comparison to what Arizona is set to lose. By contrast, Slater said, other provisions in the bill will slash Medicaid spending in just this state by \$34 billion over 10 years.” [Arizona Daily Star, [12/31/25](#)]

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Gutted Clean Energy Tax Credits, Threatening \$2 Billion In Clean Energy Investments In AZ-06, Risking An Estimated 69,000 Jobs In Arizona, And Raising Energy Costs For Arizona Households By \$220**

---

## **The One Big Beautiful Bill Gutted Clean Energy Tax Credits**

---

**The House-Passed Republican Reconciliation Bill Gutted Clean Energy Tax Credits.** “The multitrillion-dollar tax breaks package passed by House Republicans early Thursday would gut clean energy tax credits that Democrats approved three years ago while supporting increased mining, drilling and other traditional energy production. A marathon session that began Wednesday resulted in 1,100-plus page legislation that curbs billions of dollars in spending across food assistance, student loans, Medicaid and action to address climate change.” [AP, [5/22/25](#)]

**The House-Passed Republican Reconciliation Bill Would Dismantle Clean Energy Incentives.** “A Republican push to dismantle clean energy incentives threatens to reverberate across the US by costing more than 830,000 jobs, raising energy bills for US households and threatening to unleash millions more tonnes of the planet-heating pollution that is causing the climate crisis, experts have warned. A major tax bill passed by the Republican-held House of Representatives on Thursday morning will, as currently, demolish key components of climate legislation signed by Joe Biden that has spurred a record torrent of renewable energy and electric vehicle investment in the US. Under the reconciliation bill, tax credits for cleaner cars will end this year, with incentives for wind, solar and even nuclear energy projects scaled down and then eliminated by 2032. Clean energy manufacturing tax credits will be axed by 2031, while Americans seeking to upgrade their homes to cleaner or more energy efficient appliances will get no further subsidy after the end of this year. ‘This bill is worse than what people envisioned – it pulls the rug out from facilities banking on these incentives, it raises everyday household costs by hundreds of dollars and undercuts any sort of action on climate change,’ said Robbie Orvis, senior director at Energy Innovation, a non-partisan climate policy thinktank.” [The Guardian, [5/22/25](#)]

---

## **The Atlantic: Future Clean Energy Projects Would Cost About 50% More Than Those That Received Tax Credits**

---

**The Atlantic: Future Clean Energy Projects Would Cost About 50% More Than Those That Received Tax Credits.** “The Senate version of Donald Trump’s One Big Beautiful Bill repeals the clean-energy tax credits in the IRA for all wind and solar projects that don’t begin construction within a year of the bill’s passage or become fully operational by 2028. (And even if a project begins construction in the first half of 2026, it will need to meet

extremely onerous domestic-sourcing requirements that many experts believe will be nearly impossible to satisfy.) As a result, future clean-energy projects, including many that have been announced but not yet built, will cost about 50 percent more than those that received the credits, according to an analysis by Jesse Jenkins, who leads the Princeton ZERO Lab. The inevitable result is that far fewer will come into existence. ‘It’s hard to think of a bigger self-own,’ Jenkins told me. ‘We’re effectively raising taxes on the country’s main sources of new power at a time when electricity prices are already rising.’” [Atlantic, [7/3/25](#)]


---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Threatened \$2 Billion In Clean Energy Investments In AZ-06**

---

**May 2025: There Was \$522 Billion In Outstanding Clean Energy Investment From The Inflation Reduction Act That Remained To Be Spent.** “Since the enactment of the IRA in Q3 2022, \$321 billion has been invested in manufacturing, clean electricity, and industrial facilities, and 2,369 new facilities have opened across the US. Looking ahead, \$522 billion of outstanding investment remains to be spent on construction and installation for announced or under-construction facilities. This pipeline includes 2,217 facilities that have yet to come online.” [Clean Investment Monitor, accessed [4/24/26](#)]

**May 2025: \$3.6 Billion In Clean Energy Investments Had Been Announced In AZ-06 By Companies, With \$2 Billion Still Pending.** According to the New York Times, in AZ-06, \$3.6 billion in clean energy investments had been announced by companies. As of May 2025, \$1.6 million was already spent, and \$2 billion was still pending. [New York Times, [5/21/25](#)]



[New York Times, [5/21/25](#)]

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Estimated To Raise Energy Costs For Arizona Residents By \$220 Per Year On Average**

---

**CNBC: Annual Energy Costs For Households In Arizona Were Projected To Rise By An Average Of \$220 Due To The One Big Beautiful Bill.** “Electricity prices are expected to surge higher throughout the next decade due to energy policy changes in President Donald Trump’s ‘big beautiful’ bill, according to a new analysis from Energy Innovation, a non-partisan energy and climate think tank. Signed July 4, the bill mandates expanded oil and gas leasing, caps clean energy subsidies and rolls back tax credits that helped to make wind, solar and other clean power cheaper for manufacturers and more affordable for consumers, says Robbie Orvis, senior director for modeling and analysis at Energy Innovation. When the clean energy tax credits phase out at the end of 2025, that will bump up electricity costs for ordinary Americans, Orvis says. That’s largely because higher costs for clean energy development will slow the pace of new supply, just as U.S. energy demand hits record highs, he says, resulting in higher electricity bills and increased reliance on natural gas, which will also become more expensive with rising demand. [...] Overall, household energy costs will rise by \$170, on average, by 2035, researchers found. And that estimate may be conservative. A report from Princeton University’s Rapid Energy Policy Evaluation and Analysis Toolkit pegs the average increase at \$280 a year by 2035.” [CNBC, [7/14/25](#)]

Electricity Price Hike Per State	
State	Price
Arizona	\$220

[CNBC, [7/14/25](#)]

---

**The Arizona Commerce Authority: 69,000 Jobs In Arizona Could Be Lost Due To Cuts To Clean Energy Tax Credits**

---

**The Arizona Commerce Authority: 69,000 Jobs In Arizona Could Be Lost Due To Cuts To Clean Energy Tax Credits.** “The ‘one big, beautiful bill’ that Republicans crafted and President Donald Trump signed last week eliminates a number of incentives for clean energy that will directly lead to billions in lost investments for the state of Arizona and thousands of lost jobs. Trump’s \$3.4 trillion deficit-boosting tax cut and spending package fulfills a number of his administration’s domestic policy priorities, including a major reversal on clean energy initiatives. In a letter to lawmakers on Capitol Hill while debate on the bill was still ongoing, Democratic Gov. Katie Hobbs urged them to vote no on it, citing in part the impact the rollbacks on clean energy initiatives would have on the state. In the letter, Hobbs cited the Arizona Commerce Authority as identifying \$58 billion in possible investments and 69,000 jobs that could be lost.” [Arizona Mirror, [7/2/25](#)]

---

**Americans Would Pay Higher Energy Costs From The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Elimination Of Clean Energy Tax Credits**

---

*The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Raise Electricity Prices For Consumers*

**Rhodium: The House-Passed Republican Reconciliation Bill Would Raise Households’ Energy Costs.** “Work is underway in Congress to construct and pass a budget reconciliation bill or bills to meet an array of priorities and policy objectives set by President Trump. Most of these priorities focus on increasing spending on defense and border security as well as extending and possibly expanding the tax cuts enacted in Trump’s first term. In this note, we estimate how much energy costs could rise for households and industry if Congress chooses to partially pay for these priorities through the rollback and repeal of key pollution regulations and energy tax credits. We find that on a national level, households see an increase in annual energy costs of \$184 in 2030 and \$371 in 2035. However, households in many states see much higher cost increases than the national average. This includes Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, and Texas, which, at the upper bound of our estimates, see annual cost increases of \$250-\$326 per household in 2030. In 2035, California, Oregon, and Washington all see estimated annual cost increases of over \$800 per household. The rollback and repeal of regulations and tax credits also increase costs for industrial manufacturers. We estimate an increase of \$8-14 billion in total energy spending across the industrial sector in 2030 and 2035. We provide impacts for each of the 50 states on the ClimateDeck as part of this analysis.” [Rhodium Group, [3/20/25](#)]

**CEBA: Repealing The Federal Clean Energy Technology -Neutral Investment And Production Tax Credits Would Raise American Consumers Costs By More Than \$110 Per Year.** “The Clean Energy Buyers Association (CEBA) today released a study conducted by NERA Economic Consulting showing that repealing the federal clean energy technology-neutral investment (§48E) and production tax credits (§45Y) would raise average U.S. residential electricity prices by nearly 7% by 2026 – equating to an average yearly increase of more than \$110 for the average American residential customer. For American businesses, electricity bills would increase by about 10% by 2026 if the tax credits are repealed, with costs likely passed on to consumers in the form of higher prices for goods and services. Additionally, repealing the credits would decrease available capacity on the grid by 167 gigawatts (GW) at a time when generation is critical to supporting the 21st century industries of America such as artificial Intelligence and advanced manufacturing, to win the economic race against China.” [Clean Energy Buyers Association, [2/25/25](#)]

**The Republican Reconciliation Bill Would Raise The National Average Retail Electricity Rates By 9% In 2030.** “A tax package on track to pass both chambers of Congress would raise electricity prices, accelerate the release of heat-trapping greenhouse gases and undercut low-emission energy investments, according to researchers who have analyzed the legislation. [...] Overall, the bill would raise ‘national average retail electricity rates and monthly household electricity bills by about 9% in 2030,’ researchers at Princeton University concluded.” [NJ Spotlight News, [6/5/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: “Republican Tax Bill Will Raise Electricity Prices, Analysts Say”** [NJ Spotlight News, [6/5/25](#)]

***June 2025: Republican Representatives Warned That Gutting The Clean Energy Tax Credits In The Reconciliation Bill Would Lead To Higher Energy Bills For Consumers***

**June 2025: Thirteen House Republicans Signed A Letter Urging Senate Leaders To Protect Clean Energy Tax Credits In The Reconciliation Bill.** “Thirteen House Republicans are urging Senate leaders to ‘substantially and strategically’ improve clean energy tax credit provisions in the House-passed megabill. Led by Rep. Jen Kiggans (R-Va.), the lawmakers said they remain ‘deeply concerned by several provisions’ that would aggressively phase down incentives from the Democrats’ 2022 climate law and add strict new supply chain requirements. [Politico, [6/6/25](#)]

**June 2025: Thirteen House Republicans Said They Were “Deeply Concerned By Several Provisions” Of The House-Passed Megabill Regarding Its Impact On Clean Energy Tax Credits.** “Thirteen House Republicans are urging Senate leaders to ‘substantially and strategically’ improve clean energy tax credit provisions in the House-passed megabill. Led by Rep. Jen Kiggans (R-Va.), the lawmakers said they remain ‘deeply concerned by several provisions’ that would aggressively phase down incentives from the Democrats’ 2022 climate law and add strict new supply chain requirements.” [Politico, [6/6/25](#)]

**Republican Representatives Warned The Reconciliation Bill Could Jeopardize Energy Development, Increase Costs, And Weaken U.S. Competitiveness.** “Today, Congresswoman Jen Kiggans (VA-02) and Congressman Brian Fitzpatrick (PA-01) led a bipartisan letter to Senate leadership encouraging commonsense reforms to key provisions in the energy title of H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In the letter, Kiggans, Fitzpatrick, and 11 of their colleagues, raised concerns about several provisions in the bill. These include the abrupt expiration of clean energy tax credits, overly burdensome Foreign Entity of Concern (FEOC) restrictions, and restrictions on credit transferability. The lawmakers warned that these measures could jeopardize energy development, increase costs, and weaken U.S. competitiveness, and urged the Senate to adopt practical refinements that promote fiscal responsibility while supporting business stability and continued investment in American energy.” [Representative Kiggans, Press Release, [6/6/25](#)]

## Ciscomani Voted To Continue The Iran War, Which Led To Skyrocketing Gas Prices Across Arizona

### Ciscomani Repeatedly Voted Against A Resolution To End Trump's Military Action In Iran

#### May 2026: Ciscomani Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution

**May 2026: Ciscomani Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution.** On May 14, 2026, Ciscomani voted against “Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove the United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran” The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-212.” [H. Con. Res. 75, Vote #170, [5/14/26](#)]

- **Reuters Headline: “US House Narrowly Rejects Bid To Rein In Trump Iran War Powers”** [Reuters, [5/14/26](#)]

#### April 16, 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution

**April 16, 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution.** In April 2026, Ciscomani voted against: “Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, [Vote #114](#), 4/16/26; CQ, [4/16/26](#)]

- **NBC News Headline: “House Effort To End Trump's War With Iran Fails By One Vote”** [NBC News, [4/16/26](#)]

#### March 5, 2026: Ciscomani Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran

**March 5, 2026: Ciscomani Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran.** On 3/5/26, Ciscomani voted NO on “H.Con.Res.38 - Directing the President pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution to remove United States Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in the Islamic Republic of Iran.” The bill failed in the House 212-219. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 38, House Roll Call Vote 85, [3/5/26](#)]

#### The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran

**The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran.** “The U.S. House of Representatives rejected an effort on Thursday to stop President Donald Trump's air war on Iran and require that any hostilities against Iran be authorized by Congress, backing the Republican president's military campaign on the sixth day of the expanding conflict. The vote was 219 to 212, largely along party lines, in the House, where Trump's fellow Republicans control a narrow majority of seats. Two Republicans voted in favor of the resolution and four Democrats voted against it. [...] Supporters said the resolution, by requiring Trump to come to Congress for a war authorization, would force him to explain to Americans why the U.S. is fighting and how it might end.” [Reuters, [3/6/26](#)]

#### Ciscomani Said The Iran War Was A “Necessary Step” And That He Was “Supportive Of The Strategy”

**Ciscomani On Iran: “This Was A, A Necessary Step, According To What The White House Has Reported And, And We've Been Supportive Of This Strategy.”** “From Capitol Hill, Arizona's 6th District Representative is weighing in on some of the biggest issues in Washington, D.C. KGUN 9's Executive Reporter traveled to Washington, D.C., to speak with Rep. Juan Ciscomani about his second term representing Arizona's 6th Congressional District...Denelle asked him about the war in Iran and Congress's role. ‘This was a, a necessary step, according to what the White House has reported and, and we've been supportive of this strategy,’ Ciscomani said.” [9 KGUN, [3/24/26](#)]

---

**The Iran War Led To Skyrocketing Gas Prices Across Arizona, With Warnings Of \$6 A Gallon Prices As Strategic Reserves Ran Low**

---

**The Iran War “Destabilized The Global Energy System,” Which Increased Gas Prices**

---

**CNN: The Iran War “Destabilized The Global Energy System, Pushing Up Pump Prices Across The Country Despite Emergency Steps From The Trump Administration Designed To Limit The Damage.”** “Tens of millions of Americans hitting the road this Memorial Day weekend will face historically high gas prices. The war with Iran has destabilized the global energy system, pushing up pump prices across the country despite emergency steps from the Trump administration designed to limit the damage.” [CNN, [5/20/26](#)]

---

**Arizona’s Average Regular Gas Price Was \$4.80 A Gallon On May 12, 2026, Compared With \$3.29 A Year Earlier**

---

**Arizona’s Average Regular Gas Price Was \$4.80 A Gallon On May 12, 2026, Compared With \$3.29 A Year Earlier.** “National wage growth did not keep pace with inflation in April. Real average hourly earnings for all private nonfarm employees fell 0.5% from March to April and 0.3% over the year, according to BLS. AAA reported Arizona’s average regular gas price at \$4.80 a gallon on May 12, compared with \$3.29 a year earlier. Higher fuel costs can squeeze household budgets because gasoline is a ‘fixed expense for many people,’ Paredes said. ‘The more people spend on fuel, the less they're spending on everything else — including necessities like food,’ he said.” [Arizona Republic, [5/13/26](#)]

---

**Consumers In Arizona Could See Gas Increase To \$6 A Gallon As Strategic Reserves Run Out**

---

**Fox 10: Consumers In Arizona Could See Gas Increase To \$6 A Gallon As Strategic Reserves Run Out.** “What we know: According to AAA, the average cost for a gallon of regular fuel in Arizona sits at \$4.82, which tracking figures show is higher than the national average of \$4.51. With conflicts in the Middle East showing no signs of stopping, an energy expert warns that gasoline prices in Arizona could reach \$6 a gallon in the near future, an escalation that would heavily impact local rideshare operators who spend all day on the road... Ed Hirs, an energy economist, said consumers should prepare for additional price hikes in the coming months. ‘We're going to see the gasoline prices continue to rise through the summer, even if peace were to break out today,’ Hirs said. Hirs says that is because it would take time for countries like Saudi Arabia and Iraq to bring their other oil production back online... When the oil from the strategic reserves runs out, that is when consumers could be seeing prices go up to possibly \$6 a gallon. Using public transportation or carpooling is something that Hirs says could save people some money.” [Fox 10, [5/16/26](#)]

- **Due To The War In The Middle East, The International Energy Agency Enacted A Historic Release Of 400 Million Barrels Of Oil From Global Emergency Reserves.** “During the past month, the U.S. exported more than 14 million barrels of crude oil and refined products every day. ‘That's more than we produce domestically,’ Hirs said. ‘We're just running down our inventories and that even includes taking oil from the strategic petroleum reserve.’ Due to the war in the Middle East, the International Energy Agency enacted a historic release of 400 million barrels of oil from global emergency reserves. Out of that total, the U.S. released 172 million barrels from its petroleum reserve, intended to stop gas prices from going up. ‘As a result, the gasoline buyer in Phoenix or in Tucson or Scottsdale is having to bid against the gasoline buyer in Paris or Tokyo,’ Hirs said.” [Fox 10, [5/16/26](#)]

- **The U.S. Released 172 Million Barrels From Its Petroleum Reserve, Intended To Stop Gas Prices From Going Up.** “During the past month, the U.S. exported more than 14 million barrels of crude oil and refined products every day. ‘That’s more than we produce domestically,’ Hirs said. ‘We’re just running down our inventories and that even includes taking oil from the strategic petroleum reserve.’ Due to the war in the Middle East, the International Energy Agency enacted a historic release of 400 million barrels of oil from global emergency reserves. Out of that total, the U.S. released 172 million barrels from its petroleum reserve, intended to stop gas prices from going up. ‘As a result, the gasoline buyer in Phoenix or in Tucson or Scottsdale is having to bid against the gasoline buyer in Paris or Tokyo,’ Hirs said.” [Fox 10, [5/16/26](#)]

## **Ciscomani Voted Nine Times For Reckless Tariffs That Jeopardized Arizona’s Economy And Raised Costs For Families**

### **Ciscomani Voted Nine Times To Protect Tariffs**

---

**May 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against An Appropriations Committee Amendment To Prohibit Funds Made Available By The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration Bill From Being Used To Implement A Tariff That Would Increase The Cost Of Food.**

---

**Ciscomani Voted Against An Amendment To Prohibit Funds Made Available By The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration Bill From Being Used To Implement A Tariff That Would Increase The Cost Of Food.** In April 2026, Ciscomani voted against an amendment to “At the end, before the spending reduction account, insert the following: SEC. \_\_\_\_ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to implement a tariff that would increase the cost of food.” The amendment failed by a vote of 26 to 33. [U.S. House Committee on Appropriations, Markup of FY2027 Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration Bill, [Amendment #2, 4/29/26](#)]

---

**February 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against Terminating Trump’s Tariffs, After Voting Repeatedly To Delay A Vote On The Issue**

---

**February 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada.** In February 2026, Ciscomani voted against: “Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada.” The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, [Vote #65, 2/11/26](#); CQ, [2/11/26](#)]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump’s Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** “The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president’s tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House’s first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump’s trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy.” [New York Times, [2/11/26](#)]

---

**February 2026: Ciscomani Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America’s Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump’s Tariff**

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America’s Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump’s Tariffs.** In February 2026, Ciscomani voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-

Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #60](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#)]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, [2/10/26](#)]

---

### **February 2026: Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act**

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act.** In February 2026, Ciscomani voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." **A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.** The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #59](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#); Congressional Record, [2/10/26](#)]

---

### **September 2025: House Republicans Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariffs Through March 2026**

---

**September 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** On 9/16/25, Ciscomani voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, [9/16/25](#); U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, [9/16/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gavelled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board." [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

**HEADLINE: "House Again Votes To Surrender Tariff Powers To Trump"** [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

---

## April 2025: Ciscomani Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariffs Through September 2025

---

**HEADLINE: "House GOP Leaders Move To Quash Vote On Trump's Global Tariffs"** [Politico, [4/9/25](#)]

**April 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H Res 313, Which Blocked Congress From Challenging Trump's April 2, 2025, Emergency Declaration Through September 2025.** In April 2025, Ciscomani voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, [Vote #94](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress's Power To Override Trump's Tariffs.** "Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump's chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives' rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president's recently imposed taxes on foreign imports." [The Guardian, [4/9/25](#)]

---

## April 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs.** In April 2025, Ciscomani voted for: "Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump's disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, [Vote #93](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/9/25](#)]

---

## April 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs.** In April 2025, Ciscomani voted for: "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, [Vote #91](#), 4/8/25; CQ, [4/8/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/8/25](#)]

---

## March 2025: House Republicans Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariffs For The Rest Of The Year

---

**March 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales.** In March 2025, Ciscomani voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res

25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager's amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, [Vote #67](#), 3/11/25; CQ, [3/11/25](#)]

- **New York Times: "House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump's Tariffs."** "House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump's tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber's ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Politico: "GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump's Declaration Of A National Emergency."** "GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump's declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China." [Politico, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked "The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets."** "The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. [...] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans' six-month stopgap funding bill." [Reuters, [3/11/25](#)]

### **Tariffs Resulted In Seven Figure Losses For Some Arizona Businesses And Crippled Arizona's Cotton Industry**

---

#### **Aluminum Manufacturing Plant FALCO, Based In Chandler, AZ, Faced Seven Figure Losses Because Of Trump's Tariffs**

---

**Aluminum Manufacturing Plant FALCO, Based In Chandler, AZ, Faced Seven Figure Losses Because Of Because Of Trump's Tariffs.** "When President Donald Trump first imposed tariffs on aluminum imports in February, Greg Fraley felt an ache. That ache turned into a sharp pain that has left him dipping into company reserve funds to keep his business running. Fraley runs a small aluminum manufacturing plant in south Chandler, one of only a handful in the state. His company, FALCO, makes highly specialized aluminum products for airplanes and the aerospace industry. He supplies companies like Boeing, Cessna and Lockheed Martin. His two biggest expenses are labor and metal. But tariffs imposed on aluminum imports by Trump have created a seven-figure dent in the company's finances based on the metal alone, according to chief manufacturing officer John Hurlburt." [Arizona Republic, [11/6/25](#)]

- **Trump's Tariffs "Have Made It More Difficult For Manufacturing Businesses In Arizona And Across The Nation To Pay The Bill."** "The financial pain Fraley and Hurlburt described isn't unique. The increased tariffs have made it more difficult for manufacturing businesses in Arizona and across the nation to pay the bills, according to economist George Hammond, a research professor at the University of Arizona's Economic and Business Research Center. Fraley, a lifelong Republican who voted for Trump in 2024, started feeling the ache at the beginning of the year when Trump increased tariffs on aluminum imports from 10% to 25% in February. Four months later, Trump doubled it to 50%." [Arizona Republic, [11/6/25](#)]

- **FALCO Cut Down On Employee Hours To Offset The Cost Incurred By Tariffs.** “In an effort to save on labor costs, Fraley's had to pare down FALCO's hours, from a typical 3-shift, 24-hour operation to only two shifts. Fraley also had to turn down expansion opportunities with one of his biggest buyers, Boeing. For as long as the tariffs are in place, he can't afford to expand his business. He's trying to survive and preserve what he already has.” [Arizona Republic, [11/6/25](#)]
- **Greg Fraley, The Owner Of FALCO, Said “Small Businesses Are Getting Clobbered For Something That's Not Fixable In The Next Decade.”** “When President Donald Trump first imposed tariffs on aluminum imports in February, Greg Fraley felt an ache...Fraley runs a small aluminum manufacturing plant in south Chandler, one of only a handful in the state. His company, FALCO, makes highly specialized aluminum products for airplanes and the aerospace industry. He supplies companies like Boeing, Cessna and Lockheed Martin...The most concerning aspect to Fraley, though, was that there was ‘no end in sight to the constant increase in metal pricing.’ ‘Small businesses are getting clobbered for something that's not fixable in the next decade,’ he said. Fraley's competitors are multi-billion-dollar corporations that can afford the cost of the tariffs for now. But even those companies will be harmed, Hammond said, though maybe not be to the degree or as quickly as small businesses like FALCO.” [Arizona Republic, [11/6/25](#)]

**Headline: “Specialized Chandler Aluminum Company 'Getting Clobbered' By Trump Tariffs.”** [Arizona Republic, [11/6/25](#)]

---

**Cotton Exports In Arizona Dropped 90% Due To Tariffs And Cotton Prices Fell To About 20 Cents Below The Level At Which Growers Considered Profitable**

---

**In 2025, China Purchased A Few Thousand Bales Of Cotton From The United States Compared To 3 To Billion Bales Before Tariffs.** “The association said China’s retaliation against U.S. tariffs means China has gone from purchasing 3 to 5 billion bales of U.S. cotton per year to just a few thousand. One study at the University of Arizona links about 1,500 jobs to the state’s cotton industry.” [12 News, [1/2/26](#)]

**Cotton Exports From Arizona Dropped 90% In 2025 Due To Tariffs.** “Arizona cotton growers say they are paying the price of the ongoing trade war, with exports plunging nearly 90% this year and many family farms facing uncertain futures. Despite being eligible for President Donald Trump’s multi-billion-dollar farm aid package, local growers and the Arizona Cotton Growers Association say one-time payments will not immediately repair widespread losses. ‘We have growers that are considering maybe not growing at all,’ said Arizona Cotton Growers Association Executive Director Jadee Rohner, describing producers as largely small, family-run operations that have been passed down through generations. ‘A lot of them are saying, ‘Go to school and find something else to do.’” The association says nearly all Arizona cotton historically has been exported to major customers such as China. But as tariffs and trade disputes have taken hold, exports dropped this year from several million to a few thousand barrels, officials said. At the same time, cotton prices have fallen to about 20 cents below the level at which growers say is profitable.” [Arizona Family, [12/15/25](#)]

- **Arizona Family: “But As Tariffs And Trade Disputes Have Taken Hold, Exports Dropped This Year From Several Million To A Few Thousand Barrels, Officials Said.”** “Arizona cotton growers say they are paying the price of the ongoing trade war, with exports plunging nearly 90% this year and many family farms facing uncertain futures. Despite being eligible for President Donald Trump’s multi-billion-dollar farm aid package, local growers and the Arizona Cotton Growers Association say one-time payments will not immediately repair widespread losses. ‘We have growers that are considering maybe not growing at all,’ said Arizona Cotton Growers Association Executive Director Jadee Rohner, describing producers as largely small, family-run operations that have been passed down through generations. ‘A lot of them are saying, ‘Go to school and find something else to do.’” The association says nearly all Arizona cotton historically has been exported to major customers such as China. But as tariffs and trade disputes have taken hold, exports dropped this year from several million to a few thousand barrels, officials said. At the same time, cotton prices have fallen to about 20 cents below the level at which growers say is profitable.” [Arizona Family, [12/15/25](#)]

- **In 2025, China Purchased A Few Thousand Bales Of Cotton From The United States Compared To 3 To Billion Bales Before Tariffs.** “The association said China’s retaliation against U.S. tariffs means China has gone from purchasing 3 to 5 billion bales of U.S. cotton per year to just a few thousand. One study at the University of Arizona links about 1,500 jobs to the state’s cotton industry.” [12 News, [1/2/26](#)]

### *The Cotton Industry Supported 1,500 Jobs In Arizona*

**The Cotton Industry Supported 1,500 Jobs In Arizona.** “The association said China’s retaliation against U.S. tariffs means China has gone from purchasing 3 to 5 billion bales of U.S. cotton per year to just a few thousand. One study at the University of Arizona links about 1,500 jobs to the state’s cotton industry.” [12 News, [1/2/26](#)]

## **The Reckless Tariffs Were One Of The Largest Tax Increases In The Country’s History And Were Estimated To Cost Americans Thousands Of Dollars**

---

### **2025: Tariffs Were One Of The Largest Tax Increases In The Country’s History**

---

**Center For Economic And Policy Research: Trump’s Tariffs Were The Largest Tax Increase In The Country’s History.** “Donald Trump is putting in place the largest tax increase in the country’s history with his big import taxes (tariffs). We won’t know the full size of Trump’s taxes until after Liberation Day III (August 1), and probably not even then, but we already know that they are huge. This is evident from the tariff revenue the government is collecting. In June the government collected \$26.6 billion in tariff revenue. That is up from around \$6 billion a month in 2024 before Trump’s tax hikes. The difference of \$20 billion comes to \$240 billion on an annual basis. Summed over a decade, as we do with other measures, this comes to \$2.4 trillion. This would be a substantial tax hike in itself, roughly \$1,900 per household, but we know that the figure is almost certain to go much higher. Trump is threatening taxes of an additional 10 to 30 percent on imports from our major trading partners, such as Mexico, Canada, the European Union, and Japan. The tax take could easily end up being twice its current level, making Trump’s tariff frenzy by far the largest tax increase in US history.” [Center For Economic and Policy Research, [7/17/25](#)]

---

### **2026: Tariffs Were Estimated To Cost American Families Thousands Per Year**

---

#### *Tariffs Increased The Cost Of Living By More Than \$1,700 Per Family*

**Trump’s Tariffs Increased The Cost Of Living By More Than \$1,700 Per Family.** “Following the Supreme Court’s decision today to overturn Trump’s tariffs, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority released an updated report estimating that American families have already paid more than \$1,700 each in tariff costs since President Trump took office. Combining Treasury Department data on the amount of tariff revenue collected across the first year of Trump’s term with independent estimates from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office of the percent of each tariff dollar that is paid by American consumers, the Committee found that they paid more than \$231 billion in total tariff costs between February 2025 and January 2026, an average of more than \$1,700 per family. ‘President Trump’s tariffs have been a disaster for American families, driving costs up at the worst possible time,’ said U.S. Senator Maggie Hassan, Ranking Member of the Joint Economic Committee. ‘While the Supreme Court has thankfully and correctly ruled that much of Trump’s tariff agenda was an illegal exercise of presidential power, today’s ruling cannot undo the damage that tariffs have already caused.’” [Joint Economic Committee, Press Release, [2/20/26](#)]

- **Joint Economic Committee Headline: “UPDATED DATA: American Families Have Already Paid More Than \$1,700 Each In Tariff Costs Since Trump Entered Office.”** [Joint Economic Committee, Press Release, [2/20/26](#)]

**2026: The Joint Economic Committee Estimated That Tariffs Would Cost American Families More Than \$2,500 On Average**

**Joint Economic Committee Minority: “American Families Will Pay More Than \$2,500 Each In Tariff Costs In 2026.”** “Even though the Supreme Court ruled that the bulk of President Trump’s tariff agenda was illegal, the administration moved immediately to enact new tariffs that Treasury Secretary Bessent claims will result in ‘virtually unchanged tariff revenue in 2026’. If Bessent’s estimates hold true, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority estimates that American families will pay more than \$2,500 each in tariff costs in 2026. Building on its previous tariff cost estimates, the Committee combined official data from the U.S. Treasury Department on the amount of tariff revenue collected since the start of 2025 with independent estimates from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office of the percent of each tariff dollar that is ultimately paid by American consumers. The Committee found that if tariff revenue stays at the level recorded in January 2026 for the rest of the year, American families would in total pay more than \$330 billion in tariff costs in 2026, an average of more than \$2,500 per household in tariff costs this year. This is substantially higher than the more than \$1,700 in average tariff costs that the JEC – Minority previously estimated that families paid during the first year of Trump’s second term.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Fact Sheet, [March 2026](#)]

**Joint Economic Committee Minority: With The Administration’s Projected Tariff Policy, “American Families Would In Total Pay More Than \$300 Billion In Tariff Costs In 2026.”** “Even though the Supreme Court ruled that the bulk of President Trump’s tariff agenda was illegal, the administration moved immediately to enact new tariffs that Treasury Secretary Bessent claims will result in ‘virtually unchanged tariff revenue in 2026’. If Bessent’s estimates hold true, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority estimates that American families will pay more than \$2,500 each in tariff costs in 2026. Building on its previous tariff cost estimates, the Committee combined official data from the U.S. Treasury Department on the amount of tariff revenue collected since the start of 2025 with independent estimates from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office of the percent of each tariff dollar that is ultimately paid by American consumers. The Committee found that if tariff revenue stays at the level recorded in January 2026 for the rest of the year, American families would in total pay more than \$330 billion in tariff costs in 2026, an average of more than \$2,500 per household in tariff costs this year. This is substantially higher than the more than \$1,700 in average tariff costs that the JEC – Minority previously estimated that families paid during the first year of Trump’s second term.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Fact Sheet, [March 2026](#)]

---

## **Consumers Shouldered The Burden Of Tariffs As They Raised The Price Of Basic Goods Like Food And Clothing**

---

### *Consumers Shouldered 55% Of The Tariff Burden As Compared To Businesses*

**The Hill Headline: “US Consumers Shouldering 55 Percent Of Trump Tariff Costs: Goldman Sachs.”** [The Hill, [10/13/25](#)]

**Fox News Headline: “US Businesses And Consumers Shoulder The Bulk Of Tariff Cost Burden, Goldman Sachs Finds.”** [Fox News, [10/21/25](#)]

**Goldman Sachs Found U.S. Consumers Would Be Absorbing 55% Of Tariff Costs By The End Of 2025.** “Goldman’s economists assessed that by the end of 2025, U.S. consumers will be absorbing 55% of tariff costs, while 22% will fall on U.S. businesses, 18% on foreign exporters and 5% on potential tariff evasion. ‘Our 22% estimate for U.S. businesses is modest because it is a net impact – companies that use or sell imported goods will bear a larger share of tariff costs, while domestic producers that are shielded from foreign competition by tariffs will be able to raise their own prices and increase their margins,’ the economists wrote.” [Fox Business, [10/21/25](#)]

**Goldman Sachs Found 22% Of Tariff Costs Would Fall On U.S. Businesses By The End Of 2025.** “Goldman’s economists assessed that by the end of 2025, U.S. consumers will be absorbing 55% of tariff costs, while 22% will fall on U.S. businesses, 18% on foreign exporters and 5% on potential tariff evasion. ‘Our 22% estimate for U.S. businesses is modest because it is a net impact – companies that use or sell imported goods will bear a larger share of tariff costs, while domestic producers that are shielded from foreign competition by tariffs will be able to raise their own prices and increase their margins,’ the economists wrote.” [Fox Business, [10/21/25](#)]

## *Tariffs Raised Prices On Cars*

**Kelley Blue Book: “President Trump Has Announced A 25% Tariff On All Cars Built Outside The United States And On Many Parts Used In Cars Built Here. [...] Shoppers Can Expect The Tariffs To Increase Car Prices By As Much As \$6,000 On Vehicles Priced Under \$40,000.”** “President Trump has announced a 25% tariff on all cars built outside the United States and on many parts used in cars built here. See our latest news updates below on where tariffs stand. Effective Dates: Imported Cars: Tariffs began on April 3. Car Parts: Tariffs began on May 3. These tariffs have started to increase car prices. To save money, consider locking in a new car deal before any potential price increases take effect. Can You Avoid a Car Tariff? No vehicle made at an assembly plant in the United States is 100% made here. Even Tesla sources its parts from other countries. This means that with tariffs, car prices will increase for American car buyers, though the timing remains unclear. You can potentially avoid paying a tariff on a new car by purchasing one that was imported to the U.S. before the tariffs took effect, or by buying a used car. How Will Tariffs Affect Car Buying? If new cars become too expensive, used cars will become more attractive. However, if demand spikes, used car prices may also increase. Here’s more information: New cars: Shoppers can expect the tariffs to increase car prices by as much as \$6,000 on vehicles priced under \$40,000. Higher car prices mean higher car taxes, financing, car insurance, and other ownership costs. This price pressure will make cars less affordable for consumers.” [Kelley Blue Book, [9/15/25](#)]

## *Tariffs Raised Prices On Groceries*

**Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries.** “President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration’s policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump’s tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons.” [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

- **Axios Headline: “Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up.”** [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]
- **News ABC 12 Headline: “Trump’s Tariffs Could Lead To Higher Grocery Bills As NC Farmers Face Export Challenges.”** [News ABC 12, [4/2/25](#)]

## *Tariffs Raised Prices On Apparel Goods*

**Tariffs Raised Prices On Apparel Goods.** “The impact of President Donald Trump’s tariffs on prices throughout the economy is expected to increase over the summer. At U.S. department store chains including Macy’s, Nordstrom, and Dillard’s, the evidence of sticker price inflation is starting to show up across more items. Recent price increases in apparel, footwear, and bags across major U.S. department-store websites tracked by DataWeave indicate a turning point in May, when prices started their ascent. DataWeave analyzes nearly 15,000 SKUs (stock keeping units), a scannable code retailers use to identify and track a product, and has been collecting that data from January to June for signs of price hikes in footwear, apparel, and bags. ‘Footwear is now as much as 4% above January levels at some banners, while apparel is roughly half that pace,’ said Karthik Bettadapura, co-founder & CEO of DataWeave.” [CNBC, [7/2/25](#)]

- **CNBC Headline: “Inside America’s Department Stores, Tariff-Triggered Price Hikes Are Picking Up.”** [CNBC, [7/2/25](#)]
- **The Guardian Headline: “Clothing Prices Rising In US As Trump Tariffs Kick In, H&M Boss Says.”** [The Guardian, [6/26/25](#)]

## Ciscomani Voted Against Extending ACA Tax Credits, Which Led To Higher Insurance Premiums

### Ciscomani Warned Of “Massive” Consequences And “Real Harm” If Congress Failed To Extend ACA Tax Credits

#### Ciscomani Warned Of “Massive” Consequences And “Real Harm” If Congress Failed To Extend ACA Tax Credits

**Arizona Daily Star: Ciscomani Voted Against Extending ACA Credits For Three Years Despite Warning Of “Massive” Consequences For Arizonans If The Health Subsidies Expired.** “Republican U.S. Rep. Juan Ciscomani of Tucson voted Thursday against extending a set of Affordable Care Act tax credits for three years. He did so after previously issuing warnings about expiration of the subsidies, The Arizona Republic notes, and did not join 17 Republicans who joined with all Democrats to pass the bill in the House. All Republicans in the Arizona delegation voted ‘no.’ Ciscomani, who represents a southeast Arizona swing district, warned last year of ‘massive’ consequences for Arizonans if the health subsidies were allowed to expire, as they did on Dec. 31. “Doing nothing is not an option,” he wrote in a Dec. 4 news release.” [Arizona Daily Star, [1/8/26](#)]

**In The Letter To House Republican Leadership, Ciscomani And Other House Republicans Said “Allowing [Aca] Tax Credits To Lapse Without A Clear Path Forward Would Risk Real Harm To Those We Represent.”** “Once the government is reopened, however, we should immediately turn our focus to the growing crisis of healthcare affordability and the looming expiration of the enhanced Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium tax credits. Millions of Americans are facing drastic premium increases due to short-sighted Democratic policymaking. While we did not create this crisis, we now have both the responsibility and the opportunity to address it. Allowing these tax credits to lapse without a clear path forward would risk real harm to those we represent. Nevertheless, we must chart a conservative path that protects working families in our districts across the country who rely on these credits.” [Congress of the United States, [10/21/25](#)]

### Ciscomani Voted Multiple Times Against Extending ACA Tax Credits

#### July 2025: Ciscomani Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Did Not Extend ACA Tax Credits

**July 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In July 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans.** “The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

- **The Expiration Of Enhanced Tax Credits Would Lead To Out-Of-Pocket Premiums For ACA Marketplace Enrollees Increasing By An Average Of Over 75%.** “In addition to rising healthcare costs, the majority of insurers are also taking into account the potential expiration of enhanced premium tax credits in their premium rate increases for the next year. The expiration of enhanced tax credits will lead to out-of-pocket

premiums for ACA marketplace enrollees increasing by an average of more than 75%, with insurers expecting healthier enrollees to drop coverage. That, in turn, increases underlying premiums. Other federal policy changes, like the implementation of tariffs and the ACA Marketplace Integrity and Affordability rule were also discussed, though to a lesser extent.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

---

## January 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against A Three-Year ACA Tax Credit Extension

---

**Ciscomani Voted Against A Three-Year Aca Tax Credit Extension.** “Ciscomani said he voted no because the subsidies for health insurance purchased through the Affordable Care Act, which started during the COVID-19 pandemic, boosted insurance company profits at the expense of the American people. ‘The tax credits were a temporary Band-Aid, not a sustainable solution,’ he said Friday in a statement to KTAR News 92.3 FM. Ciscomani said he’d support an extension that includes reforms to mitigate fraud and abuse of the program. ‘In December, I and a majority of my colleagues in the House voted for legislation that represented a step in the right direction. We need to work on a solution that prevents rates from climbing, institutes reforms and protects the services for those in need. The bill we considered today (Thursday) didn’t accomplish any of that, and that is why I voted no.’” [KTAR News, [1/9/26](#)]

- **Ciscomani Said The Three Year ACA Tax Credit Extension He Voted Against Was “A Temporary Band-Aid, Not A Sustainable Solution.”** “Ciscomani said he voted no because the subsidies for health insurance purchased through the Affordable Care Act, which started during the COVID-19 pandemic, boosted insurance company profits at the expense of the American people. ‘The tax credits were a temporary Band-Aid, not a sustainable solution,’ he said Friday in a statement to KTAR News 92.3 FM. Ciscomani said he’d support an extension that includes reforms to mitigate fraud and abuse of the program. ‘In December, I and a majority of my colleagues in the House voted for legislation that represented a step in the right direction. We need to work on a solution that prevents rates from climbing, institutes reforms and protects the services for those in need. The bill we considered today (Thursday) didn’t accomplish any of that, and that is why I voted no.’” [KTAR News, [1/9/26](#)]

## Insurance Premiums On The ACA Marketplace For Arizona Jumped Nearly 30% On Average, And 70,000 Fewer Arizonans Signed Up For Insurance Through The Affordable Care Act In 2026 Compared To 2025

---

### ACA Marketplace Insurance Premiums For Arizona Jumped Nearly 30% On Average After The Tax Credits Expired

---

**Arizona Mirror: “One Analysis Found That Insurance Premiums On The ACA Marketplace For Arizona Jumped Nearly 30% On Average.”** “About 70,000 fewer Arizonans have signed up for health insurance through the Affordable Care Act after Republicans in Congress refused to extend tax credits and premiums soared. One analysis found that insurance premiums on the ACA marketplace for Arizona jumped nearly 30% on average. Data released this week by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services show that 353,000 Arizonans have signed up for 2026 ACA insurance plans, nearly 17% fewer than purchased plans for 2025. The open enrollment period for the ACA ends on Thursday.” [Arizona Mirror, [1/14/26](#)]

---

### About 70,000 Fewer Arizonans Signed Up For Insurance Through The Affordable Care Act In 2026 Compared To 2025 According To Data From The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

---

**About 70,000 Fewer Arizonans Signed Up For Insurance Through The Affordable Care Act In 2026 Compared To 2025 According To Data From The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.** “About 70,000 fewer Arizonans have signed up for health insurance through the Affordable Care Act after Republicans in Congress refused to extend tax credits and premiums soared. One analysis found that insurance premiums on the ACA marketplace for Arizona jumped nearly 30% on average. Data released this week by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services show that 353,000 Arizonans have signed up for 2026 ACA insurance plans, nearly 17%

fewer than purchased plans for 2025. The open enrollment period for the ACA ends on Thursday.” [Arizona Mirror, [1/14/26](#)]

**Headline: “Arizona ACA Health Insurance Enrollment Plummets As Premiums Soar Without Enhanced Subsidies.”** [Arizona Mirror, [1/14/26](#)]

---

**Nearly 400,000 Arizonans Were Eligible For Affordable Care Act Tax Credits That Allowed Them To “Pay Less Each Month For Health Insurance”**

---

**AZ Mirror: Nearly 400,000 Arizonans Were Eligible For Affordable Care Act Tax Credits That Allowed Them To “Pay Less Each Month For Health Insurance.”** “Nearly 400,000 Arizonans pay less each month for health insurance because of premium tax credits from the federal government as part of the Affordable Care Act, but the funding for those credits is set to expire at the end of this year. President Donald Trump and congressional Republicans are so far refusing to extend them. The expansion of the tax credits, along with a repeal of the cuts to Medicaid included in the first federal budget, are at the heart of the disagreement between Republicans and Democrats that has left Congress and Trump careening toward a government shutdown. Unless a funding plan is in place by Oct. 1, multiple federal agencies and programs will be forced to stop work.” [AZ Mirror, [9/23/25](#)]

**Ciscomani Supported The Trump Administration’s Federal Workforce Reductions, Which Gutted The Department Of Veterans Affairs And Led To Dire Staffing Shortages**

**Ciscomani Said He Was “Thrilled” To Join Delivering Outstanding Government Efficiency (DOGE) Caucus And Work Closely With Trump On Reducing “Waste” And “Streamlin[Ing] Bloated Government Bureaucracies”**

**Ciscomani Said He Was “Thrilled” To Join Delivering Outstanding Government Efficiency (DOGE) Caucus And Work Closely With Trump On Reducing “Waste” And “Streamlin[Ing] Bloated Government Bureaucracies.”** “U.S. Congressman Juan Ciscomani (AZ-06) joined the Delivering Outstanding Government Efficiency (DOGE) Caucus. The Caucus, chaired by Reps. Aaron Bean (FL-04) and Pete Sessions (TX-17), will work closely with the Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE), led by Elon Musk and Vivek Ramaswamy, in its mission to rein in wasteful spending and make the federal government more efficient. ‘The United States’ national debt recently surpassed \$36 trillion and is becoming increasingly unsustainable every day, risking our nation’s fiscal stability,’ said Ciscomani. ‘This is why I am thrilled to join the DOGE Caucus to work closely with President-elect Trump’s newly formed Department of Government Efficiency to reduce waste, cut unnecessary red-tape, streamline bloated government bureaucracies, safeguard taxpayer dollars, and put America back on the path of fiscal responsibility.’” [Rep. Juan Ciscomani, Press Release, [12/6/24](#)]

**The Trump Administration Initiated A 15% Cut In Staffing Of The Department Of Veterans Affairs Department In 2025, Which Resulted In 40,000 Fewer VA Employees**

**2025: The Department Of Veterans Affairs Lost 40,000 Employees.** “Actions taken by President Donald Trump, VA Secretary Doug Collins and the Department of Government Efficiency, or DOGE, in the past year have affected services and research at the VA, harmed workers and delayed care and benefits to veterans, said Connecticut Sen. Richard Blumenthal, ranking Democrat on the Senate Veterans Affairs Committee... The VA has also lost 40,000 employees in the past year, 88% of whom worked in the Veterans Health Administration, according to the report. Beginning last year, the VA originally targeted 80,000 jobs for elimination through voluntary retirements, resignations and layoffs but later said it would trim 30,000 through attrition.” [Military Times, [1/22/26](#)]

**April 2025: The Veterans Affairs Secretary Doug Collins Released A Directive To Reduce VA Staff Nationwide By 15%.** “And even as veterans lose their jobs at higher rates, President Donald Trump’s cost-cutting push is squeezing the Department of Veterans Affairs, which is likely to impact access to medical care, disability

payments, higher education and housing. The Carl T. Hayden VA Medical Center in central Phoenix has already lost workers under a directive from Veterans Affairs Secretary Doug Collins to reduce staff nationwide by 15%.” [Cronkite News, [4/7/25](#)]

**2026: The Department Of Veterans Affairs Declined To Hire Replacements For 14,400 Unfilled Medical Vacancies In Its Health Care Division Despite 90% Of Its Facilities Reporting A “Severe Shortage” Of Doctors In 2025**

**2026: The Department Of Veterans Affairs Declined To Hire Replacements For 14,400 Unfilled Medical Vacancies In Its Health Care Division.** “The head of the Veterans Affairs Department has repeatedly said that the agency needs to hire more doctors, nurses and other providers “taking care of people on the front line,” even as President Trump seeks to shrink the federal government. But the V.A. has eliminated thousands of medical positions that were left vacant after a wave of resignations and retirements last year, according to a New York Times analysis of internal agency records that have not previously been reported. The cuts include empty slots for more than 1,500 physicians and 4,900 nurses. In total, the V.A. chose not to hire replacements for roughly 14,400 unfilled medical vacancies at its health care division. Those jobs were the equivalent of about 5 percent of the V.A.’s medical staff as of December.” [New York Times, [3/3/26](#)]

**90% Of The Department Of Veterans Affairs’ Facilities Reported A “Severe Shortage” Of Doctors In 2025.** “While the reductions are smaller than those Mr. Trump has made at other agencies, the V.A. has long struggled to hire medical providers. More than 90 percent of the department’s facilities reported ‘severe shortage’ of doctors last year, according to a 2025 report by the department’s inspector general. Nearly 80 percent reported severe shortages of nurses. The move to shrink the V.A.’s health care division represents a striking turnaround after years of growth supported by members of both parties. A separate Times analysis of public federal work force data found that in 2025 the total number of doctors and nurses working at the department fell for the first time in at least 20 years.” [New York Times, [3/3/26](#)]

**A Group Of Nearly 100 Doctors Who Practiced At The Department Of Veterans Affairs Warned The Trump Administrations Cuts To The Department Would “Divert Resources” From The VA’s High-Quality Direct Care To The Private Sector**

**The Hill: In A Letter, Nearly 100 Doctors Who Have Practiced At The US Department Of Veterans Affairs Warned “Staffing Cuts And Aggressive Privatization Moves” Could Force VA Facilities To Close.** “Nearly 100 doctors who have practiced at the US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) issued a mass letter on Wednesday raising ‘urgent concerns’ about Trump administration policies that they said will ‘negatively affect the lives of all veterans.’ The letter sent to congressional leaders, Doug Collins, the VA secretary, and the agency’s inspector general marks the first time VA physicians have spoken collectively about staffing cuts and aggressive privatization moves at the nation’s largest integrated healthcare system. ‘We have witnessed these ongoing harms and can provide evidence and testimony of their impacts,’ said the letter, which was signed by roughly 170 physicians, psychologists and other health workers in all. If the trend continues, these current and former staffers said, VA ‘facilities may be forced to close, and veterans may be forced into costlier, often overburdened community health systems ill-equipped to meet their specialized needs.’” [The Guardian, [9/24/25](#)]

**The Hill: The Letter Warned “Rapid Growth In The Outsourcing Of Veterans’ Healthcare To Private Doctors ‘Threatens To Divert Resources’ From The VA’s High-Quality Direct Care.”** “The letter raises concerns that widespread staff cuts are being made without clear objectives or assessments of their impact on veterans’ access to healthcare. It also says rapid growth in the outsourcing of veterans’ healthcare to private doctors ‘threatens to divert resources’ from the VA’s high-quality direct care.” [The Guardian, [9/24/25](#)]

## **Ciscomani Voted To Give ICE \$150 Billion And Refused To Back Legislation Requiring ICE To Seek Approval From Local Government Officials Before Building A New Detention Facility**

### **Ciscomani Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Increased Department Of Homeland Security Funding By \$150 Billion**

**The OBBB Included \$150 Billion In DHS Funding For Border Security And Immigration Enforcement Efforts.** “Republicans who approved unprecedented immigration enforcement funding are now charged with policing how the Trump administration spends the money. Democrats and former homeland security officials question their commitment to the job. GOP lawmakers last month passed landmark tax and spending legislation (Public Law 119-21) with more than \$150 billion dedicated to bolstering border security and cracking down on illegal immigration. The Department of Homeland Security is now flush with cash to hire thousands of agents, build immigrant jails, and expand the border wall. The sudden influx of money—on top of its typical annual budget exceeding \$60 billion—creates a serious risk of spending boondoggles, former officials say.” [Bloomberg, [8/5/25](#)]

### **The One Big Beautiful Bill Included \$45 Billion To Expand Detention Capacity And Tripled ICE’s Detention Budget To At Least \$14 Billion Per Year**

**The \$150 Billion In Funding Allocated Under The One Big Beautiful Bill Expanded Detention Operations By ICE.** “This year, ICE has quickly moved to rapidly expand its detention capabilities fueled by the massive influx of funding created after Congress passed H.R. 1, the so-called ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act.’ The legislation laid out billions for border enforcement and immigration, including \$45 billion to expand detention capacity, and tripling ICE’s detention budget to at least \$14 billion per year. The bill also gave ICE’s Enforcement and Removal Operations—the arm primarily responsible for arresting and deporting immigrants in the U.S. interior—nearly \$30 billion.” [Arizona Mirror, [2/27/26](#)]

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Included \$45 Billion To Expand Detention Capacity And Tripled ICE’s Detention Budget To At Least \$14 Billion Per Year.** “This year, ICE has quickly moved to rapidly expand its detention capabilities fueled by the massive influx of funding created after Congress passed H.R. 1, the so-called ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act.’ The legislation laid out billions for border enforcement and immigration, including \$45 billion to expand detention capacity, and tripling ICE’s detention budget to at least \$14 billion per year. The bill also gave ICE’s Enforcement and Removal Operations—the arm primarily responsible for arresting and deporting immigrants in the U.S. interior—nearly \$30 billion.” [Arizona Mirror, [2/27/26](#)]

### **Under Trump, Federal Law Enforcement And ICE Agents Killed Four American Citizens**

**Federal Law Enforcement Agents Killed Minneapolis Residents Alex Pretti And Renee Good.** “The killings of the 37-year-old Minneapolis residents Alex Pretti and Renee Nicole Good by federal agents have sparked protests and outrage throughout the nation. Pretti and Good are just two people out of at least eight who have either been killed by federal agents or who have died while in Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) custody in 2026 so far. The high-profile fatal shootings follow the deaths of at least 32 people in ICE custody in 2025 – the highest number since 2004. One of the people killed was Keith Porter Jr, a 43-year-old Black man who was fatally shot by an off-duty ICE agent outside of his Los Angeles apartment complex on the evening of 31 December 2025. The father of two was firing a gun into the air, a Los Angeles police department spokesperson said, before the off-duty ICE agent, Brian Palacios, went to investigate. Porter was pronounced dead at the scene when police officers responded.” [The Guardian, [1/28/26](#)]

**2025: Keith Porter Jr, A 43-Year-Old Father Of Two, Was Fatally Shot By An Off-Duty ICE Officer On New Year’s Eve.** “Family and friends of a Los Angeles man who was killed by an off-duty US Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) officer over the holidays are urging local officials to arrest and prosecute the federal agent. Keith Porter Jr, a 43-year-old father of two, was fatally shot by an ICE officer on New Year’s Eve outside

his apartment complex, according to LA and federal officials. An LA police department (LAPD) spokesperson said after the incident that Porter had fired gunshots into the air. A US Department of Homeland Security (DHS) spokesperson said the off-duty immigration officer was “forced to defensively use his weapon” while responding to an ‘active shooter.’” [The Guardian, [1/16/26](#)]

**Ruben Ray Martinez Of Texas Was Shot And Killed By Homeland Security Investigations Agent.** “Newly released records show a U.S. citizen was shot and killed in Texas by a federal immigration agent last year during a late-night traffic encounter that was not publicly disclosed by the Department of Homeland Security. The death of Ruben Ray Martinez, 23, would mark the earliest of at least six deadly shootings by federal officers since the start of a nationwide immigration crackdown in President Donald Trump’s second term. On Friday, DHS said the shooting on South Padre Island last March occurred after the driver intentionally struck an agent. The shooting involved a Homeland Security Investigations team that was conducting an immigration enforcement operation in conjunction with local police, according to documents obtained by American Oversight, a nonprofit watchdog group based in Washington.” [Associated Press, [2/20/26](#)]

---

### **ICE Detained At Least 170 American Citizens And Faced Accusations Of Excessive Force And A Lack Of Proper Training**

---

**ProPublica: There Was More Than 170 Cases Of American Citizens Being Held Against Their Will By Immigration Agents.** “We compiled and reviewed every case we could find of agents holding citizens against their will, whether during immigration raids or protests. While the tally is almost certainly incomplete, we found more than 170 such incidents during the first nine months of President Donald Trump’s second administration.” [ProPublica, [10/16/25](#)]

**HEADLINE: “We Found That More Than 170 U.S. Citizens Have Been Held By Immigration Agents. They’ve Been Kicked, Dragged And Detained For Days.”** [ProPublica, [10/16/25](#)]

**New ICE Recruits Were Sent To Field Offices Without Proper Training, According To Two Law Enforcement Officials.** “As Immigration and Customs Enforcement was racing to add 10,000 new officers to its force, an artificial intelligence error in how their applications were processed sent many new recruits into field offices without proper training, according to two law enforcement officials familiar with the error.” [NBC News, [1/14/26](#)]

**ICE Agents Wore Masks And Drove Unmarked Vehicles While Conducting Immigration Enforcement Actions At Schools, Courthouses, Religious Institutions, And Work Sites.** “In recent months, federal U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and other federal law enforcement officers—roaming the streets in plainclothes, masked, and sometimes armed—have stoked fear in communities nationwide. These federal agents have been seen swinging batons, smashing car windows, using explosives to blow the door off of a home with children inside, emerging from unmarked vehicles with weapons drawn, shooting into a family vehicle, and grabbing people off of the street and putting them into unmarked vehicles. In cities such as Los Angeles, Minneapolis, Phoenix, and Miami, officers donning face coverings without an agency designation and driving unmarked vehicles have conducted immigration enforcement actions at schools, courthouses, religious institutions, and work sites.” [CAP, [8/25/25](#)]

**Ciscomani Declined To Answer When Asked If He Supported Legislation Requiring ICE To Seek Approval From Local Government Officials Before Building A New Detention Facility After ICE Announced Plans To Build An ICE Detention Facility In Marana, AZ**

---

**March 2026: Ciscomani Declined To Answer When Asked If He Supported Legislation Requiring ICE To Seek Approval From Local Government Officials Before Building A New Detention Facility**

---

**Ciscomani Declined To Answer When Asked If He Supported Legislation Requiring ICE To Seek Approval From Local Government Officials Before Building A New Detention Facility.** “Last month, Congressman Chris

Pappas and Senator Jeanne Shaheen, both Democrats in New Hampshire, introduced a bill in Congress: the Respect for Local Communities Act. Under the law, ICE would need approval from local government officials before building a new detention facility...Republican Congressman Juan Ciscomani said he supported communication between local officials and the federal government in regards to new detention centers. But Ciscomani said he took issue with how the bill had been introduced. ‘[Democrats] never reached out to us for any kind of input,’ he said. Asked whether he’d support the bill, Ciscomani responded that the bill would have to be sent to committee for further study. The bill, he said, ‘has months to go, even if it was on the path to actually get it done.’” [AZPM, [3/27/26](#)]

---

**February 2026: ICE Announced Plans To Build An ICE Detention Facility In Marana, AZ**

---

**February 2026: ICE Announced Plans To An ICE Detention Facility In Marana, AZ.** “U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement is moving forward with plans to reopen a privately run prison near Marana, Ariz., for use as a detention center for hundreds of people taken into federal immigration custody in Southern Arizona. On Wednesday, ICE published a sole-source agreement for the Marana Detention Facility, owned and operated by Utah-based Management & Training Corporation. Last summer, MTC purchased the shuttered private prison for \$15 million amid the Trump administration’s push to dramatically increase interior arrests and deportations. Until this week’s notice, it was unclear when the facility — which was sold off by the state of Arizona — would actually transition into use as immigration detention center.” [Arizona Mirror, [2/27/26](#)]

**Juan Ciscomani Voted In Lockstep With Republican Party Bosses While They Funneled Nearly A Million Dollars Into His Campaign**

**January 2025-September 2025: Juan Ciscomani Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time**

**January 2025-September 2025: Juan Ciscomani Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time.**

Representative	State	District	Votes with Trump	Eligible votes	Percent with Trump	Cook rating
Ciscomani, Juan (R)	Arizona	AZ-06	40	40	100%	Toss-up

Source: U.S. Office of the Clerk, "Roll Call Votes" (last accessed September 2025); Voteview, "Vote and Member Search" (last accessed September 2025); Cook Political Report, "2026 CPR House Race Ratings"; September 11, 2025; The White House, "Statements of Administration Policy" (last accessed September 2025).  
Table: Center for American Progress Action Fund

[CAP Action, [9/18/25](#)]

**2025: Ciscomani Received Nearly \$1 Million In Contributions From House Leadership, Which Was Second Most Of Any Other Republican Incumbent Outside Of House Leadership**

**Ciscomani Received Nearly \$1 Million In Contributions From House Leadership, Which Was Second Most Of Any Other Republican Incumbent Outside Of House Leadership.** “Two Arizona Republican congressmen in critical districts are filling their campaign war chests with hundreds of thousands of dollars from GOP leadership in advance of midterm elections that could spell the end of Republicans’ control of Congress. U.S. Reps. Juan Ciscomani and Eli Crane have both gotten an injection of funds from joint fundraising committees connected to Republican congressional leaders. Ciscomani, whose district in southern Arizona is one of the most competitive in the nation and is a prime target for Democrats, has gotten nearly \$1 million so far, the second most of any other Republican incumbent outside of House leadership.” [Arizona Mirror, [3/20/26](#)]

- **Nearly A Quarter Of All The Money Ciscomani Raised In 2025 Came From House Leadership Compared Just 7 Percent From Small Donors.** “House Speaker Mike Johnson’s joint fundraising committee, Grow the Majority, gave Ciscomani \$530,210.83, more than all but two other Republican incumbents. Ciscomani has also received hundreds of thousands of dollars from both Majority Whip Tom Emmer’s committee, Emmer Majority Builders, and Majority Leader Steve Scalise’s Defend Our Majority. All told, the GOP leadership committees have funneled \$977,809 to Ciscomani for the 2026 election, nearly a quarter of all the money Ciscomani has raised. Comparatively, approximately 7% of Ciscomani’s 2025 donations came from small donors.” [Arizona Mirror, [3/20/26](#)]

**Headline: “Money From GOP Leaders Is Flooding Into Two Arizona House Races. Democrats Say That’s Telling.”** [Arizona Mirror, [3/20/26](#)]

## **Ciscomani Refused To Return The Nearly \$40,000 He Took From Tony Gonzales And Chuck Edwards—Two Congressman Accused Of Sexual Misconduct**

### **Ciscomani Received \$30,000 From Tony Gonzales’ Leadership PAC, The Most Of Any Member Of Congress**

**Juan Ciscomani Was The Top Recipient Of Contributions From Tony Gonzales’ Leadership PAC.** “Rep. Juan Ciscomani’s campaign has received more financial support than any House Republican from retiring Rep. Tony Gonzales, who faced crumbling support over an affair with a staffer who later set herself on fire. Ciscomani, R-Arizona, did not publicly comment on whether Gonzales, R-Texas, should resign or end his reelection campaign, even as GOP leadership called on him to step out of his race on March 5. Hours later, Gonzales did. At least five other House Republicans, two of whom have also received money from Gonzales’ leadership PAC, called for him to step down before he ended his bid for a fourth term.” [Arizona Republic, [3/6/26](#)]

- **Gonzales’ Leadership PAC Had Given Ciscomani \$30,000 Since 2022.** “At least five other House Republicans, two of whom have also received money from Gonzales’ leadership PAC, called for him to step down before he ended his bid for a fourth term. A political action committee controlled by Gonzales has given Ciscomani’s campaign \$30,000 since 2022, with \$10,000 of it coming in 2025. Ciscomani’s affiliated PAC has similarly donated \$20,800 to Gonzales’ campaign since 2023, including \$10,000 donated to him last year. Such swaps from what are known as leadership PACs help boost the financial footprint for both men. It also reflects the longstanding political relationship between Ciscomani and Gonzales.” [Arizona Republic, [3/6/26](#)]
- **Ciscomani’s Leadership PAC Had Donated \$20,800 To Gonzales’ Campaign Since 2023.** “At least five other House Republicans, two of whom have also received money from Gonzales’ leadership PAC, called for him to step down before he ended his bid for a fourth term. A political action committee controlled by Gonzales has given Ciscomani’s campaign \$30,000 since 2022, with \$10,000 of it coming in 2025. Ciscomani’s affiliated PAC has similarly donated \$20,800 to Gonzales’ campaign since 2023, including \$10,000 donated to him last year. Such swaps from what are known as leadership PACs help boost the financial footprint for both men. It also reflects the longstanding political relationship between Ciscomani and Gonzales.” [Arizona Republic, [3/6/26](#)]
- **April 2023: Gonzales Referred To Ciscomani As His “Bestie.”** “‘No one outworks my bestie!’ Gonzales wrote of Ciscomani in April 2023. A month later, Gonzales posted a picture of both men smiling together and they bantered about eating tacos. Ciscomani’s team did not immediately respond to The Arizona Republic about the matter on March 5.” [Arizona Republic, [3/6/26](#)]

---

### **Gonzales Resigned From Congress Amid A House Ethics Committee Investigation After Admitting To An Extramarital Affair With A Staffer Who Later Died By Suicide**

---

**April 2026: Tony Gonzales Resigned From Congress Amid An Ethics Investigation After He Admitted To An Extramarital Affair With A Staffer Who Later Died By Suicide.** “Rep. Tony Gonzales, R-San Antonio, submitted his resignation Tuesday from the U.S. House, ending a five-year congressional career months after he revealed he had an affair with an aide who later died by suicide...The House Ethics Committee had opened an investigation into the San Antonio congressman to determine whether he ‘engaged in sexual misconduct towards an individual employed in his office’ and ‘discriminated unfairly by dispensing special favors or privileges.’” [Texas Tribune, [4/13/26](#)]

---

**As Of May 20, 2026, Ciscomani Had Not Returned The Contributions From Gonzales**

---

**May 2026: There Were No Issued Refunds To Ciscomani For Congress To Honor Courage Committee PAC.** [FEC, accessed [5/20/26](#)]

**May 7, 2026: Arizona Family: “There Is No Evidence That Ciscomani Has Returned The Donations From Edwards Or Gonzales.”** “Campaign finance records show Ciscomani has accepted nearly \$40,000 in contributions from two sitting members of Congress who have faced public allegations of sexual misconduct. One of those donors is Rep. Chuck Edwards, R-N.C., who contributed more than \$8,000 to Ciscomani. Edwards is currently under an ethics investigation over allegations that he harassed two female staffers. He has denied wrongdoing...There is no evidence that Ciscomani has returned the donations from Edwards or Gonzales.” [Arizona Family, [5/7/26](#)]

---

**Ciscomani Received \$8,250 From Chuck Edwards’ Leadership PAC**

---

**Ciscomani Received \$8,250 From Chuck Edwards’ Leadership PAC.** [FEC, accessed [5/20/26](#)]

- **Ciscomani Received More Than \$8,000 In Contributions From Chuck Edwards.** “And as Republicans fight to hold onto the U.S. House, campaign cash is under fresh scrutiny in southern Arizona’s battleground 6th Congressional District. Rep. Juan Ciscomani, R-Ariz., is not a national political brand name, but his reelection bid is considered crucial for the GOP’s path to maintaining House control. With that comes the high-stakes reality of modern campaigning: money matters and so does where it comes from...One of those donors is Rep. Chuck Edwards, R-N.C., who contributed more than \$8,000 to Ciscomani. Edwards is currently under an ethics investigation over allegations that he harassed two female staffers. He has denied wrongdoing.” [Arizona Family, [5/7/26](#)]

---

**May 2026: Edwards Was Under A House Ethics Committee Investigation Following Allegations That He Engaged In Sexual Harassment And An Improper Romantic Affair With A Subordinate Staff Member**

---

**May 2026: Edwards Was Under A House Ethics Committee Investigation Following Allegations That He Engaged In Sexual Harassment And An Improper Romantic Affair With Staff.** “The House Ethics Committee officially announced it is investigating allegations of sexual misconduct against Rep. Chuck Edwards. The leaders of the bipartisan panel said Thursday they were probing whether the North Carolina Republican ‘created or fostered a hostile work environment and engaged in sexual harassment in violation of the Code of Official Conduct or any other applicable standard of conduct.’...POLITICO previously reported that Edwards was under investigation for allegations of having an improper relationship with staff and engaging in sexual harassment.” [Politico, [5/14/26](#)]

---

**As Of May 20, 2026, Ciscomani Had Not Returned The Contributions From Edwards**

---

**May 2026: There Were No Issued Refunds To Ciscomani For Congress To Blue Ridge Victory Fund.** [FEC, accessed [5/20/26](#)]

**May 7, 2026: Arizona Family: “There Is No Evidence That Ciscomani Has Returned The Donations From Edwards Or Gonzales.”** “Campaign finance records show Ciscomani has accepted nearly \$40,000 in contributions from two sitting members of Congress who have faced public allegations of sexual misconduct. One of those donors is Rep. Chuck Edwards, R-N.C., who contributed more than \$8,000 to Ciscomani. Edwards is currently under an ethics investigation over allegations that he harassed two female staffers. He has denied wrongdoing...There is no evidence that Ciscomani has returned the donations from Edwards or Gonzales.” [Arizona Family, [5/7/26](#)]