

## Juan Ciscomani Overview Message Backup

*Juan Ciscomani has repeatedly broken his promises and betrayed Arizonans by siding with Trump and the billionaires bankrolling his campaign instead of standing up for us. Juan Ciscomani is too weak to stand up to his party and the billionaires rigging the system against us, and Arizonans are paying the price.*

### Juan Ciscomani Has Repeatedly Broken His Promises And Betrayed Arizonans By Siding With Trump

See below

### And The Billionaires Bankrolling His Campaign Instead Of Standing Up For Us

#### Ciscomani Received Campaign Contributions From Billionaires

##### Juan Ciscomni Received \$3,500 From Diane Hendricks

**2026: Juan Ciscomani Received \$3,500 From Diane Hendricks.** [FEC, accessed [6/23/26](#)]

**2026: Diane Hendricks Had A Net Worth Of \$21.7 Billion.** “Diane Hendricks Net Worth: \$21.7 billion Source: Building supplies Sales at ABC Supply, the building supplies wholesaler she cofounded with her husband Kenneth (d. 2007), fell 2.3% to \$20.2 billion in 2025, the first drop in over a decade. But for the ninth straight year, Hendricks—who also invests in real estate, manufacturing, logistics, recycling and owns a golf course in Beloit, Wisconsin—remains, by far, the richest self-made woman in America. A teen mother at age 17, Hendricks once worked as a Playboy Bunny to support her child.” [Forbes, [6/3/26](#)]

##### Juan Ciscomani Received \$13,000 From Elizabeth Uihlein

**2022-2025: Juan Ciscomani Received \$13,000.00 From Elizabeth Uihlein.** [FEC, accessed [6/23/26](#)]

**2026: Elizabeth Uihlein Had A Net Worth Of \$6.9 Billion.** [Forbes, [6/3/26](#)]

##### 2022-2026: Ciscomani Received \$33,000 From Christine And Stephen Schwarzman

**2022-2026: Ciscomani Received \$16,500 From Stephen Schwarzman.** [FEC, accessed [6/23/26](#)]

**2022-2026: Ciscomani Received \$16,500 From Christine Schwarzman.** [FEC, accessed [6/23/26](#)]

**2026: Stephen Schwarzman Had A Net Worth Of \$38.2 Billion.** [Forbes, [6/24/26](#)]

### Juan Ciscomani Is Too Weak To Stand Up To His Party And The Billionaires Rigging The System Against Us, And Arizonans Are Paying The Price

#### Ciscomani Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time

---

**January 2025-September 2025: Juan Ciscomani Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time**

---

**January 2025-September 2025: Juan Ciscomani Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time.**

| Representative      | State   | District | Votes with Trump | Eligible votes | Percent with Trump | Cook rating |
|---------------------|---------|----------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Ciscomani, Juan (R) | Arizona | AZ-06    | 40               | 40             | 100%               | Toss-up     |

Source: U.S. Office of the Clerk, "Roll Call Votes" (last accessed September 2025); Voteview, "Vote and Member Search" (last accessed September 2025); Cook Political Report, "2026 CFR House Race Ratings," September 11, 2025; The White House, "Statements of Administration Policy" (last accessed September 2025).  
Table: Center for American Progress Action Fund

[CAP Action, [9/18/25](#)]

---

**Ciscomani Received Nearly \$1 Million In Contributions From House Leadership, Which Was Second Most Of Any Other Republican Incumbent Outside Of House Leadership**

---

**March 2026: Ciscomani Received Nearly \$1 Million In Contributions From House Leadership, Which Was Second Most Of Any Other Republican Incumbent Outside Of House Leadership.** “Two Arizona Republican congressmen in critical districts are filling their campaign war chests with hundreds of thousands of dollars from GOP leadership in advance of midterm elections that could spell the end of Republicans’ control of Congress. U.S. Reps. Juan Ciscomani and Eli Crane have both gotten an injection of funds from joint fundraising committees connected to Republican congressional leaders. Ciscomani, whose district in southern Arizona is one of the most competitive in the nation and is a prime target for Democrats, has gotten nearly \$1 million so far, the second most of any other Republican incumbent outside of House leadership.” [Arizona Mirror, [3/20/26](#)]

**Headline: “Money From GOP Leaders Is Flooding Into Two Arizona House Races. Democrats Say That’s Telling.”** [Arizona Mirror, [3/20/26](#)]

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Gave Billions In Tax Breaks To The Ultra-Wealthy At The Expense Of Working Families**

---

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest Giving Them Tax Cuts Three Times Greater Than For Working Americans**

---

**The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest Americans.** “The One Big Beautiful Bill includes the largest tax cuts in U.S. history. Whether it’s actually ‘beautiful’ is in the eye of the beholder. At every income level taxes should subside. That’s a big selling point from President Donald Trump and fellow Republicans who pushed the law through Congress with no Democratic support. It will benefit everyone. But the biggest cuts go to the wealthiest taxpayers – the ones who pay the lion’s share of taxes. For most families the savings will be miniscule in comparison, in raw dollars and as a share of income. And millions will lose subsidized health insurance and other benefits. Critics see a plan that widens income inequality and, worse, offsets tax cuts for the wealthy with draconian spending cuts that hit lower-income households.” [Cronkite News, [7/16/25](#)]

**The House-Passed Republican Reconciliation Bill Extended The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act Which Skewed In Favor Of The Wealthy By Providing Them Tax Cuts That Were Three Times Greater Than For Working Americans.** “The tax provisions of the House Republican reconciliation bill double down on the failures of the 2017 tax law, which was skewed in favor of the richest people in the country, further eroded the nation’s revenue base, and didn’t produce the promised economic gains for working people.[1] Instead of changing course and prioritizing people with low and moderate incomes as House Republicans’ rhetoric about supporting hard-pressed working families would suggest, the House Republican tax bill only offers more of the same. The bill is heavily skewed to the wealthy: Under the

bill, the top 1 percent of people would receive tax cuts three times the size of those for people with incomes in the bottom 60 percent, measured as a share of after-tax income. (See Figure 1.) The top 0.6 percent of people — the 1.2 million people with annual incomes above \$1 million — would receive more total tax cuts than the 127 million people with incomes below \$100,000. [...] The bill extends and expands the 2017 tax law's increase in the estate tax exemption, boosting the cut in estate taxes for the 1 in 1,000 wealthiest estates to \$6.3 million.[3] Next year a couple could pass on an estate worth up to \$30 million tax free, \$1.7 million more than under a simple extension of the 2017 law." [CBPP, [5/22/25](#)]

**CBS: “Like Penn Wharton, Other Researchers Have Said The Republican Bill Is Likely To Benefit Wealthy Americans At The Expense Of People Lower Down The Ladder.”** “Like Penn Wharton, other researchers have said the Republican bill is likely to benefit wealthy Americans at the expense of people lower down the ladder. The measure would likely reduce the financial resources available to the lowest-earning 10% of U.S. households by \$1,600 per year, or almost 4% of their annual income, according to a report published earlier this month by the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office. White House officials have previously questioned the CBO's scoring of the bill. But the highest-earning 10% of households would see a gain of \$12,000 per year in resources, while middle-income households would see a gain of \$500 to \$1,000, the CBO projected. Its analysis is based on the bill's tax breaks, as well as reductions for federal programs and reductions in state funds for safety net programs such as Medicaid and food stamps. In considering the impact of higher U.S. debt on future generations, the cost would come in the form of lower wages and higher costs, such as more expensive mortgages, Smetters said.” [CBS News, [6/26/25](#)]

**The Hill: “The Republicans’ ‘Big, Beautiful Bill’ Will Make The Poorest Americans Even Poorer, While Padding The Wallets Of The Highest Earners The Most, According To An Analysis Released Monday By Congress’s Budget Arm.”** “The Republicans’ ‘big, beautiful bill’ will make the poorest Americans even poorer, while padding the wallets of the highest earners the most, according to an analysis released Monday by Congress’s budget arm. The assessment, conducted by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) at the request of top Democrats, found that the top 10 percent of earners in the country will see an average boost of \$13,600 per year over the next decade as a direct result of provisions in the law, while the bottom 10 percent will see an average annual decrease of \$1,200. The report challenges the arguments made by President Trump and other Republicans that the massive domestic policy package would benefit workers at all levels of wealth and income. And it’s given fuel to the attacks from Democrats that the legislation was, all along, designed to help the wealthiest people at the expense of the working poor.” [The Hill, [8/11/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: “Budget Office Says GOP’s ‘Big, Beautiful Bill’ Will Make Rich Richer, Poor Poorer.”** [Hill, [8/11/25](#)]

### **2026: At Least 88 Of The Largest Corporations In America Paid No Federal Corporate Income Taxes In Their Most Recent Fiscal Year In Part Due To The One Big Beautiful Bill**

**At Least 88 Of The Largest Corporations In America Paid No Federal Corporate Income Taxes In Their Most Recent Fiscal Year In Part Due To The One Big Beautiful Bill.** “At least 88 of the largest corporations in America paid no federal corporate income taxes in their most recent fiscal year despite enjoying substantial pretax profits in the U.S. While the biggest U.S. corporations have avoided taxes in this way for decades, it appears that corporate tax avoidance has increased in the most recent year. This is at least in part due to two separate packages of corporate tax cuts pushed through by the Trump administration: last year’s ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act’ and the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA).” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [4/14/26](#)]

## Juan Ciscomani Message #1 Backup

*Trump's tariffs are raising costs for Arizona families, but Juan Ciscomani repeatedly voted to protect Trump's tariffs, saying "I have confidence in the President." Juan Ciscomani also supports Trump's war with Iran that is costing us billions and pushing up the price of gas here in Arizona. Back in March, Ciscomani said that the war is "coming to an end quickly," but we still have over 50,000 troops deployed to the region and the price of gas is about \$5 a gallon.*

### Trump's Tariffs Are Raising Costs For Arizona Families

#### **2026: Trump's Tariffs Were Projected To Cost U.S. Households On Average More Than \$2,500, Raising Costs On Groceries, Housing, And Utilities**

**2026: Trump's Tariffs Were Projected To Cost U.S. Households On Average More Than \$2,500 A Year**

**2026: Trump's Tariffs Were Projected To Cost U.S. Households On Average More Than \$2,500.** "The Committee found that if tariff revenue stays at the level recorded in January 2026 for the rest of the year, American families would in total pay more than \$330 billion in tariff costs in 2026, an average of more than \$2,500 per household in tariff costs this year. This is substantially higher than the more than \$1,700 in average tariff costs that the JEC – Minority previously estimated that families paid during the first year of Trump's second term." [Joint Economic Committee– Minority, [March 2026](#)]

#### **Trump's Tariffs Raised Prices On Groceries**

**Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries.** "President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration's policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons." [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

**Axios Headline: "Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up."** [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

**Denver Post: Trump's Tariffs Led To "Record High" Beef Prices.** "Trump slapped tariffs on most countries around the globe in April. He and his administration still say that tariffs don't increase consumer prices, despite economic evidence to the contrary. Record-high beef prices have been a particular concern, and Trump had said he intended to take action to try and lower them. Trump's tariffs on Brazil, a major beef exporter, had been a factor. Trump signed an executive order that also removes tariffs on tea, fruit juice, cocoa, spices, bananas, oranges, tomatoes and certain fertilizers. Some of the products covered aren't produced in the United States, meaning that tariffs meant to spur domestic production had little effect. But reducing the tariffs will still likely mean lower prices for U.S. consumers." [Denver Post, [11/14/25](#)]

---

## **Tariffs Raised Housing Costs**

---

### **Trump's Tariffs Raised The Price Of Building Materials Like Copper By 25% And Steel By 21%.**

“Following President Trump’s 2025 tariff announcements and the ensuing economic uncertainty, home construction costs increased over the last year and fewer new homes began construction. These challenges continue to grow in 2026, which will further drive up the cost to rent or buy homes and make it harder to address the nation’s housing shortage. This Joint Economic Committee – Minority report includes findings from outreach that the Committee conducted to home builders, multifamily housing developers, and realtors, who detailed ways that tariffs and economic uncertainty are making it more difficult to build and sell homes. In addition, key new Committee calculations in this report show that: • Materials and other products that are used to build and furnish homes have become more expensive over the past year. Following Trump’s tariffs on steel and copper, the price of copper and copper products in February 2026 was 25 percent higher compared to the year before and the price of steel mill products – including steel bars, wire, pipes and plates –increased by 21 percent. The most popular ovens at key retailers increased in price by \$70 on average, or 9 percent. By the end of the first year of Trump’s second term, the rate at which builders secured construction permits and started construction had sunk below late 2024 levels. August 2025 had the lowest issuance rate for residential permits since May 2020, one of the worst months of the pandemic-era slowdown in construction. As industry activity declines, there are now nearly 60,000 fewer jobs in home construction compared to December 2024.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, [April 2026](#)]

**Recent Reports Estimated That Trump’s Tariffs Could Increase Building Costs By \$10,900 Per Home Initially, And By More Than \$17,000 Per Home In The Coming Years.** “Recent reports estimate that Trump’s tariffs could increase building costs by \$10,900 per home initially, and by more than \$17,000 per home in the coming years – which could in turn drive up rental costs and home prices. After months of these tariffs hitting the market, trends in home input prices indicate that costs for homebuilders have, as expected, increased. As shown below, both producer and consumer prices for multiple housing inputs have increased significantly compared to a year ago, making homes more expensive to build and to furnish. Notably, inflation for key building materials, construction tools, household appliances, and furniture far outpaced overall producer and consumer inflation for the same period.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, [April 2026](#)]

---

## **Trump’s Tariffs Increased The Cost Of Building And Maintaining The Electric Grid, Which Was Then Passed On To Consumers’ Utility Bills**

---

**Trump’s Tariffs Increased The Cost Of Building And Maintaining The Electric Grid.** “Trump’s blanket tariffs are increasing the cost of building and maintaining the electric grid. Utilities rely on imported equipment, and those higher costs show up directly in higher electricity and gas bills. Utilities say tariffs are already raising energy prices for consumers. Major utilities in Michigan told state regulators that Trump’s tariff policies are increasing inflation and borrowing costs. Advisors warn that these higher costs are ultimately pushing electricity and gas rates higher for customers. Tariffs are also driving up the cost of essential power grid equipment. Utilities are facing higher prices for essential equipment like transformers, cables, and substations, increasing the cost of grid upgrades and expansions. Those higher costs are ultimately passed on to households through higher electricity rates.” [Groundwork Collaborative, [3/25/26](#)]

- **Higher Costs On Building And Maintaining The Electric Were Passed On To Consumers.** “Trump’s blanket tariffs are increasing the cost of building and maintaining the electric grid. Utilities rely on imported equipment, and those higher costs show up directly in higher electricity and gas bills. Utilities say tariffs are already raising energy prices for consumers. Major utilities

in Michigan told state regulators that Trump's tariff policies are increasing inflation and borrowing costs. Advisors warn that these higher costs are ultimately pushing electricity and gas rates higher for customers. Tariffs are also driving up the cost of essential power grid equipment. Utilities are facing higher prices for essential equipment like transformers, cables, and substations, increasing the cost of grid upgrades and expansions. Those higher costs are ultimately passed on to households through higher electricity rates." [Groundwork Collaborative, [3/25/26](#)]

**Headline: "Trump Is Raising Energy And Utility Bills."** [Groundwork Collaborative, [3/25/26](#)]

## **But Juan Ciscomani Repeatedly Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs**

### **Ciscomani Voted Nine Times To Protect Tariffs**

---

**May 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against An Appropriations Committee Amendment To Prohibit Funds Made Available By The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration Bill From Being Used To Implement A Tariff That Would Increase The Cost Of Food.**

---

**Ciscomani Voted Against An Amendment To Prohibit Funds Made Available By The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration Bill From Being Used To Implement A Tariff That Would Increase The Cost Of Food.** In April 2026, Ciscomani voted against an amendment to "At the end, before the spending reduction account, insert the following: SEC. \_\_\_\_ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to implement a tariff that would increase the cost of food." The amendment failed by a vote of 26 to 33. [U.S. House Committee on Appropriations, Markup of FY2027 Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration Bill, [Amendment #2](#), [4/29/26](#)]

---

**February 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against Terminating Trump's Tariffs, After Voting Repeatedly To Delay A Vote On The Issue**

---

**February 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada.** In February 2026, Ciscomani voted against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada." The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, [Vote #65](#), 2/11/26; CQ, [2/11/26](#)]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump's Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** "The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president's tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House's first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump's trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy." [New York Times, [2/11/26](#)]

---

**February 2026: Ciscomani Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariff**

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act,**

**And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariffs.** In February 2026, Ciscomani voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #60](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#)]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, [2/10/26](#)]

---

### **February 2026: Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act**

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act.** In February 2026, Ciscomani voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #59](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#); Congressional Record, [2/10/26](#)]

---

### **September 2025: House Republicans Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariffs Through March 2026**

---

**September 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** On 9/16/25, Ciscomani voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, [9/16/25](#); U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, [9/16/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through

March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gavelled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board.” [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

**HEADLINE: “House Again Votes To Surrender Tariff Powers To Trump”** [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

---

**April 2025: Ciscomani Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariffs Through September 2025**

---

**HEADLINE: “House GOP Leaders Move To Quash Vote On Trump’s Global Tariffs”** [Politico, [4/9/25](#)]

**April 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H Res 313, Which Blocked Congress From Challenging Trump’s April 2, 2025, Emergency Declaration Through September 2025.** In April 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump’s tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, [Vote #94](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress’s Power To Override Trump’s Tariffs.** “Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump’s chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives’ rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president’s recently imposed taxes on foreign imports.” [The Guardian, [4/9/25](#)]

---

**April 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump’s Tariffs**

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump’s Tariffs.** In April 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, “Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump’s disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans.” *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, [Vote #93](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/9/25](#)]

---

**April 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump’s Tariffs**

---

**Ciscomani Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump’s Tariffs.** In April 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern

said, “Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place.” **A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.** The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, [Vote #91](#), 4/8/25; CQ, [4/8/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/8/25](#)]

---

### **March 2025: House Republicans Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariffs For The Rest Of The Year**

---

#### **March 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution**

**Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales.** In March 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager’s amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, [Vote #67](#), 3/11/25; CQ, [3/11/25](#)]

- **New York Times: “House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump’s Tariffs.”** “House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump’s tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber’s ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Politico: “GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump’s Declaration Of A National Emergency.”** “GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump’s declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China.” [Politico, [3/11/25](#)]

**Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked “The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets.”** “The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. [...] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans’ six-month stopgap funding bill.” [Reuters, [3/11/25](#)]

### **Saying “I Have Confidence In The President”**

**Ciscomani Said, “And I Have Confidence In The President That He’s Going To Get It Done” Regarding U.S. Trade Agreements**

**Ciscomani On U.S Trade Policy: “So There's A Way To Do A Win Win Here. And I Have Confidence In The President That He's Going To He's Going To Get It Done.”** HOST: “Is the end result or goal of renegotiating any element of this trade deal that there will be clarity on this tariff picture between both Canada and Mexico, because I know in particular with Canada, it has, you know, inflamed some tensions between the two countries.” CISCOMANI: “Yeah, I think it's clear that the White House, the president uses tariffs as as a leverage point. They he's he's a fan of them. He said it himself. And it's a good it's a good way for them to make a point and be able to negotiate that. I historically haven't been a big proponent of them. I think for free trade is has benefited. But there's also been a lot of a lot of gaps and a lot of shortcomings in that as well. They need to be perfected and the president is in every right to use that and be able to get get us to a place where agreements can be renegotiated in a way that also benefits American companies and American consumers, as it will for our Mexican counterparts as well. So there's a way to do a win win here. And and I have confidence in the president that he's going to he's going to get it done.” [535 News Live, 00:11:30, [7/15/26](#)] (VIDEO)

- **Ciscomani On Trump’s Tariff Policy: “The President Is In Every Right To Use That And Be Able To Get Us To A Place Where Agreements Can Be Renegotiated In A Way That Also Benefits American Companies And American Consumers.”** HOST: “Is the end result or goal of renegotiating any element of this trade deal that there will be clarity on this tariff picture between both Canada and Mexico, because I know in particular with Canada, it has, you know, inflamed some tensions between the two countries.” CISCOMANI: “Yeah, I think it's clear that the White House, the president uses tariffs as as a leverage point. They he's he's a fan of them. He said it himself. And it's a good it's a good way for them to make a point and be able to negotiate that. I historically haven't been a big proponent of them. I think for free trade is has benefited. But there's also been a lot of a lot of gaps and a lot of shortcomings in that as well. They need to be perfected and the president is in every right to use that and be able to get get us to a place where agreements can be renegotiated in a way that also benefits American companies and American consumers, as they will for [...] our Mexican counterparts as well. So there's a way to do a win win here. And and I have confidence in the president that he's going to he's going to get it done.” [535 News Live, 00:11:30, [7/15/26](#)] (VIDEO)

### **December 2025: Ciscomani Said “I Trust His Judgement On This” Regarding Trump’s Tariff Policy**

**December 2025: Ciscomani Said “I Trust His Judgement On This” Regarding Trump’s Tariff Policy.** “In a December interview at his Capitol Hill office, Ciscomani defended Trump’s approach to trade this time around, and argued more broadly that the needs of his district align with the president-elect’s agenda...Ciscomani didn’t directly take a position on the across-the-board tariff that Trump ran on, saying, ‘nothing is final’ yet. ‘Right now, before he comes into office, he’s looking at all his options,’ Ciscomani said. ‘I trust his judgment on this, and again, he’s proven it.’ He said Trump’s more aggressive approach is needed, pointing to China’s protectionist economic policies that have helped them become a massive manufacturing power, and Mexico’s relationships with China and ‘socialist, Communist countries in Latin America.’” [Arizona Republic, [1/14/26](#)]

### **Juan Ciscomani Also Supports Trump's War With Iran That Is Costing Us Billions And Pushing Up The Price Of Gas Here In Arizona**

### **2026: Ciscomani Voted Six Times To Continue Military Action In Iran**

---

**September 2026: Ciscomani Voted For A Sixth Time To Continue The War In Iran**

---

**September 15, 2026: Ciscomani Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran.** On September 15, 2026, Ciscomani voted NO on “H. Con. Res. 93 – Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The resolution passed in the House 220-204. [U.S. House Clerk, [H. Con. Res. 93](#), House Roll Call Vote 307, [9/15/26](#)]

---

**July 2026: Ciscomani Voted For A Fifth Time To Continue The War In Iran**

---

**July 23, 2026: Ciscomani Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran.** On July 23, 2026, Ciscomani voted NO on “H. Con. Res. 89 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The resolution passed in the House 214-208. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 89, House Roll Call Vote 282, [7/23/26](#)]

- **7/23/26: Associated Press: The House Passed A Resolution To Halt U.S. Military Action In Iran In A Bipartisan Vote.** “The House on Thursday narrowly passed a resolution to halt U.S. military action in Iran, sending a warning to President Donald Trump for the second time as the war has escalated and the future of the conflict is increasingly uncertain. The 214-208 vote requiring congressional approval for the war comes as the Senate is expected to consider a similar resolution later Thursday morning. Though the votes forced by Democrats are largely symbolic, they are meant as a strong signal to the Republican president that his support on Capitol Hill is chipping away as the war drags on and lawmakers in both parties have questioned his administration’s endgame.” [Associated Press, [7/23/26](#)]

---

**June 2026: Ciscomani Voted For A Fourth Time To Continue The War In Iran**

---

**June 3, 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution.** On June 3, 2026, Ciscomani voted against “H.Con.Res.86 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The bill was passed by a vote of 215-208. [H. Con. Res. 86, Vote #199, [6/3/26](#)]

- **TIME Headline: “Four Republicans Join Democrats In House Vote To Rein In Trump’s Iran War Powers”** [TIME, [6/3/26](#)]

---

**May 2026: Ciscomani Voted For A Third Time To Continue The War In Iran, And Was The Deciding Vote To Continue The War**

---

**May 2026: Ciscomani Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution.** On May 14, 2026, Ciscomani voted against “Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove the United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran” The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-212.” [H. Con. Res. 75, Vote #170, [5/14/26](#)]

- **Reuters Headline: “US House Narrowly Rejects Bid To Rein In Trump Iran War Powers”** [Reuters, [5/14/26](#)]

---

**April 2026: Ciscomani Voted For A Second Time To Continue The War In Iran**

---

**April 16, 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution.** On April 16, 2026, Ciscomani voted against: “Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, [Vote #114](#), 4/16/26; CQ, [4/16/26](#)]

- **NBC News Headline: “House Effort To End Trump's War With Iran Fails By One Vote”** [NBC News, [4/16/26](#)]

---

### **March 2026: Ciscomani Voted To Continue The War In Iran**

---

**March 5, 2026: Ciscomani Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran.** On March 5, 2026, Ciscomani voted NO on “H.Con.Res.38 - Directing the President pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution to remove United States Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in the Islamic Republic of Iran.” The bill failed in the House 212-219. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 38, House Roll Call Vote 85, [3/5/26](#)]

- **The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran.** “The U.S. House of Representatives rejected an effort on Thursday to stop President Donald Trump's air war on Iran and require that any hostilities against Iran be authorized by Congress, backing the Republican president's military campaign on the sixth day of the expanding conflict. The vote was 219 to 212, largely along party lines, in the House, where Trump's fellow Republicans control a narrow majority of seats. Two Republicans voted in favor of the resolution and four Democrats voted against it. [...] Supporters said the resolution, by requiring Trump to come to Congress for a war authorization, would force him to explain to Americans why the U.S. is fighting and how it might end.” [Reuters, [3/6/26](#)]

### **September 22, 2026: Arizona’s Average Gas Price Was \$4.7057 Per Gallon**

**September 22, 2026: Arizona’s Average Gas Price Was \$4.7057 Per Gallon.** [AAA, Arizona, accessed [9/22/26](#)]

- **The Iran War Led To Higher Gas Prices As Global Oil Prices Increased.** “The affordability crisis is revving up again. The war with Iran and President Donald Trump’s global tariff agenda are pushing prices higher across the country and raising fears of renewed inflation. Gas prices are surging again, hitting an average of \$4.10 per gallon on Friday and poised to climb higher. These rising costs at the pump are a direct result of the jump in global oil prices.” [NBC News, [7/24/26](#)]

### **Back In March, Ciscomani Said That The War Is “Coming To An End Quickly”**

#### **March 2026: Ciscomani Said The Iran War Was “Coming To An End Quickly”**

**Ciscomani On Iran: “The Conflict Is Moving At The Speed That The President Said It Should Be. And From What We Heard From Leadership This Morning, That Is That's Coming To An End Quickly Here.”** HOST: “Right. You sit on the House Committee on Veterans Affairs. You also have two major military installations within the counties that your district, your congressional district represents. That would be Fort Huachuca in Cochise County and a Davis-Monthan Air Force Base in Pima County.

With that in mind, you're you're a House Committee on Veterans Affairs. You know a lot about what war does to veterans. So are you for sending ground troops in to the the Iran conflict? CISCOMANI: "We're not there yet. No, no troops are needed on the ground at this point. The conflict is moving at the speed that the president said it should be. And from what we heard from leadership this morning, that is that's coming to an end quickly here. They're they're meeting the benchmarks that that they want on this in order to avoid any kind of escalated effort on that and having troops on the ground. So that's not being discussed right now, as far as I know, at least in the rooms that I've been in. What I am for is to end this conflict immediately and make sure that there's peace in that region, that our enemies are disarmed and they don't have a capability of launching a nuclear attack against the United States or their own neighbors in the Middle East, threatening peace. So that that is the main objective here. We're meeting that at this point. And but but this this. Absolutely. Everybody wants it to end as soon as possible. And that's that's my objective as well." [KTAR Political Podcast, 00: 10:39, [03/25/26](#)] (VIDEO)

### May 2026: Juan Ciscomani Said The Iran War Was "Very Close To Being Over"

**May 2026: Juan Ciscomani Said The Iran War Was "Very Close To Being Over."** "A Republican congressman in Arizona is sticking to his guns about the Iran war. Asked by AZPM on Monday whether he believes the war has been worth it, U.S. Rep. Juan Ciscomani said the conflict is 'very close to being over.' 'This is something that the whole world talked about needed to be done, for generations and for decades. And no one ever did anything about it, and now President Trump took the action. The world is a safer place without the Iranian regime that was there. And this process is coming to an end very close here. We're going to see the benefits in the long run,' Ciscomani said at a veterans' roundtable event with VA Secretary Doug Collins in Tucson. He did not specify why he believes the conflict is almost over. Ciscomani represents Arizona's 6th Congressional District in the U.S. House of Representatives." [AZPM, [5/4/26](#)]

- **Ciscomani On The War In Iran: "This Is Something That The Whole World Talked About Needed To Be Done, For Generations And For Decades. And No One Ever Did Anything About It, And Now President Trump Took The Action."** "A Republican congressman in Arizona is sticking to his guns about the Iran war. Asked by AZPM on Monday whether he believes the war has been worth it, U.S. Rep. Juan Ciscomani said the conflict is 'very close to being over.' 'This is something that the whole world talked about needed to be done, for generations and for decades. And no one ever did anything about it, and now President Trump took the action. The world is a safer place without the Iranian regime that was there. And this process is coming to an end very close here. We're going to see the benefits in the long run,' Ciscomani said at a veterans' roundtable event with VA Secretary Doug Collins in Tucson. He did not specify why he believes the conflict is almost over. Ciscomani represents Arizona's 6th Congressional District in the U.S. House of Representatives." [AZPM, [5/4/26](#)]
- **Ciscomani Said The United States Was "Going To See The Benefits In The Long Run."** "A Republican congressman in Arizona is sticking to his guns about the Iran war. Asked by AZPM on Monday whether he believes the war has been worth it, U.S. Rep. Juan Ciscomani said the conflict is 'very close to being over.' 'This is something that the whole world talked about needed to be done, for generations and for decades. And no one ever did anything about it, and now President Trump took the action. The world is a safer place without the Iranian regime that was there. And this process is coming to an end very close here. We're going to see the benefits in the long run,' Ciscomani said at a veterans' roundtable event with VA Secretary Doug Collins in Tucson. He did not specify why he believes the conflict is almost over. Ciscomani represents Arizona's 6th Congressional District in the U.S. House of Representatives." [AZPM, [5/4/26](#)]

Headline: “Ciscomani: Iran War Is ‘Very Close To Being Over’” [AZPM, [5/4/26](#)]

## **But We Still Have Over 50,000 Troops Deployed To The Region And The Price Of Gas Is About \$5 A Gallon**

### **September 2026: The U.S. Military Maintained 50,000 Troops In The Middle East To Support Military Operations In Iran**

**September 2026: The U.S. Military Maintained 50,000 Troops In The Middle East To Support Military Operations In Iran.** “When the U.S. launched its campaign against Iran six months ago, Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth promised a quick, decisive blow that would avoid a long entanglement. Now, he is quietly extending troop deployments in a sign that the conflict could drag well into next year, according to people familiar with the matter...As Trump waits to see if his strategy will work, the U.S. military is maintaining a presence of 50,000 troops in the region in part to give the president flexibility on next steps. Current missions include intercepting Iranian missiles, providing cover for commercial ships in the strait and blockading Iranian ports and coastal areas. U.S. forces also stand ready to launch larger offensive attacks should Trump choose to escalate the war.” [Wall Street Journal, [9/2/26](#)]

### **September 22, 2026: Arizona’s Average Gas Price Was \$4.7057 Per Gallon**

**September 22, 2026: Arizona’s Average Gas Price Was \$4.7057 Per Gallon.** [AAA, Arizona, accessed [9/22/26](#)]

**The Iran War Led To Higher Gas Prices As Global Oil Prices Increased.** “The affordability crisis is revving up again. The war with Iran and President Donald Trump’s global tariff agenda are pushing prices higher across the country and raising fears of renewed inflation. Gas prices are surging again, hitting an average of \$4.10 per gallon on Friday and poised to climb higher. These rising costs at the pump are a direct result of the jump in global oil prices.” [NBC News, [7/24/26](#)]

## **Juan Ciscomani Message #2 Backup**

*Juan Ciscomani bowed to Trump instead of standing with Arizona’s veterans. He said he was “thrilled” to work with DOGE, which gutted veterans’ healthcare, and cut hundreds of positions at VA hospitals across Arizona. Now nearly 80,000 veterans living in the district face longer wait times and may even need to travel out of state to get the healthcare they have earned.*

## **Juan Ciscomani Bowed To Trump Instead Of Standing With Arizona’s Veterans. He Said He Was "Thrilled" To Work With DOGE, Which Gutted Veterans’ Healthcare**

### **Ciscomani Said He Was “Thrilled To Join The DOGE Caucus To Work Closely With President-Elect Trump’s Newly Formed Department Of Government Efficiency”**

**Ciscomani Said He Was “Thrilled To Join The DOGE Caucus To Work Closely With President-Elect Trump’s Newly Formed Department Of Government Efficiency.”** “‘The United States’ national debt recently surpassed \$36 trillion and is becoming increasingly unsustainable every day, risking our nation’s fiscal stability,’ said Ciscomani. ‘This is why I am thrilled to join the DOGE Caucus to work

closely with President-elect Trump's newly formed Department of Government Efficiency to reduce waste, cut unnecessary red-tape, streamline bloated government bureaucracies, safeguard taxpayer dollars, and put America back on the path of fiscal responsibility.' On December 5th, Ciscomani attended a meeting on DOGE alongside Speaker of the House Mike Johnson, Elon Musk, Vivek Ramaswamy, and fellow House colleagues." [U.S. Rep. Juan Ciscomani, press release, [12/6/24](#)]

**HEADLINE: "Arizona Congressman 'Thrilled' To Work With Elon Musk's DOGE."** [12News, [12/6/24](#)]

### **DOGE Cuts To The VA "Have Had Tangible Effects On Care Delivery" And The VA Reported 40,000 Fewer Employees After Its Implementation**

**Business Insider: Cuts To The VA "Have Had Tangible Effects On Care Delivery" According To A Report From Senate Committee On Veterans' Affairs Democrats.** "A scathing report released this week by Democratic congressional staff sharply criticized the Department of Veterans Affairs, accusing the agency of reducing its healthcare capacity after its workforce shrank by tens of thousands of jobs in the past fiscal year. The report, issued by Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs Democrats, argues that the sweeping overhaul of the VA has weakened its ability to deliver care, a criticism the department firmly rejected in an email to Business Insider...Cuts have had tangible effects on care delivery, the report said. Wait times for new mental health appointments average 35 days, and in many states over 40 days, more than double the VA's threshold, it said. The report also cites facility closures, canceled therapy programs, and new limits on one-on-one mental health sessions tied to staffing shortages." [Business Insider, [1/25/26](#)]

- **The Trump Administration's Cuts To The Department Of Veterans Affairs Affected Veterans Access To Healthcare, Including Increased Wait Times, Closed Facilities, Canceled Therapy Programs, And Created New Limits On One-On-One Mental Health Sessions Due To Staffing Shortages.** "A scathing report released this week by Democratic congressional staff sharply criticized the Department of Veterans Affairs, accusing the agency of reducing its healthcare capacity after its workforce shrank by tens of thousands of jobs in the past fiscal year. The report, issued by Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs Democrats, argues that the sweeping overhaul of the VA has weakened its ability to deliver care, a criticism the department firmly rejected in an email to Business Insider...Cuts have had tangible effects on care delivery, the report said. Wait times for new mental health appointments average 35 days, and in many states over 40 days, more than double the VA's threshold, it said. The report also cites facility closures, canceled therapy programs, and new limits on one-on-one mental health sessions tied to staffing shortages." [Business Insider, [1/25/26](#)]

**DOGE Canceled Contracts With Companies That Helped The VA Register Cancer Patients Or Provide Health Care Support To Veterans.** "Ten months into the Trump administration, the nation's military veterans doing business with Washington are feeling the sting of President Donald Trump's efforts to shrink the federal government and DOGE's crusade to combat what it calls waste, fraud and abuse. The fallout has hit hundreds of veteran-owned businesses across the country. Two-thirds of the contracts DOGE canceled at the Department of Veterans Affairs this year were with veteran-owned businesses. Some of those contracts were helping the VA register cancer patients or provide health care support to veterans, services the VA said are now being performed in-house. And few constituencies draw more support from across the political spectrum than veterans." [Politico, [11/22/25](#)]

**2025: The Department Of Veterans Affairs Lost 40,000 Employees As A Result Of DOGE.** "Actions taken by President Donald Trump, VA Secretary Doug Collins and the Department of Government Efficiency, or DOGE, in the past year have affected services and research at the VA, harmed workers and

delayed care and benefits to veterans, said Connecticut Sen. Richard Blumenthal, ranking Democrat on the Senate Veterans Affairs Committee... The VA has also lost 40,000 employees in the past year, 88% of whom worked in the Veterans Health Administration, according to the report. Beginning last year, the VA originally targeted 80,000 jobs for elimination through voluntary retirements, resignations and layoffs but later said it would trim 30,000 through attrition.” [Military Times, [1/22/26](#)]

- **Eighty-Eight Percent, Or Around 35,200, Of The Lost VA Positions Were Under The Veterans Health Administration.** “Actions taken by President Donald Trump, VA Secretary Doug Collins and the Department of Government Efficiency, or DOGE, in the past year have affected services and research at the VA, harmed workers and delayed care and benefits to veterans, said Connecticut Sen. Richard Blumenthal, ranking Democrat on the Senate Veterans Affairs Committee... The VA has also lost 40,000 employees in the past year, 88% of whom worked in the Veterans Health Administration, according to the report. Beginning last year, the VA originally targeted 80,000 jobs for elimination through voluntary retirements, resignations and layoffs but later said it would trim 30,000 through attrition.” [Military Times, [1/22/26](#)]

**11,273 Veterans Affairs Employees Nationwide Applied For Deferred Resignation As A Result Of DOGE.** “Data that employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs have shared with NPR shows that 11,273 agency employees nationwide have applied for deferred resignation, which the Trump administration is offering as part of its DOGE initiative to cut the VA's workforce by 15%. The top positions across all networks that are requesting deferred resignation are nurses (about 1,300), medical support assistants (about 800) and social workers (about 300).” [NPR, [5/10/25](#)]

## **And Cut Hundreds Of Positions At VA Hospitals Across Arizona**


### **2025: Arizona Lost 575 VA Employees, Making Up Over 5% Of Staff, Including Multiple VA Therapists Who Provided Hospice And Palliative Care To Arizona Veterans**

**The Trump Administration Fired Multiple VA Therapists Who Provided Hospice And Palliative Care To Arizona Veterans.** “Fray and another VA therapist who was laid off by the Trump administration also described providing hospice and palliative care for Arizona veterans. Fray, like many probationary federal employees, was let go in an email on Monday afternoon. She received a message from a name she didn’t recognize with the subject line ‘Termination During Probation Notice.’” [Arizona Republic, [2/27/25](#)]

**2025: Arizona Lost 575 VA Employees, Making Up Over 5% Of Staff.**

## Every State Has Lost Veterans Affairs Staff

Number of Veterans Affairs staff lost by state, January to December 2025



Source: CBPP analysis of OPM EHRI status data

CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES | CBPP.ORG

[Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [2/20/26](#)]

## December 2025: The Department Of Veterans Affairs Announced It Planned To Eliminate 358 Job Openings At The Phoenix VA, Which Included Nurses And Doctors

**December 2025: The Department Of Veterans Affairs Announced It Planned To Eliminate 358 Job Openings At The Phoenix VA.** “The Department of Veterans Affairs plans to abruptly eliminate as many as 35,000 health care positions this month, mostly unfilled jobs including doctors, nurses and support staff, according to an internal memo, VA staffers and congressional aides...In Phoenix, 358 openings will be eliminated, including nurses and doctors, according to a nurse who said the losses will hit as they are already behind in scheduling doctors appointments.” [Washington Post, [12/13/25](#)]

- **The Open Positions Eliminated At The Phoenix VA Included Nurses And Doctors.** “The Department of Veterans Affairs plans to abruptly eliminate as many as 35,000 health care positions this month, mostly unfilled jobs including doctors, nurses and support staff, according to an internal memo, VA staffers and congressional aides...In Phoenix, 358 openings will be eliminated, including nurses and doctors, according to a nurse who said the losses will hit as they are already behind in scheduling doctors appointments.” [Washington Post, [12/13/25](#)]
- **May 2026: VA Secretary Collins Confirmed That The Department Did Not Fill Job Vacancies At VA Facilities.** “Once again, veterans affairs secretary Doug Collins and U.S. Rep. Juan Ciscomani visited Tucson together. But the scene wasn’t the same as last spring. At a veterans’ issues roundtable on Monday, Collins said VA services have improved despite cuts while Ciscomani touted his work on veterans’ issues as he faces a stiff reelection battle in Arizona’s veteran-heavy 6th Congressional District. About one year ago, the duo had visited Tucson as Collins rolled out plans to cut his department’s workforce by 15%, or about 80,000 employees. Around the time of their visit, protests against the cuts became a regular sight outside the Tucson VA Medical Center on South 6th Avenue. ‘When I was here last year, I was new and green,’ Collins said on Monday. Those mass layoffs did

not happen. Collins said his department decided not to fill many vacancies but that hiring continues, including for positions initially left unfilled.” [AZPM, [5/5/26](#)]

## Now Nearly 80,000 Veterans Living In The District Face Longer Wait Times

### Nearly 80,000 Veterans Lived In AZ-06

**2024: AZ-06 Had An Estimated 76,457 Civilian Veterans.** “Congressional District 6 (119th Congress), Arizona Source: 2024 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates [...] Veteran Status Estimate Civilian population 18 years and over 671,898 Civilian veterans 76,457” [United States Census Bureau, accessed [4/10/26](#)]

### The VA Had Longer Wait Times For Neurology, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, And Oncology Treatment At Half Of Its Facilities In FY2026 Compared To FY2025

**An Arizona Veteran Said, “We Are Hearing Through Veterans’ Groups Examples Such As Appointment Times Are A Little Hard To Get, My Provider Is Not There Anymore, Or It’s Not What It Used To Be.”** “After 170 doctors affiliated with the VA healthcare system sounded a warning last week about risks to veteran care, Arizona military veterans gathered on Monday to echo their concerns. ‘Anecdotally, we are hearing through veterans’ groups examples such as appointment times are a little hard to get, my provider is not there anymore, or it’s not what it used to be,’ said Chris Hill, a 20-year Army veteran and member of the nonprofit Common Defense.” [12News, [9/25/25](#)]

**April 2026: VA Facilities Struggled To Provide Veterans With Timely Access To Care In Areas Like Neurology, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Treatment And Oncology According To Internal Department Data.** “The Trump administration has frequently said that appointment wait times at the Veterans Affairs Department are improving despite widespread reductions to its workforce, but internal data reveal a varied picture of how long patients are waiting for health care in some facilities and specialties. Many VA facilities are struggling to provide veterans with timely access to care in areas like neurology, post-traumatic stress disorder treatment and oncology, according to data obtained through the Freedom of Information Act. Some facilities and some specialties have fared better, allowing veterans to access appointments more quickly, but the data does not show consistent, comprehensive progress toward faster care for new patients.” [Government Executive, [4/16/26](#)]

- **Half Of VA Facilities Providing Oncology Care Reported Worsened Wait Time In FY2026 Compared To FY2025.** “Government Executive analyzed average wait times for new patients in the first four months of fiscal 2026, October 2025 to January 2026, and compared it to the same period of fiscal 2025...Progress on wait times is mixed across different specialties. Although about 36% of locations taking new urology patients saw improvements in average wait times, the wait times at half of the VHA’s locations in the dataset got worse. Wait times for the remainder were stable. Half of the locations offering oncology appointments also saw worsening wait times, with only 31% improving. For VHA locations offering treatment for substance use, PTSD and neurology, about 48% of facilities saw deterioration in wait times, with only 35%, 45% and 44% seeing improvements, respectively.” [Government Executive, [4/16/26](#)]
- **48% Of VA Facilities Providing Substance Use, PTSD And Neurology Care Reported Worsened Wait Time In FY2026 Compared To FY2025.** “Government Executive analyzed average wait times for new patients in the first four months of fiscal 2026, October 2025 to January 2026, and compared it to the same period of fiscal 2025...Progress on wait times is mixed across

different specialties. Although about 36% of locations taking new urology patients saw improvements in average wait times, the wait times at half of the VHA's locations in the dataset got worse. Wait times for the remainder were stable. Half of the locations offering oncology appointments also saw worsening wait times, with only 31% improving. For VHA locations offering treatment for substance use, PTSD and neurology, about 48% of facilities saw deterioration in wait times, with only 35%, 45% and 44% seeing improvements, respectively." [Government Executive, [4/16/26](#)]

**As Of July 2025, The Average Wait Time Nationally To Schedule Outpatient Surgery Appointments For New VA Patients Was 41 Days, Which Was 13 Days Higher Than The Goal Set By The VA And Nearly Two Days Longer In 2024.** "While wait times for primary, mental health and specialty care for existing patients did increase during Biden's presidency, the VA's statistics show only slight reductions since Trump took office in January. However, appointment wait times for new patients seeking primary and specialty care have slightly increased, according to a report obtained by ProPublica. As of early July, the average wait time nationally to schedule outpatient surgery appointments for new patients was 41 days, which is 13 days higher than the goal set by the VA and nearly two days longer than a year ago." [Tucson Sentinel, [8/9/25](#)]

### **And May Even Need To Travel Out Of State To Get The Healthcare They Have Earned**

#### **The Trump Administration Wanted "More Veterans To Seek Treatment Outside The Government System," Which Could Divert Resources Away From The VA And Force Its Facilities To Close**

**Washington Post: The Trump Administration Wanted "More Veterans To Seek Treatment Outside The Government System."** "The Department of Veterans Affairs plans to abruptly eliminate as many as 35,000 health care positions this month, mostly unfilled jobs including doctors, nurses and support staff, according to an internal memo, VA staffers and congressional aides. This reorganization comes in advance of an expected announcement next week that Collins plans to also shrink the network of 18 regional offices that administer the nation's VA hospitals and medical centers, according to four people familiar with the plan. Staff at those regional offices help determine policies and manage staffing...But the Trump administration has said it wants more veterans to seek treatment outside the government system. Political appointees at VA and their allies have also said they favor a leaner health care workforce because they think physicians and other health care providers could be more productive, said one former appointee who is close to the Trump team." [Washington Post, [12/13/25](#)]

- **VA Sectary Doug Collins Announced A Plan To Reduce The Network Of 18 Regional Offices That Administer The Nation's VA Hospitals And Medical Centers.** "The Department of Veterans Affairs plans to abruptly eliminate as many as 35,000 health care positions this month, mostly unfilled jobs including doctors, nurses and support staff, according to an internal memo, VA staffers and congressional aides. This reorganization comes in advance of an expected announcement next week that Collins plans to also shrink the network of 18 regional offices that administer the nation's VA hospitals and medical centers, according to four people familiar with the plan. Staff at those regional offices help determine policies and manage staffing...But the Trump administration has said it wants more veterans to seek treatment outside the government system. Political appointees at VA and their allies have also said they favor a leaner health care workforce because they think physicians and other health care providers could be more productive, said one former appointee who is close to the Trump team." [Washington Post, [12/13/25](#)]

- **Political Appointees At VA Said They Favored A Reduce VA Health Care Workforce.** “The Department of Veterans Affairs plans to abruptly eliminate as many as 35,000 health care positions this month, mostly unfilled jobs including doctors, nurses and support staff, according to an internal memo, VA staffers and congressional aides. This reorganization comes in advance of an expected announcement next week that Collins plans to also shrink the network of 18 regional offices that administer the nation’s VA hospitals and medical centers, according to four people familiar with the plan. Staff at those regional offices help determine policies and manage staffing...But the Trump administration has said it wants more veterans to seek treatment outside the government system. Political appointees at VA and their allies have also said they favor a leaner health care workforce because they think physicians and other health care providers could be more productive, said one former appointee who is close to the Trump team.” [Washington Post, [12/13/25](#)]

**The Hill: In A Letter, Nearly 100 Doctors Who Have Practiced At The US Department Of Veterans Affairs Warned “Staffing Cuts And Aggressive Privatization Moves” Could Force VA Facilities To Close.** “Nearly 100 doctors who have practiced at the US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) issued a mass letter on Wednesday raising ‘urgent concerns’ about Trump administration policies that they said will ‘negatively affect the lives of all veterans.’ The letter sent to congressional leaders, Doug Collins, the VA secretary, and the agency’s inspector general marks the first time VA physicians have spoken collectively about staffing cuts and aggressive privatization moves at the nation’s largest integrated healthcare system. ‘We have witnessed these ongoing harms and can provide evidence and testimony of their impacts,’ said the letter, which was signed by roughly 170 physicians, psychologists and other health workers in all. If the trend continues, these current and former staffers said, VA ‘facilities may be forced to close, and veterans may be forced into costlier, often overburdened community health systems ill-equipped to meet their specialized needs.’” [The Guardian, [9/24/25](#)]

- **The Hill: The Letter Warned “Rapid Growth In The Outsourcing Of Veterans’ Healthcare To Private Doctors ‘Threatens To Divert Resources’ From The VA’s High-Quality Direct Care.”** “The letter raises concerns that widespread staff cuts are being made without clear objectives or assessments of their impact on veterans’ access to healthcare. It also says rapid growth in the outsourcing of veterans’ healthcare to private doctors ‘threatens to divert resources’ from the VA’s high-quality direct care.” [The Guardian, [9/24/25](#)]

## Juan Ciscomani Message #3 Backup

*Ciscomani bowed to Trump again when he voted to cut our healthcare and raise our premiums to pay for more billionaire tax cuts – leading to a nearly 30 percent spike in premiums and more than 100,000 Arizonans dropping their coverage. After promising he wouldn’t vote to cut Medicaid, Ciscomani cast the deciding vote for the biggest cut to Medicaid in history, raising healthcare costs for all of us and putting our hospitals at risk.*

**Ciscomani Bowd To Trump Again When He Voted To Cut Our Healthcare And Raise Our Premiums To Pay For More Billionaire Tax Cuts**

**Ciscomani Repeatedly Voted Against Extending ACA Tax Credits**

---

**May 2025: Ciscomani Voted For The House’s Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill**

---

**May 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In May 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321

billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans.** “The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

---

### **July 2025: Ciscomani Voted For Final Passage Of The Senate Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill Act,**

---

**July 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In July 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans.** “The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

---

### **January 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against A Three-Year ACA Tax Credit Extension**

---

**January 2026: Ciscomani Voted Against A Three-Year ACA Tax Credit Extension.** “Ciscomani said he voted no because the subsidies for health insurance purchased through the Affordable Care Act, which

started during the COVID-19 pandemic, boosted insurance company profits at the expense of the American people. ‘The tax credits were a temporary Band-Aid, not a sustainable solution,’ he said Friday in a statement to KTAR News 92.3 FM. Ciscomani said he’d support an extension that includes reforms to mitigate fraud and abuse of the program. ‘In December, I and a majority of my colleagues in the House voted for legislation that represented a step in the right direction. We need to work on a solution that prevents rates from climbing, institutes reforms and protects the services for those in need. The bill we considered today (Thursday) didn’t accomplish any of that, and that is why I voted no.’” [KTAR News, [1/9/26](#)]

### **2026: ACA Health Insurance Premiums In Arizona Increased Nearly 30% After Republicans In Congress Refused To Extend ACA Tax Credits**

**Insurance Premiums On The ACA Marketplace For Arizona Increased Nearly 30% After Republicans In Congress Refused To Extend ACA Tax Credits** “About 70,000 fewer Arizonans have signed up for health insurance through the Affordable Care Act after Republicans in Congress refused to extend tax credits and premiums soared. One analysis found that insurance premiums on the ACA marketplace for Arizona jumped nearly 30% on average. Data released this week by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services show that 353,000 Arizonans have signed up for 2026 ACA insurance plans, nearly 17% fewer than purchased plans for 2025. The open enrollment period for the ACA ends on Thursday.” [Arizona Mirror, [1/14/26](#)]

### **The One Big Beautiful Bill Gave Billions In Tax Breaks To The Ultra-Wealthy At The Expense Of Working Families**

#### **The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest Giving Them Tax Cuts Three Times Greater Than For Working Americans**

**The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest Americans.** “The One Big Beautiful Bill includes the largest tax cuts in U.S. history. Whether it’s actually ‘beautiful’ is in the eye of the beholder. At every income level taxes should subside. That’s a big selling point from President Donald Trump and fellow Republicans who pushed the law through Congress with no Democratic support. It will benefit everyone. But the biggest cuts go to the wealthiest taxpayers – the ones who pay the lion’s share of taxes. For most families the savings will be miniscule in comparison, in raw dollars and as a share of income. And millions will lose subsidized health insurance and other benefits. Critics see a plan that widens income inequality and, worse, offsets tax cuts for the wealthy with draconian spending cuts that hit lower-income households.” [Cronkite News, [7/16/25](#)]

**The House-Passed Republican Reconciliation Bill Extended The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act Which Skewed In Favor Of The Wealthy By Providing Them Tax Cuts That Were Three Times Greater Than For Working Americans.** “The tax provisions of the House Republican reconciliation bill double down on the failures of the 2017 tax law, which was skewed in favor of the richest people in the country, further eroded the nation’s revenue base, and didn’t produce the promised economic gains for working people.[1] Instead of changing course and prioritizing people with low and moderate incomes as House Republicans’ rhetoric about supporting hard-pressed working families would suggest, the House Republican tax bill only offers more of the same. The bill is heavily skewed to the wealthy: Under the bill, the top 1 percent of people would receive tax cuts three times the size of those for people with incomes in the bottom 60 percent, measured as a share of after-tax income. (See Figure 1.) The top 0.6 percent of people — the 1.2 million people with annual incomes above \$1 million — would receive more total tax cuts than the 127 million people with incomes below \$100,000. [...] The bill extends and expands the 2017 tax law’s increase in the estate tax exemption, boosting the cut in estate taxes for the 1

in 1,000 wealthiest estates to \$6.3 million.[3] Next year a couple could pass on an estate worth up to \$30 million tax free, \$1.7 million more than under a simple extension of the 2017 law.” [CBPP, [5/22/25](#)]

**CBS: “Like Penn Wharton, Other Researchers Have Said The Republican Bill Is Likely To Benefit Wealthy Americans At The Expense Of People Lower Down The Ladder.”** “Like Penn Wharton, other researchers have said the Republican bill is likely to benefit wealthy Americans at the expense of people lower down the ladder. The measure would likely reduce the financial resources available to the lowest-earning 10% of U.S. households by \$1,600 per year, or almost 4% of their annual income, according to a report published earlier this month by the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office. White House officials have previously questioned the CBO's scoring of the bill. But the highest-earning 10% of households would see a gain of \$12,000 per year in resources, while middle-income households would see a gain of \$500 to \$1,000, the CBO projected. Its analysis is based on the bill's tax breaks, as well as reductions for federal programs and reductions in state funds for safety net programs such as Medicaid and food stamps. In considering the impact of higher U.S. debt on future generations, the cost would come in the form of lower wages and higher costs, such as more expensive mortgages, Smetters said.” [CBS News, [6/26/25](#)]

**The Hill: “The Republicans’ ‘Big, Beautiful Bill’ Will Make The Poorest Americans Even Poorer, While Padding The Wallets Of The Highest Earners The Most, According To An Analysis Released Monday By Congress’s Budget Arm.”** “The Republicans’ ‘big, beautiful bill’ will make the poorest Americans even poorer, while padding the wallets of the highest earners the most, according to an analysis released Monday by Congress’s budget arm. The assessment, conducted by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) at the request of top Democrats, found that the top 10 percent of earners in the country will see an average boost of \$13,600 per year over the next decade as a direct result of provisions in the law, while the bottom 10 percent will see an average annual decrease of \$1,200. The report challenges the arguments made by President Trump and other Republicans that the massive domestic policy package would benefit workers at all levels of wealth and income. And it’s given fuel to the attacks from Democrats that the legislation was, all along, designed to help the wealthiest people at the expense of the working poor.” [The Hill, [8/11/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: “Budget Office Says GOP’s ‘Big, Beautiful Bill’ Will Make Rich Richer, Poor Poorer.”** [Hill, [8/11/25](#)]

## **Leading To A Nearly 30 Percent Spike In Premiums And More Than 100,000 Arizonans Dropping Their Coverage**

### **2026: ACA Health Insurance Premiums In Arizona Increased Nearly 30% After Republicans In Congress Refused To Extend ACA Tax Credits**

**Insurance Premiums On The ACA Marketplace For Arizona Increased Nearly 30% After Republicans In Congress Refused To Extend ACA Tax Credits** “About 70,000 fewer Arizonans have signed up for health insurance through the Affordable Care Act after Republicans in Congress refused to extend tax credits and premiums soared. One analysis found that insurance premiums on the ACA marketplace for Arizona jumped nearly 30% on average. Data released this week by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services show that 353,000 Arizonans have signed up for 2026 ACA insurance plans, nearly 17% fewer than purchased plans for 2025. The open enrollment period for the ACA ends on Thursday.” [Arizona Mirror, [1/14/26](#)]


## 107,183 Fewer Arizonans Signed Up For Insurance Through The Affordable Care Act In 2026 Compared To 2025

### 107,183 Fewer Arizonans Signed Up For Insurance Through The Affordable Care Act In 2026 Compared To 2025.

#### Healthcare enrollments drop

Enrollments for healthcare coverage under the Affordable Care Act declined by more than 2.5 million in February compared to those a year ago, according to federal data.

Percent change in enrollments by state  
Feb. 2025 to Feb. 2026



Data is for effectuated enrollment, defined as the number of people who have enrolled and paid premiums for the coverage to take effect.  
Source: AP analysis of data from U.S. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

AP

[Associated Press, [7/6/26](#)]

## After Promising He Wouldn't Vote To Cut Medicaid

### April 2025: Ciscomani Signed Onto A Letter Telling House Republican Leadership He “Cannot And Will Not” Vote For Legislation That Reduced Medicaid Coverage

**April 2025: Ciscomani Signed Onto A Letter Telling House Republican Leadership He “Cannot And Will Not” Vote For Legislation That Reduced Medicaid Coverage.** “U.S. Congressman Juan Ciscomani is ramping up pressure to protect Medicaid, telling House Republican leadership in a letter signed by 12 other colleagues that they ‘cannot and will not’ vote for legislation that reduces Medicaid coverage for those who need it. ‘Efficiency and transparency must be prioritized for program beneficiaries, hospitals, and states,’ the lawmakers wrote. ‘We support targeted reforms to improve program integrity, reduce improper payments, and modernize delivery systems to fix flaws in the program that divert resources away from children, seniors, individuals with disabilities, and pregnant women – those who the program was intended to help. However, we cannot and will not support a final reconciliation bill that includes any reduction in Medicaid coverage for vulnerable populations.’” [Rep. Juan Ciscomani, Press Release, [4/17/25](#)]

- **Ciscomani Said He “Cannot And Will Not” Cut Medicaid, Citing Potential Adverse Effects On Rural And Predominantly Hispanic Communities But Voted For The OBBB, Which Cut**

**Federal Medicaid Funding By Nearly \$1 Trillion Over The Next Decade.** “Healthcare leaders in Southern Arizona are voicing concerns about potential federal funding cuts that could significantly impact the state's healthcare system. These cuts, backed by Rep. Juan Ciscomani, could lead to hospital closures, job losses, and disruptions in healthcare services across Arizona. During a Chamber of Southern Arizona event, executives from Banner University Medical Center, TMC Health, and El Rio Health discussed the implications of the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill.’ This budget package proposes cutting around \$1 trillion from federal healthcare programs...Rep. Juan Ciscomani previously stated he ‘cannot and will not’ cut Medicaid, citing potential adverse effects on rural and predominantly Hispanic communities. However, he later supported a budget bill that slashes federal Medicaid funding by nearly \$1 trillion over the next decade.” [KVOA, [11/4/25](#)]

## **Ciscomani Cast The Deciding Vote For The Biggest Cut To Medicaid In History**

### **May 2025: Ciscomani Was The Deciding Vote On H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act**

**May 2025: Ciscomani Was The Deciding Vote On H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In May 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/26](#)]

**July 2025: Ciscomani Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In July 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

## **The One Big Beautiful Bill Included The Largest Cuts To Medicaid In The Program's History**

**The Hill: With One Big Beautiful Bill, Republicans Passed The Largest Cuts To Medicaid Since The Program Began In The 1960's.** “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

## **Raising Healthcare Costs For All Of Us And Putting Our Hospitals At Risk**

### **Cuts To Medicaid Could Accelerate Health Care Provider Consolidation And Reduce Competition In Hospital Markets, Which Would Lead To Increased Private Insurance Costs**

**Third Way: “Medicaid Cuts Would Squeeze Health Care Providers And Accelerate Consolidation—Further Raising Prices On Care For Patients With Private Insurance.”** “As part of the partisan reconciliation process, congressional Republicans are considering \$880 billion in cuts to Medicaid. While these cuts would severely impact the health and finances of low-income Americans, the consequences would reach far beyond Medicaid enrollees. Medicaid cuts would destabilize the broader health care system, ultimately driving up costs for employers and workers who rely on private insurance. In this memo, we unpack how workers and employers are struggling with health care costs. We then examine how Medicaid cuts would squeeze health care providers and accelerate consolidation—further raising prices on care for patients with private insurance.” [Third Way, [5/1/25](#)]

**Third Way: “If Republicans Are Successful In Cutting Up To \$880 Billion From The Medicaid Program, Employers And Patients With Private Insurance Will See Their Costs Rise As A Result Of Reduced Competition In Hospital Markets.”** “The problem is that when a hospital is acquired by a larger system, prices increase. For example, after North Carolina-based Mission Health was acquired by HCA Healthcare, patients saw significant price hikes. Hospital mergers can lead to price increases of up to 65%. As more struggling hospitals are absorbed by larger systems, we will see higher prices and reduced competition in hospital markets. If Republicans are successful in cutting up to \$880 billion from the Medicaid program, employers and patients with private insurance will see their costs rise as a result of reduced competition in hospital markets.” [Third Way, [5/1/25](#)]

- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Cut More Than \$1 Trillion In Medicaid And Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Funding.** “The nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimated that the OBBBA will cut federal spending on Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) benefits by \$1.02 trillion, due in part to eliminating at least 10.5 million people from the programs by 2034. With new federal limits on Medicaid eligibility likely increasing the number of uninsured, along with other provisions that restrict states' ability to raise revenue to fund their Medicaid programs, states will have to reevaluate their budgets to either supplement the spending or cut services. Research shows that when federal funding for Medicaid decreases, states tend to cut optional benefits such as home- and community-based (HCBS) first. It is nearly impossible to carve out a specific population, such as disabled people or elderly people, because the cuts to Medicaid funding will affect everyone due to hospital closures and health care workforce layoffs.” [Center for American Progress, [7/3/25](#)]

## **10 Hospitals In And Around Ciscomani's District Were Expected To Lose Approximately \$110,151,000 In Medicaid Revenue Annually Due To Medicaid Cuts In The OBBB**

### **Arizona Mirror: 10 Hospitals In And Around Ciscomani's District Were Expected To Lose Approximately \$110,151,000 In Medicaid Revenue Annually Due To Medicaid Cuts In The OBBB.**

“Tucson Republican U.S. Rep. Juan Ciscomani had drawn a line in the sand, saying he couldn’t vote for a budget bill that cut Medicaid. Five weeks later, he strolled across that line, voting for a Trump-endorsed spending plan that cuts nearly \$1 trillion from Medicaid. A week later, he visited a rural hospital in his Southern Arizona district that is expected to lose over \$700,000 annually because of the cuts. That was one of several rural health care facilities — all of which are now facing losses of millions of dollars — he visited in the coming weeks, the Arizona Mirror found. Last year’s budget reconciliation bill, dubbed the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act’ by President Donald Trump, slashed Medicaid by an estimated \$990 billion over the next 10 years, modified eligibility for accessing health care and implemented a slew of other changes that are set to push millions across the country off Medicaid and cost hospitals across the nation billions in revenue. An analysis by the Mirror of data gathered by the neoliberal think tank Third Way shows that 10 hospitals in and around Ciscomani’s district are set to lose approximately \$110,151,000 in Medicaid revenue annually. The hospitals directly located in Ciscomani’s Congressional District 6 — one of the most competitive in the country — are set to lose \$54,880,085 annually from the cuts.” [Arizona Mirror, [1/8/26](#)]

## **Juan Ciscomani Message #4 Backup**

*At a time when life is already too expensive, Ciscomani voted with Trump repeatedly to cut millions to Medicare, threatening seniors' hard earned Social Security benefits and forcing them to wait in long lines to access the funds they've spent their whole lives paying into.*

## **At A Time When Life Is Already Too Expensive, Ciscomani Voted With Trump Repeatedly To Cut Millions To Medicare**

## **2026: Arizona Consumers Paid More For Home Insurance, Fuel, And Groceries**

**June 2026: Arizona Home Insurance Rates Rose 71% Over 6 Years Due To Increase In Natural Disasters And Inflation.** “Arizona homeowners pay slightly less than the national average for their insurance coverage. But it may not feel like it, given a trend of notable rate hikes since 2020. According to a new report from the online insurance shopping tool, LendingTree, home insurance rates in the state rose 71% over six years. That’s the sixth-largest increase in the nation over that period.” [KJZZ, [6/17/26](#)]


**Headline: “Arizona Home Insurance Rates Rose 71% Over 6 Years Due To Increase In Natural Disasters, Inflation.”** [KJZZ, [6/17/26](#)]

**Arizona Consumers Paid An Average Of \$963 More In Fuel Between January And April Of 2026.** “According to a study by iSeeCars, annual fuel costs rose by \$963 in Arizona between January and April of this year, from \$1,678 to \$2,641. Only two states — Wyoming and Utah — experienced bigger spikes. Sometimes it’s great to get the bronze medal. This is not one of those cases.”

### 2026 increase in annual average fuel expenditure by state

Calculated from data gathered Jan. through April 2026.

Annual avg. increase in dollars  
595 704 812 921



Map: Lauren Antonoff Hart, Voice Media Group • Source: iSeeCars Study • Get the data • Created with Datawrapper

[Phoniex News Times, [6/24/26](#)]

**Headline: “Arizonans, Your 2026 Fuel Bill Is On Track To Be A Major Bummer.”** [Phoniex News Times, [6/24/26](#)]

**The Conflict With Iran And Tariffs Are Caused Grocery Prices Up By 10% Or More In 2026.** “The conflict with Iran and ongoing tariffs are driving grocery prices up by 10% or more this year, according to experts who said the combined pressures are creating a crisis for consumers. Lettuce prices in Arizona have risen about 15% this year, roughly 30 cents a head at the store, even though much of it is grown in the state. Food prices were already expected to jump in 2026 due to tariffs. ‘Some experts were expecting grocery prices to grow about 2.5 to 5% this year, and now that the conflict is going on, people are thinking that’s going to be 10-plus percent,’ personal finance expert John Kiernan said. ‘The war is kind of taking things into overdrive.’” [13 News, [4/9/26](#)]

**Headline: “13 FACT FINDERS: War, Tariffs, Packaging Drive Up Prices For Consumers.”** [13 News, [4/9/26](#)]

**Ciscomani Repeatedly Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill Act, Which Triggered \$490 Billion In Cuts To Medicare From 2027 To 2034 Due To The Statutory Pay As You Go Act Of 2010**

**May 2025: Ciscomani Was The Deciding Vote On H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In May 2025, Ciscomani voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing

payments for low-income individuals who've purchased "silver" plans on the 2010 health care law's exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions." The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/26](#)]

**July 3, 2025: Ciscomani Voted For HR 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** "It is known as a reconciliation bill and includes legislation submitted by several congressional committees pursuant to provisions in the FY2025 congressional budget resolution (H Con. Res. 14) that directed the committees to submit legislation to the House or Senate Budget Committee that will increase or decrease the deficit and increase the statutory debt limit by specified amounts." Ciscomani voted to concur with the U.S. Senate amendment, which passed the U.S. House 218-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

**Center For American Progress: OBBB Would Trigger \$490 Billion In Cuts To Medicare From 2027 To 2034 Due To The Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act Of 2010.** "The OBBBA prohibits the implementation of two finalized rules until October 1, 2034. The rules would have made it easier for very low-income Medicare enrollees to access Medicare Savings Programs (MSPs), which help cover premiums and cost-sharing for their Medicare benefits. This will disproportionately affect disabled people, as they are more likely to have lower incomes than nondisabled people. Second, the bill eliminates Medicare eligibility for people with lawful immigration status who have already paid into the program. Lastly, according to the CBO, absent future congressional action, the bill will trigger \$490 billion in cuts to Medicare from 2027 to 2034 due to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010." [Center for American Progress, [7/2/25](#)]

**Threatening Seniors' Hard Earned Social Security Benefits And Forcing Them To Wait In Long Lines To Access The Funds They've Spent Their Whole Lives Paying Into**

**Tax Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill Reduced The Amount Of Revenue Raised Into Social Security's Trust Funds, Which Resulted In A Predicted Funding Shortfall By 2032**

**The One Big Beautiful Bill Threatened Social Security's Solvency Because It Reduced Taxes For A Large Group Of Beneficiaries And Therefore Its Trust Fund Would Receive Less Revenue From Taxes Than It Would Have If The Law Hadn't Been Enacted.** "Americans' Social Security benefits will have to be cut by roughly a quarter in six years due to depleted funds, according to a June 9 report from the Social Security Board of Trustees. That's months sooner than the group had estimated in 2025. For years, the trustees and experts have been warning about Social Security's unstable financial footing. An aging population, low birth rates and worsening income inequality have driven the program to pay out more in benefits than it takes in from taxes... Trump tax bill: Although Trump's 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' didn't end all taxation on Social Security benefits as he promised, it did reduce taxes for a large group of beneficiaries. As a result, Social Security's trust fund received less revenue from taxes than it would have if the law hadn't been enacted." [PBS News, [6/26/26](#)]

- **Social Security Trust Funds Were Financial Accounts In The U.S. Treasury That Held Social Security Taxes And Paid Out Retirement, Survivors, And Disability Benefits.** “The Social Security trust funds are financial accounts in the U.S. Treasury. There are two separate Social Security trust funds, the Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) Trust Fund pays retirement and survivors benefits, and the Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Fund pays disability benefits. Social Security taxes and other income are deposited in these accounts, and Social Security benefits are paid from them. The only purposes for which these trust funds can be used are to pay benefits and program administrative costs.” [Social Security Administration, accessed [7/22/26](#)]

**Social Security's Retirement Trust Fund Was Projected To Face A Funding Shortfall In 2032 In Part Due To Reduced Trust Fund Revenue From Trump's 2025 Tax Bill.** “Social Security's retirement trust fund is projected to face a funding shortfall in 2032, a year earlier than last year's projections, according to an annual report released Tuesday, while Medicare's hospital insurance trust fund will be unable to pay full benefits in 2033, which is unchanged from last year's estimate... The new funding shortfall is mainly the result of lower projected birth rates, reduced immigration and reduced trust fund revenue due to the costs of Republicans' massive tax and spending bill that Trump signed into law last summer, according to the report.” [PBS, [6/9/26](#)]

**The American Federation Of Government Employees Said That Cuts To SSA Funding Could Cause Issues Such As Long Wait Times To Access Benefits To Worsen**

**The American Federation Of Government Employees Said That Cuts To SSA Funding Could Cause Standing Issues – Like Long Wait Times To Access Benefits – To Worsen.** “In a letter to the House Appropriations Committee, the American Federation of Government Employees — a union representing hundreds of thousands of government employees — said that the GOP's proposed funding levels would ‘devastate the agency's ability to serve the American public.’ Republicans on the committee released their Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill last week, including a \$183 million cut to the Social Security Administration. The union warned that the already underfunded agency could see its standing issues, like long wait times for accessing benefits and long lines at its offices, only worsen. As of June 2023, the average wait time for callers to Social Security's customer service and information number was a little over 43 minutes, per the Social Security Administration's data.” [Business Insider, [7/20/23](#)]

**The Social Security Administration Faced A Backlog Of 6 Million Pending Cases, Which “Delayed Basic Services To Millions Of Customers”**

**December 2025: The Social Security Administration Had Up To 6 Million Pending Cases In Its Processing Centers And 12 Million Transactions Under Donald Trump Second Term.** “The Social Security Administration — the sprawling federal agency that delivers retirement, disability and survivor benefits to 74 million Americans — began the second Trump administration with a hostile takeover. It ends the year in turmoil. A diminished workforce has struggled to respond to up to 6 million pending cases in its processing centers and 12 million transactions in its field offices — record backlogs that have delayed basic services to millions of customers, according to internal agency documents and dozens of interviews. Long-strained customer services at Social Security have become worse by many key measures since President Donald Trump began his second term, agency data and interviews show, as thousands of employees were fired or quit, and hasty policy changes and reassignments left inexperienced staff to handle the aftermath.” [Washington Post, [12/30/25](#)]

- **Washington Post: The Social Security Administration's Case Backlog Under The Trump Administration “Delayed Basic Services To Millions Of Customers.”** “The Social Security

Administration — the sprawling federal agency that delivers retirement, disability and survivor benefits to 74 million Americans — began the second Trump administration with a hostile takeover. It ends the year in turmoil. A diminished workforce has struggled to respond to up to 6 million pending cases in its processing centers and 12 million transactions in its field offices — record backlogs that have delayed basic services to millions of customers, according to internal agency documents and dozens of interviews. Long-strained customer services at Social Security have become worse by many key measures since President Donald Trump began his second term, agency data and interviews show, as thousands of employees were fired or quit, and hasty policy changes and reassignments left inexperienced staff to handle the aftermath.” [Washington Post, [12/30/25](#)]