

Jen Kiggans Overview Backup

Jen Kiggans votes 100% of the time with President Trump, while his reckless agenda has raised gas prices, sent grocery prices soaring and increased healthcare costs, hurting every Hampton Roads family.

Jen Kiggans Votes 100% Of The Time With President Trump.

August 2026: Kiggans Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time

August 2026: Kiggans Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time. [VoteHub, [8/27/26](#)]

MEMBER	PARTY	DISTRICT	MARGIN	TRUMP SCORE ↓
Jennifer A. Kiggans	R	VA-02	R+0.2	100.0%

[VoteHub, [8/27/26](#)]

While His Reckless Agenda Has Raised Gas Prices, Sent Grocery Prices Soaring And Increased Healthcare Costs, Hurting Every Hampton Roads Family.

Gas Prices Soared After Trump Attacked Iran

February 2026: The US Bombed Iran After Trump Accused Them Of Building Nuclear Weapons

February 2026: The US Bombed Iran After Trump Accused Them Of Building Nuclear Weapons.

“The United States and Israel bombed Iran Feb. 28, with President Donald Trump accusing Iran of building nuclear weapons that threaten U.S. allies and could ‘soon’ reach the U.S. Iran retaliated by attacking Israel and Middle Eastern countries that host U.S. military bases.” [PBS News, [2/28/26](#)]

Gas Prices Increased About 20% Since The U.S. And Israel Attacked Iran

Gas Prices Increased About 20% Since The U.S. And Israel Attacked Iran. “Gasoline, diesel and jet fuel are made from crude oil. As the cost of crude climbs, so do the prices of those widely used products, which keep equipment, cars, buses, delivery trucks and airplanes running. Across the U.S., drivers were paying an average of \$3.58 for a gallon of regular gasoline Wednesday, compared with \$2.98 before the war started. Prices have increased about 20% since the U.S. and Israel attacked Iran.” [PBS, [3/11/26](#)]

April 2026: Gas Prices Exceeded \$4 For The First Time In More Than Three Years Following The United States And Israel Attack On Iran

April 2026: Gas Prices Exceed \$4 For The First Time In More Than Three Years Following The United States And Israel Attack On Iran. “GasBuddy petroleum analyst Patrick De Haan has a daunting forecast: The average gas price in the United States could soon reach \$4.30 a gallon. President Donald Trump said he saw gas selling for \$1.85 in Iowa during his Feb. 24 State of the Union, but prices across the country were nearing \$3 on average by then, according to an analysis released by De Hann. The United States and Israel attacked Iran four days later. Trump originally said the conflict would end in four weeks or less – the war will soon enter its third month. Gas prices have been climbing

steadily since. By April, they exceeded \$4 for the first time in more than three years. That's up from \$3.14 per gallon a year ago, according to the U.S. Energy Information Administration.” [USA Today, [4/29/26](#)]

April 20, 2026: Kiggans: “I Couldn’t Be A Stauncher Supporter Of The Action That We’re Taking Against Iran”

VIDEO: April 20, 2026: Kiggans: “I Couldn’t Be A Stauncher Supporter Of The Action That We’re Taking Against Iran.” FREDERICKS: “Right. So you agree with me that we need to finish the job now?” KIGGANS: “Yes, I do. I do agree with that, because they will continue to be a nuisance and problematic. I mean, not just for the Middle East and for countries like Israel, who is our closest ally there, but also for our own country. I mean, we've seen the impact that they had a role in 911. They've had a role in the USS Cole bombing. And what happened to our Marines in Lebanon. I mean, we they will continue to — they're the source of funding for these terrorist proxy groups. So they will continue to be a problem for us until we take down that regime. So I couldn't be a stauncher supporter of the action that we're taking against Iran.” [Jen Kiggans, John Fredericks Show, 2:23:20, [4/20/26](#)] (VIDEO)

- **VIDEO: Kiggans: “I Look Forward To Just Continuing To Support [...] The Actions Of The Administration.”** KIGGANS: “But we need we do need to get the job done and wrap it up. And I know this president can do it. He's a big believer in peace through strength, 180 degrees different than what we saw from the last administration with Joe Biden, who was a president who would wander around and didn't even know where he was. And that weakness that our country showed day after day on the world stage, I mean, it made the world a less safe place. So thank goodness we have a different approach between what we've done in Venezuela, which was a success; that was swift and decisive. And then we did the job and came home. And the Middle East is a little trickier, a little bit of a bigger space, but we can get it done there too. So I look forward to just continuing to support not only the actions of the administration, but also our men and women in uniform and their families who are here in Hampton Roads. That's a large part of what I do. And what drives me in Congress is to make sure our warfighters have the resources to make sure we're taking care of the quality of life issues here for their families.” [Jen Kiggans, John Fredericks Show, 2:23:59, [4/20/26](#)] (VIDEO)
- **VIDEO: Kiggans: “Right Now It’s Time To Take Down The Terrorist Country, Which Is Iran. And I Look Forward To The Swift And Decisive Action That The President Talks About, Because We’ve Been At War With Iran For Decades.”** FREDERICKS: “Let's switch for a second here, Jen Kiggans, you represent, what are the biggest naval bases in the nation, in the Virginia Beach, Norfolk Area District two. The U.S. Navy intercepted an Iranian tanker yesterday, told them to stop, they wouldn’t do it, blow a hole in their engine room. Now they're occupying the ship and they've taken possession of it. Blockade is still going on. Supposedly, there's negotiations today in Pakistan. We still haven't gotten word whether or not the Iranians are showing up for that. President Trump has said when the cease fire is over, massive bombing, shock and awe is coming. Where do you stand on this, Jen?” KIGGANS: “You take care. Thanks Ben. I couldn't be prouder of our men and women in uniform, especially our great Navy. I mean, as a Navy veteran, I have a big Navy family, my husband, my son. And so we're certainly proud of the work that they're doing over there. This is the role of the Navy. This is our mission. And we do what we're directed. And right now, it’s to take down the terrorist country, which is Iran. And I look forward to the swift and decisive action that the president talks about, because we've been at war with Iran for decades. They have been chanting death to America in their streets. And so we need to utilize what we have, which is the best military in the whole world. And so I'm proud of them. I support their actions. I would like to see us wrap this up and swift and decisive and get this done. I'm not really interested in negotiating with terrorists. I want to get in there and get the job done and get our men and women in uniform home. I know that our Navy, especially our aircraft carriers that are deployed, is going to be on an almost 11

month deployment. So, you know, that's a long time as a person who's been there, done that and so many people in my district. But we need to get the job done and so we can welcome those guys back.” [Jen Kiggans, John Fredericks Show, 2:20:20, [4/20/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Trump’s Tariffs Were The Largest Tax Increase In The Country’s History And Raised Prices On Groceries

Center For Economic And Policy Research: Trump’s Tariffs Were The Largest Tax Increase In The Country’s History. “Donald Trump is putting in place the largest tax increase in the country’s history with his big import taxes (tariffs). We won’t know the full size of Trump’s taxes until after Liberation Day III (August 1), and probably not even then, but we already know that they are huge. This is evident from the tariff revenue the government is collecting. In June the government collected \$26.6 billion in tariff revenue. That is up from around \$6 billion a month in 2024 before Trump’s tax hikes. The difference of \$20 billion comes to \$240 billion on an annual basis. Summed over a decade, as we do with other measures, this comes to \$2.4 trillion. This would be a substantial tax hike in itself, roughly \$1,900 per household, but we know that the figure is almost certain to go much higher. Trump is threatening taxes of an additional 10 to 30 percent on imports from our major trading partners, such as Mexico, Canada, the European Union, and Japan. The tax take could easily end up being twice its current level, making Trump’s tariff frenzy by far the largest tax increase in US history.” [Center For Economic and Policy Research, [7/17/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: “Trump Aide Says Tariffs Will Raise \$6 Trillion, Which Would Be Largest Tax Hike In US History.”** [CNN, [3/31/25](#)]

Trump’s Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. “President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration’s policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump’s tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons.” [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: “Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up.”** [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Raised Health Care Costs And Was The “Ultimate Codification” Of The Trump Agenda

Kiggans Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, And Was The Deciding Vote For Initial Passage

July 2025: Kiggans Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities

and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **May 2025: Kiggans Was The Deciding Vote To Pass H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In May 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Estimated To Take Health Insurance Away From More Than 14 Million People

KFF: “CBO Estimates Show That The Number Of Uninsured People Will Increase By More Than 14 Million In 2034.” [KFF, [8/20/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Led To Health Care Insurers Increasing ACA Marketplace Premiums

KFF Health System Tracker: Insurers That Participated In The ACA Marketplaces Raised Premiums On Average By 20% In 2026. “For 2026, across 312 insurers participating in the ACA Marketplaces from the 50 states and the District of Columbia, this analysis shows a median proposed premium increase of 18%, which is about 11 percentage points higher than last year. This is the largest rate change insurers have requested since 2018, the last time that policy uncertainty contributed to sharp premium increases. On average, ACA Marketplace insurers are raising premiums by about 20% in 2026. Based on a more detailed analysis of available documents from insurers in 19 states and the District of Columbia, like in prior years, growth in health care prices stood out as a key factor driving costs in 2026. Insurers cite increasing cost and utilization of high-priced drugs as well as general market factors, such as increasing labor costs and inflation, as contributing to premium increases.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans. “The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

- **The Majority Of Insurers Took Into Account The Potential Expiration Of Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For Their Rate Increases.** “In addition to rising healthcare costs, the majority of insurers are also taking into account the potential expiration of enhanced premium tax credits in their premium rate increases for the next year. The expiration of enhanced tax credits will lead to out-of-pocket premiums for ACA marketplace enrollees increasing by an average of more than 75%, with insurers expecting healthier enrollees to drop coverage. That, in turn, increases underlying premiums. Other federal policy changes, like the implementation of tariffs and the ACA Marketplace Integrity and Affordability rule were also discussed, though to a lesser extent.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]
- **The Majority Of Insurers Assumed Enhanced Tax Credits Would Expire At The End Of 2025, Which Caused Them To Raise Their Rates By An Average Of 4%.** “Uncertainty over federal policy changes has forced insurers to make some assumptions when developing their rates for 2026. Commonly mentioned by insurers is the impact of the expiration of enhanced premium tax credits, which are scheduled to sunset at the end of 2025, unless extended by Congress. The majority of insurers have assumed that enhanced tax credits will expire at the end of this year, driving rates an average of 4 percentage points higher than they otherwise would be. These increased rates are due to insurers anticipating that some healthier members will leave the ACA Marketplaces when their subsidies decrease, creating an enrollee base that is less healthy and more expensive on average.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]
- **The Expiration Of Enhanced Tax Credits Would Lead To Out-Of-Pocket Premiums For ACA Marketplace Enrollees Increasing By An Average Of Over 75%.** “In addition to rising healthcare costs, the majority of insurers are also taking into account the potential expiration of enhanced premium tax credits in their premium rate increases for the next year. The expiration of enhanced tax credits will lead to out-of-pocket premiums for ACA marketplace enrollees increasing by an average of more than 75%, with insurers expecting healthier enrollees to drop coverage. That, in turn, increases underlying premiums. Other federal policy changes, like the implementation of tariffs and the ACA Marketplace Integrity and Affordability rule were also discussed, though to a lesser extent.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

Insurers That Cited Tariffs As A Factor Of Cost Increases Raised Their Premiums By An Additional Three Percentage Points. “Other insurers are taking a more cautious approach by applying modest upward adjustments to their trend assumptions to hedge against potential cost increases, particularly in pharmaceutical manufacturing and distribution. On average, insurers that cite tariffs as a factor are raising premiums an additional 3 percentage points higher than they would be without these new tariffs.” [Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

Millions Of People Were Expected To Pay Higher Premiums, Deductibles, And Other Health Costs, Because Of A Trump Administration Rule Related To ACA Marketplaces. “Millions of people will pay higher premiums, deductibles, and other health costs starting in just a few months under a rule the Trump Administration has finalized for the Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplaces. While the details are technical, the result is not complicated: the changes will raise the annual cost of health coverage by hundreds of dollars for most of the 23 million people who get coverage through the ACA marketplace by reducing premium tax credits and letting insurers raise out-of-pocket charges.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [8/1/25](#)]

July 2025: Trump Signed The One Big Beautiful Bill

July 4, 2025: Trump Signed The One Big Beautiful Bill. “President Trump on Friday signed a massive spending and tax bill that the White House has dubbed the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill’ to implement much of his domestic policy agenda. The bill passed Thursday after Republican leaders in the House of Representatives convinced holdouts in their own party to get in line behind the controversial legislation.” [NPR, [7/4/25](#)]

May 2025-June 2025: Trump Called The One Big Beautiful Bill “The Ultimate Codification Of Our Agenda” And Praised House Republicans For Passing It

June 2025: Trump Called The One Big Beautiful Bill “The Ultimate Codification Of Our Agenda To Very Simply Make America Great Again!” “The One Big Beautiful Bill will Secure our Borders, turbocharge our economy, and bring back the American Dream. This is the ultimate codification of our agenda to very simply Make America Great Again!” [Donald J. Trump, Truth Social, [6/26/25](#)]



May 2025: The Hill: “Trump Sent Kudos To House Republicans” After They Passed The One Big Beautiful Bill. “President Trump sent kudos to House Republicans after they worked late Sunday evening and narrowly cleared a key hurdle to advance the ‘big, beautiful bill’ that contains most of his legislative priorities. ‘CONGRATULATIONS REPUBLICANS!!!’ the president posted on Truth Social just before 1 a.m. EDT on Monday. ‘MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN!!!’” [The Hill, [5/19/25](#)]

Center For American Progress: The Trump Economic Agenda, Eliminated Millions Of Jobs, Drove Up Inflation And Interest Rates, And Cost The U.S. About \$300 Billion In Lost Output

Center For American Progress: The Trump Economic Agenda, Eliminated Millions Of Jobs, Drove Up Inflation And Interest Rates, And Cost The U.S. About \$300 Billion In Lost Output. “A new analysis from the Center for American Progress finds that the Trump administration’s economic agenda is dragging down growth, eliminating millions of jobs, and driving up inflation, costing the U.S. economy roughly \$300 billion in lost output each year. Using a leading macroeconomic model, the analysis estimates that real gross domestic product (GDP) would be nearly 1 percent higher in both 2025 and 2026



if key Trump administration policies had not been implemented. Tariffs, mass deportations, federal job cuts, and the war with Iran are generating a degree of stagflation, with slower growth accompanied by higher inflation and interest rates.” [Center for American Progress, [4/15/26](#)]

Jen Kiggans Message #1 Backup

Kiggans has a 100% voting record with Donald Trump. Kiggans voted for Trump’s tariffs that sent grocery prices soaring. Kiggans’ support of Trump’s healthcare plan could double healthcare costs. Kiggans voted against a bipartisan bill to extend ACA tax credits, which caused insurance premiums to skyrocket. Kiggans’ support of Trump’s reckless war with Iran has increased energy and gas prices for Hampton Roads families.

Kiggans Has A 100% Voting Record With Donald Trump

February 2026: Kiggans Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time

February 2026: Kiggans Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time. [VoteHub, [8/27/26](#)]

MEMBER	PARTY	DISTRICT	MARGIN	TRUMP SCORE ↓
Jennifer A. Kiggans	R	VA-02	R+0.2	100.0%

[VoteHub, [8/27/26](#)]

Kiggans Voted For Trump’s Tariffs That Sent Grocery Prices Soaring.

2025-2026: Kiggans Voted Multiple Times To Protect Trump’s Tariffs, Including Casting The Deciding Vote To Prevent The House From Exercising Any Control Over Reckless Tariffs

February 2026: Kiggans Voted Against Terminating Trump’s Tariffs, After Voting Repeatedly To Delay A Vote On The Issue

February 2026: Kiggans Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Kiggans voted against: “Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada.” The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, [Vote #65](#), 2/11/26; CQ, [2/11/26](#)]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump’s Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** “The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president’s tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House’s first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump’s trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy.” [New York Times, [2/11/26](#)]

Kiggans Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariffs. In February 2026, Kiggans voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #60](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#)]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, [2/10/26](#)]

Kiggans Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act. In February 2026, Kiggans voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #59](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#); Congressional Record, [2/10/26](#)]

September 2025: Kiggans Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariffs Through March 2026

September 2025: Kiggans Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026. On 9/16/25, Kiggans voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, [9/16/25](#); U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, [9/16/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gavelled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation

before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board.” [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

HEADLINE: “House Again Votes To Surrender Tariff Powers To Trump” [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

April 2025: Kiggans Was The Deciding Vote To Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariffs Through September 2025

HEADLINE: “House GOP Leaders Move To Quash Vote On Trump’s Global Tariffs” [Politico, [4/9/25](#)]

April 2025: Kiggans Voted For H Res 313, Which Blocked Congress From Challenging Trump’s April 2, 2025, Emergency Declaration Through September 2025. In April 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump’s tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, [Vote #94](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress’s Power To Override Trump’s Tariffs.** “Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump’s chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives’ rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president’s recently imposed taxes on foreign imports.” [The Guardian, [4/9/25](#)]
- **The Bill Passed By One Vote.** In April 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump’s tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, [Vote #94](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

Kiggans Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump’s Tariffs. In April 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, “Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump’s disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans.” A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, [Vote #93](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/9/25](#)]

Kiggans Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump’s Tariffs. In April 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said,

“Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place.” A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, [Vote #91](#), 4/8/25; CQ, [4/8/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/8/25](#)]

March 2025: Kiggans Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariffs For The Rest Of The Year

March 2025: Kiggans Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales. In March 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager’s amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, [Vote #67](#), 3/11/25; CQ, [3/11/25](#)]

- **New York Times: “House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump’s Tariffs.”** “House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump’s tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber’s ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Politico: “GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump’s Declaration Of A National Emergency.”** “GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump’s declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China.” [Politico, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked “The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets.”** “The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. [...] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans’ six-month stopgap funding bill.” [Reuters, [3/11/25](#)]

Trump’s Tariffs Were The Largest Tax Increase In American History And Raised Prices On Groceries

Center For Economic And Policy Research: Trump’s Tariffs Were The Largest Tax Increase In The Country’s History. “Donald Trump is putting in place the largest tax increase in the country’s history with his big import taxes (tariffs). We won’t know the full size of Trump’s taxes until after

Liberation Day III (August 1), and probably not even then, but we already know that they are huge. This is evident from the tariff revenue the government is collecting. In June the government collected \$26.6 billion in tariff revenue. That is up from around \$6 billion a month in 2024 before Trump's tax hikes. The difference of \$20 billion comes to \$240 billion on an annual basis. Summed over a decade, as we do with other measures, this comes to \$2.4 trillion. This would be a substantial tax hike in itself, roughly \$1,900 per household, but we know that the figure is almost certain to go much higher. Trump is threatening taxes of an additional 10 to 30 percent on imports from our major trading partners, such as Mexico, Canada, the European Union, and Japan. The tax take could easily end up being twice its current level, making Trump's tariff frenzy by far the largest tax increase in US history." [Center For Economic and Policy Research, [7/17/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: "Trump Aide Says Tariffs Will Raise \$6 Trillion, Which Would Be Largest Tax Hike In US History."** [CNN, [3/31/25](#)]

Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. "President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration's policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons." [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: "Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up."** [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

Kiggans' Support Of Trump's Healthcare Plan Could Double Healthcare Costs.

Kiggans Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, And Was The Deciding Vote For Initial Passage

July 2025: Kiggans Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Kiggans voted for: "Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000." The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **May 2025: Kiggans Was The Deciding Vote To Pass H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In May 2025, Kiggans voted for: "Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It

would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Affordable Care Act (ACA) Advanced Premium Tax Credits

North Carolina Health News: “Republicans In Congress Chose Not To Extend Tax Credits That Have Lowered Monthly Health Insurance Payments For The Vast Majority Of People Buying Coverage From The Affordable Care Act Marketplace.” “North Carolinians who rely on the Affordable Care Act marketplace to buy their health insurance might want to start socking away extra savings for steep rate hikes expected in the coming year. In the nearly month and a half since President Donald Trump signed the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act,’ some of its impacts on monthly household expenses are becoming clearer. When writing the bill, Republicans in Congress chose not to extend tax credits that have lowered monthly health insurance payments for the vast majority of people buying coverage from the Affordable Care Act Marketplace since 2021.” [North Carolina Health News, [8/15/25](#)]

The Expiration Of The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits Was Estimated To Double Premium Costs For Subsidized Enrollees

The Expiration Of The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits Was Estimated To Double Premium Costs For Subsidized Enrollees. “Based on the earlier federal data and more recent other publicly available information, KFF now estimates that, if Congress extends enhanced premium tax credits, subsidized enrollees would save \$1,016 in premium payments over the year in 2026 on average. In other words, expiration of the enhanced premium tax credits is estimated to more than double what subsidized enrollees currently pay annually for premiums—a 114% increase from an average of \$888 in 2025 to \$1,904 in 2026. (The average premium payment net of tax credits among subsidized enrollees held steady at \$888 annually in 2024 and 2025 due to the enhanced premium tax credits).” [KFF, [9/30/25](#)]

Kiggans Voted Against A Bipartisan Bill To Extend ACA Tax Credits, Which Caused Insurance Premiums To Skyrocket.

January 2026: Kiggans Voted Against A Bipartisan Bill Extending The Affordable Care Act Enhanced Tax Credits Through The End Of 2028

January 8, 2026: Kiggans Voted Against Extending The Affordable Care Act Enhanced Tax Credits Through The End Of 2028. In January 2026, Kiggans voted against: “Passage of the the bill, as amended, that would extend for three years, through the end of calendar year 2028, the enhanced tax credits to subsidize premiums for health insurance purchased on the Affordable Health Care Act health insurance markets. It would allow taxpayers whose household income exceeds 400 percent of the federal poverty line to receive tax credits for three more years. The measure would retroactively take effect Jan. 1, 2026.” The bill passed by a vote of 230-196. [H.R. 1834, [Vote #11](#), 1/8/26; CQ, [1/8/26](#)]

- **Kiggans Voted Against The Motion To Discharge The ACA Tax Credit Extension Vehicle.** In January 2026, Kiggans voted against: “Jeffries, D-N.Y., motion to discharge from the House Rules Committee the rule (H Res 780) providing for consideration of the anticipated ACA tax credit extension vehicle (HR 1834).” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 221-205. [H.R. 780, [Vote #4](#), 1/7/26; CQ, [1/7/26](#)]

The Expiration Of The ACA Tax Credits Caused Millions Of Americans’ Health Care Costs To Increase

On Average, More Than 20 Million Subsidized Enrollees In The ACA Program Had Premiums That Increased By 114% In 2026

On Average, More Than 20 Million Subsidized Enrollees In The ACA Program Had Premiums That Increased By 114% In 2026. “On average, the more than 20 million subsidized enrollees in the Affordable Care Act program are seeing their premium costs rise by 114% in 2026, according to an analysis by the health care research nonprofit KFF.”

- **PBS Headline: “Health Subsidies Expire, Launching Millions Of Americans Into 2026 With Steep Insurance Tax Hikes.”** [PBS News, [1/1/26](#)]

2026: The Expiration Of The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits Caused Affordable Care Act Premiums To Increase By A National Average Of More Than 20%

Marketplace Benchmark Premiums Increased More Than 20% Under The Assumption That The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits Would Expire. “Analyses show that Marketplace benchmark premiums, the second-lowest-cost silver plans, have increased by more than 20 percent in 2026. These premiums were set assuming that the enhanced premium tax credits (PTCs) would expire and reflect federal policy changes announced in 2025.” [Urban Institute, [December 2025](#)]

Kiggans’ Support Of Trump’s Reckless War With Iran Has Increased Energy And Gas Prices For Hampton Roads Families.

Kiggans Voted Seven Times To Let Trump Continue The War In Iran Without Congressional Input

8/26/26: New York Times: Kiggans Voted Seven Times Against Resolutions Directing Trump To End The War In Iran Or Seek Explicit Authorization From Congress To Continue It. “Key to her

pitch is hitting Ms. Kiggans, a former Navy helicopter pilot, for failing to break with the president on the conflict. The Republican has voted seven times against resolutions directing Mr. Trump to end the war in Iran or seek explicit authorization from Congress to continue it.” [New York Times, [8/26/26](#)]

Kiggans Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, Kiggans voted against: “Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, [Vote #114](#), 4/16/26; CQ, [4/16/26](#)]

Kiggans Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In June 2026, Kiggans voted against: “Adoption of a resolution that would direct the president to remove U.S. Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The bill adopted, a ‘nay’ vote is in support of the president’s position, by a vote of 215-208. [H Con Res 86, [Vote #199](#), 6/3/26; CQ, [6/3/26](#)]

April 20, 2026: Kiggans: “I Couldn’t Be A Stauncher Supporter Of The Action That We’re Taking Against Iran”
--

VIDEO: April 20, 2026: Kiggans: “I Couldn’t Be A Stauncher Supporter Of The Action That We’re Taking Against Iran.” FREDERICKS: “Right. So you agree with me that we need to finish the job now?” KIGGANS: “Yes, I do. I do agree with that, because they will continue to be a nuisance and problematic. I mean, not just for the Middle East and for countries like Israel, who is our closest ally there, but also for our own country. I mean, we’ve seen the impact that they had a role in 911. They’ve had a role in the USS Cole bombing. And what happened to our Marines in Lebanon. I mean, we they will continue to — they’re the source of funding for these terrorist proxy groups. So they will continue to be a problem for us until we take down that regime. So I couldn’t be a stauncher supporter of the action that we’re taking against Iran.” [Jen Kiggans, John Fredericks Show, 2:23:20, [4/20/26](#)] (VIDEO)

- **VIDEO: Kiggans: “I Look Forward To Just Continuing To Support [...] The Actions Of The Administration.”** KIGGANS: “But we need we do need to get the job done and wrap it up. And I know this president can do it. He’s a big believer in peace through strength, 180 degrees different than what we saw from the last administration with Joe Biden, who was a president who would wander around and didn’t even know where he was. And that weakness that our country showed day after day on the world stage, I mean, it made the world a less safe place. So thank goodness we have a different approach between what we’ve done in Venezuela, which was a success; that was swift and decisive. And then we did the job and came home. And the Middle East is a little trickier, a little bit of a bigger space, but we can get it done there too. So I look forward to just continuing to support not only the actions of the administration, but also our men and women in uniform and their families who are here in Hampton Roads. That’s a large part of what I do. And what drives me in Congress is to make sure our warfighters have the resources to make sure we’re taking care of the quality of life issues here for their families.” [Jen Kiggans, John Fredericks Show, 2:23:59, [4/20/26](#)] (VIDEO)
- **VIDEO: Kiggans: “Right Now It’s Time To Take Down The Terrorist Country, Which Is Iran. And I Look Forward To The Swift And Decisive Action That The President Talks About, Because We’ve Been At War With Iran For Decades.”** FREDERICKS: “Let’s switch for a second here, Jen Kiggans, you represent, what are the biggest naval bases in the nation, in the Virginia Beach, Norfolk Area District two. The U.S. Navy intercepted an Iranian tanker yesterday, told them to stop, they wouldn’t do it, blow a hole in their engine room. Now they’re occupying the ship and they’ve taken possession of it. Blockade is still going on. Supposedly, there’s negotiations today in

Pakistan. We still haven't gotten word whether or not the Iranians are showing up for that. President Trump has said when the cease fire is over, massive bombing, shock and awe is coming. Where do you stand on this, Jen?" KIGGANS: "You take care. Thanks Ben. I couldn't be prouder of our men and women in uniform, especially our great Navy. I mean, as a Navy veteran, I have a big Navy family, my husband, my son. And so we're certainly proud of the work that they're doing over there. This is the role of the Navy. This is our mission. And we do what we're directed. And right now, it's to take down the terrorist country, which is Iran. And I look forward to the swift and decisive action that the president talks about, because we've been at war with Iran for decades. They have been chanting death to America in their streets. And so we need to utilize what we have, which is the best military in the whole world. And so I'm proud of them. I support their actions. I would like to see us wrap this up and swift and decisive and get this done. I'm not really interested in negotiating with terrorists. I want to get in there and get the job done and get our men and women in uniform home. I know that our Navy, especially our aircraft carriers that are deployed, is going to be on an almost 11 month deployment. So, you know, that's a long time as a person who's been there, done that and so many people in my district. But we need to get the job done and so we can welcome those guys back." [Jen Kiggans, John Fredericks Show, 2:20:20, [4/20/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Gas Prices Soared In Hampton Roads And Virginia Due To The Iran War

Gas Prices In Hampton Roads Cost More Than \$4 A Gallon Due To The Iran War

Gas Prices In Hampton Roads Cost More Than \$4 A Gallon Due To The War In Iran. "The statewide average price of regular unleaded gasoline reached \$3.96 a gallon, but drivers are paying more than \$4 a gallon in Northern Virginia, Hampton Roads and the Fredericksburg area, according to AAA Virginia. The average price of gasoline reached \$3.99 a gallon in Richmond and Petersburg on Tuesday, exceeded \$3.98 a gallon in Charlottesville and \$3.97 a gallon in Winchester. Gasoline prices soared above \$4 a gallon this spring because of the war that the U.S. and Israel launched against Iran on Feb. 28, as Iran retaliated with military strikes against the U.S. and its allies in the Persian Gulf region while asserting control over shipping in the Strait of Hormuz." [The Virginia Pilot, [7/24/26](#)]

The Iran War Fueled An Extra \$1.8 Billion That Virginians Spent On Gas

Virginians Spent An Extra \$1.8 Billion On Gas Since The Start Of The Iran War. "Two recent reports show how the Iran war is driving up the cost of living in Virginia. Virginians have spent an extra \$1.8 billion on gasoline since the start of the Iran war on Feb. 28, according to a report from the Congressional Joint Economic Committee. That breaks down to an extra \$573 per household. The average price for a gallon of gas in Virginia was \$3.97 on Tuesday, up from \$2.99 a year ago, according to AAA." [Dogwood, [7/28/26](#)]

Dogwood Headline: "Report: Iran War Fuels Extra \$1.8B Virginians Spent On Gas." [Dogwood, [7/28/26](#)]

The Iran War Caused The Price Of Crude Oil To Surge Which Increased Utility Bills

Crude Oil Prices Surged Since The Start Of The Iran War. "Oil markets have lurched from panic to relief and back again since the outbreak of war in the Middle East, with markets bracing for further volatility. Prices surged more than 55% since the start of the war, with Brent crude jumping from around \$72 a barrel on February 27 to nearly \$120 at its peak, as fears mounted over supply disruptions through the Strait of Hormuz. Brent crude jumped 51% in March, one of the largest one-month oil price surges on record." [CNBC, [4/21/26](#)]

- **CNBC: “Brent Crude Jumped 51% In March, One Of The Largest One-Month Oil Price Surges On Record.”** “Oil markets have lurched from panic to relief and back again since the outbreak of war in the Middle East, with markets bracing for further volatility. Prices surged more than 55% since the start of the war, with Brent crude jumping from around \$72 a barrel on February 27 to nearly \$120 at its peak, as fears mounted over supply disruptions through the Strait of Hormuz. Brent crude jumped 51% in March, one of the largest one-month oil price surges on record.” [CNBC, [4/21/26](#)]

If Global Crude Oil Prices Rise, The Cost For Heating A Home Would Also Increase.

“Understanding why prices change helps homeowners stay ahead of their energy costs. Below are the key factors that influence heating oil pricing and shape what you pay each season. 1. Global crude oil prices This is the foundation of all fuel pricing. Heating oil is refined from crude oil. When global crude prices rise due to supply shortages, geopolitical instability, or production cuts, heating oil follows. When crude prices fall, heating oil prices typically ease as well—though not always at the same speed. Why it matters to homeowners: your local price per gallon is tied to international markets, even if you’re heating a building in Brooklyn or a home in Westchester.” [Energio, accessed [8/26/26](#)]

American Households Were Expected To Pay Higher Prices For Utilities Because Of The Higher Prices Of Oil And Gas Due To The War. “Many parts of the U.S. economy are still dependent on fossil fuels, and higher prices for oil and gas increase the prices for gasoline, electricity, fertilizer, food, and more. As long as this war continues—and perhaps for some time thereafter—American households will pay higher prices at the pump, on their utility bills, and on their grocery bills.” [Center for American Progress, [3/11/26](#)]

Jen Kiggans Message #2 Backup

Jen Kiggans voted for the largest cuts to Medicaid in history, which cut nearly \$44 million from local hospitals, and could kick more than 21,000 people from Hampton Roads off their health insurance.

Jen Kiggans Voted For The Largest Cuts To Medicaid In History, Which Cut Nearly \$44 Million From Local Hospitals, And Could Kick More Than 21,000 People From Hampton Roads Off Their Health Insurance.

Kiggans Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, And Was The Deciding Vote For Initial Passage

July 2025: Kiggans Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **May 2025: Kiggans Was The Deciding Vote To Pass H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act.** In May 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Contained The Largest Cuts To Medicaid In History

The Hill: The Republican Tax And Spending Bill Contained The Largest Cuts To Medicaid Since The Program Began. “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). It still needs to pass the House again, where some moderate Republicans have expressed concerns about the cuts.” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

- **NPR: Trump Dubbed His Tax And Policy Bill The “One Big Beautiful Bill.”** “President Trump muscled a 900-page package through a closely divided Congress — and that might have been the easy part. The tax and policy bill, which Trump dubbed the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill,’ encompasses much of his second-term agenda.” [NPR, [7/11/25](#)]

Kiggans’ District Was Expected To See Nearly \$44 Million In Cuts To Hospital Revenue Following The Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill

Third Way: Kiggans’ District Was Expected To See \$43,972,462 In Cuts To Hospital Revenue Following The Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. [Third Way, Virginia Hospital Revenue Cuts, [7/8/25](#)]

Third Way: Hospitals And Revenue Cuts For VA-01 And VA-02			
Hospital Name	Congressional District	Location	Projected Cut to Hospital Revenue
Sentara VA. Beach General Hospital	VA-02 Kiggans	Virginia Beach, VA	\$11,388,530

Sentara Obici Hospital	VA-02 Kiggans	Suffolk, VA	\$10,554,243
Chesapeake General Hospital	VA-02 Kiggans	Chesapeake, VA	\$9,345,306
Sentara Princess Anne Hospital	VA-02 Kiggans	Virginia Beach, VA	\$8,296,706
Shore Memorial Hospital*	VA-02 Kiggans	Onancock, VA	\$3,080,079
Southampton Memorial Hospital	VA-02 Kiggans	Franklin, VA	\$1,307,598
TOTAL			\$43,972,462

[Third Way, Virginia Hospital Revenue Cuts, [7/8/25](#)]

More Than 21,000 People In VA-02 Would Be Kicked Off Their Health Insurance As A Result Of The One Big Beautiful Bill According To Estimates From The Joint Economic Committee

September 2025: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Estimated More Than 21,000 People In Virginia's 2nd Congressional District Would Lose Health Insurance By 2034 Due To Congressional Republicans' Cuts To Medicaid And The ACA. "According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO)'s most recent analysis, President Trump and Congressional Republicans' cuts to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) would result in 16 million people losing their health insurance by 2034. Using CBO's analysis released June 4 and other research, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority calculated new estimates of the number of people who would lose health insurance." [Joint Economic Committee Minority, [September 2025](#)]

VA-02: Health Insurance Losses From Cuts To Medicaid And The Affordable Care Act Under The One Big Beautiful Bill		
Est. Number of People Losing Affordable Care Act Coverage	Est. Number of People Losing Medicaid Coverage	Est. Total Number of People Losing Insurance
6,600	14,741	21,341

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, [September 2025](#)]

Jen Kiggans Additional Resources Backup

- *It is important for voters to see various Hampton Roads families personally speaking about how Kiggans' support of Trump's agenda has increased costs for their family. It should be true to their family whether it is gas, groceries, healthcare or another cost that is hurting their family.*
- *Voters will need to hear directly from women regarding Kiggans' extreme position on choice and reproductive healthcare.*
- *In later weeks, voters, especially Black voters, will need to hear from members from within the community about Kiggans voting to cut SNAP benefits.*

It Is Important For Voters To See Various Hampton Roads Families Personally Speaking About How Kiggans' Support Of Trump's Agenda Has Increased Costs For Their Family. It Should Be True To Their Family Whether It Is Gas, Groceries, Healthcare Or Another Cost That Is Hurting Their Family.

Backed up above

Voters Will Need To Hear Directly From Women Regarding Kiggans' Extreme Position On Choice And Reproductive Healthcare.

Kiggans Made Numerous Extreme Comments Opposing Abortion From Conception, Including Comparing It To “Infanticide,” And Tried To Hide Her Extreme Position On Abortion By Scrubbing It From Her Website

2021: Kiggans: “I Am Proud To Be An Advocate For Life At All Stages From Conception Until Natural Death”

2021: Kiggans: “I Am Proud To Be An Advocate For Life At All Stages From Conception Until Natural Death.” In an Instagram post Kiggans said, “Around this time last year we welcomed pro-life groups from around the Commonwealth for the March For Life in Richmond. Today would have been the 48th annual National March for Life in Washington DC. (It was held virtually instead this year.) I am proud to be an advocate for life at all stages from conception until natural death. #MarchForLife #ProLife #VirginiansForLife” [Instagram, Jen Kiggans, [1/29/21](#)]



[Instagram, Jen Kiggans, [1/29/21](#)]

2020: Kiggans Said, “I Reaffirm My Position Against The Harmful Expansion Of Abortion Access And Stand By My Statement That They Are Not In The Best Interest Of Women Nor Women’s Health”

2020: As A Senator Kiggans Said, “I Reaffirm My Position Against The Harmful Expansion Of Abortion Access And Stand By My Statement That They Are Not In The Best Interest Of Women Nor Women’s Health.” “During the debate in the 2020 General Assembly Senator Jen Kiggans (R-Virginia Beach), who currently practices as a nurse practitioner, passionately decried the change in the law that expands who can perform abortions. She rightly pointed out that allowing non-physician

providers to perform abortions opens the door to complications with dreadful repercussions. ‘As these new laws go into effect today, I reaffirm my position against the harmful expansion of abortion access and stand by my statement that they are not in the best interest of women nor women’s health’ — Senator Jen Kiggans” [National Right to Life News via Wayback Machine, Press Release, [7/1/20](#)]

Kiggans: Abortion Was “Not In The Best Interest Of Women Nor Women’s Health”

2020: As A Virginia State Senator, Kiggans Said, Abortion Was “Not In The Best Interest Of Women Nor Women’s Health.” “During the debate in the 2020 General Assembly Senator Jen Kiggans (R- Virginia Beach), who currently practices as a nurse practitioner, passionately decried the change in the law that expands who can perform abortions. She rightly pointed out that allowing non-physician providers to perform abortions opens the door to complications with dreadful repercussions. ‘As these new laws go into effect today, I reaffirm my position against the harmful expansion of abortion access and stand by my statement that they are not in the best interest of women nor women’s health’ — Senator Jen Kiggans” [National Right to Life News, Press Release, [7/1/20](#)]

2019: Kiggans Equated Abortion With “Infanticide,” Saying The “Infanticide Issue” Was A “Turning Point” For Her When Deciding To Run For Office

Kiggans Said Abortion And Infanticide Was Her “Motivation For Running”. According to her interview with the John Fredericks Show, “Just watching the recent news with our top leadership, I watched in January and I think that was a turning point for me, watching the Kathy Tran video when Delegate Tran stood up and talked about the abortion issue. [...] It became an infanticide issue, and I had to watch that video twice and I couldn’t believe that this was the same person who one year prior had been breastfeeding on the General Assembly floor. She said that, and then a couple days later we had Governor Northam defending infanticide on talk radio.” [John Fredericks Show, [4/15/19](#)] (AUDIO)

- **Kiggans: “I Think That Was A Turning Point For Me, Watching The Kathy Tran Video Where Delegate Tran Stood Up And Talked About The Abortion Issue. [...] It Became An Infanticide Issue.”** According to Kiggans’ interview with the John Fredericks Show, this controversy helped motivate Kiggans run for office. “I think that was a turning point for me, watching the Kathy Tran video when Delegate Tran stood up and talked about the abortion issue. [...] It became an infanticide issue, and I had to watch that video twice and I couldn’t believe that this was the same person who one year prior had been breastfeeding on the General Assembly floor.” [John Fredericks Show, [4/15/19](#)] (AUDIO)
- **Kiggans: “Then A Couple Days Later We Had Governor Northam Defending Infanticide On Talk Radio.”** According to Kiggans’ interview with the John Fredericks Show, “It became an infanticide issue, and I had to watch that video twice and I couldn’t believe that this was the same person who one year prior had been breastfeeding on the General Assembly floor. She said that, and then a couple days later we had Governor Northam defending infanticide on talk radio.” [John Fredericks Show, [4/15/19](#)] (AUDIO)
- **Delegate Kathy Tran Misspoke In A Legislative Hearing That Covered Abortion, Saying That A Bill Expanding Abortion Access Would “Allow For An Abortion To Occur When A Woman Is In Labor And About To Give Birth.”** According to the Washington Post, Delegate Kathy Tran misspoke in a legislative hearing that covered abortion, saying that a bill expanding abortion access would ‘allow for an abortion to occur when a woman is in labor and about to give birth.’ Delegate Tran later remarked that she wished she had said ‘clearly no, because infanticide is not allowed in Virginia.’” [The Washington Post, [1/31/19](#)]


2019: Kiggans Appeared To Scrub Anti-Abortion “Infanticide” Language From Her State Senate Campaign Website

Kiggans Claimed Pro-Choice Democrats “Want To Promote Infanticide”...

During Her Primary, Kiggans Accused Pro-Choice Virginia Democrats Of Wanting To “Promote Infanticide.” “And while Kiggans ran as a staunch foe of abortion in her Republican primary this past spring—telling a right-wing talk radio host that ‘In Virginia...we are fighting a group of Democrats and leftist-liberals who want to promote infanticide’—she moderated her stance during the general election, pitching herself as a nurse practitioner who would help women find alternatives to abortion. She even removed a reference to ‘infanticide’ on her website.” [The Nation, [12/3/19](#)]

Kiggans’ Campaign Website: “Jen Will Protect Our Young By Fighting Infanticide Legislation And Introducing Bills To Increase The Rights Of Newborns.” According to her campaign website, Kiggans “will protect our young by fighting infanticide legislation and introducing bills to increase the rights of newborns. Infanticide is not a choice, it is murder.” [Jen Kiggans for State Senate, accessed [5/31/19](#)]

Kiggans Prominently Listed The Term “Infanticide” On The Issues Page Of Her Campaign Website.



[Blue Virginia (blog), [7/17/19](#)]

...But Kiggans Removed “Infanticide” Mentions From Her Website After Winning Her Primary In An Apparent Attempt To Moderate Her Position

Kiggans Removed An “Infanticide” Section From The Issues Page Of Her Website In The First Month After The Republican Primary In 2019. “Well now it’s mid July, a month after the Republican primary, and guess what? Yep, Kiggans’ language, at least related to abortion, has changed significantly (see ‘before’ and ‘after’ screen shots, below). Now, post-primary Kiggans appears to have removed all mention of ‘infanticide’ from her issues page. In place of the ‘Infanticide’ section, Kiggans’ website instead now outlines her plan to provide women alternatives to abortion. Moderate (sounding)! [...] Anyway, back to Jen Kiggans, whose issues page now looks quite moderate, including the section that used to be headed ‘LIFE’ and ‘Infanticide,’ but is now called ‘FAMILIES.’” [Blue Virginia (blog), [7/17/19](#)]

Kiggans’ “Infanticide” Section Was Replaced With A More Moderate “Families” Section In Which She Pledged To Help Women With Unplanned Pregnancies Find Alternatives To Abortion.

FAMILIES

- Jen will work to provide options other than abortion for women who have unplanned pregnancies. She believes in affordable and quality women’s healthcare including mental healthcare for women. Jen respects life at all ages and is an advocate for not only the unborn, but also for her geriatric patient population, people who live with Alzheimer’s Disease and dementia and improved support for their caregivers.




[Blue Virginia (blog), [7/17/19](#)]

Kiggans Supported Allowing States To Ban Abortion With No Exceptions

2022: Kiggans: “I Applaud The Supreme Court’s Decision To Overturn Roe V. Wade”

Kiggans: “I Applaud The Supreme Court’s Decision To Overturn Roe V. Wade.” In a tweet, Kiggans said, “My statement on today’s Dobbs decision by the Supreme Court...” Followed by a picture of her statement, “Jen Kiggans Statement on the Supreme Court’s Ruling to Overturn Roe v. Wade ‘I applaud the Supreme Court’s decision to overturn Roe v. Wade and give Virginians and our elected representatives the opportunity to defend life in our Commonwealth. As a mother and nurse practitioner, I believe all life is precious and must be protected. As a State Senator, I have fought hard for legislation that gives mothers and families the support they need so they can always choose life. ‘This is our chance to take a stand against the extremist Democrat policies that call for abortions up until the moment of birth, keeping babies who survive abortion ‘comfortable’ without lifesaving measures, and mandating taxpayer funded abortions. While today’s decision is historic, I know there is more work to be done to uphold the sanctity of life. My fight for the unborn and the most vulnerable Americans will continue in Congress.’” [Jen Kiggans, Twitter, [6/24/22](#)]



- **Kiggans Said She “Applauds The Decision To Overturn Roe.”** “Kiggans said in a statement that she applauds the decision to overturn Roe and ‘give Virginians and our elected representatives the opportunity to defend life in our commonwealth. ‘As a nurse practitioner I believe all life is precious and must be protected,’ Kiggans said. ‘As a State Senator I have fought hard for legislation that gives mothers and families the support they need so they can always choose life.’” [Richmond Times-Dispatch, [6/25/22](#)]

The Overturning Of Roe V. Wade Allowed States To Ban Abortion, Even For Survivors Of Rape And Incest Or Even To Save To The Life Of The Woman

The Overturning Of Roe V. Wade Allowed States To Ban Abortion, Even For Survivors Of Rape And Incest Or Even To Save To The Life Of The Woman. “The Supreme Court on Friday overturned the fundamental right to abortion established nearly 50 years ago in Roe v. Wade, a stunning ruling that could alter the nation’s political landscape and leaves states free to drastically reduce or even outlaw a procedure that abortion rights groups said is key to women’s equality and independence. [...] The dissenters also said the majority opinion did not answer important questions, such as whether prohibitions must contain an exception for rape, incest or to save the life of the woman. Under some state laws recently passed in anticipation of Roe being overturned, ‘a woman will have to bear her rapist’s child or a young girl her father’s — no matter if doing so will destroy her life. So too, after today’s ruling, some States may compel women to carry to term a fetus with severe physical anomalies,’ they wrote. The majority opinion said Mississippi included a health exception, and Kavanaugh, in a concurring opinion, tried to blunt some of those criticisms. He noted that ‘abortion statutes traditionally and currently provide for an exception when an abortion is necessary to protect the life of the mother.’” [Washington Post, [6/24/22](#)]

Kiggans Expressed Support For A National 15-Week Abortion Ban

Kiggans Claimed That Common Sense Abortion Restrictions Included Protecting Babies From 15 Weeks On

Kiggans Claimed That Common Sense Abortion Restrictions Included Protecting Babies From 15 Weeks On. “Kiggans, who is trying to upend Luria in a redrawn 2nd District that no longer includes the Democratic stronghold of Norfolk, has tried to emphasize inflation and other parts of Biden’s legacy that are unpopular with conservatives. Graham’s abortion proposal undercut her position that states, not Congress, should decide restrictions on the procedure. ‘The vast majority of Virginians — and Americans — support common-sense restrictions on abortion such as protecting babies from 15 weeks on,’ Kiggans said in a statement that was first reported by Virginia Scope.” [Richmond Times-Dispatch, [9/16/22](#)]

Virginia Attorney General Jason Miyares, A Surrogate For Kiggans, Indicated In An Interview With CNN Kiggans Would Support A 15 Week Abortion Ban

Virginia Attorney General, Jason Miyares, Was A Surrogate For Kiggans In An Interview With CNN Where He Indicated Kiggans Would Support A 15 Week Abortion Ban. “The Kiggans campaign declined to share information with CNN about any events with voters. She’s been communicating with voters via paid advertisements and videos on social media. Her campaign declined a request for an interview with the candidate but did suggest a surrogate – Virginia Attorney General Jason Miyares – who blamed Democrats’ policies in Washington for Virginians’ economic woes. [...] Miyares said Kiggans has indicated she would support a 15-week ban. ‘Most of Europe has some levels of abortion limits after 12 weeks,’” [CNN, [10/2/23](#)]

Kiggans Voted Multiple Times To Restrict Abortion Access Since Being In Congress

Kiggans Voted For H.R. 26, A Bill That Would Have Punished Doctors For Providing Abortion Care

Kiggans Voted For The Born Alive-Survivors Protection Act To Require Health Care Practitioners To Provide Medical Care To Children “Born Alive” After An Abortion Or Attempted Abortion. In January 2023, Kiggans voted for: “Passage of the bill that would require health care practitioners to provide the same care to a child that is ‘born alive’ after an abortion or attempted abortion as they would for a child born at the same gestational age and to ensure the child is immediately transported and admitted to a hospital; require hospital and clinic practitioners and employees to report any knowledge of failures to provide such care; and impose criminal fines and penalties for failures to meet these requirements. It would state that a child born alive under these conditions is a legal person under U.S. law, entitled to the protections of U.S. law, and it would specifically make any act that kills or attempts to kill such a child punishable as murder or attempted murder. The bill would also prohibit the prosecution of the mother of a child born alive after an abortion or attempted abortion and permit such mothers to seek relief through civil action against any person who violates the bill’s requirements, including monetary and punitive damages.” The bill passed by a vote of 220-210. [H.R. 26, [Vote #29](#), 1/11/23; CQ, [1/11/23](#)]

- **The Bill Would Establish Criminal Penalties For Doctors Who Did Not Follow Existing Federal Law Requiring Medical Care Be Given To Infants In The Very Unlikely Event Of A Failed Abortion.** “Live births during an abortion procedure are exceedingly rare, experts said, and federal law already requires that a baby who survives an attempted abortion receive emergency medical care.

The new bill would clarify the standard of care to which doctors are held and lay out penalties for violators. Policy organizations supporting abortion rights said the measure was an effort to discourage women from seeking abortions and doctors from performing them.” [New York Times, [1/11/23](#)]

- **The So-Called “Born-Alive” Bill Would Penalize Doctors Who Violated It With A Fine And/Or Up To Five Years In Prison.** “(b) Penalties.— (1) IN GENERAL.—Whoever violates subsection (a) shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both.” [Congress.gov, HR 26, Text, introduced [1/9/23](#)]
- **HuffPost: The Bill Could Deprive Parents Of Holding Infants Who May Not Live Much Longer Or Limit Their Options For Medical Interventions.** “This legislation could take away a pregnant person’s power to decide what medical interventions they want to receive during an already-emotional time, possibly forcing physicians to prolong an infant’s life for a short period of time before it dies. In certain cases, this could take away parents’ opportunities to hold their infants.” [HuffPost, [1/11/23](#)]
- **The Majority Of Abortions Performed Later In Pregnancy “Are Due To Medical Necessity, Not Elective, As The Bill Suggested.** “But similar to other anti-choice legislation, the bill is chock-full of misinformation and creates more barriers to care. Reproductive rights advocates and physicians critical of the bill argue that it’s nearly impossible for infants to be born alive during abortions later in pregnancy. Bills like this are also redundant: Murder is already illegal in the U.S. If that’s not enough, the rights of an infant or newborn are already protected by a 2002 law that codified that infants have the same rights as any other human. These bills promote inaccurate ideas about why people get abortions later in pregnancy. The majority of abortions performed later in pregnancy are medically necessary to save the life of the pregnant person or necessary because of a fatal fetal abnormality; they’re not elective.” [HuffPost, [1/11/23](#)]
- **The Born Alive Bill Would Punish Doctors For Providing Care To Patients.** “The offensively named ‘born-alive’ legislation is another cruel and misguided attempt to interfere with evidence-based medical decision making between patients and their physicians...Laws that ban or criminalize evidence-based care and rely on medically unsupported theories and misinformation are dangerous to families and their clinicians. This bill negatively affects all obstetric and gynecologic care.” [The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, accessed [6/26/23](#)]
- **HEADLINE: “House Passes Bill That Could Subject Some Abortion Doctors to Prosecution.”** [New York Times, [1/11/23](#)]

Kiggans Voted For The Military Construction-VA Appropriations Bill To Prohibit Abortions At VA Medical Centers

Kiggans Voted For The Military Construction-VA Appropriations Bill To Prohibit Abortions At VA Medical Centers. In July 2023, Kiggans voted for: “Passage of the bill that would, [...] also prohibit the use of the bill’s funds to provide abortions, to implement a September 2022 VA rule that allows abortion counseling and establishes exceptions for the prohibition on abortions in the medical benefits package for veterans and civilian beneficiaries, to provide surgical procedures or hormone therapies for gender-affirming care, and to fly or display a flag over a VA facility or national cemetery that is not the U.S. flag, military-related or another government jurisdiction. As amended, the bill would prohibit the use of the bill’s funds to interfere with a veteran’s participation in a legal state medical cannabis program, to enforce COVID-19 mask mandates, and to implement the COVID-19 vaccination program for VA health care personnel.” The Bill was passed by a vote of 219-211. [H.R 4366, [Vote #380](#), 7/26/23; CQ, [7/27/23](#)]

Kiggans Voted For The State-Foreign Operations Appropriations That Would Further Restrict Abortion By Prohibiting “Federal Funding For International Non-Governmental Organizations That Provide Abortion Services”

Kiggans Voted For Passing Fiscal 2024 State-Foreign Operations Appropriations That Would Further Restrict Abortion By Prohibiting “Federal Funding For International Non-Governmental Organizations That Provide Abortion Services.” In September 2023, Kiggans voted for: “Passage of the bill, as amended, that would provide \$53.3 billion in federal funding for fiscal 2024. The bill includes a \$12.9 billion offset, including \$11.1 billion from a rescission of funding from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund from the fiscal 2022 budget reconciliation bill (PL 117-169). The bill would provide \$4.4 billion to counter the Chinese Communist Party’s influence and prohibit the use of funds to repay loans to the Chinese government or to support forced labor, crimes against humanity or genocide in China. The bill would provide \$3.1 billion for Israel, \$1.5 billion for Egypt and \$1.7 billion for Jordan. The bill would also require the executive branch, within 60 days of enactment, to report to Congress on a strategy to prioritize U.S. national security interests in responding to the Russian invasion of Ukraine, and create new monitoring and oversight mechanisms. Among other provisions, the bill would provide \$10 billion for global health programs, strike funding for the Green Climate Fund, and reimpose the prohibition on federal funding for international non-governmental organizations that provide abortion services. As amended, the bill would reduce the salary of Secretary of State Antony Blinken to \$1, prohibit the use of funds for the Office of Palestinian Affairs, and add language to expand eligibility for security upgrades to soft targets to include places of worship for U.S. embassy staff and their dependents.” The bill passed by a vote of 216-212. [H.R. 4665, [Vote #500](#), 9/28/23; CQ, [9/28/23](#)]

Kiggans Voted To Restrict Abortion Access For Military Personnel In The 2022 National Defense Authorization Act

Kiggans Voted To Restrict Abortion Access For Military Personnel In The Annual Defense Bill.

“Representative Jen Kiggans, a minivan-driving mom and Navy veteran, narrowly won election last year in her suburban Virginia swing district after a fiercely competitive race that focused on her opposition to abortion rights. The issue remains a top priority for voters in her district, and appearing too extreme on it could make her vulnerable again when she faces re-election in 2024. But Ms. Kiggans was one of dozens of Republicans from competitive districts who voted this week to support adding a bevy of deeply partisan restrictions to the annual defense policy bill, including one that would reverse a Pentagon policy aimed at preserving access to abortion services for military personnel, no matter where they are stationed.” [New York Times, [7/14/23](#)]

- **Kiggans Voted For An Amendment To Prohibit The Defense Department From Paying For Expenses Related To Abortion Services.** In July 2023, Kiggans voted for: “Jackson, R-Texas, amendment no. 5 that would repeal a 2022 Defense Department memorandum regarding access to reproductive health care and prohibit the department from paying for or reimbursing expenses relating to abortion services.” The amendment was adopted by a vote of 221-213. [H.R. 2670, [Vote #300](#), 7/13/23; CQ, [7/13/23](#)]
- **Kiggans Voted For Authorizing The Defense Budget For Fiscal Year 2024.** In July 2023, Kiggans voted for: “Passage of the bill, as amended, that would authorize \$874.2 billion in national defense spending, including \$841.5 billion for the Defense Department and \$32.2 billion for national security programs within the Energy Department. The bill would authorize approximately \$168.6 billion for weapons and other procurement and \$145.2 billion for military research and development. Within these totals, it would authorize \$32.3 billion for shipbuilding; \$13.2 billion for Air Force procurement

of 83 new F-35 series tactical force aircraft; \$17.4 billion for 92 Navy aircraft; \$539 million for the Ground Based Strategic Deterrent ballistic missile system; and approximately \$30 billion for missile defense programs, including \$434 million for a hypersonic missile defense system, \$498 million for continued development of Guam missile defense systems, \$160 million for Israeli missile defense systems and \$2.6 billion for a Space Force defendable missile warning satellite system. Within Energy Department funding, it would authorize \$23.9 billion for the National Nuclear Security Administration, primarily for the maintenance of a nuclear weapons stockpile. It would authorize \$38.2 billion for the Defense Health Program and \$17.5 billion for military construction. It would authorize \$9.7 billion for the Pacific Deterrence Initiative and \$3.6 billion for the European Deterrence Initiative, primarily intended to counter aggression by China and Russia, respectively. For international assistance and cooperation, it would authorize \$4 billion to bolster U.S. and allied forces in Europe against Russian aggression, including \$300 million in security assistance to Ukraine; \$565 million for the U.S. Africa Command; \$398 million for forces in Syria and Iraq combating the Islamic State group; \$336 million for a cooperative threat reduction program assisting former Soviet Union countries; \$300 million for cooperative research and development programs with Israel; and \$210 million for the Baltic Security Initiative. The bill would authorize a 5.2 percent military pay increase and authorize the Defense Department to issue bonuses to junior enlisted servicemembers to counteract inflation. It would authorize \$1.1 billion for the Defense Department environmental restoration fund and require the department to undertake various environmental cleanup activities. It would eliminate the position of chief diversity officer within the Defense Department and include various provisions to restrict DOD programs that involve diversity, equity and inclusion and critical race theory. The bill would establish a special inspector general for Ukraine assistance and require the Defense Department to make plans to increase the energy resiliency of each main operating base in the U.S. European Command zone. It would repeal a 2022 Defense Department memorandum regarding access to reproductive health care and prohibit the department from paying for or reimbursing expenses relating to abortion services; eliminate all Defense Department and Armed Forces offices established to promote diversity, equity and inclusion as well as terminate all personnel within such offices; and prevent the Defense Department from purchasing data of U.S. individuals that would otherwise require a warrant, court order or subpoena.” The bill passed by a vote of 219-210. [H.R. 2670, [Vote #328](#), 7/14/23; CQ, [7/14/23](#)]

In Later Weeks, Voters, Especially Black Voters, Will Need To Hear From Members From Within The Community About Kiggans Voting To Cut SNAP Benefits.

Kiggans Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Enacted The Largest SNAP Cut In The Program’s History, Threatening To Take Away Food Assistance For More Than 22,000 Households In VA-02

July 2025: Kiggans Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act

July 2025: Kiggans Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Kiggans voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to

\$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made The Largest SNAP Cut In History, Threatening Benefits For More Than 22,000 Households In VA-02

Center For American Progress: “The Senate Has Released Its Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill Act, Which Would Enact The Largest Cut To The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) In The Program’s History According To Center For American Progress Estimates.” “The Senate has released its version of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, which would enact the largest cut to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) in the program’s history according to Center for American Progress estimates. Despite the proposal cutting less than the House-passed version’s nearly \$300 billion in cuts, it is even more extreme than the House version in some key aspects. Moreover, this legislation comes at a time when food insecurity is rising across all 50 states, reaching levels not seen since 2014.” [Center for American Progress, [6/26/25](#)]

- **CBPP Headline: “House Reconciliation Bill Proposes Deepest SNAP Cut In History, Would Take Food Assistance Away From Millions of Low-Income Families.”** [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [5/28/25](#)]
- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Cut SNAP By Around \$186 Billion.** President Trump's massive tax and spending bill, which is advancing through the House after surviving its Republican push through the Senate, would slash food benefits for thousands. The big picture: It would mark a historic cut to the social safety net that Republicans claim weeds out waste, fraud and abuse — but experts say the restructuring of assistance programs could leave more people hungry and uninsured. [...] By the numbers: The bill would reduce nutrition funding, which includes SNAP, by around \$186 billion between 2025 and 2034. [Axios, [7/3/25](#)]

According To The U.S. Department Of Agriculture, 22,132 Households Participated In Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) In VA-02. [USDA, accessed [5/6/26](#)]

Who Receives SNAP?

SNAP provides nutrition benefits to supplement the food budgets of low-income families so they can purchase healthy food.

In VA District 2, SNAP serves...

22,132
HOUSEHOLDS