

## Logan Message #1 Backup

*George Logan said he opposes codifying protections from Roe v. Wade and protecting women's right to choose. He's given thousands of dollars to anti-choice politicians who want to ban abortion without exceptions, even to save a woman's life and now he wants to join Republicans in Washington who are trying to pass a national ban on abortion, including in Connecticut.*

### George Logan Said He Opposes Codifying Protections From Roe V. Wade And Protecting Women's Right To Choose.

**October 2022: Logan: "I Would Not Vote In Favor Of Codifying Roe V. Wade At The Federal Level."** LOGAN: "The overturning of Roe v Wade does not change anything in Connecticut because we have codified a woman's right to choose. The US Supreme Court, we have our three branches of government. They decided that the decision regarding a woman's right to choose and the abortion issue should be left up to the states. I will comply with the US Supreme Court's decision. And I would not vote in favor of codifying Roe v. Wade at the federal level. I think its decision has been made by the US Supreme Court." [CPTV via C-SPAN, 4:16, [10/20/22](#)] (VIDEO)

**Federally Codifying Protections From Roe Would Prevent States From Passing "Full Bans" On Abortion Following The Overturning Of Roe.** "Congressional Democrats have mulled options to guarantee the 1973 Roe v. Wade ruling's protections since a leaked majority draft indicated in May that the Supreme Court would reverse the decision. The majority conservative court indeed overturned Roe last week, sparking nationwide tumult among abortion-rights advocates and celebrations by their anti-abortion counterparts. The reversal returns the power to state legislatures to pass full bans on abortion. The ruling, which stood for nearly 50 years, had nullified broad bans on the procedure and established it as a constitutional right. Now Democrats are pushing to effectively restore that right by 'codifying' Roe v. Wade." [USA Today, [6/30/22](#)]

### He's Given Thousands Of Dollars To Anti-Choice Politicians Who Want To Ban Abortion Without Exceptions, Even To Save A Woman's Life...

**2022 – 2023: Logan Donated \$5,849.50 To Cosponsors Of The Life At Conception Act** [FEC, Individual Contributions, accessed [8/21/24](#); FEC, Disbursements, accessed [8/21/24](#); H.R. 616, introduced [1/16/19](#); H.R. 431, introduced [1/20/23](#)]

George Logan Contributions To Life At Conception Act Cosponsors				
Contributor	Date	Recipient Committee	Associated Candidate	Amount
George Logan	5/23/23	Hern for Congress	Kevin Hern	\$49.50
GSL PAC	10/8/22	Carol for Congress	Carol Miller	\$2,900
GSL PAC	8/22/22	Claudia Tenney for Congress	Claudia Tenney	\$2,900
			<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$5,849.50</b>

[FEC, Individual Contributions, accessed [8/21/24](#); FEC, Disbursements, accessed [8/21/24](#); H.R. 616, introduced [1/16/19](#); H.R. 431, introduced [1/20/23](#)]

- **116<sup>th</sup> Congress: Kevin Hern Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.** [H.R. 616, cosponsored [1/16/19](#)]
- **118<sup>th</sup> Congress: Carol Miller Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.** [H.R. 431, cosponsored [1/20/23](#)]



- **118<sup>th</sup> Congress: Claudia Tenney Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.** [H.R. 431, cosponsored [2/6/24](#)]
- **GSL PAC Was George Logan’s Leadership PAC.** “Committee name: GSL PAC [...] Committee designation: Leadership PAC [...] Leadership PAC sponsor: Logan, George S Candidate for House Connecticut Republican Party” [FEC, GSL PAC About this committee, accessed [8/21/24](#)]

**Los Angeles Times: The Life At Conception Act Would Constitute A Nationwide Abortion Ban From The Moment Of Fertilization.** "The Life at Conception Act is fewer than 300 words, but its language leaves little room for ambiguity on abortion. The bill, introduced in the U.S. House earlier in the congressional session, seeks 'equal protection for the right to life of each born and preborn human person,' specifying that it covers 'all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being.' Put simply: 'It would be a nationwide abortion ban,' said Mary Ziegler, a professor at UC Davis School of Law who studies reproductive rights. Even California, which has positioned itself as a haven for abortion rights, would be affected." [Los Angeles Times, [8/29/22](#)]

**The Federal Life At Conception Act Would Ban Abortion Without Exceptions For Rape, Incest, Or To Save A Woman’s Life.** “H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and ‘preborn’ human person. ‘Human person’ is defined as: [...] each and every member of the species homo sapiens at all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being. The bill would grant constitutional rights to fertilized eggs, embryos, fetuses, and clones. It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization.” [Rewire News Group, [9/28/19](#)]

## **...And Now He Wants To Join Republicans In Washington Who Are Trying To Pass A National Ban On Abortion, Including In Connecticut.**

**March 2024: VIDEO: Logan Called Mike Johnson His “Friend” and Urged Supporters To “Expand The Commonsense Republican Majority In The U.S. House Of Representatives.”**  
LOGAN: “But with your help we’re going to win this race and deliver change for the people of the Fifth Congressional District. We’re gonna get it done. When we do, we will be moving the country in the right direction. We will help the honorable Speaker Mike Johnson protect and expand the commonsense Republican Majority in the U.S. House of Representatives. Now, it is my honor to introduce my friend Speaker of the House of Representatives; Mike Johnson!” [George Logan Remarks at Farmington “Battle Station” Ribbon Cutting via George Logan Facebook, 9:33, [3/16/24](#)] (VIDEO)

**As Of September 2024, 131 House Republicans, Including Speaker Johnson, Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.** [HR 431, introduced [1/20/23](#)]

**Los Angeles Times: The Life At Conception Act Would Constitute A Nationwide Abortion Ban From The Moment Of Fertilization.** "The Life at Conception Act is fewer than 300 words, but its language leaves little room for ambiguity on abortion. The bill, introduced in the U.S. House earlier in the congressional session, seeks 'equal protection for the right to life of each born and preborn human person,' specifying that it covers 'all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being.' Put simply: 'It would be a



nationwide abortion ban,' said Mary Ziegler, a professor at UC Davis School of Law who studies reproductive rights. Even California, which has positioned itself as a haven for abortion rights, would be affected." [Los Angeles Times, [8/29/22](#)]

**The Federal Life At Conception Act Would Ban Abortion Without Exceptions For Rape, Incest, Or To Save A Woman's Life.** "H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and 'preborn' human person. 'Human person' is defined as: [...] each and every member of the species homo sapiens at all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being. The bill would grant constitutional rights to fertilized eggs, embryos, fetuses, and clones. It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization." [Rewire News Group, [9/28/19](#)]

**Vox: A National Abortion Ban Would Supersede State Laws Meant To Protect Abortion Access.** "The repeal of Roe v. Wade left the United States with a patchwork of state laws governing abortion. In parts of the South, someone seeking an abortion would need to travel hundreds of miles to get one. But a national ban would supersede even permissive state laws in states that have been working to expand access to abortion. One estimate found that denying all wanted abortions would increase pregnancy-related deaths by 21 percent nationwide if there aren't effective means for pregnant people to self-manage their abortions." [Vox, [6/25/22](#)]

## Logan Message #2 Backup

*George Logan will join MAGA extremists in Congress in supporting Trump's agenda that hurts families by banning abortion nationwide, cutting Social Security and Medicare, and raising taxes on the middle class while giving big corporations and Trump's wealthy donors millions of dollars in tax cuts.*

## George Logan Will Join MAGA Extremists In Congress In Supporting Trump's Agenda...

**June 2024: Logan Reportedly "Announced He Voted For Donald Trump Twice And Plans To Vote For The Republican Nominee Again In November" After "Telling Those In The Audience To Shut Down Their Phones And Cameras."** "George Logan, who lost a close race to U.S. Rep. Jahana Hayes, D-5th District, in 2022, said the rematch is being closely watched on the national stage. Hayes continues to be a rubber stamp for President Joe Biden, he said, and she believes in giving illegal immigrants the right to vote. Then, after telling those in the audience to shut down their phones and cameras, loudly announced he voted for Donald Trump twice and plans to vote for the Republican nominee again in November. He blamed Biden for inflation, the trouble on the Southern border and his handling of international affairs. 'Joe Biden has to go, and Jahana Hayes as well,' Logan said, drawing applause from attendees." [Republican American, [6/21/24](#)]

## **March 2024: VIDEO: Logan Called Mike Johnson His "Friend" and Urged Supporters To "Expand The Commonsense Republican Majority In The U.S. House Of Representatives."**

LOGAN: "But with your help we're going to win this race and deliver change for the people of the Fifth Congressional District. We're gonna get it done. When we do, we will be moving the country in the right direction. We will help the honorable Speaker Mike Johnson protect and expand the commonsense Republican Majority in the U.S. House of Representatives. Now, it is my honor to introduce my friend

Speaker of the House of Representatives; Mike Johnson!” [George Logan Remarks at Farmington “Battle Station” Ribbon Cutting via George Logan Facebook, 9:33, [3/16/24](#)] (VIDEO)

- **As Of May 2024, Trump And Johnson Were Speaking “At Length” About Legislative Maneuvers To Pass Trump’s Agenda, With Trump Reportedly Seeing Johnson As An “Ally.”** “Donald Trump and Mike Johnson are talking at length about plans for next year, a strong sign that the former president sees the speaker as more than an interim caretaker. [...] The two have discussed budget priorities and how congressional Republicans could help pass Trump's second-term agenda. They've discussed using budget reconciliation to get around the need for 60 Senate votes to pass legislation on their agenda — such as energy and tax policy — if Republicans sweep the House, Senate and the White House. The other side: ‘Johnson is the one that's pushing himself out there to set the agenda for the first 100 days,’ Greene told Axios. The big picture: Trump sees Johnson as a ‘good enough ally’ and understands the importance of dealmaking in Congress, a top Trump ally told Axios. Their relationship has deepened since 2019, when Trump first saw Johnson defend Trump on TV as a part of his impeachment defense team. Johnson, meanwhile, ‘respects him as the party leader,’ one senior GOP source said.” [Axios, [5/18/24](#)]

**December 2023: Logan Touted Endorsements From Johnson, Stefanik, Emmer, And Scalise, Whom He Called “Incredible Leaders.”** [George Logan, Twitter, [12/19/23](#)]



[George Logan, Twitter, [12/19/23](#)]

- **January 2024: Steve Scalise Endorsed Trump For President.** “House Majority Leader Steve

Scalise announced his endorsement of former President Donald Trump's 2024 presidential bid on Tuesday, becoming one of the highest-ranking Republicans to formally support Trump.” [Politico, [1/2/24](#)]

- **January 2024: Majority Whip Tom Emmer Endorsed Trump.** “All top five House GOP leaders are now backing Donald Trump after Majority Whip Tom Emmer endorsed the ex-president who helped tank his speakership bid last year.” [Politico, [1/3/24](#)]
- **November 2022: Elise Stefanik Backed Trump For 2024.** “No. 3 House Republican Rep. Elise Stefanik is endorsing Donald Trump for president in 2024, becoming the highest-ranking congressional leader Friday to publicly back the former president, even as he’s being widely blamed by other Republicans for failures in the midterm elections.” [Associated Press, [11/11/22](#)]
- **June 2024: Stefanik Called Herself Trump’s “Strongest Ally In Congress.”** “Today, Stefanik would not discuss any details regarding the current vice-presidential vetting process. She refused to acknowledge her past criticisms of Trump when asked if they could impact her possible selection to the ticket. Like most Republicans, Stefanik embraced the MAGA moniker and agenda with gusto once Trump won the White House. ‘I’m proud to have voted for him and supported him in 2016 on the ballot,’ Stefanik said. ‘I’m proud to be his strongest ally in Congress. And I’m proud to continue to work, no matter how the vice presidential situation shakes out, I will continue to be a fighter for this district and supporting President Trump who has a proven record of delivering results.’” [WAMC, [6/21/24](#)]

### ...That Hurts Families By Banning Abortion Nationwide...

**HEADLINE: “Trump Suggests He’d Support A National Ban On Abortions Around 15 Weeks Of Pregnancy.”** [Associated Press, [3/20/24](#)]

**HEADLINE: “Trump Privately Expresses Support for a 16-Week Abortion Ban.”** [New York Times, [2/16/24](#)]

**2017: The Trump Administration Backed A 20-Week Abortion Ban.** “The Trump administration formally backed a House bill Monday that would ban abortions after 20 weeks.” [The Hill, [10/2/17](#)]

### ...Cutting Social Security And Medicare...

**March 2024: Trump “Suggested He Was Open To Making Cuts To Social Security And Medicare,” Saying, “There Is A Lot You Can Do In Terms Of Entitlements, In Terms Of Cutting.”** “Former President Donald Trump on Monday suggested he was open to making cuts to Social Security and Medicare after opposing touching the entitlement programs and attacking his GOP presidential primary rivals over the issue. Trump was asked in an interview with CNBC whether he had changed his outlook on how to handle entitlement programs like Social Security, Medicare and Medicaid in order to tackle the national debt. ‘There is a lot you can do in terms of entitlements, in terms of cutting and in terms of also the theft and the bad management of entitlements,’ Trump said on CNBC’s ‘Squawk Box.’” [CNN, [3/11/24](#)]

### ...And Raising Taxes On The Middle Class While Giving Big Corporations And Trump’s Wealthy Donors Millions Of Dollars In Tax Cuts.



**Trump’s Proposal To Replace Income Tax With Import Tax Revenue Would Cost The Middle 20 Percent Of Households An Estimated \$5,100 To \$8,300 In Net Tax Increases.** “Trump recently went a step further in in a closed-door meeting of Republican lawmakers, where he reportedly floated an ‘all tariff policy’ where import tax revenue would enable to the U.S. to eliminate the income tax. [...] Another way to see the proposal’s regressivity is that it would create a net \$5,100 to \$8,300 tax increase for the middle 20 percent of households depending on the analytic assumption about whether U.S. producers pay the tariff (\$5,100) or U.S. consumers pay it through higher prices (\$8,300). The top 1 percent, on the other hand, would receive a net tax cut of at least \$290,000, and the top 0.1 percent would receive a net tax increase of at least \$1.5 million.” [Center for American Progress, [6/18/24](#)]

**Trump’s Proposal Would Also Cut Taxes For The Top One Percent By At Least \$290,000.** “Trump recently went a step further in in a closed-door meeting of Republican lawmakers, where he reportedly floated an ‘all tariff policy’ where import tax revenue would enable to the U.S. to eliminate the income tax. [...] Another way to see the proposal’s regressivity is that it would create a net \$5,100 to \$8,300 tax increase for the middle 20 percent of households depending on the analytic assumption about whether U.S. producers pay the tariff (\$5,100) or U.S. consumers pay it through higher prices (\$8,300). The top 1 percent, on the other hand, would receive a net tax cut of at least \$290,000, and the top 0.1 percent would receive a net tax increase of at least \$1.5 million.” [Center for American Progress, [6/18/24](#)]

**July 2024: Trump Called For Lowering The Corporate Tax Rate To 15 Percent.** “Trump says he reminded the assembled executives that in 2017 he slashed the corporate tax rate ‘from 39% to 21%’ (actually from 35% to 21%) and vowed to push it lower still, to 20%. ‘They loved it, they were happy,’ he recalls. He adds that he wants to cut the rate even lower than that: ‘I would like to get it down to 15.’” [Bloomberg, [7/16/24](#)]

**A 15 Percent Corporate Tax Rate Would Give Billions In Annual Tax Cuts To Big Corporations, Including Oil, Pharmaceutical, Grocery, And Wall Street Companies That Report Billions In Annual Profits.** “New analysis by CAPAF provides rough estimates of who benefits and shows that Trump’s plan to cut the corporate tax rate down to 15 percent would: Give the largest 100 U.S. companies (the Fortune 100) a total estimated annual tax cut of \$48 billion. They reported \$1.1 trillion in profits in their last annual report. Give 10 of the largest U.S. companies alone, including Meta, Comcast, and JPMorgan Chase, a total estimated annual tax cut of \$23 billion. They reported more than \$520 billion in profits. Give the five largest U.S. oil companies—Exxon Mobil, Chevron, Marathon Petroleum/ConocoPhillips, Phillips 66, and Valero Energy—an annual total estimated tax cut of \$2.5 billion. They reported more than \$90 billion in profits. Give the five largest drug makers—Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Pfizer, AbbVie, and Bristol Myers Squibb—an annual total estimated tax cut of \$3.1 billion. They reported over \$50 billion in profits. Give five of the largest Wall Street banks—JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, Citigroup, Wells Fargo, and Goldman Sachs—an annual total estimated tax cut of \$4.1 billion. They reported nearly \$113 billion in profits. Give five of the largest grocery companies—Kroger, Costco, Albertsons, Target, and Walmart—an annual total estimated tax cut of nearly \$1.7 billion. They reported over \$29 billion in profits.” [Center for American Progress Action Fund, [6/12/24](#)]

**Rolling Stone Headline: “Trump Planning Another Huge Tax Cut For His Donors”** [Rolling Stone, [7/16/24](#)]

- **Rolling Stone: “Some Of The World's Wealthiest Have Rallied Around Trump” As He Pledged To Cut The Corporate Tax Rate And Individual Tax Cuts That Disproportionately Benefited The Wealthy.** “During his presidency, Donald Trump slashed the corporate tax rate from 28 percent to 21 percent. If he's elected again this year, Trump intends to further cut the corporate tax rate to 15 percent, according to new reporting in Bloomberg. The 2017 Trump tax law is already an epic financial boondoggle, one that was designed to primarily benefit the wealthy. At the time of its

passage, the nonpartisan Tax Policy Center projected that nearly two thirds of the tax cuts under the law would flow to the top 20 percent of American households - and nearly half would flow to the top 5 percent. [...] Trump's corporate tax cut pledge is one of many policy changes he has been promising to prospective donors. In May, Trump reportedly warned donors that Biden would allow his 2017 tax law to expire. 'The tax cuts all expire for wealthy and poor and middle-income and everything else,' Trump said, according to The Washington Post. In recent days, some of the world's wealthiest have rallied around Trump, coincidentally at the same time that his party is attempting to sell the working class on hollow economic populism - and resentment against immigrants - at the Republican National Convention." [Rolling Stone, [7/16/24](#)]

## Logan Message #3 Backup


*George Logan has looked out for companies while Connecticut families paid more. He was a corporate lobbyist for a utility company, and then served as a director for that company while in the State Senate. In office, he stood up for utility companies by voting to cut their taxes even while they raised rates and failed to restore power after storms.*

## George Logan Has Looked Out For Companies While Connecticut Families Paid More.

*Substantiated below*

## He Was A Corporate Lobbyist For A Utility Company...

**October 2015 – December 2016: Logan Was A Registered Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company In The State Of Connecticut.** [George S Logan, LinkedIn, accessed [6/3/24](#)]



George S Logan  
Director Of Community Relations at Aquarion Water Company

**Aquarion Water Company**  
32 yrs 6 mos

- Director Of Community Relations**  
Jun 2020 - Present · 4 yrs 1 mo  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States
- Employee**  
May 1992 - Present · 32 yrs 2 mos  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States
- Director Of Environmental Management**  
Jan 2017 - Jun 2020 · 3 yrs 6 mos  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States
- Registered Lobbyist - State of Connecticut**  
Part-time  
Oct 2015 - Dec 2016 · 1 yr 3 mos  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States

[George S Logan, LinkedIn, accessed [6/3/24](#)]

- **2015 – 2016: According To The Connecticut Office Of State Ethics, Logan Was A Registered Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company.** [Connecticut Office of State Ethics, Client Lobbyist Registration, filed 10/6/15]

**Office of State Ethics  
Client Lobbyist Registration  
2015-2016**



Pursuant to Chapter 10, Part II, General Statutes  
ETH-1B

**Client Lobbyist Information**

Registration Number: 19828


<b>Client Lobbyist:</b>	Aquarion Water Company	<b>Registration Period:</b>	2015-2016		
<b>Street Address 1 :</b>	835 Main Street	<b>Street Address 2:</b>			
<b>City:</b>	Bridgeport	<b>State:</b>	CT	<b>Zip:</b>	06604
<b>Responsible Person Information (Person at Client Responsible for Oversight of Client's Lobbying Activities)</b>					
<b>Name:</b>	Logan George	<b>Job Title:</b>	Director - Env Mgmt and Government Relations		

[Connecticut Office of State Ethics, Client Lobbyist Registration, filed 10/6/15]

**...And Then Served As A Director For That Company While In The State Senate.**

**2017 – 2021: Logan Was A State Senator.** “Logan, the son of Guatemalan immigrants who had roots in Jamaica, served in the state Senate from 2017 to 2021. He is currently a government affairs official for Aquarion, a water company owned by Eversource.” [CT Mirror, [10/17/22](#)]

**January 2017 – June 2020: Logan Was Director Of Environmental Management For Aquarion Water Company.** [George S Logan, LinkedIn, accessed [6/3/24](#)]



George S Logan  
Director Of Community Relations at Aquarion Water Company

**Aquarion Water Company**  
32 yrs 6 mos

- Director Of Community Relations**  
Jun 2020 - Present · 4 yrs 1 mo  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States
- Employee**  
May 1992 - Present · 32 yrs 2 mos  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States
- Director Of Environmental Management**  
Jan 2017 - Jun 2020 · 3 yrs 6 mos  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States

[George S Logan, LinkedIn, accessed [6/3/24](#)]

**June 2020 – June 2024 Logan Was Director Of Community Relations For Aquarion Water Company.** [George S Logan, LinkedIn, accessed [6/3/24](#)]





George S Logan  
Director Of Community Relations at Aquarion Water Company



Aquarion Water Company  
32 yrs 6 mos

- Director Of Community Relations  
Jun 2020 - Present · 4 yrs 1 mo  
Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States

[George S Logan, LinkedIn, accessed [6/3/24](#)]

## In Office, He Stood Up For Utility Companies By Voting To Cut Their Taxes...

**June 2019: Logan Voted Against A Budget Bill That Included Various Tax Changes Including An Extension On A Corporate Surcharge And Modifying The Corporation Business Credit Cap.** “On June 26, 2019, Connecticut Governor Ned Lamont signed into law the state's fiscal 2020–2021 budget bill (HB 7424) (the bill). Notable changes in the bill include: (1) expanded sales and use tax nexus provisions; (2) an increase to the sales and use tax rate on digital goods and certain computer software; (3) expansion of the state's sale tax base to include certain services; (4) an extension of the corporate surcharge; (5) the phase-out of the capital base tax; (6) a modification to the corporation business credit cap; and (7) a modification to the pass-through entity (PTE) tax.” [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7424, [6/4/19](#); Ernst and Young, [7/30/19](#)]

- **The Bill Extended The 10% Surcharge On Corporate Income Tax, But Only Applied To Connecticut Corporations “With At Least \$100 Million Of Annual Gross Income.”** “The bill extends the 10% corporate surcharge on Connecticut corporate income tax for two years, to tax years commencing before January 1, 2021. The surcharge applies to corporations with at least \$100 million of annual gross income that have a Connecticut tax liability of more than \$250, or file unitary returns regardless of the amount of gross income.” [Ernst and Young, [7/30/19](#)]

**2017: Aquarion Water Was Acquired By Eversource For \$1.7 Billion.** “In 2017, Eversource, the region’s largest electric utility that serves portions of Berkshire County, acquired Aquarion for nearly \$1.7 billion. The merger, which Eversource said is the first between an electric utility and a water company, was viewed as something of an oddity in the business community.” [The Berkshire Edge, [4/8/21](#)]

**Eversource Water Ventures Was A Connecticut Corporation With A Wholly-Owned Subsidiary, Eversource Aquarion Holdings.** “Eversource Water Ventures, Inc., a Connecticut corporation, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Eversource Aquarion Holdings, Inc. (Aquarion), operates three separate regulated water utilities in Connecticut (Aquarion Water Company of Connecticut, or “AWC-CT”), Massachusetts (Aquarion Water Company of Massachusetts, or “AWC-MA”) and New Hampshire (Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, or “AWC-NH”). These regulated companies provide water services to approximately 229,000 residential, commercial, industrial, municipal and fire protection and other customers, in 60 towns and cities in Connecticut, Massachusetts and New Hampshire. As of December 31, 2019, approximately 87 percent of Aquarion’s customers were based in Connecticut.” [SEC, Eversource Energy Form 10-K, filed [2/27/20](#)]

**Eversource Reported Generating \$8.5 Billion In Operating Revenues And \$916 Million In Net Income In 2019.** [SEC, Eversource Energy Form 10-K, filed [2/27/20](#)]



**Eversource Selected Consolidated Financial Data (Unaudited)**

(Thousands of Dollars, except percentages and common share information)

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	\$ 27,585,470	\$ 25,610,428	\$ 23,617,463	\$ 21,350,510	\$ 19,892,441
Total Assets	41,123,915	38,241,256	36,220,386	32,053,173	30,580,309
Common Shareholders' Equity	12,629,994	11,486,817	11,086,242	10,711,734	10,352,215
Noncontrolling Interest - Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries	155,570	155,570	155,570	155,568	155,568
Long-Term Debt <sup>(a)</sup>	14,098,239	13,086,062	12,325,520	9,603,237	9,034,457
Obligations Under Capital Leases <sup>(a)</sup>	10,516	10,735	9,898	8,924	8,222
Obligations Under Operating Leases <sup>(a)</sup>	49,966	—	—	—	—
<b>Income Statement Data:</b>					
Operating Revenues	\$ 8,526,470	\$ 8,448,201	\$ 7,751,952	\$ 7,639,129	\$ 7,954,827
Net Income	\$ 916,572	\$ 1,040,519	\$ 995,515	\$ 949,821	\$ 886,004

**Eversource's Water Distribution Reportedly Generated \$214.6 Million In Operating Revenues In 2019.** [SEC, Eversource Energy Form 10-K, filed [2/27/20](#)]

Eversource (Millions of Dollars)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2019						Total
	Electric Distribution	Natural Gas Distribution	Electric Transmission	Water Distribution	Other	Eliminations	
<b>Revenues from Contracts with Customers</b>							
Retail Tariff Sales							
Residential	\$ 3,723.7	\$ 555.1	\$ —	\$ 132.3	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,411.1
Commercial	2,584.8	347.6	—	63.9	—	(4.3)	2,992.0
Industrial	331.8	96.9	—	4.5	—	(11.6)	421.6
Total Retail Tariff Sales Revenues	6,640.3	999.6	—	200.7	—	(15.9)	7,824.7
Wholesale Transmission Revenues	—	—	1,293.3	—	61.3	(1,085.2)	269.4
Wholesale Market Sales Revenues	215.7	55.4	—	4.1	—	—	275.2
Other Revenues from Contracts with Customers	54.8	2.8	13.2	7.0	967.2	(969.0)	76.0
Reserve for Revenues Subject to Refund	1.3	6.2	—	(2.8)	—	—	4.7
Total Revenues from Contracts with Customers	6,912.1	1,064.0	1,306.5	209.0	1,028.5	(2,070.1)	8,450.0
Alternative Revenue Programs	45.9	(4.9)	81.8	4.6	—	(74.2)	53.2
Other Revenues	18.5	3.1	0.7	1.0	—	—	23.3
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 6,976.5	\$ 1,062.2	\$ 1,389.0	\$ 214.6	\$ 1,028.5	\$ (2,144.3)	\$ 8,526.5

[SEC, Eversource Energy Form 10-K, filed [2/27/20](#)]

**...Even While They Raised Rates...**

**Summer 2020: Eversource Increased Electricity Rates, Doubling Them In Some Cases.** “The company has since been marred by delays in restoring power following Tropical Storm Isaias, and is now the subject of a Public Utilities Regulatory Authority investigation into how their money has been spent over the previous decade, particularly regarding storm preparation and the response to Isaias. Just before the storm, Eversource drew outrage for a rate increase that greatly increased customers’ bills, doubling them in some cases. Eversource attributed the increase to a hot summer with more people working from home due to the COVID-10 pandemic and using power and air conditioning, as well as a PURA-approved increase in the generation rate.” [Journal Inquirer, [8/14/20](#)]

- **July 2020: Connecticut Regulators Ordered Eversource To Suspend A Rate Increase To Investigate If Customers Were Overcharged.** “Connecticut regulators in July ordered Eversource to temporarily suspend a rate increase that appeared in customers’ July bills so an investigation can be conducted into whether customers were overcharged. The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, which is also examining Eversource’s storm response, received numerous complaints from customers shocked by larger-than-normal electric bills, some twice as much as they usually pay. Eversource has said they were driven primarily by a significant increase in summer energy use and two recent delivery fee increases.” [Associated Press, [8/27/20](#)]

**2017: Eversource Proposed Using A \$337 Million Rate Hike In Part To Pay For \$4 Million In Membership Fees To Business Economic Development Groups.** “Tucked inside Eversource’s request for a rate increase of \$337 million over three years is more than \$4 million in membership fees to business and economic development groups that critics say ratepayers should not have to pay. As part of its ‘community relations and economic development’ program, Eversource has proposed spending \$574,000 for membership fees to the Edison Electric Institute, a Washington, D.C., trade group that

represents investor-owned utilities; \$406,000 for the MetroHartford Alliance, central Connecticut's chamber of commerce; and \$99,000 for the Connecticut Business and Industry Association. The spending would be in 2018, 2019 and 2020.” [Hartford Courant, [11/29/17](#)]

- **PURA Approved A Rate Increase Of \$124.7 Million, Equating To An Increase Of \$5.40 Per Month For 1.2 Million Connecticut Consumers.** “About 1.2 million Connecticut consumers who get their power from Eversource will be paying \$5.40 per month more in the coming year under rate increases just granted by state regulators, but officials say it could have been a lot worse. Eversource originally asked for a three-year, \$337 million increase in electricity rates. The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority cut back the request to \$124.7 million for the 2018-20 period.” [Hartford Courant, [4/19/18](#)]

## ...And Failed To Restore Power After Storms.

**August 2020: The Chair Of PURA Said Eversource Did Not Adequately Prepare For Tropical Storm Isaias Which Caused More Than 800,000 Customers To Lose Power In Connecticut.** “The chair of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority says that Eversource Energy badly underestimated the threat of Tropical Storm Isaias by preparing for between 125,000 and 380,000 outages, while more than 800,000 of its customers lost power at the peak and nearly 600,000 still were in the dark Wednesday night.” [CT Mirror, [8/5/20](#)]

- **August 2020: Eversource Underestimated Tropical Storm Isaias Predicting 125,000 And 380,000 Outages, While More Than 800,000 Customers Lost Power At The Peak.** “The chair of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority says that Eversource Energy badly underestimated the threat of Tropical Storm Isaias by preparing for between 125,000 and 380,000 outages, while more than 800,000 of its customers lost power at the peak and nearly 600,000 still were in the dark Wednesday night.” [CT Mirror, [8/5/20](#)]

**Three Days After The Storm Hit Connecticut, Nearly Half A Million Customers Remained Without Power.** “Nearly half a million utility customers in Connecticut remained without power Friday, three days after Tropical Storm Isaias ripped through the state. Public officials say Connecticut's two main energy companies, Eversource Energy and United Illuminating, weren't ready for the storm — and an investigation is being launched to understand what went wrong. Some reports estimate that it will take more than a week to fully restore power.” [NBC News, [8/7/20](#)]

**About A Million Eversource Customers Lost Power At Various Times During And After the Storm.** “About 1 million customers lost power at various times during and after the storm, but the peak outage — the greatest number blacked out at one time — was 632,632. There were 21,669 damage locations, with damage to 2,506 poles, 1,438 transformers and more than 500 miles of wire.” [CT Mirror, [8/27/20](#)]

**An Eight-Month Investigation By Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA) Found That Eversource And United Illuminating Failed To Deploy Enough Line Crews And Report Certain Incidents Including Damage To Customers' Property.** “Wednesday's decision caps an eight-month investigation that concluded in April and found that the companies had not met the state's performance standards. PURA said the companies' failures included not deploying enough line crews and failing to report certain incidents, including damage to customers' property. Connecticut Attorney General William Tong, who intervened in the case, praised the regulator's decision. ‘Eversource failed its customers and put Connecticut families at risk,’ he said in a statement.” [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

**Connecticut Attorney General William Tong: “Eversource Failed Its Customers And Put Connecticut Families At Risk.”** “Wednesday's decision caps an eight-month investigation that concluded in April and found that the companies had not met the state's performance standards. PURA said the companies' failures included not deploying enough line crews and failing to report certain incidents, including damage to customers' property. Connecticut Attorney General William Tong, who intervened in the case, praised the regulator's decision. ‘Eversource failed its customers and put Connecticut families at risk,’ he said in a statement.” [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

**July 2021: PURA Imposed A \$28.5 Million Fine On Eversource Energy For Failing To Sufficiently Prepare For Tropical Storm Isaias.** “(Reuters) - Connecticut's power regulator on Wednesday imposed nearly \$30 million in fines to public utilities Eversource Energy and The United Illuminating Company (UI) for failing to sufficiently prepare for and respond to tropical storm Isaias, which last summer, left hundreds of thousands of their customers without power for days. The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA) fined Eversource, New England's largest power utility, \$28.5 million and UI \$1.2 million in civil penalties, mostly for failing to comply with performance standards under Connecticut's General Statutes Title 16, which regulates public service companies. Eversource spokesperson Mitch Gross said, ‘Time and time again our employees work tirelessly to restore power as quickly as possible and support our customers and communities when outages occur.’ ‘Our focus now is on the future and assuring that our emergency response efforts are intensive,’ he added. The fine against Eversource, which is represented by in-house counsel and Cheryl Kimball of Keegan Werlin, is the maximum allowed by the law, said PURA spokesperson Taren O'Connor.” [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

- **October 2021: State Leaders Brokered A \$103 Million Deal With Eversource Over Its Response To Tropical Storm Isaias, Scaling Back Longer-Term Penalties For Eversource In Exchange For Immediate Relief To State Taxpayers.** “State leaders say they’ve brokered a roughly \$103 million settlement with utility Eversource over its response to Tropical Storm Isaias. If approved, the deal would extend immediate relief to state ratepayers, but it scales back costly longer-term penalties Eversource was contesting in state court.” [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]
- **As A Condition Of The Deal, Eversource Dropped Its Court Challenge Of The Roughly \$28 Million PURA Fine.** “As a condition of the deal, the utility would also drop its court challenge of a roughly \$28 million PURA fine and create a Connecticut-based corporate president ‘to improve local accountability and control,’ according to a joint statement from Lamont and Tong. ‘We would note that Eversource is already paying the \$28.4 million civil penalty in the form of bill credits that started last month,’ said PURA’s O’Connor. ‘Recall that the \$28.4 million civil penalty is comprised of the maximum civil penalty authorized by law at the time of Tropical Storm Isaias, and is being returned to ratepayers over the course of 12 months.’” [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]