# Caroleene Hardee Dobson (AL-02) Overview #1 Backup

Caroleene Hardee Dobson is an ultra-wealthy multimillionaire who is only out for herself. She supports an extreme agenda that caters to the rich and powerful at the expense of Alabama families and seniors.

## Caroleene Hardee Dobson Is An Ultra-Wealthy Multimillionaire Who Is Only Out For Herself

#### **Dobson Disclosed Up To \$1.8 Million In Assets**

According To Dobson's Personal Financial Disclosure, Dobson Had A Net Worth Between \$580,047 And \$1,851,000. [Caroleene Dobson, 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, 8/13/24]

Researcher's Note: Dobson listed multiple assets as having an "undetermined" value, thus this net worth calculation is an underestimation of her wealth.

#### Dobson Owned At Least \$5,498,325.19 In Properties

#### **Dobson Solely Owned \$412,150 In Properties**

**Dobson Owned PO Box 72 In Wilcox County, Alabama, Which Had An Appraised Value Of \$304,550.** [Wilcox County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

**Dobson Owned PO Box 87 In Beatrice, Alabama, Which Had An Appraised Value Of \$107,600.** [Dallas County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

#### Dobson Owned \$650,030.69 In Property Via Her Ownership In CHOG, LLC

Dobson Owned 31.7% Of CHOG, LLC

**Dobson Owned 31.7% Of CHOG, LLC.** [Caroleene Dobson, 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, 8/13/24]

LOCATION: Beatrice, AL, US

DESCRIPTION: Individual Ownership (31.7%)

[Caroleene Dobson, 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, 8/13/24]

Dobson Owned \$185,150.19 In Property In Wilcox County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of CHOG, LLC

CHOG, LLC Owned \$584,070 In Property In Wilcox County, Alabama. [Wilcox County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

• **31.7% Of \$584,070 Was \$185,150.19.** [Caroleene Dobson, 2023 Personal Financial Disclosure, 2/4/24]

Dobson Owned \$464,880.50 In Property In Monroe County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of CHOG, LLC

CHOG, LLC Owned \$1,466,500 In Property In Monroe County, Alabama. [Monroe County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

• 31.7% Of \$1,466,500 Was \$464,880.50. [Monroe County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

#### Dobson Owned \$1,108,567.35 In Property Via Her Ownership In Hardee Lands, LTD

Dobson Owned 49.5% Of Hardee Lands, LTD

**Dobson Owned 49.5% Of Hardee Lands, LTD.** [Caroleene Dobson, 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, 8/13/24]

Hardee Lands, LTD [OL] Undetermined Agriculture \$1 - \$200 \$1 - \$200 LOCATION: Beatrice, AL, US

DESCRIPTION: Ownership through L Caroleene Hardee Family 2012 Trust (49.50%)

Dobson Owned \$225,289.35 In Property In Wilcox County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Hardee Lands, LTD

[Caroleene Dobson, 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, 8/13/24]

Hardee Lands, LTD Owned \$455,130 In Property In Wilcox County, Alabama. [Wilcox County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

• 49.5% Of \$455,130 Was \$225,289.35. [Wilcox County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

Dobson Owned \$666,072 In Property In Monroe County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Hardee Lands, LTD

Hardee Lands, LTD Owned \$1,345,600 In Property In Monroe County, Alabama. [Monroe County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

• 49.5% Of \$1,345,600 Was \$666,072. [Monroe County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

Dobson Owned \$184,635 In Property In Conecuh County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Hardee Lands, LTD

Hardee Lands, LTD Owned \$373,000 In Property In Conecuh County, Alabama. [Conecuh County Alabama, accessed 8/2/24]

• 49.5% Of \$373,000 Was \$184,635. [Conecuh County Alabama, accessed <u>8/2/24</u>]

Dobson Owned \$32,571 In Property In Baldwin County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Hardee Lands, LTD

Hardee Lands, LTD Owned \$65,800 In Property In Baldwin County, Alabama. [Baldwin County Alabama, accessed 8/2/24]

• 49.5% Of \$65,800 Was \$32,571. [Baldwin County Alabama, accessed 8/2/24]

#### Dobson Owned \$3,327,577.15 In Property Via Her Ownership In Philip C. Hardee Ltd

Dobson Owned 49.3% Of Philip C. Hardee, LTD

**Dobson Owned 49.3% Of Philip C. Hardee, LTD.** [Caroleene Dobson, 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, 8/13/24]

Philip C. Hardee, LTD [OL] Undetermined Agriculture \$1 - \$200 \$1 - \$200

LOCATION: Beatrice, AL, US

DESCRIPTION: Individual Ownership (17.75%) Ownership through L Caroleene Hardee Family 2012 Trust (31.55%)

[Caroleene Dobson, 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, 8/13/24]

Dobson Owned \$87,793.44 In Property In Wilcox County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Philip C Hardee, LTD

Philip C Hardee, LTD Owned \$178,080 In Property In Wilcox County, Alabama. [Wilcox County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

• 49.3% Of \$178,080 Was \$87,793.44. [Wilcox County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

Dobson Owned \$2,403,261.61 In Property In Monroe County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Philip C Hardee, LTD

Philip C Hardee, LTD Owned \$4,874,770 In Property In Monroe County, Alabama. [Monroe County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

• 49.3% Of \$4,874,770 Was \$2,403,261.61. [Monroe County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

Dobson Owned \$95,001.10 In Property In Dallas County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Philip C Hardee, LTD

Philip C Hardee, LTD Owned \$192,700 In Property In Dallas County, Alabama. [Dallas County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

• 49.3% Of \$192,700 Was \$95,001.10. [Dallas County Alabama, accessed 4/17/24]

Dobson Owned \$741,521 In Property In Conecuh County, Alabama Via Her Ownership Of Philip C Hardee, LTD

Philip C Hardee, LTD Owned \$1,504,100 In Property In Conecuh County, Alabama. [Conecuh County Alabama, accessed 8/2/24]

• 49.3% Of \$1,504,100 Was \$741,521. [Conecuh County Alabama, accessed 8/2/24]

# She Supports An Extreme Agenda That Caters To The Rich And Powerful At The Expense Of Alabama Families And Seniors

**Dobson On Whether The Trump Tax Cuts Should Be Extended: "Unequivocally Yes."** MODERATOR: "Do you support or oppose extending the 2017 tax changes? Why or why not? And is there anything you would do differently?" [...] DOBSON: "Unequivocally, yes. We have got to extend the Trump-era tax cuts and extend exemptions and extensions. This is key to ensuring that we can get the American economy up and running." [Fox 10 TV, 44:55, 4/8/24] (VIDEO)

• Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: Extending The 2017 GOP Tax Cuts "Would Provide More Tax Breaks To The Richest 5 Percent Of Americans Than It Would Provide To The Bottom 80 Percent Of Americans." "The TCJA Permanency Act would provide more tax breaks to the richest 5 percent of Americans than it would provide to the bottom 80 percent of Americans. The richest 5 percent would receive \$112.6 billion in total tax cuts in 2026 compared to \$104.6 billion for the bottom 80 percent." [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, 5/4/23]

**Dobson On Social Security: "Both Parties Need To Come Together And Come Up With A Different Plan So That We Can Cut Spending."** HOST: "Many people, especially younger people, are concerned about the viability of Social Security. They fear that they are paying into a system that might not be there when they retire. Do you share these concerns, and if so, what should be done to shore up Social Security?" DOBSON: "Yes, well I'm fighting for Alabama families and that means people of all ages. For those that are currently on Social Security or about to being Social Security, I don't think that we should touch that. These people have worked hard their whole lives, they've paid taxes, and they have relied on the fact that Social Security is going to be there for them. For folks my age and younger, we've got to come to the table and stop playing politics. We've got to come up with a workable solution. We cannot keep growing our national debt, we can't keep kicking the can down the road for our children, and we have to face the facts that the money may not be there for us, so both parties need to come together and come up with a different plan so that we can cut spending but also provide for people in their elderly years." [Fox 10 News, YouTube, 2:35:12, 2/26/24] (VIDEO)

# Caroleene Hardee Dobson (AL-02) Message #1 Backup

Caroleene Hardee Dobson aligns herself with a group of fringe Republicans. In Congress, she would join them in their push to gut Medicare and Social Security, pass a national abortion ban without exceptions for rape, incest, or the life of the woman, and allow states to set their own restrictive abortion bans.

## Caroleene Hardee Dobson Aligns Herself With A Group Of Fringe Republicans

**Dobson: "I Admire A Lot Of What The Freedom Caucus Stands For."** "My No. 1 priority is ensuring that I am adequately representing the people of District 2 in standing for conservative values," Dobson said. '... I admire a lot of what the Freedom Caucus stands for. I'm not saying that I would or wouldn't but I'm really mostly concerned about ensuring that I'm accountable to God and to the people in District 2, first and foremost."" [Alabama Daily News, 4/14/24]

#### In Congress, She Would Join Them In Their Push To Gut Medicare...

E&E News: "House Freedom Caucus Chair Scott Perry (R-Pa.) Wants A Repeal Of The Inflation Reduction Act As Part Of Raising The Debt Ceiling." [E&E News, 4/19/23]

Freedom Caucus On The Biden-McCarthy Debt Ceiling Deal: "There Is Nothing At All In This Bill To Repeal Democrats' Signature Inflation Reduction Act." "The Biden-McCarthy deal, unfortunately, fails to deliver on every single one of the provisions of the Limit, Save, Grow Act. [...] There is nothing at all in this bill to repeal Democrats' signature Inflation Reduction Act or to secure the southern border. It is a disappointment across the board." [House Freedom Caucus, Twitter, 5/31/23]



Wednesday, May 31, 2023

#### HFC Opposes Biden-McCarthy Deal

WASHINGTON, D.C. — The House Freedom Caucus has adopted the following official position:

"The House Freedom Caucus urges all Republicans to oppose the Biden-McCarthy debt limit deal and to force Washington to stop its race towards bankruptcy.

Speaker Kevin McCarthy's mandate from the American People – with a powerful negotiating position backed by a united Republican Party – was to hold the line to secure into law the provisions of the Limit, Save, Grow Act, each of which reined in reckless inflationary spending and jumpstarted economic growth.

The Biden-McCarthy deal totally fails to deliver on that mandate and threatens to shatter Republican unity while, more importantly, it misses yet another critical opportunity to save Americans from fiscal ruin.

The Limit, Save, Grow Act was one of the most transformative pieces of legislation to ever pass the House of Representatives, with more than \$4.8 trillion in savings, and repealed numerous radical policies such as the outrageous expansion of the Internal Revenue Service, the President's student loan bailout, and the disastrous \$1.2 trillion "Green New Deal" tax credits for liberal elitists destroying America's energy markets. In exchange for these tremendous wins, Republicans voted – many for the first time ever – to raise the debt ceiling by \$1.5 trillion.

The Biden-McCarthy deal, unfortunately, fails to deliver on every single one of the provisions of the Limit, Save, Grow Act. It allows for an unlimited debt increase through January 1, 2025, to finance President Biden's radical agenda and could lead to more than \$4 trillion more in debt. In exchange for this massive increase in debt, the deal secures just \$12 billion in discretionary spending cuts (only a 0.75% cut overall and less than 10% of the cuts in the Limit, Save, Grow Act) – thereby leaving the bloated COVID bureaucracy virtually untouched. It rescinds a pitiful \$1.4 billion of the whopping \$80 billion in funding for 87,000 new IRS agents. It does not repeal the student loan bailout, and instead only ends the pause on loan repayments, which is already an inevitable outcome. The deal mildly strengthens work requirements on welfare programs, but those improvements are more than offset by new exemptions that shockingly result in even more people enrolled in the programs with even more spending. There is nothing at all in this bill to repeal Democrats' signature Inflation Reduction Act or to secure the southern border. It is a disappointment across the board. In short, there is nothing in the ironically named "Fiscal Responsibility" Act that justifies the enormous \$4 trillion increase of the debt ceiling.

As Speaker McCarthy said on February 1, 2023: "The greatest threat to America is our debt." Now is the time to act. Republicans must oppose this legislation and immediately force Democrats back to the negotiating table."

**Dobson Advocated For Repealing The Inflation Reduction Act.** "And then secondly, that's one of the reasons that we have got to repeal the Inflation Reduction Act and all government subsidies of solar energy, of wind energy." [Joey Clark Live, YouTube, 2:27:48, <u>8/14/24</u>] (VIDEO)

White House: Repealing The Inflation Reduction Act Would Cause The Largest Medicare Benefit Cut In Decades. "The extreme MAGA Republican House Freedom Caucus proposals will: Make the Largest Medicare Benefit Cut in Decades. Extreme MAGA Republicans in the House have signed onto a bill to repeal the Inflation Reduction Act. That will eliminate access to \$35 insulin for more than 3 million Medicare beneficiaries, eliminate the \$2,000 out of pocket cap on prescription drugs for seniors, increase prices of prescription drugs for seniors, and eliminate free vaccines that are saving 3.4 million seniors on average \$70 per year—all to give Big Pharma a bigger taxpayer handout." [White House, 3/23/23]

- The Inflation Reduction Act Expanded And Strengthened Medicare. "President Biden's prescription drug law, the Inflation Reduction Act, was signed into law on August 16, 2022. This new law provides meaningful financial relief for millions of people with Medicare by expanding benefits, lowering drug costs, and strengthening Medicare for the future." [Department of Health and Human Services, 8/28/24]
- KFF: The Inflation Reduction Act Included "Several Provisions To Lower Prescription Drug Costs For People With Medicare." "The Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 (the Act), signed into law by President Biden in August 2022, includes several provisions to lower prescription drug costs for people with Medicare and reduce drug spending by the federal government." [KFF, 8/20/24]

**Dobson On Medicare: "We've Got To Find A Different Solution."** "Asked about the future of Social Security and Medicare, Dobson said the promise of benefits has to be kept for those currently or about to receive them. 'With respect to those who are younger, and certainly those that are my age and younger, we've got to face the fact that the money just won't be there,' Dobson said. 'And we've got to stop politicizing this. The Democrats every two years kind of fearmonger with respect to the elimination of entitlements and then we never do anything about it. '(We have to) roll up our sleeves, come together and recognize the reality that the money's not going to be there and we've got to find a different solution."' [Alabama Daily News, 4/14/24]

### ...And Social Security...

Nearly All Members Of The Freedom Caucus Were On The Republican Study Committee, Whose Budget Advocated For Social Security Cuts

There Were 35 Publicly-Named Members Of The House Freedom Caucus. "Member List: Rep. Bob Good (R-VA) Chair Rep. Lauren Boebert (R-CO) Communications Chair Rep. Chip Roy (R-TX) Policy Chair Rep. Andy Biggs (R-AZ) Rep. Dan Bishop (R-NC) Rep. Josh Brecheen (R-OK) Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO) Rep. Ben Cline (R-VA) Rep. Michael Cloud (R-TX) Rep. Andrew Clyde (R-GA) Rep. Eli Crane (R-AZ) Rep. Scott DesJarlais (R-TN) Rep. Byron Donalds (R-FL) Rep. Jeff Duncan (R-SC) Rep. Russ Fulcher (R-ID) Rep. Paul Gosar (R-AZ) Rep. Mark Green (R-TN) Rep. Morgan Griffith (R-VA) Rep. Harriet Hageman (R-WY) Rep. Andy Harris (R-MD) Rep. Diana Harshbarger (R-TN) Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA) Rep. Jim Jordan (R-OH) Rep. Debbie Lesko (R-AZ) Rep. Anna Luna (R-FL) Rep. Mary Miller (R-IL) Rep. Alex Mooney (R-WV) Rep. Barry Moore (R-AL) Rep. Greg Murphy (R-NC) Rep. Ralph Norman (R-SC) Rep. Andy Ogles (R-TN) Rep. Scott Perry (R-PA) Rep. Bill Posey (R-FL) Rep. Matt Rosendale (R-MT)" [Legistorm, House Freedom Caucus, accessed 9/6/24]

• 29 Members, Or 82.9%, Of The Freedom Caucus Was On The Republican Study Committee. [Republican Study Committee, Membership, accessed 9/6/24]

The RSC Fiscal Year 2025 Budget Proposed Increasing The Retirement Age For Social Security Benefits. "For instance, the RSC Budget would make modest changes to the primary insurance amount (PIA) benefit formula for individuals who are not near retirement and earn more than the wealthiest PIA benefit factor. It would also make modest adjustments to the retirement age for future retirees to account for increases in life expectancy. Finally, for these individuals, it would limit and phase out auxiliary benefits for high income earners." [Republican Study Committee, 3/20/24]

• Raising The Social Security Age Even By Three Years Would Cut Currently Scheduled Benefits By Nearly 20%. "The last major Social Security overhaul, in 1983, gradually raised the age to 67, effectively cutting benefits by 13 percent. Now there is renewed talk of moving the age to 70, which would effectively cut currently scheduled benefits by nearly 20 percent." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 4/25/23]

#### **Dobson Advocated For Social Security Cuts**

**Dobson On Social Security: "Both Parties Need To Come Together And Come Up With A Different Plan So That We Can Cut Spending."** HOST: "Many people, especially younger people, are concerned about the viability of Social Security. They fear that they are paying into a system that might not be there when they retire. Do you share these concerns, and if so, what should be done to shore up Social Security?" DOBSON: "Yes, well I'm fighting for Alabama families and that means people of all ages. For those that are currently on Social Security or about to being Social Security, I don't think that we should touch that. These people have worked hard their whole lives, they've paid taxes, and they have relied on the fact that Social Security is going to be there for them. For folks my age and younger, we've got to come to the table and stop playing politics. We've got to come up with a workable solution. We cannot keep growing our national debt, we can't keep kicking the can down the road for our children, and we have to face the facts that the money may not be there for us, so both parties need to come together and come up with a different plan so that we can cut spending but also provide for people in their elderly years." [Fox 10 News, YouTube, 2:35:12, 2/26/24] (VIDEO)

# ...Pass A National Abortion Ban Without Exceptions For Rape, Incest, Or The Life Of The Woman...

The House Freedom Caucus Issued A Statement In Support Of Considering The Life At Conception Act. "The House Freedom Caucus released the following statement announcing an official position in support of Rep. Good's (R-VA) resolution to discharge H.R. 1011, the Life at Conception Act (Rep. Mooney, R-WV) for immediate consideration by the House of Representatives. 'Churches, pregnancy clinics, and Supreme Court Justices have been violently targeted by the radical Left in their effort to push their extremist abortion agenda and intimidate pro-life Americans across the country. Now is the time for House Republicans to advance serious pro-life legislation and take the unequivocal moral stance that life begins at conception. The Freedom Caucus supports Rep. Good's resolution to force a vote on the Life at Conception Act.' This resolution would immediately provide one hour of debate on H.R. 1011 in the House of Representatives under a closed rule, thereby preventing Speaker Pelosi from offering motions to weaken or undermine the legislation. Following debate, the House would be required to take a recorded vote on the Life at Conception Act and give all Members of Congress the opportunity to show constituents where they stand on this critical issue." [Rep. Alex Mooney, Press Release, 6/10/21]

• Rewire: The Life At Conception Act "Would Effectively Ban Abortion With No Exception For Rape, Incest, Or To Save The Life Of The Pregnant Person." "H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and 'preborn' human person. [...] It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization." [Rewire, 9/28/19]

Many Freedom Caucus Members Supported An Abortion Ban, And Will Hold "Vital Legislation On Budgets Or The Debt Ceiling Hostage" In Pursuit Of Their Far-Right Policy Goals.

"Freedom Caucus members want to cut spending and shrink the government. Many support a national ban on abortion and greater restrictions on immigration. Much of that is standard conservative fare. But the caucus is willing to deploy hardball tactics that other lawmakers eschew-threatening sovereign default, for example, or holding vital legislation on budgets or the debt ceiling hostage-in pursuit of its goals." [The Economist, 1/9/23]

#### ...And Allow States To Set Their Own Restrictive Abortion Bans

**Dobson:** "I'm So Grateful For The Supreme Court Standing Up For Life And For States' Rights In The Dobbs Decision. I Believe That Abortion Is A States' Rights Issue." DOBSON: "I'm so grateful for the Supreme Court standing up for life and for states' rights in the Dobbs decision. I believe that abortion is a states' rights issue, I'm grateful that the Alabama legislature has spoken, and so emphatically, has protected life with the pro-life measures that we have here in Alabama." [Capitol Journal, YouTube, 51:48, 4/12/24] (VIDEO)

The Freedom Caucus Tweeted That The Dobbs Decision Was A "Victory." "A multigenerational fight has ended in victory. Life WINS!" [House Freedom Caucus, Twitter, 6/24/22]



A multigenerational fight has ended in victory. Life WINS!

10:25 AM · Jun 24, 2022

[House Freedom Caucus, Twitter, 6/24/22]

• The Dobbs Decision Was Released On June 24, 2022. "There has been intense focus on abortion policies across the United States since the Supreme Court's decision in Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization on June 24, 2022. That decision overturned Roe v. Wade, eliminating federal constitutional protections for abortion and putting the decision to restrict or protect abortion with the states." [KFF, 6/15/23]

Twenty-Two States Have Banned Or Restricted Abortion Since The Dobbs Decision Was Released.

"Twenty-two states ban abortion or restrict the procedure earlier in pregnancy than the standard set by Roe v. Wade, which governed reproductive rights for nearly half a century until the Supreme Court overturned the decision in 2022. [...] The New York Times is tracking abortion laws in each state after the Supreme Court's decision in Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, which ended the constitutional right to an abortion." [New York Times, 8/23/24]

# Caroleene Hardee Dobson (AL-02) Message #2 Backup

Caroleene Hardee Dobson supports repealing the Affordable Care Act, raising premiums and prescription drug costs, and taking away health care from nearly 400,000 people in Alabama.

### Caroleene Hardee Dobson Supports Repealing The Affordable Care Act...

**Dobson Said "Fully Repealing The Affordable Care Act" Was One Of Her Priorities.** "Furthermore, Dobson said other priorities of hers would be securing the southern U.S. border, fully repealing the Affordable Care Act, all of which she would do for the constituents of Alabama's Second Congressional District, and not 'special interest groups.'" [Alabama Daily News, 12/27/23]

## ...Raising Premiums And Drug Costs...

Repealing The Affordable Care Act Would Raise Premium Costs. "The updated House Republican legislation to repeal the Affordable Care Act (ACA) raises total out-of-pocket health costs (premiums, deductibles, copays, and coinsurance) by an average of \$3,600 in 2020 for people who buy health insurance through the ACA marketplaces — just as the previous version of this legislation would have done. Specifically, as explained below, tax credits that help people pay premiums would fall sharply (by an average of \$2,200); average premiums would rise; and out-of-pocket costs such as deductibles, copays, and coinsurance would increase (by an average of \$1,200). Total cost increases would be larger for people who have lower incomes, are older, or live in high-cost states: in 15 states, average increases would exceed \$4,000." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 3/22/17]

**Repealing The Affordable Care Act Would Raise Copay Costs.** "The updated House Republican legislation to repeal the Affordable Care Act (ACA) raises total out-of-pocket health costs (premiums, deductibles, copays, and coinsurance) by an average of \$3,600 in 2020 for people who buy health insurance through the ACA marketplaces — just as the previous version of this legislation would have done." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 3/22/17]

Repealing The Affordable Care Act Would Increase Drug Prices For Medicare Part D Enrollees. "Repealing the ACA's Medicare benefit improvements would be expected to: Reduce Medicare Part B spending for preventive services and reduce Part D spending on costs in the coverage gap. Increase beneficiary cost sharing for Part B preventive benefits. Increase beneficiary cost sharing by Part D enrollees who have drug spending high enough to reach the coverage gap. According to MedPAC, in 2013, roughly 25 percent of the 37.8 million Part D enrollees (or around 9 million beneficiaries) had drug spending high enough to reach the coverage gap." [KFF, 12/13/16]

## ...And Taking Away Health Care From Nearly 400,000 People In Alabama

2024: 386,195 Alabamians Were Enrolled In A Marketplace Plan. [KFF, accessed 6/28/24]



Location 💠	Number of Individuals Who Selected a Marketplace Plan 💠
United States	21,446,150
Alabama	386,195

[KFF, accessed 6/28/24]

**Health Insurance Marketplaces Were Established By The Affordable Care Act.** "The Affordable Care Act established Health Insurance Marketplaces where individuals can purchase health insurance during an annual open enrollment period. The data in this table show Marketplace enrollment during each of the open enrollment periods from 2014 through 2024." [KFF, accessed 6/28/24]

# Caroleene Hardee Dobson (AL-02) Message #3 Backup

Caroleene Hardee Dobson attended a "segregation academy" that has likely never admitted a Black student and now wants to divert public school funding toward private schools like these. Furthermore, like the Project 2025 agenda, Dobson supports abolishing the Department of Education, which works to protect the civil rights of Black students in Alabama.


# Caroleene Hardee Dobson Attended A "Segregation Academy"...

**Dobson Attended Monroe Academy.** "I grew up on a farm in North Monroe County, went to Monroe Academy,' Dobson said. 'I want my daughters to be safe and healthy and have a future here in District 2." [Greenville Advocate, 2/2/24]

**Huffington Post: Monroe Academy Was A "Private, So-Called 'Segregation Academy."** "Alabama Republican congressional candidate Caroleene Dobson, who has been vocal about her support of school choice on the campaign trail, graduated from a private, so-called 'segregation academy,' in 2005. Dobson, who is in a heated race in the newly court-drawn 2nd Congressional District against Democrat Shomari Figures, graduated from Monroe Academy, a private school in the small city of Monroeville." [Huffington Post, 8/8/24]

**Monroe Academy "Has Been Referred To As A 'Segregation Academy.'"** "Dobson herself graduated from Monroe Academy in 2005, a private school in Monroeville that has been referred to as a 'segregation academy' – a private school founded for the purpose of side-stepping the historic Brown v. Board of Education Supreme Court decision that ruled public school segregation unconstitutional." [Alabama Political Reporter, 8/14/24]

Monroe Academy Was A Segregation Academy. [University of Georgia Press, The Politics of White Rights: Race, Justice, and Integrating Alabama's Schools, p. 180, 12/15/18]



MAP 2. Segregation Academies Opened between 1967 and 1973

Source: Alabama Independent School Association 2012–13 Directory (Montgomery: Alabama Independent Schools Association, 2012), http://www.aisaonline.org/.

[University of Georgia Press, The Politics of White Rights: Race, Justice, and Integrating Alabama's Schools, p. 180, 12/15/18]

Los Angeles Times: Monroe Academy Was "An All-White Private School Established To Resist Court-Ordered Integration Of The Public Schools." "In 1960, the white population of this tiny rural community—the real-life setting of 'To Kill a Mockingbird' was bitterly divided over the creation of Monroe Academy, an all-white private school established to resist court-ordered integration of the public schools. On one side were public school advocates like Dot Geddert and Joe Whatley, who believed integration would work if everyone stuck together. On the other side were people like County Commissioner Jerry Steele, the 'Godfather' of the academy, who called the first meeting of white residents who eventually founded the new school." [Los Angeles Times via newspapers.com, 3/1/82]

## ...That Has Likely Never Admitted A Black Student...

August 2024: It Was Unclear Whether Monroe Academy Had Ever Admitted A Black Student. "Such schools have played a key role in perpetuating segregated school systems across the Deep South.

It's unclear if Monroe Academy has ever admitted a Black student, Alabama Public Radio reported, citing a local journalist. The local public schools, however, are predominantly Black." [Huffington Post, 8/8/24]

**July 2020: Monroe Academy Was Reported To Have Never Admitted A Black Student.** "According to journalist Cynthia Tucker-Haynes, a Monroeville, Alabama native, Monroe Academy has never admitted a single Black student. Attempts to contact Monroe Academy for comment were unsuccessful." [Alabama Public Radio, 7/28/20]

# And Now Wants To Divert Public School Funding Toward Private Schools Like These

Dobson Supported The CHOOSE Act, Which Would Divert Funding From Alabama Public Schools Toward Private Schools

**Dobson Commended The Alabama Legislature For Passing The CHOOSE Act.** HOST: "Would you support a federal tax for alternative education expenses?" DOBSON: "I absolutely would. Every child is entitled to the best education to equip them to succeed, and parents are the ones who should determine where that education takes place. For too long now, parents haven't had choices. Especially here in District 2, we've got urban areas, suburban areas, and very rural areas. And I commend the state legislature, Governor Ivey with the CHOOSE Act and taking an affirmative step to allow parents to have more options. Why do parents want more options? Because these days you may be learning Critical Race Theory in schools, you may have boys in girls' bathrooms in schools. Parents need more options, and I would absolutely support national school choice to enable them to have a more active role in determining how and where their children can succeed." [Fox 10 News, YouTube, 1:56:16, 2/26/24] (VIDEO)

**Dobson Was "So Grateful" For The CHOOSE Act.** DOBSON: "I am so grateful for the Alabama legislature and the CHOOSE Act they've passed." [Fox 10 TV, 30:39, 4/8/24] (VIDEO)

The CHOOSE Act Would Divert Funding From Alabama Public Schools. "But critics of the bill say Black students in Alabama are concentrated in underfunded and underresourced public schools, and that the CHOOSE Act will divert much needed funding away from these schools. 'It is problematic when our public schools are in need of more resources that if we take funding away from them, it's going to just make it that much harder for teachers to do their jobs, and it really then takes away from the students that are going to remain in those schools,' Alabama state Rep. Phillip Ensler told WSFA 12 News after the bill was signed into law by GOP Gov. Kay Ivey." [Huffington Post, 8/8/24]

Montgomery Public Schools Chief Financial Officer On The CHOOSE Act: "School Systems Like MPS [Montgomery Public Schools] Could Be Stretched Even Thinner Financially Were Dollars Earmarked For Public Education To Be Diverted Toward Private School." "One of the chief concerns among the law's critics is the removal of the income cap after the first two years. That will mean any family can access the tax credits no matter their income, including those already attending private schools. 'To divert these amounts of funds from the ETF, I think, is going to be just devastating to poor black, brown and white students; those are the ones that are going to hurt the most,' said Arthur Watts, chief financial officer for Montgomery Public Schools. Speaking with Alabama Daily News on Tuesday, Watts said he feared that school systems like MPS could be stretched even thinner financially were dollars earmarked for public education to be diverted toward private school." [Alabama Daily News, 6/6/24]

Alabama Arise: The CHOOSE Act Will Divert At Least \$100 Million Annually From Alabama Public Schools. "The Alabama Legislature struck a major blow against public education this session by

passing the CHOOSE Act. This law likely will drain hundreds of millions of dollars annually from public schools that have long struggled with underfunding. Alabama Arise testified twice against the bill, but conservative lawmakers moved quickly to enact it. The act – HB 129 by Rep. Danny Garrett, R-Trussville – will divert at least \$100 million every year from Alabama's public schools. The law creates 'education savings accounts' for parents to pay for private school or homeschooling." [Alabama Arise, 6/11/24]

Dobson Supported National School Choice, Which Would Divert Money From Public To Private Schools

**Dobson:** "I Absolutely Support National School Choice." HOST: "Would you support a federal tax for alternative education expenses?" DOBSON: "I absolutely would. Every child is entitled to the best education to equip them to succeed, and parents are the ones who should determine where that education takes place. For too long now, parents haven't had choices. Especially here in District 2, we've got urban areas, suburban areas, and very rural areas. And I commend the state legislature, Governor Ivey with the CHOOSE Act and taking an affirmative step to allow parents to have more options. Why do parents want more options? Because these days you may be learning Critical Race Theory in schools, you may have boys in girls' bathrooms in schools. Parents need more options, and I would absolutely support national school choice to enable them to have a more active role in determining how and where their children can succeed." [Fox 10 News, YouTube, 1:56:16, 2/26/24] (VIDEO)

- Vouchers Diverted Money From Public Schools. "K-12 school vouchers are typically funded through state revenues and give families a set amount of money per eligible student to cover a portion of private school tuition. These vouchers divert money away from public schools, sometimes by directly re-routing education funding to private schools, and other times indirectly by making it harder to pay teachers, buy new textbooks, and provide quality after-school programming. The support for public schools is high: families overwhelmingly support their schools, and many teachers and other advocates for public education oppose vouchers." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 3/21/23]
- The Florida Voucher Program Rerouted Money From Public Schools To Private Schools. "Some voucher proposals more directly harm public schools by taking education money away that would have otherwise gone to a student's local school through the state K-12 funding formula. For example, Florida's Family Empowerment Scholarship is funded by rerouting designated funding for a student's local public school district to private schools instead." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 3/21/23]

Furthermore, Like The Project 2025 Agenda, Dobson Supports Abolishing The Department Of Education...

**Project 2025 Would Push For Abolishing The Department Of Education.** "The Department of Education would be eliminated, student loans would be privatized and federal legislation on parental rights would be pushed under Project 2025, the conservative wish list of policies put together for the next Republican president that has sparked widespread controversy." [The Hill, 7/12/24]

Dobson Advocated For Abolishing The Department Of Education, So Long As There Remained Federal Apparatus To Keep Trans Women Out Of Women's Sports And Prohibit Teaching Critical Race Theory. DOBSON: "You know, I would love to see the Department of Education—federal Department of Education—drastically reduced. As long as there is some way to protect girls' sports. If we do away with the Department of Education, but somehow have some apparatus to prohibit the instruction

of Critical Race Theory throughout the country and then also ensure girls' sports are just for girls." [1819 News, 31:59, 4/7/24] (VIDEO)

- The Department Of Education Was The Major Federal Agency That Funded School Districts. "The federal government seeks to use its limited but targeted funding to promote student achievement, foster educational excellence, and ensure equal access. The major federal agency channeling funding to school districts (sometimes through the states) is the U.S. Department of Education." [Economic Policy Institute, 7/12/22]
- 2023: \$45 Billion In Department Of Education Funding Went To K-12 Education Programs. "Congress recently approved a \$1.7 trillion budget for the government, including \$79.6 billion for the Education Department. Of that funding, \$45 billion is going to K-12 programs, with the majority allocated to grants for low-income neighborhoods and special-education programs, and about \$30 billion is going to higher education and federal student aid funding, including loans and Pell Grants." [Business Insider, 2/15/23]

#### ... Which Works To Protect The Civil Rights Of Black Students In Alabama

The Department Of Education And Department Of Justice Resolved Race-Based Discrimination Investigations In Alabama Schools. "The Resource describes how the departments resolved investigations of 14 school districts in 10 states nationwide – Alabama, Arizona, California, Delaware, Maryland, Minnesota, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma and Utah. These investigations, conducted under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and its regulations and Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, involved concerns about discrimination in schools' use of out-of-school suspensions, expulsions, school-based arrests, referrals to law enforcement, involuntary discipline transfers, informal removals and other disciplinary actions against Black, Latino and Native American students." [Department of Justice, Press Releases, 5/26/23]

The Department Of Education Enforces Federal Civil Rights Laws Prohibiting Race-Based Discrimination In Schools That Receive Department Of Education Funding. "The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) enforces several federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities that receive federal financial assistance from the Department of Education. Discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin is prohibited by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; sex discrimination is prohibited by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; discrimination on the basis of disability is prohibited by Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and age discrimination is prohibited by the Âge Discrimination Act of 1975. OCR also has responsibilities under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, which prohibits disability discrimination by public entities, whether or not they receive federal financial assistance. [...] These civil rights laws enforced by OCR extend to all state education agencies, elementary and secondary school systems, colleges and universities, vocational schools, proprietary schools, state vocational rehabilitation agencies, libraries, and museums that receive U.S. Department of Education funds. Areas covered may include, but are not limited to: admissions, recruitment, financial aid, academic programs, student treatment and services, counseling and guidance, discipline, classroom assignment, grading, vocational education, recreation, physical education, athletics, housing, and employment." [Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, accessed 9/5/24]