

Overview

State Senator Suhas Subramanyam is a principled, pragmatic leader who will tackle the dysfunction in Congress and deliver real results. Meanwhile, his opponent Mike Clancy is a self-proclaimed MAGA extremist who will put his far right-wing ideology over our community. Clancy is an active member of the group behind Project 2025, which wants to ban abortion and cut funding for our schools as well as seniors and veterans.

State Senator Suhas Subramanyam is a principled, pragmatic leader who will tackle the dysfunction in Congress and deliver real results.

Subramanyam Was Elected To The Virginia State Senate In 2023. “Subramanyam, 37, of Loudoun County, was the first Hindu and Indian American to be sworn into the Virginia General Assembly. He won his delegate seat in 2019 and his state Senate seat in 2023. Subramanyam has a law degree, served in the Obama Administration as a White House technology advisor and is a small business owner.” [Prince William Times, [5/13/24](#)]

Suhas Was Running For Congress To Tackle “Washington’s Dysfunction And Extremism.” “Suhas Subramanyam, an Indian-American state senator in Virginia, is running for the US House of Representatives. The Democrat is aiming to tackle the ‘dysfunction and extremism in Congress’. Subramanyam, 37, the first Indian-American, South Asian, and Hindu to be sworn into the Virginia General Assembly in 2019 and later to the State Senate in 2023. He took his oath of office on the Bhagavad Gita, stated ‘Suhas for Virginia’ website.” [India Today, [2/21/24](#)]

Suhas Was Running To Work For “Tough Fights” And “Real Results.” [Suhas for Virginia, accessed [9/23/24](#)]



[Suhas for Virginia, accessed [9/23/24](#)]

Meanwhile, his opponent Mike Clancy is a self-proclaimed MAGA extremist who will put with far-right ideology over our community.

Clancy Defended ‘MAGA Extremists’ As Americans Dedicated To American Exceptionalism And Patriotism. ‘Today, that boogeyman is the theme of Biden’s re-election campaign as Biden targets so-called ‘MAGA extremists’ in his reelection rhetoric as a threat to America: vote for him because those ‘MAGA extremists are lining up to’ get you [...] Yes, those hard-working Americans who believe in God, country, the U.S. flag, the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, American exceptionalism, and patriotism — ‘We the People’ — are scary. In 2016, Clinton labeled them the ‘deplorables.’ For Biden, the derogatory tag is ‘MAGA extremists.’ [Daily Caller, Opinion, [5/2/23](#)]

Clancy Declared Himself A ‘MAGA Extremist’ In Defense Of The Term. “Yes, those hard-working Americans who believe in God, country, the U.S. flag, the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, American exceptionalism, and patriotism — ‘We the People’ — are scary. In 2016, Clinton labeled them the ‘deplorables.’ For Biden, the derogatory tag is ‘MAGA extremists’. [...] If you believe in hard work and individual merit, and oppose racist, discriminatory DEI government entitlement programs, job promotions, and college, medical school,

and law school admissions as contrary to the Constitution, you are a Biden MAGA extremist. If you believe crime should be prosecuted and victims protected, you are a Biden MAGA extremist. The list goes on. You get the idea.” [Daily Caller, Opinion, [5/2/23](#)]

Clancy is an active member of the group behind Project 2025,...

Clancy Was A Member Of The Heritage Foundation. “Michael Clancy is a lawyer, member of The Federalist Society and Heritage Foundation, and former congressional candidate. He provides incisive, analytical commentary on political and constitutional law issues; and is a frequent guest on political news programs. Mike is a graduate of The George Washington University Law School and Notre Dame.” [Newsmax, Opinion, [4/11/23](#)]

- **The Heritage Foundation Were Behind Project 2025.** “The 2025 Presidential Transition Project is being organized by The Heritage Foundation and builds off Heritage’s longstanding ‘Mandate for Leadership,’ which has been highly influential for presidential administrations since the Reagan era. Most recently, the Trump administration relied heavily on Heritage’s ‘Mandate’ for policy guidance, embracing nearly two-thirds of Heritage’s proposals within just one year in office.” [Project 2025, accessed [7/18/24](#)]

which wants to ban abortion...

The Heritage Foundation Says Its Goal Is To Protect In Law Life “From The Moment Of Conception.” “The pro-life movement’s goal is to protect in law and welcome in life—every person—from the moment of conception. This requires a generational effort. [...] The pro-life movement’s goal is to protect in law and welcome in life—every person—from the moment of conception. This requires a generational effort.” [Heritage Foundation, [3/1/23](#)]

Life At Conception Bills Like The Federal Life At Conception Act Would Ban Abortions With No Exceptions

Rewire: The Life At Conception Act “Would Effectively Ban Abortion With No Exception For Rape, Incest, Or To Save The Life Of The Pregnant Person.” “H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and ‘preborn’ human person. [...] It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization.” [Rewire, [9/28/19](#)]

The Life At Conception Act Would Grant Equal Protection Under The 14th Amendment To Fetuses, Effectively Banning Abortion With No Exceptions For Rape, Incest, Or Health Of The Mother. “H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and ‘preborn’ human person. ‘Human person’ is defined as: [...] each and every member of the species homo sapiens at all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being. The bill would grant constitutional rights to fertilized eggs, embryos, fetuses, and clones. It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization. The bill would not allow for prosecution of any pregnant person for the ‘death’ of their ‘unborn child.’” [Rewire, [9/28/19](#)]

The Life At Conception Act Implemented Equal Protection For Unborn Fetuses Based On The Idea That Human Life Begins At The Moment Of Conception. “U.S. Senator Rand Paul today introduced the Life at Conception Act. The legislation would implement equal protection under the 14th Amendment for the right to life of each born and unborn human. [...] ‘The Life at Conception Act legislatively declares what most Americans believe and what science has long known - that human life begins at the moment of conception, and therefore, is entitled to legal protection from that point forward.” [U.S. Senator Rand Paul, Press Release, accessed [6/1/22](#)]

Los Angeles Times: The Life At Conception Act's Language "Leaves Little Room For Ambiguity On Abortion" And Would Constitute A Nationwide Ban On The Practice From The Moment Of Fertilization. "The Life at Conception Act is fewer than 300 words, but its language leaves little room for ambiguity on abortion. The bill, introduced in the U.S. House earlier in the congressional session, seeks 'equal protection for the right to life of each born and preborn human person,' specifying that it covers 'all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being.' Put simply: 'It would be a nationwide abortion ban,' said Mary Ziegler, a professor at UC Davis School of Law who studies reproductive rights. Even California, which has positioned itself as a haven for abortion rights, would be affected." [Los Angeles Times, [8/29/22](#)]

Center For American Progress: Project 2025 Planned To Misapply The Term "Comstock" To Effectuate A National Abortion Ban. "In Project 2025, an authoritarian playbook from the Heritage Foundation, far-right extremists have outlined a plan to curtail the decision-making power of pregnant women, patients, and medical providers. The plan, a continuation of far-right lawmakers' efforts to politicize medicine, is a calculated effort to exert total control over reproductive freedom in America. The consequences of extremists succeeding would extend far beyond individual patients, exacerbating the already dismal state of maternal care in the United States. [...] How Project 2025 plans to misapply Comstock to effectuate a national abortion ban Although the term 'Comstock' is not directly used in Project 2025, it is referenced by statute on p. 594 of Project 2025's Mandate for Leadership: Announcing a Campaign to Enforce Criminal Prohibitions in 18 U.S. Code §§ 1461 and 1462 Against Providers and Distributors of Abortion Pills That Use the Mail. Federal law prohibits mailing '[e]very article, instrument, substance, drug, medicine, or thing which is advertised or described in a manner calculated to lead another to use or apply it for producing abortion.' Following the Supreme Court's decision in Dobbs, there is now no federal prohibition on the enforcement of this statute. The Department of Justice in the next conservative Administration should therefore announce its intent to enforce federal law against providers and distributors of such pills. The Comstock Act commonly refers to a set of two anti-obscenity laws that are officially codified as 18 U.S.C. 1461 and 1462, with the U.S. Postal Service charged with its enforcement. Notably, Comstock has never been used to prosecute the mailing of abortion-related materials. Even though decades of judicial, congressional, and agency interpretation have reduced Comstock to an unenforceable relic, ultimately, far-right extremists plan to avoid the legislative process entirely and misapply the law to criminalize the mailing of items related to abortion in the mail." [Center for American Progress, Article, [6/17/24](#)]

...and cut funding for our schools...

Project 2025 Would Eliminate The Department Of Education. "Federal education policy should be limited and, ultimately, the federal Department of Education should be eliminated. When power is exercised, it should empower students and families, not government. In our pluralistic society, families and students should be free to choose from a diverse set of school options and learning environments that best fit their needs." [Project 2025, Chapter 11, [2023](#)]

Project 2025 Advocated For Abolishing The Department Of Education. "The Department of Education would be eliminated, student loans would be privatized and federal legislation on parental rights would be pushed under Project 2025, the conservative wish list of policies put together for the next Republican president that has sparked widespread controversy." [The Hill, [7/12/24](#)]

- **Eliminating The Department Of Education Could Lead To Funneling Money To Private Schools.** "Project 2025, the policy agenda for Former President Trump's potential first year back in the White House published by the far right conservative think tank the Heritage Foundation, has been making waves recently. Some of the many destructive proposals within the agenda include the elimination of the U.S. Department of Education—along with federal education funding and any civil rights protections—and the diversion of public money to private school voucher programs instead." [Time, [7/22/24](#)]
- **Diverting Money To Private Schools Threatens To Shut Down Public Schools Due To Lack of Funding.** "The policies that Project 2025 plans to prioritize—government payments to families sending their children to private school and creation of new charter schools that are run like businesses—have

expanded in the last few years, starving public school districts that serve all students of already insufficient resources. [...]Here's how it works: Concerned about shrinking enrollments and budget crises, district leaders conclude that they must close schools, often without any evidence or analysis that it would save money—and, indeed, it has been shown to save money unless coupled with mass layoffs.” [Time, [7/22/24](#)]

- **Abolishing The Department Of Education Would Kill Federal Funding Tied To The Department And Would Increase The Dependence On State Funding Which Would Be Paid For Through Tax Increases.** “Lately, conservative Republicans seeking the presidency love to discuss which cabinet level departments they would abolish should they become President. Texas Senator Ted Cruz [unveiled a plan](#) to abolish four (the Departments of Education, Commerce, Energy, and Housing and Urban Development), plus the Internal Revenue Service and 25 other agencies with names that make conservatives angry (there's one about salmon). If Cruz were elected and went through with his plan, what would that look like for higher education? When Cruz says he wants to abolish the Department of Education, I take that to mean he wants to kill all existing programs and funding within the department. No more Pell grants, no new federal student loans, no more Title IX enforcement, nothing. And just moving programs to another department is cheating, so let's assume Cruz wouldn't do that. [...] But that increase in demand for state subsidized higher education actually increases the burden on states, which would feel the pressure to expand the number of seats available and pay for it through tax increases.” [New America, [11/11/15](#)]
- **The Majority Of Federal Funds For Elementary And Secondary Schools, Including Title I Grants, Were Allocated Through The Department Of Education.** “The federal government spends nearly \$79 billion annually on primary and secondary education programs. Much of the funding is discretionary, meaning it is set annually by Congress through the appropriations process. Funds flow mainly through the Department of Education although other federal agencies administer some funding for education related activities. Through the U.S. Department of Education, the federal government provides nearly \$79 billion a year on primary and secondary education programs. Through the U.S. Department of Education, the federal government provides nearly \$79 billion a year on primary and secondary education programs. The two biggest programs are No Child Left Behind Title I Grants to local school districts (\$14.4 billion in fiscal year 2014) and IDEA Special Education State Grants (\$11.5 billion in fiscal year 2014).” [New America, accessed [6/29/22](#)]
- **2009 – 2019: Federal Revenue For Elementary And Secondary Public Schools Totaled Between \$61 And \$92 Billion.** “In school year 2018–19, elementary and secondary public school revenues totaled \$795 billion in constant 2020–21 dollars.^{1,2} Of this total, 8 percent, or \$63 billion, were from federal sources. [...] Federal revenues decreased 34 percent from the 2009–10 high (\$92 billion), the year after the passage of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, to \$61 billion in 2013–14. Federal revenues then fluctuated between \$61 billion and \$63 billion from 2013–14 to 2018–19 (\$63 billion).” [National Center for Education Statistics, [May 2022](#)]
- **Vox: If The Department Of Education Were Abolished, “States Would Have To Lay Off Teachers, Shorten The School Year, And Undertake Other Budget-Cutting Measures — Or They'd Have To Raise Taxes.”** “During the Republican presidential primary debate Tuesday night, Sen. Ted Cruz became the second national politician from Texas to disdain the Education Department so much he couldn't even remember its name. ‘I would eliminate, the IRS, the Department of Commerce, the Department of Energy... [pause] ...the Department of Commerce, and HUD,’ he said on the primary stage. Later, his campaign clarified that he meant to include the Education Department on that list. Shutting down the department would be a big deal, entailing figuring out what to do with billions of dollars in federal grants and other initiatives. And how it would work in practice could range from a truly drastic change to a mostly cosmetic one. [...] The federal government provides only about 12 percent of K-12 education funding nationally, with the rest provided by states and school districts. And only about half of that money is from the Education Department; the rest comes from the Department of Agriculture for school nutrition programs, the Department of Health and Human Services for Head Start, and other agencies. But because federal money is doled out unevenly, some states would feel the cuts more than others. Getting rid of federal education funding would cut 17 percent of all school funding in Louisiana and South Dakota and 18

percent in Mississippi. Even Connecticut and New Jersey, the two states least dependent on the federal government, would see a 5 percent cut in school revenue. States would have to lay off teachers, shorten the school year, and undertake other budget-cutting measures — or they'd have to raise taxes. Neither is likely to be a winning project politically.” [Vox, [11/12/15](#)]

...as well as seniors and veterans...

Project 2025 Would Repeal The Price Negotiation Programs For Medicare That Were Put In Place By The Inflation Reduction Act. “Medicare Part D Reform. The Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) created a drug price negotiation program in Medicare that replaced the existing private-sector negotiations in Part D with government price controls for prescription drugs. These government price controls will limit access to medications and reduce patient access to new medication. This ‘negotiation’ program should be repealed, and reforms in Part D that will have meaningful impact for seniors should be pursued. Other reforms should include eliminating the coverage gap in Part D, reducing the government share in the catastrophic tier, and requiring manufacturers to bear a larger share. Until the IRA is repealed, an Administration that is required to implement it must do so in a way that is prudent with its authority, minimizing the harmful effects of the law’s policies and avoiding even worse unintended consequences.” [2025 Mandate for Leadership, Pg 465, accessed [7/23/24](#)]

- **Forbes: Project 2025 Called For Significant Cuts To Medicaid And Imposed Work Requirements To Receive Coverage.** “Healthcare: Project 2025 does not seek to overturn the Affordable Care Act, but would make significant cuts to Medicaid and impose work requirements to receive coverage, as well as reform Medicare—including by making Medicare Advantage, a paid supplement to Medicare, the default option for patients.” [Forbes, [7/18/24](#)]
- **Center For American Progress: Project 2025 Called For Repealing The Inflation Reduction Act To End The Law’s Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Provision.** “Project 2025’s drug pricing agenda calls for repealing the Inflation Reduction Act, primarily to end the law’s Medicare drug price negotiation provision, which would significantly lower the prices Medicare pays for select drugs and finally counter Big Pharma’s unrestricted power to demand excessive Medicare drug prices. However, Project 2025 treats seniors’ access to affordable drugs as collateral damage. This dangerous agenda prioritizes Big Pharma’s bottom line at the expense of millions of Medicare Part D enrollees who, if Project 2025 is enacted, may once again have to pay more out-of-pocket for the medications they need, impeding both access and affordability.” [Center for American Progress, [6/13/24](#)]
- **The Inflation Reduction Act Called Out-Of-Pocket Costs For Insulin At \$35 Per Month For Medicare Part D Enrollees.** “Effective January 1, 2023, out-of-pocket costs for insulin are capped at \$35 per monthly prescription among Medicare Part D enrollees under the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA). A similar cap takes effect in Medicare Part B on July 1, 2023. An estimated 1.5 million Medicare beneficiaries who use insulin would have saved \$734 million in Part D and \$27 million in Part B if these caps had been in effect in 2020.” [U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, [1/24/23](#)]

Proposal In Project 2025 Would Result In Significant Reductions To Veterans Health Care Services And Disability Benefits. “Project 2025 envisions significant reductions to veterans’ health care services and disability benefits. Proposed changes could disenroll millions of veterans without a service-connected designation from VA-paid health care. Other veterans could lose access to VA health care for issues that ‘don’t align’ with their service-related conditions. Take a look at the desired policies laid out in the Heritage Foundation’s related blueprint: It’s there in black and white.” [Military.com, Opinion, [8/12/24](#)]

- **Project 2025 Would Require VA Hospitals to Increase The Number Of Patients Seen Each Day, And To Outsource More Care To Private Facilities.** “Project 2025’s plan would also require VA hospitals to “increase the number of patients seen each day to equal the number seen by DoD medical facilities.” That directive ignores the enormous differences in needs between generally healthy younger service members

and older veterans, and risks compromising the quality of care for veterans. Project 2025 also calls for VA hospitals to outsource more care into costly private facilities, a fiscally reckless move that continues a Trump-backed trend promoted by the Mission Act that has ballooned costs for the VA.” [Military.com, Opinion, [8/12/24](#)]

- **Project 2025 Would Add Work Requirements To Medicaid.** “Add work requirements and match Medicaid benefits to beneficiary needs. Because Medicaid serves a broad and diverse group of individuals, it should be flexible enough to accommodate different designs for different groups. For example, CMS should launch a robust ‘personal option’ to allow families to use Medicaid dollars to secure coverage outside of the Medicaid program. CMS should also: Clarify that states have the ability to adopt work incentives for able bodied individuals (similar to what is required in other welfare programs) and the ability to broaden the application of targeted premiums and cost sharing to higher-income enrollees. Add targeted time limits or lifetime caps on benefits to disincentivize permanent dependence.” [2025 Mandate for Leadership, Pg 468, accessed [7/23/24](#)]
- **Project 2025 Would Place Time Limits On How Long People Could Receive Medicaid.** “Add work requirements and match Medicaid benefits to beneficiary needs. Because Medicaid serves a broad and diverse group of individuals, it should be flexible enough to accommodate different designs for different groups. For example, CMS should launch a robust ‘personal option’ to allow families to use Medicaid dollars to secure coverage outside of the Medicaid program. CMS should also: Clarify that states have the ability to adopt work incentives for able bodied individuals (similar to what is required in other welfare programs) and the ability to broaden the application of targeted premiums and cost sharing to higher-income enrollees. Add targeted time limits or lifetime caps on benefits to disincentivize permanent dependence.” [2025 Mandate for Leadership, Pg 468, accessed [7/23/24](#)]

Message #1

Mike Clancy is an active member of the group behind Project 2025, an extreme plan that would:

- *Allow for the firing of federal civil servants and contractors, meaning layoffs for up to 40,000 workers in our community and a potential recession in our community.*
- *Block access to IVF and birth control, and let the government monitor pregnant women and prosecute them if they miscarry or receive abortion care.*
- *Cut funding for seniors, veterans, and education*

Mike Clancy is an active member of the group behind Project 2025, an extreme plan that would:

Clancy Was A Member Of The Heritage Foundation. “Michael Clancy is a lawyer, member of The Federalist Society and Heritage Foundation, and former congressional candidate. He provides incisive, analytical commentary on political and constitutional law issues; and is a frequent guest on political news programs. Mike is a graduate of The George Washington University Law School and Notre Dame.” [Newsmax, Opinion, [4/11/23](#)]

- **The Heritage Foundation Was Behind Project 2025.** “The 2025 Presidential Transition Project is being organized by The Heritage Foundation and builds off Heritage’s longstanding ‘Mandate for Leadership,’ which has been highly influential for presidential administrations since the Reagan era. Most recently, the Trump administration relied heavily on Heritage’s ‘Mandate’ for policy guidance, embracing nearly two-thirds of Heritage’s proposals within just one year in office.” [Project 2025, accessed [7/18/24](#)]

Allow for the firing of federal civil servants and contractors, meaning layoffs for up to 40,000 workers in our community and a potential recession in our community

Project 2025 Would Reinstate Of A Trump Executive Order That Would Remove Protections For Non-Political Federal Employees, Making It Easier For Trump To Fire Them. “Frustrated with these activities by top career executives, the Trump Administration issued Executive Order 1395724 to make career professionals in positions that are not normally subject to change as a result of a presidential transition but who discharge significant duties and exercise significant discretion in formulating and implementing executive branch policy and programs an exception to the competitive hiring rules and examinations for career positions under a new Schedule F. It ordered the Director of OPM and agency heads to set procedures to prepare lists of such confidential, policy-determining, policymaking, or policy-advocating positions and prepare procedures to create exceptions from civil service rules when careerists hold such positions, from which they can relocate back to the regular civil service after such service. The order was subsequently reversed by President Biden at the demand of the civil service associations and unions. It should be reinstated, but SES responsibility should come first.” [2025 Mandate for Leadership, Pg 80-81, accessed [7/23/24](#)]

Schedule F Would Have Allowed Trump To Fire Tens Of Thousands Of Civil Servants Through Reclassifying Them As Political Appointees And Hiring People Loyal To Him. “‘A President today assumes office to find a sprawling federal bureaucracy that all too often is carrying out its own policy plans and preferences — or, worse yet, the policy plans and preferences of a radical, supposedly ‘woke’ faction of the country,’ Vought, Trump’s former OMB director, writes in one chapter. ‘The modern conservative President’s task is to limit, control, and direct the executive branch on behalf of the American people.’ Project 2025’s proposals to achieve that goal include: The ‘Schedule F’ plan to reclassify tens of thousands of civil servants as political appointees, so they could be fired and replaced with Trump cronies. (Trump issued this order in late 2020 but left office before it could be implemented, and Biden rescinded it.)” [VOX, [7/13/24](#)]

VA-10: 40,005, Or 9.2% Of Virginia’s 10th District Were Federal Civilian Employees. [Congressional Research Service Reports, [9/22/23](#)]

According To The Center For American Progress, Project 2025 Would Set The Financial Conditions To Lead To Another Recession. “[E]xtremists at the far-right Heritage Foundation are laying the foundation for another crisis as part of the organization’s Project 2025. The Project 2025 policy agenda includes a harmful set of proposals intended to increase Wall Street profits. There is an extensive, well-documented set of plans to overturn post-crisis policies that protect consumers, investors, and the stable functioning of financial markets. But the agenda also includes new limits on regulators’ capacity to step in during periods of instability.” [Center for American Progress, [7/1/24](#)]

Center For American Progress: “Extremists At The Far-Right Heritage Foundation Are Laying The Foundation For Another Crisis As Part Of The Organization’s Project 2025.” “[E]xtremists at the far-right Heritage Foundation are laying the foundation for another crisis as part of the organization’s Project 2025. The Project 2025 policy agenda includes a harmful set of proposals intended to increase Wall Street profits. There is an extensive, well-documented set of plans to overturn post-crisis policies that protect consumers, investors, and the stable functioning of financial markets. But the agenda also includes new limits on regulators’ capacity to step in during periods of instability.” [Center for American Progress, [7/1/24](#)]

Center For American Progress: Project 2025 “Makes Large Losses In Income And Employment More Likely.” “Given the continuing fragility of the financial system, the Project 2025 proposals on financial regulation are dangerous and impossible to justify. They advocate weakened oversight of financial markets, which will make financial shocks more likely. At the same time, they want to limit the Federal Reserve’s ability to contain those shocks. This is a toxic combination. While it may increase short-term profits for some financial market actors, it makes large losses in income and employment more likely.” [Center for American Progress, [7/1/24](#)]

Center For American Progress Said Project 2025 “Would Foster Financial Market Risk-Taking While Kneecapping Regulators’ Ability To Quell Instability.” “These policy changes would foster financial market risk-taking while kneecapping regulators’ ability to quell instability. It is easy to see just how irresponsible this is by calculating the present-day costs of a repeat of the Great Recession.” [Center for American Progress, [7/1/24](#)]

Block access to IVF and birth control, and let the government monitor pregnant women and prosecute them if they miscarry or receive abortion care.

Project 2025 Included Language Promoting Fetal Personhood. “Project 2025, a comprehensive conservative policy blueprint, does not directly mention in vitro fertilization, but critics have warned included language promoting fetal personhood could have far-reaching consequences for IVF.” [USA Today, [8/7/24](#)]

- **Federal Personhood Bills Like The Life At Conception Act Would Grant Equal Protection Under The 14th Amendment To Fetuses, Effectively Banning Abortion With No Exceptions And Eliminating Medical Choices Including In Vitro Fertilization.** “H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and ‘preborn’ human person. ‘Human person’ is defined as: [...] each and every member of the species homo sapiens at all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being. The bill would grant constitutional rights to fertilized eggs, embryos, fetuses, and clones. It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization. The bill would not allow for prosecution of any pregnant person for the ‘death’ of their ‘unborn child.’” [Rewire, [9/28/19](#)]
- **The Life At Conception Act Would Grant Equal Protection Under The 14th Amendment To Fetuses, Effectively Banning Abortion With No Exceptions And Eliminating Medical Choices Including In Vitro Fertilization.** “H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and ‘preborn’ human person. ‘Human person’ is defined as: [...] each and every member of the species homo sapiens at all stages of life, including the

moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being. The bill would grant constitutional rights to fertilized eggs, embryos, fetuses, and clones. It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization. The bill would not allow for prosecution of any pregnant person for the ‘death’ of their ‘unborn child.’” [Rewire, [9/28/19](#)]

- **Personhood Bills That Define Human Life To Begin At Conception Would Severely Impact Infertility Treatments, Especially IVF.** “Personhood bills aim to define human life to begin at the moment of fertilization or conception and grant constitutional rights and privileges to all persons from that moment. If these proposals were to become personhood laws, they would severely impact infertility treatments, especially IVF.” [Arc Fertility, What Do Personhood Bills & Laws Mean in IVF, accessed [2/23/24](#)]
- **Arc Fertility: If Fertilized Eggs/Embryos Are Considered Full Humans, Anything That Puts An Embryo At Risk Could Be A Criminal Violation, Including IVF Treatments.** “As outlined by RESOLVE, with Personhood legislation, however, the legality of effective pro-pregnancy fertility treatments such as IVF could be called into question: if microscopic fertilized eggs/embryos are full humans, anything that puts an embryo at risk could be a criminal violation, even if its goal is the undeniable social good of helping someone have a baby.” [Arc Fertility, What Do Personhood Bills & Laws Mean in IVF, accessed [2/23/24](#)]

Center For American Progress: Project 2025 Would Take Away Access To Free Emergency Contraception For 48 Million Women. “In ‘Project 2025: A Presidential Transition Project,’ far-right extremists outline their intent to exclude some forms of emergency contraception from no-cost coverage. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) requires most private health insurance plans to provide no-cost coverage—without copayment, coinsurance, or deductible—for recommended preventive services. This benefit includes the full range of U.S. Food and Drug Administration-approved birth control methods and counseling, including emergency contraception. Rescinding no-cost coverage could have lifelong consequences for women nationwide. A new Center for American Progress analysis estimates that if Project 2025 were enacted, nearly 48 million women of reproductive age would lose their guaranteed no-cost access to emergency contraception.” [Center for American Progress, Article, [6/18/24](#)]

Project 2025 Called For Removing Emergency Contraception From The List Of Preventative Services Insurers Must Cover Under The Affordable Care Act. “The word ‘abortion’ appears 199 times in Project 2025. The playbook includes an expansive array of suggestions of ways the government should regulate pregnancy and abortion, including: • Removing emergency contraception from the list of preventive services insurers must cover under the Affordable Care Act • Calling on the FDA to rescind its approval of ‘chemical abortion drugs’ such as mifepristone • Using the Comstock Act to make it illegal to mail abortion medication • Revoking Medicaid funds from states that require private insurance policies to cover abortions • Prohibiting hospital emergency rooms from providing an abortion in order to save a woman’s life • Conducting expansive government tracking of every instance of ‘spontaneous miscarriage; treatments that incidentally result in the death of a child (such as chemotherapy); stillbirths; and induced abortion.’” [Bloomberg, [7/11/24](#)]

Project 2025 Called For Expansive Government Tracking Of “Spontaneous Miscarriage; Treatments That Incidentally Result In The Death Of A Child (Such As Chemotherapy); Stillbirths; And Induced Abortion.” “The word ‘abortion’ appears 199 times in Project 2025. The playbook includes an expansive array of suggestions of ways the government should regulate pregnancy and abortion, including: • Removing emergency contraception from the list of preventive services insurers must cover under the Affordable Care Act • Calling on the FDA to rescind its approval of ‘chemical abortion drugs’ such as mifepristone • Using the Comstock Act to make it illegal to mail abortion medication • Revoking Medicaid funds from states that require private insurance policies to cover abortions • Prohibiting hospital emergency rooms from providing an abortion in order to save a woman’s life • Conducting expansive government tracking of every instance of ‘spontaneous miscarriage; treatments that

incidentally result in the death of a child (such as chemotherapy); stillbirths; and induced abortion.” [Bloomberg, [7/11/24](#)]

Project 2025 Could Require Local Officials To Prosecute Women And Doctors For Violating Abortion Bans.

“This policy will create a political climate in which right-wing extremists determine whether prosecutors have sufficiently prosecuted people for purportedly violating abortion bans and whether they have sought sufficiently harsh penalties for such violations. Project 2025 would compel local officials to enforce measures that legislatures have passed across the country to restrict access to abortion—including in cases of rape and incest—and impose criminal penalties on abortion care providers.” [Center For American Progress, [7/16/24](#)]

Project 2025 Would Criminalize Sending Or Receiving Abortion Pills Through The Mail. “The document explicitly names their intention not just to rescind FDA approval for the abortion pill if they regain control of the White House in 2024, but to revive a 150-year-old law that criminalizes sending or receiving through the mail any ‘article, instrument, substance, drug, medicine or thing’ that could be used to facilitate an abortion. That law, the Comstock Act, is viewed as a de facto federal abortion ban by reproductive rights advocates and anti-abortion activists alike.” [Rolling Stone, [12/22/23](#)]

- **The Use Of Medication Abortion Is Often Referred To As “Completing A Miscarriage.”** “Cytotec (misoprostol) is a medication used to manage a miscarriage when hCG results or ultrasound tests confirm the diagnosis of either a missed miscarriage or blighted ovum. Your doctor may give you this medication as an alternative to a surgical procedure called dilation and curettage procedure (D&C). Another option is to wait for a miscarriage to begin naturally. Learn more about using miscarriage medication to manage pregnancy loss. [...] According to research, the success rate for completing a miscarriage after using misoprostol is roughly 71% to 84%.” [Very Well Family, [10/19/22](#)]
- **Abortion Medication Helps Facilitate Nonviable Pregnancy Tissue From The Uterus.** “Medical Management (for miscarriage); Facilitate passage of nonviable pregnancy tissue from the uterus, typically with misoprostol +/- mifepristone. In early pregnancy, allows shorter time course than expectant management in patients without complications. Treatment with misoprostol results in complete passage of the non-viable pregnancy within 2 days in most cases.” [KFF, [12/4/19](#)]
- **Many Women Take An Abortion Medication To Manage Miscarriages.** “Taking misoprostol by itself. This is already how many miscarriages are managed. This is usually effective, but there is a chance that the uterus may not fully empty and a procedure may be needed. Also, this option may result in more bleeding than taking mifepristone and misoprostol together.” [American College Of Obstetricians And Gynecologists, [4/2023](#)]
- **Abortion Medications Stops A Pregnancy From Growing And Are Considered ‘Miscarriage Care.’** “Mifepristone is a medication used for both abortion care and miscarriage care. Mifepristone helps stop a pregnancy from growing. It also helps prepare the body to empty the uterus. It does this by softening the cervix and helping the lining of the uterus break down. Mifepristone is the first step in a two-step process. After you take mifepristone, you take another medication called misoprostol. Misoprostol causes cramping and bleeding, which empties the uterus.” [American College Of Obstetricians And Gynecologists, [4/2023](#)]
- **Guttmacher Institute: Medication Abortion Now Accounts For More Than Half Of All US Abortions.** “The final data from Guttmacher’s census of all known abortion providers, which found that medication abortion accounted for 53% of all facility-based abortions in the United States in 2020.” [Guttmacher Institute, [12/1/22](#)]
- **Abortion Pills Accounted For The Majority Of Abortions.** “The pills may be taken in a doctor’s office or clinic, where patients sometimes have an ultrasound or lab tests beforehand. Some providers also offer the pills through telehealth visits and then send patients the medication by mail. Use of the pills has been increasing in recent years. As of 2020, they accounted for 54% of all U.S. abortions, according to

preliminary data from the Guttmacher Institute. The group's final estimate is due later this year.”
[Associated Press, [7/2/22](#)]

- **Many Women Take An Abortion Medication To Manage Miscarriages.** “Taking misoprostol by itself. This is already how many miscarriages are managed. This is usually effective, but there is a chance that the uterus may not fully empty and a procedure may be needed. Also, this option may result in more bleeding than taking mifepristone and misoprostol together.” [American College Of Obstetricians And Gynecologists, [4/2023](#)]

Cut funding for seniors, veterans, and education.

Project 2025 Would Repeal The Price Negotiation Programs For Medicare That Were Put In Place By The Inflation Reduction Act. “Medicare Part D Reform. The Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) created a drug price negotiation program in Medicare that replaced the existing private-sector negotiations in Part D with government price controls for prescription drugs. These government price controls will limit access to medications and reduce patient access to new medication. This ‘negotiation’ program should be repealed, and reforms in Part D that will have meaningful impact for seniors should be pursued. Other reforms should include eliminating the coverage gap in Part D, reducing the government share in the catastrophic tier, and requiring manufacturers to bear a larger share. Until the IRA is repealed, an Administration that is required to implement it must do so in a way that is prudent with its authority, minimizing the harmful effects of the law’s policies and avoiding even worse unintended consequences.” [2025 Mandate for Leadership, Pg 465, accessed [7/23/24](#)]

- **Forbes: Project 2025 Called For Significant Cuts To Medicaid And Imposed Work Requirements To Receive Coverage.** “Healthcare: Project 2025 does not seek to overturn the Affordable Care Act, but would make significant cuts to Medicaid and impose work requirements to receive coverage, as well as reform Medicare—including by making Medicare Advantage, a paid supplement to Medicare, the default option for patients.” [Forbes, [7/18/24](#)]
- **Center For American Progress: Project 2025 Called For Repealing The Inflation Reduction Act To End The Law’s Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Provision.** “Project 2025’s drug pricing agenda calls for repealing the Inflation Reduction Act, primarily to end the law’s Medicare drug price negotiation provision, which would significantly lower the prices Medicare pays for select drugs and finally counter Big Pharma’s unrestricted power to demand excessive Medicare drug prices. However, Project 2025 treats seniors’ access to affordable drugs as collateral damage. This dangerous agenda prioritizes Big Pharma’s bottom line at the expense of millions of Medicare Part D enrollees who, if Project 2025 is enacted, may once again have to pay more out-of-pocket for the medications they need, impeding both access and affordability.” [Center for American Progress, [6/13/24](#)]
- **The Inflation Reduction Act Called Out-Of-Pocket Costs For Insulin At \$35 Per Month For Medicare Part D Enrollees.** “Effective January 1, 2023, out-of-pocket costs for insulin are capped at \$35 per monthly prescription among Medicare Part D enrollees under the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA). A similar cap takes effect in Medicare Part B on July 1, 2023. An estimated 1.5 million Medicare beneficiaries who use insulin would have saved \$734 million in Part D and \$27 million in Part B if these caps had been in effect in 2020.” [U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, [1/24/23](#)]

Proposal In Project 2025 Would Result In Significant Reductions To Veterans Health Care Services And Disability Benefits. “Project 2025 envisions significant reductions to veterans' health care services and disability benefits. Proposed changes could disenroll millions of veterans without a service-connected designation from VA-paid health care. Other veterans could lose access to VA health care for issues that ‘don't align’ with their service-related conditions. Take a look at the desired policies laid out in the Heritage Foundation's related blueprint: It's there in black and white.” [Military.com, Opinion, [8/12/24](#)]

- **Project 2025 Would Require VA Hospitals to Increase The Number Of Patients Seen Each Day, And To Outsource More Care To Private Facilities.** “Project 2025’s plan would also require VA hospitals to “increase the number of patients seen each day to equal the number seen by DoD medical facilities.” That directive ignores the enormous differences in needs between generally healthy younger service members and older veterans, and risks compromising the quality of care for veterans. Project 2025 also calls for VA hospitals to outsource more care into costly private facilities, a fiscally reckless move that continues a Trump-backed trend promoted by the Mission Act that has ballooned costs for the VA.” [Military.com, Opinion, [8/12/24](#)]
- **Project 2025 Would Add Work Requirements To Medicaid.** “Add work requirements and match Medicaid benefits to beneficiary needs. Because Medicaid serves a broad and diverse group of individuals, it should be flexible enough to accommodate different designs for different groups. For example, CMS should launch a robust ‘personal option’ to allow families to use Medicaid dollars to secure coverage outside of the Medicaid program. CMS should also: Clarify that states have the ability to adopt work incentives for able bodied individuals (similar to what is required in other welfare programs) and the ability to broaden the application of targeted premiums and cost sharing to higher-income enrollees. Add targeted time limits or lifetime caps on benefits to disincentivize permanent dependence.” [2025 Mandate for Leadership, Pg 468, accessed [7/23/24](#)]
- **Project 2025 Would Place Time Limits On How Long People Could Receive Medicaid.** “Add work requirements and match Medicaid benefits to beneficiary needs. Because Medicaid serves a broad and diverse group of individuals, it should be flexible enough to accommodate different designs for different groups. For example, CMS should launch a robust ‘personal option’ to allow families to use Medicaid dollars to secure coverage outside of the Medicaid program. CMS should also: Clarify that states have the ability to adopt work incentives for able bodied individuals (similar to what is required in other welfare programs) and the ability to broaden the application of targeted premiums and cost sharing to higher-income enrollees. Add targeted time limits or lifetime caps on benefits to disincentivize permanent dependence.” [2025 Mandate for Leadership, Pg 468, accessed [7/23/24](#)]

Project 2025 Would Eliminate The Department Of Education. “Federal education policy should be limited and, ultimately, the federal Department of Education should be eliminated. When power is exercised, it should empower students and families, not government. In our pluralistic society, families and students should be free to choose from a diverse set of school options and learning environments that best fit their needs.” [Project 2025, Chapter 11, [2023](#)]

Project 2025 Advocated For Abolishing The Department Of Education. “The Department of Education would be eliminated, student loans would be privatized and federal legislation on parental rights would be pushed under Project 2025, the conservative wish list of policies put together for the next Republican president that has sparked widespread controversy.” [The Hill, [7/12/24](#)]

- **Eliminating The Department Of Education Could Lead To Funneling Money To Private Schools.** “Project 2025, the policy agenda for Former President Trump’s potential first year back in the White House published by the far right conservative think tank the Heritage Foundation, has been making waves recently. Some of the many destructive proposals within the agenda include the elimination of the U.S. Department of Education—along with federal education funding and any civil rights protections—and the diversion of public money to private school voucher programs instead.” [Time, [7/22/24](#)]
- **Diverting Money To Private Schools Threatens To Shut Down Public Schools Due To Lack of Funding.** “The policies that Project 2025 plans to prioritize—government payments to families sending their children to private school and creation of new charter schools that are run like businesses—have expanded in the last few years, starving public school districts that serve all students of already insufficient resources. [...]Here’s how it works: Concerned about shrinking enrollments and budget crises, district leaders conclude that they must close schools, often without any evidence or analysis that it would save money—and, indeed, it has been shown to save money unless coupled with mass layoffs.” [Time, [7/22/24](#)]

- Abolishing The Department Of Education Would Kill Federal Funding Tied To The Department And Would Increase The Dependence On State Funding Which Would Be Paid For Through Tax Increases.** “Lately, conservative Republicans seeking the presidency love to discuss which cabinet level departments they would abolish should they become President. Texas Senator Ted Cruz [unveiled a plan](#) to abolish four (the Departments of Education, Commerce, Energy, and Housing and Urban Development), plus the Internal Revenue Service and 25 other agencies with names that make conservatives angry (there's one about salmon). If Cruz were elected and went through with his plan, what would that look like for higher education? When Cruz says he wants to abolish the Department of Education, I take that to mean he wants to kill all existing programs and funding within the department. No more Pell grants, no new federal student loans, no more Title IX enforcement, nothing. And just moving programs to another department is cheating, so let's assume Cruz wouldn't do that. [...] But that increase in demand for state subsidized higher education actually increases the burden on states, which would feel the pressure to expand the number of seats available and pay for it through tax increases.” [New America, [11/11/15](#)]
- The Majority Of Federal Funds For Elementary And Secondary Schools, Including Title I Grants, Were Allocated Through The Department Of Education.** “The federal government spends nearly \$79 billion annually on primary and secondary education programs. Much of the funding is discretionary, meaning it is set annually by Congress through the appropriations process. Funds flow mainly through the Department of Education although other federal agencies administer some funding for education related activities. Through the U.S. Department of Education, the federal government provides nearly \$79 billion a year on primary and secondary education programs. Through the U.S. Department of Education, the federal government provides nearly \$79 billion a year on primary and secondary education programs. The two biggest programs are No Child Left Behind Title I Grants to local school districts (\$14.4 billion in fiscal year 2014) and IDEA Special Education State Grants (\$11.5 billion in fiscal year 2014).” [New America, accessed [6/29/22](#)]
- 2009 – 2019: Federal Revenue For Elementary And Secondary Public Schools Totaled Between \$61 And \$92 Billion.** “In school year 2018–19, elementary and secondary public school revenues totaled \$79.5 billion in constant 2020–21 dollars.^{1,2} Of this total, 8 percent, or \$6.3 billion, were from federal sources. [...] Federal revenues decreased 34 percent from the 2009–10 high (\$92 billion), the year after the passage of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, to \$61 billion in 2013–14. Federal revenues then fluctuated between \$61 billion and \$63 billion from 2013–14 to 2018–19 (\$63 billion).” [National Center for Education Statistics, [May 2022](#)]
- Vox: If The Department Of Education Were Abolished, “States Would Have To Lay Off Teachers, Shorten The School Year, And Undertake Other Budget-Cutting Measures — Or They'd Have To Raise Taxes.”** “During the Republican presidential primary debate Tuesday night, Sen. Ted Cruz became the second national politician from Texas to disdain the Education Department so much he couldn't even remember its name. ‘I would eliminate, the IRS, the Department of Commerce, the Department of Energy... [pause] ...the Department of Commerce, and HUD,’ he said on the primary stage. Later, his campaign clarified that he meant to include the Education Department on that list. Shutting down the department would be a big deal, entailing figuring out what to do with billions of dollars in federal grants and other initiatives. And how it would work in practice could range from a truly drastic change to a mostly cosmetic one. [...] The federal government provides only about 12 percent of K-12 education funding nationally, with the rest provided by states and school districts. And only about half of that money is from the Education Department; the rest comes from the Department of Agriculture for school nutrition programs, the Department of Health and Human Services for Head Start, and other agencies. But because federal money is doled out unevenly, some states would feel the cuts more than others. Getting rid of federal education funding would cut 17 percent of all school funding in Louisiana and South Dakota and 18 percent in Mississippi. Even Connecticut and New Jersey, the two states least dependent on the federal government, would see a 5 percent cut in school revenue. States would have to lay off teachers, shorten the school year, and undertake other budget-cutting measures — or they'd have to raise taxes. Neither is likely to be a winning project politically.” [Vox, [11/12/15](#)]

Message #2

Clancy supports the decision to overturn Roe v. Wade that let states to ban abortion, even in cases of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is at risk, including here in Virginia. He is an active member of the group that wrote Project 2025, a plan that would restrict access to IVF and birth control and allow the government to track, monitor, and prosecute pregnant women.

Clancy supports the decision to overturn Roe v. Wade that let states to ban abortion, even in cases of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is at risk, including here in Virginia.

Clancy Said He Supported The Dobbs Decision That Overturned Roe V. Wade. Clancy: "I'm pro life. That's my personal belief. I'm a lawyer and read the Dobbs decision, and it did two things. It overturned Roe v. Wade, I and ruled the abortion decision goes back to the states. We've seen that play out already across our country, from Florida to Texas to Ohio, Kansas and California. And that's where it should stay. Let's not forget who the extremists are on abortion. It's the Democrats. Every Democrat in the primary for this 10th congressional district wants unlimited abortion, a national bill of unlimited abortion all the way up to the moment of birth. And they want everyone in this room to pay for it with their taxpayer dollars. In Congress, I'll fight to reject any national legislation like that to provide for unlimited abortion. It should be handled by the states. We don't want people in California or Bernie Sanders or Nancy Pelosi deciding what Virginia should do about abortion." [Loudon County Republicans, Loudoun County Republican 10th Congressional Forum, YouTube, 35:47-37:05, [4/15/24](#)] (VIDEO)

The Dobbs Decision Gave Individual States The Power To Set Their Own Abortion Laws "The Supreme Court in a 5-4 decision on Friday overturned Roe v. Wade, the landmark ruling that established the constitutional right to abortion in the U.S. in 1973. The court's controversial but expected ruling gives individual states the power to set their own abortion laws without concern of running afoul of Roe, which had permitted abortions during the first two trimesters of pregnancy." [CNBC, [6/24/22](#)]

Dobbs Overturned Roe v. Wade. "On January 22, 1973, the United States Supreme Court held that a woman has a constitutional right to abortion in Roe v. Wade. On June 24, 2022, that decision was overturned by Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization." [Syracuse Law Review, [6/30/22](#)]

Anti-Abortion Groups And Their Congressional Allies Planned A Strict Nationwide Ban Of Abortion If Roe Vs Wade Is Overturned And Republicans Retake Power In Congress. "Leading antiabortion groups and their allies in Congress have been meeting behind the scenes to plan a national strategy that would kick in if the Supreme Court rolls back abortion rights this summer, including a push for a strict nationwide ban on the procedure if Republicans retake power in Washington." [Washington Post, [5/2/22](#)]

Dobbs Eliminated The Constitutional Right To An Abortion. "The Supreme Court on Friday overruled Roe v. Wade, eliminating the constitutional right to an abortion after almost 50 years in a 6-to-3 ruling." [New York Times, [6/24/22](#)]

20.9 Million Women Lost Access To Nearly All Elective Abortions In Their Home States Two Months After Dobbs. "Two months after the Supreme Court overturned Roe v. Wade, about 20.9 million women have lost access to nearly all elective abortions in their home states, and a slate of strict new trigger laws expected to take effect in the coming days will shut out even more." [Washington Post, [8/22/22](#)]

He is an active member of the group that wrote Project 2025, a plan that would restrict access to IVF and birth control and will allow the government to track, surveil, and prosecute pregnant women.

Clancy Was A Member Of The Heritage Foundation. "Michael Clancy is a lawyer, member of The Federalist Society and Heritage Foundation, and former congressional candidate. He provides incisive, analytical commentary

on political and constitutional law issues; and is a frequent guest on political news programs. Mike is a graduate of The George Washington University Law School and Notre Dame.” [Newsmax, Opinion, [4/11/23](#)]

- **The Heritage Foundation Was Behind Project 2025.** “The 2025 Presidential Transition Project is being organized by The Heritage Foundation and builds off Heritage’s longstanding ‘Mandate for Leadership,’ which has been highly influential for presidential administrations since the Reagan era. Most recently, the Trump administration relied heavily on Heritage’s ‘Mandate’ for policy guidance, embracing nearly two-thirds of Heritage’s proposals within just one year in office.” [Project 2025, accessed [7/18/24](#)]

Project 2025 Included Language Promoting Fetal Personhood. “Project 2025, a comprehensive conservative policy blueprint, does not directly mention in vitro fertilization, but critics have warned included language promoting fetal personhood could have far-reaching consequences for IVF.” [USA Today, [8/7/24](#)]

- **The Life At Conception Act Would Grant Equal Protection Under The 14th Amendment To Fetuses, Effectively Banning Abortion With No Exceptions And Eliminating Medical Choices Including In Vitro Fertilization.** “H.R. 616 would grant equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States for the right to life of each born and ‘preborn’ human person. ‘Human person’ is defined as: [...] each and every member of the species homo sapiens at all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being. The bill would grant constitutional rights to fertilized eggs, embryos, fetuses, and clones. It would effectively ban abortion with no exception for rape, incest, or to save the life of the pregnant person. It would also ban birth control pills, IUDs, and emergency contraception. In addition, it would eliminate certain medical choices for women, including some cancer treatments and in vitro fertilization. The bill would not allow for prosecution of any pregnant person for the ‘death’ of their ‘unborn child.’” [Rewire, [9/28/19](#)]

Center For American Progress: Project 2025 Would Take Away Access To Free Emergency Contraception For 48 Million Women. “In ‘Project 2025: A Presidential Transition Project,’ far-right extremists outline their intent to exclude some forms of emergency contraception from no-cost coverage. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) requires most private health insurance plans to provide no-cost coverage—without copayment, coinsurance, or deductible—for recommended preventive services. This benefit includes the full range of U.S. Food and Drug Administration-approved birth control methods and counseling, including emergency contraception. Rescinding no-cost coverage could have lifelong consequences for women nationwide. A new Center for American Progress analysis estimates that if Project 2025 were enacted, nearly 48 million women of reproductive age would lose their guaranteed no-cost access to emergency contraception.” [Center for American Progress, Article, [6/18/24](#)]

Project 2025 Called For Removing Emergency Contraception From The List Of Preventative Services Insurers Must Cover Under The Affordable Care Act. “The word ‘abortion’ appears 199 times in Project 2025. The playbook includes an expansive array of suggestions of ways the government should regulate pregnancy and abortion, including: • Removing emergency contraception from the list of preventive services insurers must cover under the Affordable Care Act • Calling on the FDA to rescind its approval of ‘chemical abortion drugs’ such as mifepristone • Using the Comstock Act to make it illegal to mail abortion medication • Revoking Medicaid funds from states that require private insurance policies to cover abortions • Prohibiting hospital emergency rooms from providing an abortion in order to save a woman’s life • Conducting expansive government tracking of every instance of ‘spontaneous miscarriage; treatments that incidentally result in the death of a child (such as chemotherapy); stillbirths; and induced abortion.’” [Bloomberg, [7/11/24](#)]

Project 2025 Called For Expansive Government Tracking Of “Spontaneous Miscarriage; Treatments That Incidentally Result In The Death Of A Child (Such As Chemotherapy); Stillbirths; And Induced Abortion.” “The word ‘abortion’ appears 199 times in Project 2025. The playbook includes an expansive array of suggestions of ways the government should regulate pregnancy and abortion, including: • Removing emergency contraception from the list of preventive services insurers must cover under the Affordable Care Act • Calling on the FDA to rescind its approval of ‘chemical abortion drugs’ such as mifepristone • Using the Comstock Act to make it illegal to mail abortion medication • Revoking Medicaid funds from states that require private insurance policies to cover

abortions • Prohibiting hospital emergency rooms from providing an abortion in order to save a woman's life • Conducting expansive government tracking of every instance of 'spontaneous miscarriage; treatments that incidentally result in the death of a child (such as chemotherapy); stillbirths; and induced abortion.'" [Bloomberg, [7/11/24](#)]

Message #3

Voters receiving positive communication about Suhas Subramanyam should hear on digital and streaming platforms and read mail about Sen. Suhas Subramanyam's career of service.

- Suhas Subramanyam is a small business owner, volunteer firefighter and EMT, and State Senator who has been an effective lawmaker for working families.
- As a State Senator, he's led successful, bipartisan efforts to address price gouging, bring down prescription drug costs, crack down on egregious toll hikes, and force a monopoly utility company to refund more than \$300 million to Virginia families.
- Suhas is a uniter who will work across the aisle with take on the toughest fights and deliver real results for our community. It's personal to him.

Suhas Subramanyam is a small business owner, volunteer firefighter and EMT, and State Senator who has been an effective lawmaker for working families.

Subramanyam Was A Small Business Owner In Loudon County. “Subramanyam, 37, of Loudoun County, was the first Hindu and Indian American to be sworn into the Virginia General Assembly. He won his delegate seat in 2019 and his state Senate seat in 2023. Subramanyam has a law degree, served in the Obama Administration as a White House technology advisor and is a small business owner.” [Prince William Times, [5/13/24](#)]

Subramanyam Was A Volunteer Firefighter And EMT. “Thank you Virginia Professional Fire Fighters for your endorsement! As a licensed EMT/FF myself, I look forward to continuing to stand up for firefighters and all first responders.” [Suhas Subramanyam, Facebook, [8/13/21](#)]



[Suhas Subramanyam, Facebook, [8/13/21](#)]

Subramanyam Was Elected To The Virginia State Senate In 2023. “Subramanyam, 37, of Loudoun County, was the first Hindu and Indian American to be sworn into the Virginia General Assembly. He won his delegate seat in 2019 and his state Senate seat in 2023. Subramanyam has a law degree, served in the Obama Administration as a White House technology advisor and is a small business owner.” [Prince William Times, [5/13/24](#)]

As a State Senator, he's led successful, bipartisan efforts to address price gouging, bring down prescription drug costs...

Subramanyam Voted In Favor Of A Bipartisan Bill To Reduce Price Gouging. Subramanyam voted in favor of: Virginia Post-Disaster Anti-Price Gouging Act; clarifies definition of consumer transaction, etc.” [H.B. 1301, Virginia State Legislature, [2/29/24](#)]

Subramanyam Was A Chief Co-Patron On A Law To Increase Price Transparency On Prescription Drugs. “Prescription drug price transparency. Directs the Department of Health to enter into a contract or an agreement with a nonprofit data services organization to collect, compile, and make available on its website information about prescription drug pricing and requires every health carrier, pharmacy benefits manager, and drug manufacturer to report information about prescription drug prices to the nonprofit data services organization with which the Department of Health has entered into a contract for such purpose. The bill provides that in any case in which the Department determines that the data reported by health carriers, pharmacy benefit managers, and drug manufacturers is insufficient, the Department may require wholesale distributors to report certain data about prescription drug costs. The bill has a delayed effective date of January 1, 2022, and directs the Department of Health to adopt emergency regulations to implement the provisions of the bill.” [S.B. 2007, Virginia State Senate, [1/11/24](#)]

- **H.B. 2007 Passed With Bipartisan Support.** [H.B. 2007, Virginia State Senate, [2/3/21](#)]

H.B. 2007 Would Work To Prevent Price Gouging On Prescription Drugs By Increasing Transparency. “I spoke last night in favor of a bill that I Chief Co-patroned to bring transparency and accountability to the prescription drug market. I've seen too many families hurt by companies that have price gouged them on life-saving Rx drugs, and this bill is a step towards addressing this problem.” [Suhas Subramanyam, Facebook, [2/4/21](#)] (VIDEO)

Subramanyam Introduced A Law To Create A Wholesale Prescription Drug Importation Program. “SB 186 Wholesale prescription drug importation program; Sec. of Health and Human Resources to establish.” [S.B. 186, Virginia State Senate, [1/8/24](#)]

S.B. 186 Would Allow Virginia To Study Wholesale Drug Importation With The Goal Of Importing Cheaper Prescription Drugs. “Along with SB 274, Subramanyam also authored SB 186, a bill that allows the Commonwealth to study wholesale drug importation, with the goal of importing cheaper prescription drugs from other countries to lower costs for Virginians. SB 186 is on the Governor’s desk awaiting a signature.” [Suhas for Virginia, Press Release, [4/3/24](#)]

- **S.B. 186 Passed The Senate With Bipartisan Support.** [S.B. 186, Virginia State Senate, [1/8/24](#)]

...crack down on egregious toll hikes...

Subramanyam Introduced A Law Giving The Virginia State Corporation Commission (SCC) The Power To Prevent And Block Toll Hikes. “Virginia Highway Corporation Act; alteration of certificate of authority; powers and duties of the State Corporation Commission. Requires any application for a transfer, extension, or amendment of a certificate of authority issued under the Virginia Highway Corporation Act to include information demonstrating the financial fitness of the entity applying to operate the roadway. The bill requires an applicant for a toll increase to provide a forward-looking analysis return that will be reviewed by the Department of Transportation that demonstrates that the proposed rates will be reasonable to the user in relation to the benefit obtained, not likely to materially discourage use of the roadway, and provide the operator no more than a reasonable return. The bill also prohibits the State Corporation Commission from authorizing a toll increase if these criteria are not met or if the proposed increase is for more than one year. The bill requires an operator to receive approval from the

Commission prior to refinancing any existing debt. This bill is identical to SB 1259.” [H.B. 1832, Virginia State Legislature, [3/25/21](#)]

September 4, 2024: The SCC Ruled Against A Proposed Toll Increase As A Result Of Suhas’ Law. “Today, in a win for families across Northern Virginia, the Virginia’s State Corporation Commission (SCC) ruled against a proposed toll increase by Toll Road Investors Partnership II (TRIP II), and successfully utilized a law, HB 1832, passed by Suhas Subramanyam in 2021 in the Virginia General Assembly. This SCC ruling prevents a nearly 40% tolling increase for Dulles Greenway commuters during peak hours.” [Suhas for Virginia, Press Release, [9/4/24](#)]

The SCC Ruling Prevents A Nearly 40% Increase For Dulles Greenway Commuters. “Today, in a win for families across Northern Virginia, the Virginia’s State Corporation Commission (SCC) ruled against a proposed toll increase by Toll Road Investors Partnership II (TRIP II), and successfully utilized a law, HB 1832, passed by Suhas Subramanyam in 2021 in the Virginia General Assembly. This SCC ruling prevents a nearly 40% tolling increase for Dulles Greenway commuters during peak hours.” [Suhas for Virginia, Press Release, [9/4/24](#)]

...and force a monopoly utility company to refund more than \$300 million to Virginia families.

Subramanyam Introduced A Consumer Protection Law Requiring Recovery Of Costs For Certain Prematurely Retired Election Generation Facilities. “State Corporation Commission; retirement of coal-fired or natural gas-fired electric generation facilities. Requires the State Corporation Commission to determine the amortization period for recovery of any appropriate costs due to the early retirement of any coal-fired or natural gas-fired electric generation facilities owned or operated by any Phase I or Phase II Utility. The bill requires the Commission, in making such determination, to (i) perform an independent analysis of the remaining undepreciated capital costs; (ii) establish a recovery period that best serves ratepayers; and (iii) allow for the recovery of any carrying costs that the Commission deems appropriate.” [H.B. 528, Virginia State Legislature, [4/6/20](#)]

Suhas is a uniter who will work across the aisle with Democrats and Republicans to take on the toughest fights and deliver real results for our community. It’s personal to him.

Suhas Said He Was Dedicated To “Serving Virginia And Winning The Tough Fights For Our Community.” “Dad. State Senator. Democratic Nominee for Congress. Dedicated to serving Virginia and winning the tough fights for our community. #VA10.” [Suhas Subramanyam, Twitter, accessed [9/20/24](#)]



[Suhas Subramanyam, Twitter, accessed [9/20/24](#)]

Subramanya Was A Founder Of The Bipartisan Commonwealth Caucus. “In the Virginia General Assembly, I was proud to be a co-founder of the bipartisan Commonwealth Caucus, where we worked together as moderate Democrats and Republicans on behalf of all Virginians.” [Suhas For Virginia, Press Release, [7/31/24](#)]