

Bryan Steil Overview Message Backup

Bryan Steil is part of the problem in Washington – another swampy career politician doing the bidding of his special interest donors at the expense of Wisconsin families

Bryan Steil Is Part Of The Problem In Washington – Another Swampy Career Politician Doing The Bidding Of His Special Interest Donors At The Expense Of Wisconsin Families

Steil Was A Career Politician Who Was A Member Of The DC Republican Political Establishment

Steil Was A “Protege” Of His “Mentor,” Former House Speaker Paul Ryan, Who He Worked For As An Economic Advisor

2003: Steil Worked For Paul Ryan As His DC Aide And Personal Driver

2003: Steil “Spent A Year As An Aide In Ryan’s Washington Office.” “Steil, who announced his campaign just days after Ryan announced his retirement, spent a year as an aide in Ryan’s Washington office in 2003. He’s now an attorney for Milton plastics manufacturer Charter NEX Films and has served as a regent himself since 2016.” [Associated Press, [10/14/18](#)]

- **Steil Was An “Economic Advisor” To Paul Ryan.** “Steil, who is from Ryan’s hometown of Janesville, sits on the Board of Regents for the University of Wisconsin, the AP reported. He served as a legislative aide and economic advisor to Ryan in the early 2000s.” [The Hill, [4/23/18](#)]
- **CNBC: Steil “Used To Work As Paul Ryan’s Personal Driver.”** “A man who used to work as Paul Ryan’s personal driver is gearing up to run for the outgoing House speaker’s seat in Wisconsin’s 1st District, CNBC has learned. The potential Republican candidate, Bryan Steil, has been courting some of Wisconsin’s top donors as he prepares to enter the fray, sources with direct knowledge of the matter said on the condition of anonymity. The race has become one of the most high-profile midterm House contests since Ryan said Wednesday he wouldn’t run for re-election.” [CNBC, [4/12/18](#)]

2018: Steil Was Paul Ryan’s “Hand-Picked Successor”

FOX 6: Steil Was Paul Ryan’s “Hand-Picked Successor.” “Speaker Ryan returned to the campaign trail Monday to support his hand-picked successor. He said he hopes his seat remains red. ‘I believe Bryan is going to keep the seat. I think he is the right guy for the job, and I think he fits the district. That’s the key,’ said Speaker Ryan. It marked the first time Ryan has stumped for Steil.” [FOX 6, [8/14/18](#)]

Bryan Steil Was A “Protege” Of His “Mentor,” Former Speaker Of The House Paul Ryan

Associated Press: Bryan Steil Was A Paul Ryan “Protege.” “‘It would be fantastic,’ Mike Tate, former chairman of the Wisconsin Democratic Party, said of the prospect of picking up Ryan’s old seat. ‘It would be very, very sweet for Democrats in Wisconsin. The only thing sweeter would be beating (Gov. Scott) Walker.’ To avert that fate, Wisconsin Republicans are turning to Bryan Steil, a Ryan protege and political newbie hoping to maintain his mentor’s legacy, keep the district in Republican hands and deny Democrats the symbolic victory of taking the speaker’s congressional seat.” [Associated Press, [10/14/18](#)]

Paul Ryan Was A “Mentor” To Bryan Steil. “‘It would be fantastic,’ Mike Tate, former chairman of the Wisconsin Democratic Party, said of the prospect of picking up Ryan’s old seat. ‘It would be very, very sweet for Democrats in Wisconsin. The only thing sweeter would be beating (Gov. Scott) Walker.’ To avert that fate, Wisconsin Republicans are turning to Bryan Steil, a Ryan protege and political newbie hoping to maintain his mentor’s legacy, keep the district in Republican hands and deny Democrats the symbolic victory of taking the speaker’s congressional seat.” [Associated Press, [10/14/18](#)]

- **2014: Steil Said He “Learned From The Speaker’s Style Of Leadership.”** “Steil’s work under Ryan, though, may be the biggest key for him to gain voters’ trust. He worked as a personal driver for Ryan back in the Republican leader’s early days in the House. In a 2014 interview with Isthmus, a weekly Wisconsin newsletter, Steil indicated that he learned from the speaker’s style of leadership. ‘He’s a guy who tells you what he’s going to do, explains it, and then goes to Washington and acts on his beliefs,’ Steil said of Ryan. He also added in that same interview that he respected Ryan because he’s willing to have ‘an adult conversation.’” [CNBC, [4/12/18](#)]

2012 & 2016: Steil Attended The RNC, At Least Once As A Delegate

2012: Steil Was An Alternate Delegate At The RNC. [P2012, 2012 Republican National Convention – Wisconsin Delegates, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

2016: Steil Was A Delegate At The Republican National Convention. “While prominent Republicans from former White House Chief of Staff Reince Priebus to state Assembly Speaker Robin Vos, took a pass on entering the race, Steil brings his own GOP connections to the campaign. He was appointed to the University Of Wisconsin Board Of Regents by Gov. Scott Walker, he once worked as a legislative aide to Ryan in his Washington, D.C. office and he was a delegate at the 2016 Republican National Convention that formally nominated President Donald Trump.” [Wisconsin Public Radio, [4/22/18](#)]

2018-2026: Steil Had Been In Congress For Nearly A Decade

Steil Was “First Elected In 2018.” “First elected in 2018, Congressman Bryan Steil represents Wisconsin’s First Congressional District in the U.S. House of Representatives.” [The Office of Congressman Bryan Steil, About, accessed [12/5/25](#)]

Steil Had Been An Official Member Of Congress Since January 2019. [Congress.gov, Representative Bryan Steil, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

Steil Voted To Benefit His Donors At Wisconsin Families’ Expense

See Backup Below In Following Messages

Bryan Steil Message #1 Backup

Steil voted to give his wealthy billionaire donors a massive tax cut, paid for by gutting health care for Wisconsin families and driving up their health insurance costs and higher taxes on the middle class. Steil’s vote made the biggest cuts to Medicaid in history, which will kick 270,000 people in Wisconsin off their insurance, jack up premiums by as much as 800%, and threaten to close rural hospitals across Wisconsin.

Steil Voted To Give His Wealthy Billionaire Donors A Massive Tax Cut...

Steil Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Gave Billionaires A Massive Tax Cut

May 22, 2025: Steil Voted For Initial Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Bryan Steil voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Steil Voted For The Final Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Representative Bryan Steil voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

July 2025: Steil Said He Was “Excited” To Pass The One Big Beautiful Bill. STEIL: “Let me tell you, Dan, we are so excited to actually be able to deliver on President Trump’s agenda, deliver for the American people, and get this country back on track and passing this bill and having it signed into law by the 4th of July is going to be a big step forward.” [WISN, Dan O’Donnell Show, 40:42, [7/1/25](#)] (AUDIO)

July 2025: Steil Called The One Big Beautiful Bill A “Great Piece Of Legislation.” TIM BREMEL: “We’re either going to save a bunch of money through cleaning up Medicaid and making sure only people qualified for it are receiving it, or 11 million people are going to be kicked off their health care. Which is it, in your opinion, and why?” STEIL: “Well, great question. The One Big Beautiful Bill if you will is a great piece of legislation that really moves our country forward. It has a number of areas it

covers, from border security to unleashing energy, and as you correctly note, removing waste, fraud, and abuse inside the Medicaid program across the country.” [WCLO, Your Talk Show, 02:00, [7/10/25](#)] (AUDIO)

- **Legal Defense Fund: The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Tax Breaks Were “Mostly For Billionaires.”** “President Trump’s ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act’ (OBBBA) made the largest-ever cuts to social safety net programs in U.S. history to fund tax cuts for the ultrawealthy and corporations. The OBBBA extends, expands, or implements more than \$4.5 trillion in tax breaks — mostly for billionaires — while making more than \$1 trillion in cuts to programs that many rely on for health care, adequate nutrition, and student loans.” [Legal Defense Fund, accessed [9/10/26](#)]
- **CAP: The One Big Beautiful Bill Cut Taxes By \$1 Trillion For “The Top 1 Percent” Of Income Earners.** “Overall, the BBB cuts taxes by \$4.5 trillion over the next decade, primarily with \$2.3 trillion of provisions that deliver most of their benefits to the richest 10 percent of Americans by income, according to new analysis of JCT data by the Center for American Progress. Within that, the BBB delivers \$1 trillion in tax cuts to the top 1 percent while cutting more than \$1.1 trillion from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Medicaid, and other health programs used by the poorest Americans.” [Center for American Progress, [11/20/25](#)]

Steil’s Campaign Received Hundreds Of Thousands Of Dollars From Billionaires

See Appendix For Backup

...Paid For By Gutting Health Care For Wisconsin Families And Driving Up Their Health Insurance Costs And Higher Taxes On The Middle Class

Steil Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Will Gut Health Care

See Backup Below Later In This Message

Steil Voted Against Extending The ACA Premium Tax Credits, Which Would Raise Taxes And Health Insurance Costs For Middle Class Families

Steil Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Did Not Extend The ACA Premium Tax Credits

May 22, 2025: Steil Voted For Initial Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Bryan Steil voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and

make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Steil Voted For The Final Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Representative Bryan Steil voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **CNBC: The One Big Beautiful Bill Failed To Extend The ACA Premium Tax Credits.** “Republicans gave a roughly \$4 trillion tax cut to Americans in the so-called ‘big beautiful bill’ that President Donald Trump signed into law July 4, extending several tax provisions scheduled to expire in 2026. However, there was a notable omission, according to health policy experts: an extension of a tax break that lowered health insurance premiums for millions of people. The enhanced premium tax credits, in place since 2021, have lowered the cost of health insurance premiums for those who buy coverage through the Affordable Care Act marketplace. Enrollees can use these to lower their premium costs upfront or claim the credits at tax time, but the credits are scheduled to expire after 2025.” [CNBC, [7/11/25](#)]

The Expiration Of The ACA Premium Tax Credits Raised Health Care Costs And Taxes For Wisconsin Families

KFF: Premiums Had Increased By An Average Of 58% In 2026. “This analysis draws on data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and state-based Marketplace (SBM) Open Enrollment reports, as well as KFF survey data and individual market enrollment estimates from Wakely Consulting Group, to examine early indicators of how the expiration of enhanced premium tax credits has affected effectuated enrollment levels (i.e., enrollment among people who have paid their premiums), plan selections, and out-of-pocket costs in 2026. [...] Premium payments from enrollees increased by an average of 58% from \$113 to \$178 per month. This is lower than the 114% increase KFF projected if everyone had stayed in the same plan because many people bought down to higher-deductible plans and because those just past the subsidy cliff with the steepest increases dropped ACA coverage at higher rates. Additionally, the 114% increase was among people receiving a tax credit whereas the 58% increase is among all consumers, including the most number who did not receive a tax credit in 2025.” [KFF, [5/19/26](#)]

HEADLINE: “State-by-State Estimates of the First Year of Trump’s Tax Policies: All But the Richest Americans Face Higher Taxes.” [Institute On Taxation and Economy Policy, [2/23/26](#)]

- Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: Wisconsin Families Making Up To An Average Income Of \$79,700 A Year Would See Their Taxes Increase Because Of The Expiration Of The ACA Premium Tax Credits.** [Institute On Taxation and Economy Policy, [2/23/26](#)]

Impacts of President Trump's Tax Policies in 2026 in Wisconsin

Income Group				Average Tax Changes as Percentage of Income			
	Income Range		Average	Trump's	Expirations of Health	OBBBA	TOTAL
	From	To	Income	Tariffs	Care Tax Credit	Tax Changes	CHANGES
Bottom 20%	\$0	\$35,300	\$19,300	+2.2%	+0.4%	+0.5%	+3.0%
Second 20%	\$35,300	\$61,700	\$48,100	+1.9%	+0.5%	-0.5%	+1.9%
Third 20%	\$61,700	\$100,800	\$79,700	+1.7%	+0.3%	-0.8%	+1.2%
Fourth 20%	\$100,800	\$148,600	\$124,300	+1.5%	+0.1%	-0.9%	+0.7%
Next 15%	\$148,600	\$330,200	\$204,800	+1.3%	+0.0%	-1.2%	+0.1%
Next 4%	\$330,200	\$819,100	\$458,700	+1.0%	+0.0%	-1.2%	-0.2%
Top 1%	\$819,100	And Above	\$1,844,100	+0.9%	0.0%	-1.5%	-0.6%
TOTAL			\$121,100	+1.3%	+0.1%	-1.0%	+0.4%

[Institute On Taxation and Economy Policy, [2/23/26](#)]

Steil's Vote Made The Biggest Cuts To Medicaid In History, Which Will Kick 270,000 People In Wisconsin Off Of Their Insurance.

May 22, 2025: Steil Voted For Initial Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Bryan Steil voted for: "Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate "such sums as necessary" for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who've purchased "silver" plans on the 2010 health care law's exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions." The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Steil Voted For The Final Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Representative Bryan Steil voted for: "Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000

a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **The Hill: The One Big Beautiful Bill’s \$1 Trillion Medicaid Cuts Were The Largest In History.** “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]
- **Wisconsin DHS: 270,000 Wisconsinites Would Lose Their Access To Care Because Of The One Big Beautiful Bill.** “On Friday, July 4, the president signed the budget reconciliation bill (the One Big Beautiful Bill Act), which will increase red tape for FoodShare and Medicaid members and will force 270,000 Wisconsinites to lose health insurance by taking away necessary support for them to afford health care coverage.” [Wisconsin Department of Health Services, Press Release, [7/15/25](#)]

...Jack Up Premiums By As Much As 800%, And Threaten To Close Rural Hospitals Across Wisconsin

2026: Premiums In Wisconsin Would Increase By As Much As 800% Because Of The Expiration Of The ACA Premium Tax Credits

WKBT: The Director Of Public Affairs For Wisconsin’s Commissioner Of Insurance Said That “We Are Seeing Cost Increases Of Over 800% For Some People In Some Counties In Wisconsin” Because Of The Expiration Of The ACA Premium Tax Credits. “More than 300,000 Wisconsinites could see their health insurance premiums double or triple in 2026 when the enhanced Affordable Care Act subsidies end. Rate increases are based on age, income, plan selection, and county. For instance, a family of four with \$130,000 in income could see their monthly silver plan premium increase by \$1,463 per month. Health care enrollment in Wisconsin reached an all-time high in 2025, but state officials predict that trend will reverse next year without the enhanced subsidies. ‘We are seeing cost increases of over 800% for some people in some counties in Wisconsin. There are dollar amounts that just are not sustainable for many people,’ said Sarah Smith, Director of Public Affairs for Wisconsin Office of the Commissioner of Insurance.” [WKBT, [12/15/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Medicaid Cuts Threatened To Close Three Rural Hospitals In Wisconsin

The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Medicaid Cuts Put More Than 300 Rural Hospitals Across The Country At Risk Of Closure And Cutting Services...

CNN: “Hospitals Are Making Cuts After ‘Big Beautiful Bill,’ Fueling Democrats’ Midterm Attacks.” [CNN, [3/2/26](#)]

The Guardian: “More Than 300 Rural Hospitals Are At Risk Of Closure Or Cutting Services” Because Of The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Medicaid Cuts. “Healthcare providers across the country have closed clinics and hospital wards in the four months since Donald Trump signed into law the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, the landmark tax-and-spending legislation that will lead an estimated 10 million people to lose their health insurance. The law is expected to slash federal funding by hundreds of billions

of dollars over the coming years, as part of Trump's campaign pledge to shrink government spending. But it will do so in part by paring back eligibility for Medicaid, the US government's health insurance program for low-income people; raising the cost of healthcare under the Affordable Care Act; and defunding some family planning providers who offer abortions." [The Guardian, [11/20/25](#)]

...Including Three In Wisconsin

HEADLINE: "Report Shows Three Rural Hospitals In Wisconsin Are At Risk Of Closure, Reducing Services." [Spectrum News 1, [7/29/25](#)]

July 2025: A Report Found That Three Rural Hospitals Were At Risk Of Closure Because Of "Cuts To Medicaid" In The One Big Beautiful Bill. "Democrats warn that cuts to Medicaid in the massive tax and spending law that President Donald Trump signed on Independence Day will result in rural hospitals closing or reducing services. Using research from the University of North Carolina, a group of Democratic senators say 338 hospitals are at risk, including three in Wisconsin, which have been losing money for three years. 'We sort of prepare for the worst and hope for the best,' said Stacey Snelling, a health studies professor at American University. 'And we might find that after the midterms, some of these changes are tweaked, and they're not going to be as problematic.'" [Spectrum News 1, [7/29/25](#)]

- **The Report Said Rural Hospitals In Manitowoc, Osseo, And Stanley, Wisconsin Were At Risk Of Closure.** "The Kaiser Family Foundation estimates the law will cause a loss of \$1.7 billion over 10 years for Wisconsin's rural hospitals. The three listed as at-risk in Wisconsin are Holy Family Memorial in Manitowoc, Mayo Clinic Health System Oakridge in Osseo, and Aspirus Stanley Hospital in Stanley." [Spectrum News 1, [7/29/25](#)]

Bryan Steil Message #2 Backup

Steil's campaign is bankrolled by the big corporations building data centers across Wisconsin -- and he's delivered for them. Steil took tens of thousands of dollars from the data center industry, then voted for the law handing over \$80 billion in tax breaks to the industry, using taxpayer money to make his donors richer while data centers eat up Wisconsin farmland, drain the water supply, and drive up electricity costs for everyone else.

Steil's Campaign Is Bankrolled By The Big Corporations Building Data Centers Across Wisconsin – And He's Delivered For Them

See Backup Below

Steil Took Tens Of Thousands Of Dollars From The Data Center Industry, Then Voted For The Law Handing Over \$80 Billion In Tax Breaks To The Industry...

Steil's Campaign Received Tens Of Thousands From Data Center Developers...

2019-June 2025: Steil's Campaign Accepted \$38,000 From Data Center Developers. [FEC Receipts, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

Contributor	Recipient	Date	Amount
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	06/30/2025	\$2,500.00
Google LLC NetPAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	06/27/2025	\$2,000.00
Meta Platforms Inc PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	06/30/2024	\$2,000.00
Google LLC NetPAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	06/03/2024	\$2,000.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	03/31/2024	\$2,500.00
Google LLC NetPAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	12/05/2023	\$1,000.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	09/30/2023	\$2,500.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	09/30/2022	\$2,500.00
Google LLC NetPAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	09/17/2022	\$1,000.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	06/30/2022	\$2,500.00
Google LLC NetPAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	12/06/2021	\$1,000.00
Google LLC NetPAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	08/19/2021	\$1,000.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	03/31/2021	\$5,000.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	03/10/2020	\$500.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	03/10/2020	\$4,500.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	12/30/2019	\$1,500.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	08/02/2019	\$1,000.00
Amazon PAC	Steil for Wisconsin Inc	08/02/2019	\$2,000.00
TOTAL:			\$38,000

- **Google Was Building Data Centers.** “We build data centers that power essential technology and services as we invest in community development and lead the industry in data security and sustainability.” [Google Data Centers, accessed [8/7/26](#)]
- **Amazon Was Building Data Centers.** “AWS is building data centers to support the next generation of artificial intelligence (AI) innovation and customers’ evolving needs. These capabilities combine innovations in power, cooling, and hardware design to create a more energy efficient data center that will underpin further customer innovation. As we grow, we invest relentlessly in efficiency. When a business moves from an on-premises data center to AWS, research estimates that data is processed 4.1 times more efficiently.” [AWS Amazon, accessed [8/7/26](#)]
- **Meta Was Building Data Centers.** “Meta data centers are part of the global infrastructure that brings our technologies and programs to life, making the future of human connection possible.” [Meta, accessed [8/12/26](#)]

...Then He Voted To Give Developers \$83 Billion In Tax Breaks

July 3, 2025: Steil Voted For The Final Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Representative Bryan Steil voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would

permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **American Prospect: Data Center Developers Received \$83 Billion In Tax Breaks From The One Big Beautiful Bill. Including Google.** “As the *Prospect* reported on Monday, just six companies, all of them related to the AI build-out, received \$83 billion in tax breaks last year thanks to the extension of various corporate tax benefits in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Like nearly all Republicans, Barrett voted for that bill, which enabled Microsoft, Google, Amazon, Meta, and Nvidia to spend more funds on building data centers.” [American Prospect, [8/20/26](#)]

...Using Taxpayer Money To Make His Donors Richer While Data Centers Eat Up Wisconsin Farmland, Drain The Water Supply, And Drive Up Electricity Costs For Everyone Else

Steil’s Campaign Received Tens Of Thousands From Data Center Developers

See Backup Above

Data Center Developers, Including Meta, Were Buying Up Wisconsin Farmland

HEADLINE: “Town Of Janesville Farmland Eyed For Data Center.” [Wisconsin News Media Association, [5/8/26](#)]

FOX 6: 300 Acres Of Farmland In Menomonie, Wisconsin Were “Annexed And Rezoned” For A \$1.6 Billion Data Center Project. “People living in a Midwest city known for its natural beauty and outdoor recreation are sounding the alarm on a proposed data center with a price tag of \$1.6 billion. More than 300 acres of farmland in Menomonie, Wisconsin, has already been annexed and rezoned by the city council, but some members say they need more information from developers about the project.” [FOX 6, [9/11/25](#)]

Meta Was Trying To Build A Data Center On Land In The Town Of Janesville. “The proposal comes as Rock County is already considering two other major data center projects. In the City of Janesville, officials are reviewing a data center proposal at the former General Motors site. In the Town of Beloit, a private quarry owner is in talks with Meta, the parent company of Facebook, about a data center.” [Spectrum News 1, [5/13/26](#)]

Meta Was Building A Data Center In Beaver Dam, Wisconsin. “In Beaver Dam, 40 miles northeast of Madison in Dodge County, the data center is located on 520 acres that were previously part of the Alliant Energy Commerce Park. Meta paid roughly at least \$10.4 million for the land. Dodge County property sale records indicate that the tech giant purchased at least another 226 acres in Beaver Dam and neighboring Trenton from private landowners.” [Wisconsin Watch, [7/13/26](#)]

- **The Land That The Beaver Dam Data Center Site Sat On Was “Zoned By Dodge County For Agricultural Use.”** “The Beaver Dam data center address is 8715-8773 County Road A, Beaver Dam, WI 53916, situated in what was previously Alliant Energy's Beaver Dam Commerce Park—Wisconsin's largest WEDC-certified development site since 2019. The data center location is bounded by Hemlock Road to the south, County Highway W to the west, and County Highway A to the north, approximately 40 miles northeast of Madison. The Beaver Dam data center acres total 834 across 23 parcels in TID No. 10, making it one of the largest single development sites in Dodge County history. Prior to annexation into the City of Beaver Dam, the site was zoned by Dodge County for agricultural use. The farmland-to-data-center transformation has become a lightning rod for debate about Wisconsin's future—“Dairyland, not data land” has become a rallying cry for opponents.” [Wisconsin Property Map, [3/1/26](#)]

Amazon Was Operating A Data Center In Oregon, Wisconsin. [DataCenters.com, accessed [9/16/26](#)]

Data Centers Were Increasing Electricity Costs As Well As Draining And Polluting Localities Water Supplies

AI Data Centers Were Increasing Electricity Costs

HEADLINE: “Data Center Power Demands Are Contributing To Higher Energy Bills.” [EESI, [2/24/26](#)]

Bloomberg: Electricity Cost 267% More “Than It Did Five Years Ago In Area Near Data Centers,” With Costs Being Passed Onto Consumers. “Wholesale electricity costs as much as 267% more than it did five years ago in areas near data centers. That’s being passed on to customers.” [Bloomberg, [9/29/25](#)]

AI Data Centers Were Draining And Polluting Water Supplies

Bloomberg: Data Centers “Consume Immense Amounts Of Water.” “Each time you ask an AI chatbot to summarize a lengthy legal document or conjure up a cartoon squirrel wearing glasses, it sends a request to a data center and strains an increasingly scarce resource: water. The data centers that power artificial intelligence consume immense amounts of water to cool hot servers and, indirectly, from the electricity needed to run these facilities.” [Bloomberg, [5/8/25](#)]

- **Forbes: Data Centers Were “Projected To Consume Between 16 Billion And 33 Billion Gallons” Of Water Annually By 2028.** “In 2023, U.S. data centers consumed an estimated 17 billion gallons of water, according to federal and industry analyses compiled by the Energy Department and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Hyperscale facilities alone are projected to consume between 16 billion and 33 billion gallons annually by 2028.” [Forbes, [1/11/26](#)]

Nature Forward: “Data Centers Can Introduce Several Types Of Pollutants Into Water Systems.” [Nature Forward, [2/4/26](#)]

- **July 2026: A Toxic Chemical From A Data Center Was Found In The Water Supply In Cheyenne, Wyoming.** “As the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) expands worldwide, companies are scrambling to construct data centers to keep up with soaring demand for services. Much has been reported about how these data centers use significant quantities of water for cooling, but a recent incident in Wyoming has highlighted a new concern for local water supplies. In the City of Cheyenne near the border with Colorado, a rare bacterium called *Cupriavidus gilardii* was detected in the City’s reclaimed water system in February after wastewater from the construction and commissioning of a

Meta AI data center entered the city's wastewater network. The finding resulted in a temporary shutdown of parts of the system while officials carried out remediation work." [Forbes, [7/9/26](#)]

- **HEADLINE: "Meta AI Data Center Linked To Rare Bacteria In City's Water System."** [Forbes, [7/9/26](#)]

Bryan Steil Message #3 Backup

Steil voted against releasing the Epstein files three separate times, protecting powerful pedophiles from accountability even after learning that Epstein's sex trafficking operation exploited girls as young as fourteen years old, all while taking over sixty-five thousand dollars in campaign cash from people whose names appear in the Epstein files.

Steil Voted Against Releasing The Epstein Files Three Separate Times...

2025: Steil Voted Three Times Against Releasing The Epstein Files

July 15, 2025: Vote #1: Steil Voted To Block The Release Of The Epstein Files

July 15, 2025: Steil Voted To Block The Release Of The Epstein Files. In July 2025, Bryan Steil voted for the "motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 580) providing for floor consideration of the fiscal 2026 Defense appropriations bill (HR 4016), the Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act (HR 1919), the Digital Asset Market Clarity Act (HR 3633) and the Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for U.S. Stablecoins (GENIUS) Act (S 1582)." The vote was on the previous question. The House agreed to the motion by a vote of 211 to 210. [H.Res.580, [Vote #194](#), 7/15/25; CQ, [7/15/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: "House GOP Blocks Second Dem Attempt To Release Epstein Files"** [Axios, [7/15/25](#)]
- **The Vote Served As A Referendum With Its Passage Blocking Consideration Of Ro Khanna's Amendment To Require The Release Of The Epstein Files.** "Rep. Ro Khanna (D-Calif.) had slightly more success mounting an effort to amend cryptocurrency-related legislation scheduled to hit the House floor later this week to compel the release of Epstein-related files. Democrats forced a Rules Committee vote on whether to allow a floor vote on Khanna's amendment; Republicans voted it down, though one Rules member in their ranks, Rep. Ralph Norman of South Carolina, crossed party lines. On the floor Tuesday afternoon, House Democrats attempted to cast a procedural vote as a referendum on releasing the so-called Epstein files. Had their effort to vote down the motion succeeded, they said, they would have moved forward with Khanna's amendment. But the 'previous question' vote, which hasn't been won by the House minority since 1988, prevailed 211-210 on party lines." [Politico, [7/15/25](#)]

July 17, 2025: Vote #2: Steil Voted Against Forcing A Vote On A Bill To Require The Release Of The Epstein Files

July 17, 2025: Steil Voted On Party Lines To Order The Previous Question On H.Res.590. Steil voted in favor of ordering the previous question during consideration of H.Res.590, which related "to consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 4) to rescind certain budget authority proposed to be rescinded in special messages transmitted to the Congress by the President on June 3, 2025, in

accordance with section 1012(a) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.” The question passed along party lines 218-211. [Congress.gov, [H.Res.590](#), On Ordering the Previous Question, 218-211, Vote #202, [7/17/25](#)]


- **July 17, 2025: The Vote On Ordering The Previous Question On H.Res.590 Meant Republicans Defeated The “Democrats’ Effort To Force A Vote” On A Bill To Require The AG Release The Epstein Files.** Reporter Craig Caplan tweeted, “218-211: House along party lines advanced the \$9B foreign aid & public broadcasting spending cuts recissions package to a final vote, while defeating Democrats’ effort to force a vote on legislation requiring the Attorney General to release the Jeffrey Epstein files.” His tweet, which was posted at 11:58PM, included a screenshot with the roll call vote on ordering the previous question on H.Res.590.



11:58 PM · Jul 17, 2025 · 1,200 Views

[Twitter, @CraigCaplan, [7/17/25](#)]

- **July 17, 2025: If The House Failed To Order The Previous Question, Democrats Could “Force A Vote To Require Release Of The Epstein Files.”** Reporter Lisa Desjardins tweeted, “NOW: House is voting. Vote #1. (Now.) Ordering the previous question. Procedural, tees up the next vote. IF this vote fails, Dems can force a vote to require release of the Epstein files. The GOP is backing a version without teeth in it/non-binding.” Her tweet was posted at 11:35PM.



11:35 PM · Jul 17, 2025 · 9,803 Views

[Twitter, @LisaDNews, [7/17/25](#)]

September 2, 2025: Vote #3: Steil Voted Against A Resolution That Would Have Released The Epstein Files

September 2025: Steil Effectively Voted Against Releasing The Epstein Files. In September 2025, Bryan Steil voted for: “adoption of the rule [that] [...] would consider as adopted a resolution (H Res 668) that would direct the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee to continue its ongoing inquiry into the possible mismanagement of the federal government's investigation of disgraced financier Jeffrey Epstein and his associate Ghislaine Maxwell, the circumstances surrounding Epstein's death in federal custody, sex trafficking rings and potential ethics violations by elected officials. [...] It also would table a rule (H Res 598) that would provide for the adoption of a resolution (H Res 589) concerning the release of certain documents related to the Epstein case.” The vote was on the rule. The House agreed to the rule by a vote of 212 to 208. [H.Res.672, [Vote #222](#), 9/3/25; CQ, [9/3/25](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res.672](#)]

Steil Said The Epstein Files Were “A Lot To Do About Nothing” And A Distraction

July 2025: Steil Said The Epstein Files Were “A Lot To Do About Nothing.” FREDERICA FREYBERG: “What’s your reaction to the distraction that the whole Jeffrey Epstein file is causing?” STEIL: “The broader media landscape loves to talk about Epstein. They don’t want to talk about the president's success at securing the border, about the positive impacts of the tax package that was just passed. I think it’s a lot to do about nothing.” [PBS Wisconsin, Here & Now, 01:24, [7/25/25](#)] (VIDEO)

July 2025: Steil Claimed The Media Was “Distracted” By The Epstein Files Issue And Wanted To Talk About It To Avoid Discussing Trump’s “Success.” FREDERICA FREYBERG: “What’s your reaction to the distraction that the whole Jeffrey Epstein file is causing?” STEIL: “The broader media landscape loves to talk about Epstein. They don’t want to talk about the president’s success at securing the border, about the positive impacts of the tax package that was just passed. I think it’s a lot to do about nothing. I think I lean on the side of more disclosure is always a good thing, the sunlight is the best disinfectant. I think actually we should just move forward with this. Disclose what’s legally permissible, it’s obviously under a court seal, it’s not under control of Congress. And then get really back and try to draw the attention of the media, who loves to be distracted by this, back to the most important issues of the day.” [PBS Wisconsin, Here & Now, 01:24, [7/25/25](#)] (VIDEO)

...Protecting Powerful Pedophiles From Accountability Even After Learning Epstein’s Sex Trafficking Operation Exploited Girls As Young As Fourteen Years Old...

The Epstein Files Contained Names Of The Rich And Powerful Men That Associated With Epstein

Rep. Khanna On The Epstein Files: “All Of The Rich And Powerful Men, Many Of Them Who Raped These Girls, Are In Those FBI Statements.” “Donald Trump's FBI scraped these documents in March, and the thing that they took out were survivors' statements to FBI agents about rich and powerful men who raped them or committed heinous acts. And then those documents from the FBI were sent to the Department of Justice in a redacted form. They were already scrubbed. We need those documents to be unscrubbed. That's what the American people want to know. All of the rich and powerful men, many of them who raped these girls, are in those FBI statements, and those statements are still redacted.” [PBS, [2/10/26](#)]

- **PBS News Headline: “Emails Reveal Epstein’s Network Of The Rich And Powerful Despite Sex Offender Status.”** [PBS News, [11/14/25](#)]

Dozens Of Powerful Men Had Alleged Connections To Epstein. “Court documents made public on Wednesday disclosed the names of dozens of powerful men with alleged connections to convicted sex-trafficker Jeffrey Epstein, who died by suicide in 2019. Federal Judge Loretta Preska in Manhattan unsealed the documents, revealing the names of numerous individuals described in a 2015 civil lawsuit as associates, affiliates or victims of Epstein.” [NPR, [1/4/24](#)]

- **NPR Headline: ‘Court Documents Reveal Names Of Powerful Men Allegedly Linked To Jeffrey Epstein.’** [NPR, [1/4/24](#)]

Epstein Was Accused Of Sex Trafficking, And Found To Have Raped And Exploited Girls As Young As 14

The Department Of Justice Accused Jeffrey Epstein Of Trafficking Young Girls, Including Girls As Young As 14 Years-Old, For Years. “From at least 2002 through at least 2005, JEFFREY EPSTEIN enticed and recruited, and caused to be enticed and recruited, dozens of minor girls to visit his mansion in New York, New York (the “New York Residence”), and his estate in Palm Beach, Florida (the “Palm Beach Residence”), to engage in sex acts with him, after which he would give the victims hundreds of dollars in cash. In order to maintain and increase his supply of victims, EPSTEIN also paid certain victims to recruit additional underage girls whom he could similarly abuse. In this way, EPSTEIN created a vast network of underage victims for him to sexually exploit, often on a daily basis, in locations including New York and Palm Beach. EPSTEIN’s victims were as young as 14 at the time he abused them, and were, for various reasons, often particularly vulnerable to exploitation. Moreover, EPSTEIN knew that many of his victims were under 18, including because, in some instances, victims expressly told him they were underage.” [Department of Justice Release, [7/8/19](#)]

PBS News: Transcripts Showed Epstein “Had Raped Teenage Girls As Young As 14 At His Palm Beach Mansion.” “Florida prosecutors heard graphic testimony about how the late millionaire and financier Jeffrey Epstein sexually assaulted teenage girls two years before they cut a plea deal, according to transcripts released Monday of the 2006 grand jury investigation. The transcripts were at the center of a court battle for about a decade after media investigations into Epstein's ties to the rich and the powerful seems to have allowed him to continue to rape and sex traffic teenagers without ever being sent to prison or serving a serious jail term. [...] The transcripts show that the grand jury heard testimony that Epstein, who was then in his 40s, had raped teenage girls as young as 14 at his Palm Beach mansion. The teenagers testified and told detectives they were also paid to find him more girls.” [PBS News, [7/1/24](#)]

HEADLINE: “Girls As Young As 14 Recruited For Sexual Massages, Second Batch Of Epstein Files Reveals.” [Sydney Morning Herald, [12/21/25](#)]

...All While Taking Over Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars In Campaign Cash From People Whose Names Appear In The Epstein Files

Steil’s Campaign Received \$67,700 From People In The Epstein Files

Steil’s Campaign Received \$67,700 From Peter Thiel, Stephen Schwarzman, Christine Schwarzman, John Paulson, Sheldon Adelson And Howard Lutnick. [FEC Receipts, accessed [9/13/26](#)]

Contributor	Recipient	Date	Amount
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin INC	09/10/2025	\$3,500.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin INC	09/10/2025	\$2,500.00
John Paulson	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/28/2025	\$85.19
John Paulson	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/28/2025	\$3,179.31
John Paulson	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/28/2025	\$3,500.00
John Paulson	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/28/2025	\$235.50
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/25/2025	\$1,000.00
Peter Thiel	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	02/25/2025	\$3,500.00
Peter Thiel	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	02/25/2025	\$3,500.00
Christine Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/17/2023	\$2,900.00
Christine Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/17/2023	\$3,300.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/14/2023	\$3,000.00
Howard Lutnick	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/03/2023	\$3,300.00
Howard Lutnick	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/03/2023	\$3,300.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/31/2022	\$2,900.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/31/2022	\$2,900.00
Christine Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/31/2022	\$2,900.00
Christine Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/31/2022	\$2,900.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/18/2019	\$2,800.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/18/2019	\$2,800.00
Christine Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/18/2019	\$2,800.00
Christine Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/18/2019	\$2,800.00
Sheldon Adelson	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	11/05/2018	\$2,700.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	10/12/2018	\$2,700.00
Christine Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	10/12/2018	\$2,700.00
TOTAL			\$67,700

[FEC Receipts, accessed [9/13/26](#)]

- **Stephen Schwarzman Was Named In The Epstein Files.** “Stephen Schwarzman, Reid Hoffman, Len Blavatnik, David Reuben. These four billionaires have collectively donated over £340 million to the University of Oxford. They are the faces of the University’s financial strategy, which readily accepts the philanthropy of the rich and powerful, notwithstanding the controversy this can sometimes generate. In return, their names have been plastered across the city: from the Schwarzman Centre for the Humanities to the Blavatnik School of Government to Reuben College. The ‘Epstein files’ – the documents held by the United States Department of Justice regarding the criminal

investigations into the paedophile financier Jeffrey Epstein – feature the names of these donors amongst the various emails, schedules, and guest lists.” [Cherwell, [5/3/26](#)]

- **Christine Schwarzman Was Also In The Epstein Files.** [US Department of Justice, Epstein Library, accessed [9/10/26](#)]
- **Christine Schwarzman Was Stephen Schwarzman’s Wife.** “Most people may not have heard of the name Christine Schwarzman, but they likely know her husband, Stephen Schwarzman, the CEO of Blackstone. Initially a banker at Lehman Brothers, Stephen left his job in 1985 to co-found Blackstone with his boss and former US secretary of commerce, Peter Peterson. It has since grown to become the world’s largest buyout firm, and has over US\$1 trillion in assets today.” [South China Morning Post Magazine, [1/15/25](#)]
- **Howard Lutnick Was Named In The Epstein Files.** “In Lutnick’s case, his name appears more than a hundred times in the files, including in many email exchanges directly with Epstein. Lutnick has profusely denied having a close relationship with him, instead portraying Epstein as an acquaintance. Lutnick previously said that he cut ties with Epstein in 2005, but records in the Epstein files, as well as his own subsequent testimony, confirm that the two were in contact long after that.” [NPR, [5/6/26](#)]
- **Peter Thiel Was Named In The Epstein Files.** “But at first glance, it seems harder to explain the five-year friendship of Epstein and tech investor extraordinaire Peter Thiel, which began in 2014, long after Epstein’s pedophilic tendencies were widely known. Still, Thiel is all over the Epstein files; the two exchanged more than 2,000 messages over the years and met on multiple occasions. Epstein would ultimately invest some \$40 million in venture capital funds managed by Valar Ventures, a firm cofounded by Thiel.” [The Nation, [2/11/26](#)]
- **John Paulson’s Name Appeared In The Epstein Files.** [US Department of Justice, Epstein Library, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

To: [REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Alert - Call John Paulson again...
September 29, 2017 10:30 AM : Call John Paulson again...

EFTA_R1_00967555
EFTA02225402

[US Department of Justice, Epstein Library, Data Set 11, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

- **Sheldon Adelson's Name Appeared In The Epstein Files.** [US Department of Justice, Epstein Library, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

From: [REDACTED]
To: Jeffery Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: 9/27/2008 4:47:07 PM
Subject: Re:

I miss you. What a deal Jamie did.

I'm spending a lot of time with Treasury. The Private Bank has brought in \$44 billion dollars in the last two weeks. Unreal.

Also, do u know Sheldon Adelson. I am throughing him out of the bank on Monday.

Thanks for the note.

Jes

[US Department of Justice, Epstein Library, Data Set 10, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

Appendix

Steil's Campaign Has Received At Least \$232,900 From Billionaires. [FEC Receipts, accessed [9/10/26](#)]

Contributor	Recipient	Date	Amount
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/02/2026	\$3,500.00

Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/02/2026	\$3,500.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	09/10/2025	\$3,500.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	09/10/2025	\$2,500.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	08/26/2025	\$3,500.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	08/26/2025	\$3,500.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil Victory Fund	08/26/2025	\$12,000.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	08/01/2025	\$3,500.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	08/01/2025	\$3,500.00
Paul Singer	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/27/2025	\$3,500.00
Paul Singer	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/27/2025	\$3,500.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/25/2025	\$1,000.00
Timothy Dunn	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/07/2025	\$3,500.00
Timothy Dunn	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/07/2025	\$3,500.00
Peter Thiel	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	02/25/2025	\$3,500.00
Peter Thiel	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	02/25/2025	\$3,500.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil Victory Fund	08/13/2024	\$5,000.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil Victory Fund	08/13/2024	\$5,000.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	08/03/2024	\$3,300.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	08/03/2024	\$3,300.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	05/02/2024	\$3,300.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	05/02/2024	\$3,300.00
Paul Singer	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/04/2024	\$3,300.00
Paul Singer	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/04/2024	\$3,300.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil Victory Fund	12/31/2023	\$21,600.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	12/31/2023	\$3,300.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	12/31/2023	\$3,300.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/14/2023	\$3,300.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/14/2023	\$3,300.00
Kenneth Griffin	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/05/2023	\$3,300.00
Kenneth Griffin	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/05/2023	\$3,300.00
Howard Lutnick	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/03/2023	\$3,300.00
Howard Lutnick	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	04/03/2023	\$3,300.00
Kelcy Warren	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/06/2023	\$3,300.00

Kelcy Warren	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/06/2023	\$3,300.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	09/22/2022	\$2,900.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil Victory Fund	06/30/2022	\$15,000.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/31/2022	\$2,900.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/31/2022	\$2,900.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/30/2021	\$2,900.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/30/2021	-\$2,900.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/30/2021	\$5,800.00
Kenneth Griffin	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	10/12/2020	\$2,800.00
Paul Singer	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	11/22/2019	\$2,800.00
Paul Singer	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	11/22/2019	\$2,800.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/25/2019	\$2,800.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/25/2019	\$2,800.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	05/28/2019	\$2,800.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	05/28/2019	\$2,800.00
Kenneth Griffin	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/29/2019	\$2,800.00
Kenneth Griffin	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/29/2019	\$2,800.00
Kelcy Warren	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/27/2019	\$2,477.58
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/18/2019	\$2,800.00
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	03/18/2019	\$2,800.00
Kelcy Warren	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	02/22/2019	\$2,800.00
Kelcy Warren	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	02/22/2019	\$322.42
Stephen Schwarzman	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	10/12/2018	\$2,700.00
Kenneth Griffin	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	09/20/2018	\$2,700.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	07/25/2018	\$2,700.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	07/25/2018	-\$2,700.00
Elizabeth Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	07/25/2018	\$5,400.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/06/2018	\$2,700.00
Richard Uihlein	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	06/06/2018	\$2,700.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	05/01/2018	\$2,700.00
Diane Hendricks	Steil for Wisconsin Inc.	05/01/2018	\$2,700.00
TOTAL			\$232,900

- **Ken Griffin Was Worth \$48.3 Billion.** [Bloomberg Billionaires Index, accessed [3/24/26](#)]

< # 36 Lukas Walton
38 David Sun >

37
Ken Griffin
\$48.3B

Random fact: Started Citadel trading convertible bonds in Harvard dorm room.

- **Wall Street Journal: Stephen Schwarzman Had A Net Worth Of \$43.2 Billion.** “The substantial majority of Schwarzman’s wealth, which largely is bound up in his Blackstone stake, is slated to transfer to the foundation upon his death, one of the people said. Wealth-data firm Altrata pegs Schwarzman as having an estimated net worth in early February of \$43.2 billion.” [Wall Street Journal, [2/10/26](#)]
- **Richard And Elizabeth Uihlein Were Billionaires.** [Forbes, Richard Uihlein, accessed [9/10/26](#); Elizabeth Uihlein, accessed [9/10/26](#)]
- **Diane Hendricks Was A Billionaire.** “Diane Hendricks, the chair, owner and co-founder of Beloit-based ABC Supply is now the wealthiest person in Wisconsin, according to Forbes magazine’s annual Forbes 400 list of the wealthiest Americans. Hendricks, 78, has a net worth of \$22.3 billion and has now surpassed John Menard Jr., the founder and owner of Eau Claire-based Menards, as the wealthiest person in Wisconsin. Menard, 85, has a net worth of \$20 billion, according to Forbes.” [Milwaukee Business News, [9/9/25](#)]
- **2026: Peter Thiel Had An Estimated Net Worth Of \$30.3 Billion.** [Forbes, Peter Thiel, accessed [3/24/26](#)]


PROFILE

Peter Thiel
Investor, Founders Fund

\$50.5B

Real Time Net Worth
as of 3/24/26
#72 in the world today

\$463M (1.51%)



- **TIME Magazine: Howard Lutnick Had “An Estimated Net Worth Of More Than \$2 Billion.”** “A year ago, Howard Lutnick was a Wall Street power player. Running the financial-services firm Cantor Fitzgerald, he amassed an estimated net worth of more than \$2 billion. Now he’s at the center of Donald Trump’s Washington. As the transition co-chair, he helped to shape the Administration, vetting staffers and filling the Cabinet with billionaires like himself.” [TIME Magazine, [4/16/25](#)]
- **NPR: Paul Singer Was A Billionaire.** “NPR’s Michel Martin speaks with Wall Street Journal reporter Benoît Morenne about how billionaire Paul Singer could benefit from the ousting of Venezuelan President Nicholas Maduro.” [NPR, Morning Edition, [1/7/26](#)]
- **2024: Timothy Dunn Had A Net Worth Of \$2.2 Billion.** [Forbes, [8/14/24](#)]

- **2024: Kelcy Warren Had A Net Worth Of \$6.2 Billion.** [Forbes, [8/14/24](#)]