

Jeff Crank Overview Backup

Jeff Crank consistently ignores the needs of the Coloradans he is supposed to represent in order to put his own self-interest and loyalty to Donald Trump first. Crank is exactly what is wrong with Washington.

Jeff Crank Consistently Ignores The Needs Of The Coloradans He Is Supposed To Represent In Order To Put His Own Self-Interest And Loyalty To Donald Trump First. Crank Is Exactly What Is Wrong With Washington

Back Up Below

Jeff Crank Message #1 Backup

It is Jeff Crank's job to listen to the people he represents. Yet, he refuses to hold in-person town halls or meet with his constituents because he cannot defend his record of putting his loyalty to Donald Trump first. Crank has voted with Trump 100% of the time. He voted to greenlight Trump's tariffs and war in Iran, for Trump's plan to sell off our public lands, and for Trump's budget that cut Medicaid and added \$4 trillion to the debt.

It Is Jeff Crank's Job To Listen To The People He Represents

Rhetoric

Yet, He Refuses To Hold In-Person Town Halls Or Meet With His Constituents Because He Cannot Defend His Record Of Putting His Loyalty To Donald Trump First

Crank Was "Rarely Seen" In Colorado's 5th Congressional District And Failed To Hold In-Person Town Halls

August 2026: Colorado Pols: Crank Was "Rarely Seen" In Colorado's 5th Congressional District. "Colorado Republican Members of Congress are not too keen on having to talk to their constituents....Avoiding voters is also the habit of Rep. Jeff Crank (R-Colorado Springs), who is so rarely seen in his district that it's fair to wonder if he is just a figment of our collective imaginations. Fellow Rep. Lauren Boebert (R-Windsor) hasn't met with constituents in CO-04, either." [Colorado Pols, [8/18/26](#)]

August 2026: Crank Hadn't Met With His Constituents. "Colorado Republican Members of Congress are not too keen on having to talk to their constituents....Avoiding voters is also the habit of Rep. Jeff Crank (R-Colorado Springs), who is so rarely seen in his district that it's fair to wonder if he is just a figment of our collective imaginations. Fellow Rep. Lauren Boebert (R-Windsor) hasn't met with constituents in CO-04, either." [Colorado Pols, [8/18/26](#)]

June 2026: Jessica Killin Noted That Crank Had Not Held Any In Person Town Halls Since Being Elected. "KILLIN: Showing up, engaging with constituents, and listening to people who disagree with you is the job of being a representative, and yet Crank has refused to host any in-person town halls and



consistently ignores his constituents. I commit to hosting in-person town halls at least once every quarter, answering unscreened questions, and consistently meeting with all constituents, including those who disagree with me. This community deserves real, responsive leadership. That is the job of a member of the House of Representatives.” [Colorado Newslines, [6/9/26](#)]

March 2025: Jeff Crank Declined To Appear At A Town Hall Hosted In Colorado’s 5th Congressional District. “A room full of 200 people at the IBEW and more joining online wondering why hasn’t Colorado District 5 Representative (Rep.) Jeff Crank (R) answered their questions. The town hall started with several speakers, some of whom intend to run for offices and even oppose the congressman. Later on, a line formed, where person by person shared questions they would like the representative to answer. Questions about topics like cuts to Medicaid.” [KOAA News, [3/18/25](#)]

Crank Has Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time

Crank Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time

Crank Has Voted With Trump 100% Of The Time. [Center for American Progress Action, [9/18/25](#)]

He Voted To Greenlight Trump’s Tariffs And War In Iran

2025-2026: Crank Voted Eight Times For Trump’s Tariffs

February 2026: Crank Voted Against Terminating Trump’s Tariffs, After Voting Repeatedly To Delay A Vote On The Issue

February 2026: Crank Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Crank voted against: “Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada.” The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, [Vote #65](#), 2/11/26; CQ, [2/11/26](#)]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump’s Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** “The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president’s tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House’s first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump’s trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy.” [New York Times, [2/11/26](#)]

February 2026: Crank Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America’s Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump’s Tariff

Crank Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America’s Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump’s Tariffs. In February 2026, Crank voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing

America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #60](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#)]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, [2/10/26](#)]

February 2026: Crank Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act

Crank Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act. In February 2026, Crank voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #59](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#); Congressional Record, [2/10/26](#)]

September 2025: Crank Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariffs Through March 2026

September 2025: Crank Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026. On 9/16/25, Crank voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, [9/16/25](#); U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, [9/16/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gavelled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation

before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board.” [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

HEADLINE: “House Again Votes To Surrender Tariff Powers To Trump” [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

April 2025: Crank Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariffs Through September 2025

HEADLINE: “House GOP Leaders Move To Quash Vote On Trump’s Global Tariffs” [Politico, [4/9/25](#)]

April 2025: Crank Voted For H Res 313, Which Blocked Congress From Challenging Trump’s April 2, 2025, Emergency Declaration Through September 2025. In April 2025, Crank voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump’s tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, [Vote #94](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress’s Power To Override Trump’s Tariffs.** “Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump’s chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives’ rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president’s recently imposed taxes on foreign imports.” [The Guardian, [4/9/25](#)]

April 2025: Crank Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump’s Tariffs

Crank Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump’s Tariffs. In April 2025, Crank voted for: “Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, “Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump’s disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans.” *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, [Vote #93](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/9/25](#)]

April 2025: Crank Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump’s Tariffs

Crank Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump’s Tariffs. In April 2025, Crank voted for: “Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, “Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in

place.” *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, [Vote #91](#), 4/8/25; CQ, [4/8/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/8/25](#)]

March 2025: Crank Voted To Block Congress From Challenging Trump’s Tariffs For The Rest Of The Year

March 2025: Crank Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales. In March 2025, Crank voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager’s amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, [Vote #67](#), 3/11/25; CQ, [3/11/25](#)]

- **New York Times: “House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump’s Tariffs.”** “House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump’s tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber’s ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Politico: “GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump’s Declaration Of A National Emergency.”** “GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump’s declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China.” [Politico, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked “The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets.”** “The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. [...] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans’ six-month stopgap funding bill.” [Reuters, [3/11/25](#)]

2026: Crank Voted Six Times To Continue Military Action In Iran

September 2026: Crank Voted For A Sixth Time To Continue The War In Iran

September 15, 2026: Crank Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. On September 15, 2026, Crank voted NO on “H. Con. Res. 93 – Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove

United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The resolution passed in the House 220-204. [U.S. House Clerk, [H. Con. Res. 93](#), House Roll Call Vote 307, [9/15/26](#)]

July 2026: Crank Voted For A Fifth Time To Continue The War In Iran

July 23, 2026: Crank Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. On 7/23/26, Crank voted NO on “H. Con. Res. 89 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The resolution passed in the House 214-208. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 89, House Roll Call Vote 282, [7/23/26](#)]

- **7/23/26: Associated Press: The House Passed A Resolution To Halt U.S. Military Action In Iran In A Bipartisan Vote.** “The House on Thursday narrowly passed a resolution to halt U.S. military action in Iran, sending a warning to President Donald Trump for the second time as the war has escalated and the future of the conflict is increasingly uncertain. The 214-208 vote requiring congressional approval for the war comes as the Senate is expected to consider a similar resolution later Thursday morning. Though the votes forced by Democrats are largely symbolic, they are meant as a strong signal to the Republican president that his support on Capitol Hill is chipping away as the war drags on and lawmakers in both parties have questioned his administration’s endgame.” [Associated Press, [7/23/26](#)]

June 2026: Crank Voted For A Fourth Time To Continue The War In Iran

June 3, 2026: Crank Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On June 3, 2026, Crank voted against “H.Con.Res.86 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The bill was passed by a vote of 215-208. [H. Con. Res. 86, Vote #199, [6/3/26](#)]

- **TIME Headline: “Four Republicans Join Democrats In House Vote To Rein In Trump’s Iran War Powers”** [TIME, [6/3/26](#)]

May 2026: Crank Voted For A Third Time To Continue The War In Iran, And Was The Deciding Vote To Continue The War

May 2026: Crank Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On May 14, 2026, Crank voted against “Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove the United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran” The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-212.” [H. Con. Res. 75, Vote #170, [5/14/26](#)]

- **Reuters Headline: “US House Narrowly Rejects Bid To Rein In Trump Iran War Powers”** [Reuters, [5/14/26](#)]

April 2026: Crank Voted For A Second Time To Continue The War In Iran

April 16, 2026: Crank Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, Crank voted against: “Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, [Vote #114](#), 4/16/26; CQ, [4/16/26](#)]

- **NBC News Headline: “House Effort To End Trump's War With Iran Fails By One Vote”** [NBC News, [4/16/26](#)]

March 2026: Crank Voted To Continue The War In Iran

March 5, 2026: Crank Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran. On 3/5/26, Crank voted NO on “H.Con.Res.38 - Directing the President pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution to remove United States Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in the Islamic Republic of Iran.” The bill failed in the House 212-219. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 38, House Roll Call Vote 85, [3/5/26](#)]

- **The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran.** “The U.S. House of Representatives rejected an effort on Thursday to stop President Donald Trump's air war on Iran and require that any hostilities against Iran be authorized by Congress, backing the Republican president's military campaign on the sixth day of the expanding conflict. The vote was 219 to 212, largely along party lines, in the House, where Trump's fellow Republicans control a narrow majority of seats. Two Republicans voted in favor of the resolution and four Democrats voted against it. [...] Supporters said the resolution, by requiring Trump to come to Congress for a war authorization, would force him to explain to Americans why the U.S. is fighting and how it might end.” [Reuters, [3/6/26](#)]

For Trump's Plan To Sell Off Our Public Lands,**Crank Voted To Advance The House Natural Resources Committee's Reconciliation Bill, Which Included A Provision To Sell 450,000 Acres Of Public Land**

The House Natural Resources Committee Reconciliation Bill Included An Amendment To Sell About Nearly 450,000 Acres Of Public Lands, Which Passed The Committee On A 24-19 Vote. “House Republicans approved a last-minute amendment early Wednesday morning to sell off nearly 450,000 acres of public lands in Nevada, sparking outrage among Democrats and conservation groups. After more than 11 hours of debate in the U.S. House National Resources Committee, Nevada's sole Republican in Congress, Rep. Mark Amodei, introduced the amendment as part of the Republicans' budget package. The amendment passed the committee on a 24-19 vote along party lines.” [Nevada Current, [5/8/25](#)]

- **Crank Voted In Favor of Amodei's Amendment.** On May 6, 2026, Crank voted in favor of “Meeting on / Amendment on: Amodei_013 amendment to Westerman_012 ANS to Committee Print, providing for reconciliation pursuant to H. Con. Res. 14, Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2025.” The amendment passed 24-19. [House Natural Resources Committee, Vote #78, [5/6/26](#)]
- **Amodei Introduced Amendment 013, Which Addressed Public Land Sales.** “Amendment To The Amendment In The Nature Of A Substitute To The Committee Print Offered By Mr. Amodei Of Nevada.” [House Natural Resources Committee, Amodei_013 Amendment, [5/6/26](#)]

May 2025: The House Natural Resources Committee Passed Its Portion Of The Reconciliation Bill Out Of Committee. “On Tuesday, after an all-day hearing, the House Natural Resources Committee passed its portion of President Donald Trump's ‘big, beautiful bill’ to deliver on his tax, energy, national security and immigration promises. The bill could have impacts on public land in Western Colorado as it

strikes down resource management plans for the Bureau of Land Management's Colorado River Valley and Grand Junction field offices, mandates quarterly lease sales for oil and gas on federal land in the state, and more. The Natural Resources Committee's bill would grant the ability for oil and gas companies to lease on over 200 million acres of national public land, sell public land in Utah and Nevada, reduce the onshore royalty rate, allow private entities to bypass the NEPA process for a fee, limit judicial and public review on environmental action, increase timber production, reinstate mineral leases, mandate offshore and Arctic drilling, cut Inflation Reduction Act funding from NOAA, the U.S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land management, and more." [Post Independent, [5/10/25](#)]

- **Crank Voted To Pass House Natural Resources Committee Reconciliation Bill Out Of Committee.** On May 6, 2025 Crank voted in favor of "Meeting on / Amendment on: On Adoption of the Committee Print, as amended, and ordered favorably transmitted to the Committee on the Budget." The motion passed 26-17. [Committee on Natural Resources, Vote #80, [5/6/26](#)]

The Revenue From The Sale Of Public Lands Outlined In The House Natural Resources Committee Reconciliation Bill Was Intended To Cover Trump's Proposed Tax Cuts

The Revenue From The Sale Of Public Lands Outlined In The House Natural Resources Committee Reconciliation Bill Was Intended To Cover Trump's Proposed Tax Cuts. "The most recent clash over the future of federal lands was the amendment sponsored by a pair of congressional Republicans last month. The measure would have directed the Bureau of Land Management to sell more than 500,000 acres of land in Nevada and Utah. Local governments would have been able to buy the land at market value, with no restrictions on how they used it. Backers said the sale would bring in revenue to cover Trump's proposed tax cuts, while allowing local governments to build much-needed housing on the parcels. Utah GOP Sen. Mike Lee said he will try to revive the measure as the Senate considers the bill this month, E&E News reported." [Colorado Newsline, [6/5/26](#)]

- **The House-Passed Republican Reconciliation Bill Extended The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act Which Skewed In Favor Of The Wealthy By Providing Them Tax Cuts That Were Three Times Greater Than For Working Americans.** "The tax provisions of the House Republican reconciliation bill double down on the failures of the 2017 tax law, which was skewed in favor of the richest people in the country, further eroded the nation's revenue base, and didn't produce the promised economic gains for working people.[1] Instead of changing course and prioritizing people with low and moderate incomes as House Republicans' rhetoric about supporting hard-pressed working families would suggest, the House Republican tax bill only offers more of the same. The bill is heavily skewed to the wealthy: Under the bill, the top 1 percent of people would receive tax cuts three times the size of those for people with incomes in the bottom 60 percent, measured as a share of after-tax income. (See Figure 1.) The top 0.6 percent of people — the 1.2 million people with annual incomes above \$1 million — would receive more total tax cuts than the 127 million people with incomes below \$100,000. [...] The bill extends and expands the 2017 tax law's increase in the estate tax exemption, boosting the cut in estate taxes for the 1 in 1,000 wealthiest estates to \$6.3 million.[3] Next year a couple could pass on an estate worth up to \$30 million tax free, \$1.7 million more than under a simple extension of the 2017 law." [CBPP, [5/22/25](#)]

And For Trump's Budget That Cut Medicaid And Added \$4 Trillion To The Debt

Crank Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Cut Medicaid While Adding \$4 Trillion To The National Debt

May 2025: Crank Voted For The House's Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill

May 2025: Crank Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In May 2025, Crank voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans.** “The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

July 2025: Crank Voted For Final Passage Of The Senate Version Of The One Big Beautiful Bill Act

July 2025: Crank Voted For H.R. 1, The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Crank voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Included The Largest Cuts To Medicaid In The Program's History

The Hill: With One Big Beautiful Bill, Republicans Passed The Largest Cuts To Medicaid Since The Program Began In The 1960's. “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected

to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Added \$4.7 Trillion To The National Debt

The One Big Beautiful Bill Added \$4.7 Trillion To The National Debt. “Congress last raised the debt limit in quieter fashion, adding \$5 trillion to the debt ceiling as part of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, the Republicans’ marquee tax and spending law. Measures to raise the debt ceiling often include spending cuts aimed at reducing the annual budget deficit. But the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, which Trump signed in July 2025, did the opposite: That law added \$4.7 trillion to projected deficits over the next decade, according to CBO estimates.” [Washington Post, [8/18/26](#)]

Jeff Crank Message #2 Backup

Despite his promise to release the Epstein files, Crank repeatedly voted to cover them up. Even when the El Paso County family of Virginia Giuffre, one of Epstein’s victims who committed suicide after years of sexual abuse by Epstein and his cronies, asked Crank to support releasing the files, Crank ignored their request and refused to even meet with them.

Despite His Promise To Release The Epstein Files, Crank Repeatedly Voted To Cover Them Up

Crank Repeatedly Blocked Efforts To Release The Epstein Files

July 15, 2025: During Consideration Of H. Res. 580, Crank Cast The Deciding Vote To Order The Previous Question, Which Blocked Congress From Debating The Epstein Files

July 15, 2025: During Consideration Of H Res 580, Crank Cast The Deciding Vote To Order The Previous Question. During U.S. House consideration of H Res 580, Crank voted in favor of ordering the previous question. The question passed along party lines 211-210. [Congress.gov, [H Res 580](#), Vote #194, [7/15/25](#)]

- **July 15, 2025: Rep. Jim McGovern Tweeted That Voting To Order The Previous Question “Blocks [Congress] From Even Debating The Epstein Files.”** “HAPPENING NOW: A floor vote to require debate on whether the Trump Administration should release the Epstein files. A *YES* blocks us from even debating the Epstein files—protecting child sex abusers. A *NO* allows a debate and a vote on the files. Where will the chips fall?”



[Rep. Jim McGovern, Twitter, [7/15/25](#)]

- **July 15, 2025: CNN: “House Republicans Unanimously Rejected An Attempt By Democrats To Tuck Language Into An Unrelated Bill Forcing The DOJ To Release All Of Its Epstein Files Within 30 Days.”** “So far, Republicans aren’t using their power in Congress to defy Trump on the floor: House Republicans unanimously rejected an attempt by Democrats to tuck language into an unrelated bill forcing the DOJ to release all of its Epstein files within 30 days.” [CNN, [7/15/25](#)]

July 17, 2025: During Consideration Of H. Res. 590, Crank Voted To Order The Previous Question

July 17, 2025: Crank Voted For Blocking Consideration For The Epstein Files Transparency Act. In July 2025, Crank voted for: “Foxy, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 590) that would deem the House to have concurred in the Senate amendment to the budget rescissions package (HR 4)).” According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, “Mr. Speaker, I urge that we defeat the previous question, and I will offer an amendment to the rule to immediately bring up to the House floor H.R. 4405, the bipartisan Epstein Files Transparency Act, introduced by Representatives KHANNA and MASSIE under a closed rule with 1 hour of debate.” **A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.** The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218- 211. [H Res 590, [Vote #202](#), 7/17/25; CQ, [7/17/25](#); Congressional Record, [7/17/25](#)]

July 17, 2025: During Consideration Of H. Res. 590, Crank Voted To Order The Previous Question. During U.S. House consideration of H Res 590, Crank voted in favor of ordering the previous question. The question passed along party lines 218-211. [Congress.gov, [H Res 590](#), Vote #202, [7/17/25](#)]

- **July 17, 2025: If The House Failed To Order The Previous Question, Democrats Could Force A Vote Demanding The Release Of The Epstein Files.** “NOW: House is voting. Vote #1. (Now.) Ordering the previous question. Procedural, tees up the next vote. IF this vote fails, Dems can force a vote to require release of the Epstein files. The GOP is backing a version without teeth in it/non-binding.” [Lisa Desjardins, Twitter, [7/17/25](#)]

- **July 17, 2025: House Republicans Defeated Democrats' Attempt To Force A Vote On Legislation Requiring The Department Of Justice To Release The Epstein Files.** “218-211: House along party lines advanced the \$9B foreign aid & public broadcasting spending cuts recissions package to a final vote, while defeating Democrats’ effort to force a vote on legislation requiring the Attorney General to release the Jeffrey Epstein files.” [Craig Caplan, Twitter, [7/17/25](#)]

Crank Refused To Sign On To A Bipartisan Discharge Petition That Successfully Forced A Vote On Releasing The Epstein Files

Reps. Thomas Massie And Ro Khanna Introduced A Discharge Petition To Force A Vote On The Release Of The Epstein Files. “In the House, the ‘discharge petition’ to force a vote on the Massie-Khanna legislation is on track to succeed. It has 216 signatures — including all Democrats and four Republicans — and needs just two more. Those two are expected to arrive this month in the form of a newly elected Democrat in a Virginia special election and another safe blue seat in Arizona that will get a special election in late September. Massie told NBC News on Tuesday that the four Republicans who have signed on are solidly behind the effort and won’t bow to pressure to remove their names. He said other GOP lawmakers have signaled to him they are open to signing the petition but prefer not to get crosswise with Trump or party leaders publicly if their votes aren’t needed to pass it.” [NBC News, [9/10/25](#)]

Crank Didn’t Sign A Bipartisan Discharge Petition To Force The Consideration Of H Res 581. “Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XV, I, Thomas Massie, move to discharge the Committee on Rules from the consideration of the resolution (H.Res. 581) entitled, a resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 185) to advance responsible policies, which was referred to said committee July 15th, 2025, in support of which motion the undersigned Members of the House of Representatives affix their signatures, to wit:” Crank was not listed as a signer. [U.S. House Clerk, Discharge Petition #9, accessed [4/14/26](#)]

- **H Res 581 Would Provide For The Consideration Of A Bill Directing The Department Of Justice To Release All Unclassified Records Related To Jeffrey Epstein And Ghislaine Maxwell.** “This resolution provides a special rule for consideration of H.R. 185 and amends that bill to direct the Department of Justice (DOJ) to make publicly available certain records related to Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell. Under H.R. 185, as amended by the resolution, DOJ must publicly disclose all unclassified records, documents, communications, and investigative materials in its possession that relate to Epstein or Maxwell. The records include unclassified records referring or relating to Epstein’s detention and death; flight logs of aircraft owned or used by Epstein; individuals named in connection with Epstein’s criminal activities, civil settlements, or immunity or plea agreements; immunity deals, sealed settlements, or plea bargains of Epstein or his associates; entities with ties to Epstein’s trafficking or financial networks; and internal Department of Justice communications concerning decisions to investigate or charge Epstein or his associates.” [Congress.gov, H Res 581, introduced [7/15/25](#)]

A Vote On A Bill To Force The Release Of The Epstein Files Was Announced Hours After The Relevant Discharge Petition Got 218 Signatures. “Johnson, who opposes the bill, made the announcement just hours after swearing in Arizona Democrat Adelita Grijalva, who took her oath of office seven weeks after she won a late September special election to succeed her father, the longtime representative Raúl Grijalva, who died in March. Grijalva’s swearing-in cleared the path for the vote to release the Epstein files, as she became the 218th and final signature on a discharge petition that automatically triggers a House floor vote on legislation demanding the justice department release the files. In her floor remarks on Wednesday, Grijalva said: ‘Justice cannot wait another day. Adelante.’” [The Guardian, [11/12/25](#)]

Even When The El Paso County Family Of Virginia Giuffre, One Of Epstein's Victims Who Committed Suicide After Years Of Sexual Abuse By Epstein And His Cronies, Asked Crank To Support Releasing The Files, Crank Ignored Their Request And Refused To Even Meet With Them

September 2025: Virginia Guiffre's Family Called On Jeff Crank To Sign On To A Petition Demanding The Full Release Of The Epstein Files

September 2025: Virginia Guiffre's Family Called On Jeff Crank To Sign On To A Petition Demanding The Full Release Of The Epstein Files. "A Southern Colorado family with direct ties to Jeffrey Epstein survivor Virginia Giuffre is calling on Representative Jeff Crank to support a petition demanding the full release of the Epstein Files. On Wednesday, Giuffre's brother, Sky Roberts, and his wife, Amanda, stood outside Crank's Colorado Springs office with signs calling for transparency. They urged the congressman to take action on behalf of survivors... The Roberts family lives in El Paso County. Sky is the brother of Virginia Giuffre, one of the most prominent voices to speak out against Epstein and his associates. Giuffre's story was featured in a '60 Minute' documentary, and her family says Colorado was meant to be her second home." [KRDO, [9/24/25](#)]

Crank Refused To Sign On To A Bipartisan Discharge Petition That Successfully Forced A Vote On Releasing The Epstein Files

Reps. Thomas Massie And Ro Khanna Introduced A Discharge Petition To Force A Vote On The Release Of The Epstein Files. "In the House, the 'discharge petition' to force a vote on the Massie-Khanna legislation is on track to succeed. It has 216 signatures — including all Democrats and four Republicans — and needs just two more. Those two are expected to arrive this month in the form of a newly elected Democrat in a Virginia special election and another safe blue seat in Arizona that will get a special election in late September. Massie told NBC News on Tuesday that the four Republicans who have signed on are solidly behind the effort and won't bow to pressure to remove their names. He said other GOP lawmakers have signaled to him they are open to signing the petition but prefer not to get crosswise with Trump or party leaders publicly if their votes aren't needed to pass it." [NBC News, [9/10/25](#)]

Crank Didn't Sign A Bipartisan Discharge Petition To Force The Consideration Of H Res 581. "Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XV, I, Thomas Massie, move to discharge the Committee on Rules from the consideration of the resolution (H.Res. 581) entitled, a resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 185) to advance responsible policies, which was referred to said committee July 15th, 2025, in support of which motion the undersigned Members of the House of Representatives affix their signatures, to wit:" Crank was not listed as a signer. [U.S. House Clerk, Discharge Petition #9, accessed [4/14/26](#)]

- **H Res 581 Would Provide For The Consideration Of A Bill Directing The Department Of Justice To Release All Unclassified Records Related To Jeffrey Epstein And Ghislaine Maxwell.** "This resolution provides a special rule for consideration of H.R. 185 and amends that bill to direct the Department of Justice (DOJ) to make publicly available certain records related to Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell. Under H.R. 185, as amended by the resolution, DOJ must publicly disclose all unclassified records, documents, communications, and investigative materials in its possession that relate to Epstein or Maxwell. The records include unclassified records referring or relating to Epstein's detention and death; flight logs of aircraft owned or used by Epstein; individuals named in connection with Epstein's criminal activities, civil settlements, or immunity or plea agreements;

immunity deals, sealed settlements, or plea bargains of Epstein or his associates; entities with ties to Epstein's trafficking or financial networks; and internal Department of Justice communications concerning decisions to investigate or charge Epstein or his associates." [Congress.gov, H Res 581, introduced [7/15/25](#)]

- **A Vote On A Bill To Force The Release Of The Epstein Files Was Announced Hours After The Relevant Discharge Petition Received 218 Signatures.** "Johnson, who opposes the bill, made the announcement just hours after swearing in Arizona Democrat Adelita Grijalva, who took her oath of office seven weeks after she won a late September special election to succeed her father, the longtime representative Raúl Grijalva, who died in March. Grijalva's swearing-in cleared the path for the vote to release the Epstein files, as she became the 218th and final signature on a discharge petition that automatically triggers a House floor vote on legislation demanding the justice department release the files. In her floor remarks on Wednesday, Grijalva said: 'Justice cannot wait another day. Adelante.'" [The Guardian, [11/12/25](#)]

Virginia Giuffre Committed Suicide After Years Of Alleged Sexual Abuse By Epstein And His Associates

April 2025: Virginia Giuffre Died By Suicide. "Virginia Giuffre, one of the most prominent survivors of Jeffrey Epstein's sexual abuse, has died by suicide, her family said Friday. Giuffre, 41, died in Neergabby, Australia, where she had been living for several years. Giuffre was one of the earliest and loudest voices calling for criminal charges against Epstein and his enablers. Other Epstein abuse survivors later credited her with giving them the courage to speak out." [NBC News, [4/15/25](#)]

Giuffre Alleged That Jeffery Epstein's Associate Ghislaine Maxwell Groomed Her And That Epstein Sexually Abused Her From 1999 To 2002. "She also provided critical information to law enforcement that contributed to the investigation into and later the conviction of Epstein's associate Ghislaine Maxwell, as well as other investigations by the U.S. attorney for the Southern District of New York...She was attempting to rebuild her life when she met Maxwell, Epstein's close confidant. Maxwell groomed her to be sexually abused by Epstein, and that abuse continued from 1999 to 2002, according to Giuffre. Giuffre also alleged that Epstein trafficked her to his powerful friends, including Prince Andrew and French modeling agent Jean-Luc Brunel." [NBC News, [4/15/25](#)]

The Roberts Family Said They Met With Staffers From Crank's District Office, But Never Met With Crank Himself

The Roberts Family Said They Met With Staffers From Crank's District Office, But Never Met With Crank Himself. "The Roberts family says they met with Crank's district office earlier this month, but never received a response from the congressman himself. 'They said to give them around 48 hours to respond, and it's been well over a week. We've tried to reach out. There has been no response,' Sky Roberts said. In response to KRDO13's request for comment, Crank's office provided the following statement on Wednesday night: 'I have supported transparency and accountability regarding the business dealings, investigation, and prosecution of Jeffrey Epstein. The American people deserve transparency into Epstein's dealings, and I support the release of all documents that shed light on what occurred, while protecting the identity of the victims.' The Roberts family says they will continue pressing Crank until he signs onto the petition. While Crank says he supports transparency, he has not yet committed to the specific measure." [KRDO, [9/24/25](#)]