

Mariannette Miller-Meeks Overview Backup

Iowans are paying the price for Mariannette Miller-Meeks selling us out. She is beholden to big corporations and special interests – and does their bidding.

Iowans Are Paying The Price For Mariannette Miller-Meeks Selling Us Out. She Is Beholden To Big Corporations And Special Interests – And Does Their Bidding

See Backup Below

Mariannette Miller-Meeks Message #1 Backup

Mariannette Miller-Meeks is beholden to the data center industry. She was the deciding vote to give them billions in special tax breaks. The very next day – Miller-Meeks took money from a data center lobbyist – working for big tech companies taking our land. Our water. And jacking up our utility bills. Miller-Meeks is among the biggest cheerleaders for the data center industry in Congress, proclaiming “We can have data centers, we can have bitcoin mining, we can have digital assets and AI development.”

Mariannette Miller-Meeks Is Beholden To The Data Center Industry

See Backup Below

She Was The Deciding Vote To Give Them Billions In Special Tax Breaks

May 22, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For The Big Beautiful Bill, Which Gave Data Center Developers Over \$80 Billion In Tax Breaks

May 22, 2025: Miller-Meeks Was The Deciding Vote For The Initial Passage Of The Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender

transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

- **American Prospect: Data Center Developers Received \$83 Billion In Tax Breaks From The Big Beautiful Bill.** “As the *Prospect* reported on Monday, just six companies, all of them related to the AI build-out, received \$83 billion in tax breaks last year thanks to the extension of various corporate tax benefits in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Like nearly all Republicans, Barrett voted for that bill, which enabled Microsoft, Google, Amazon, Meta, and Nvidia to spend more funds on building data centers.” [American Prospect, [8/20/26](#)]

The Very Next Day – Miller-Meeks Took Money From A Data Center Lobbyist – Working For Big Tech Companies Taking Our Land. Our Water. And Jacking Up Our Utility Bills

May 23, 2025: Miller-Meeks Accepted \$1,000 From Christopher Miller, A Lobbyist For The Data Center Coalition Whose Members Were Buying Up Land In Iowa To Turn Into Data Centers

May 23, 2025: Miller-Meeks Accepted \$1,000 From A Lobbyist For The Data Center Coalition, Which Was “The Voice Of The Data Center Industry”

May 23, 2025: Miller-Meeks Accepted A \$1,000 Contribution From Crossroads Strategies Consultant Christopher Miller. [FEC, Miller-Meeks for Congress 2025 July Quarterly Report, Amendment, filed [12/23/25](#)]

Q2 2025: Miller Lobbied Congress For The Data Center Coalition On Energy Permitting Reform And “Issues Impacting The Data Center Industry Including Energy Policy, Trade Policy And Tax Policy.” [US Congress Q2 2025 Lobbying Report, filed [7/16/26](#)]

- **Data Center Coalition Was “The Voice Of The Data Center Industry.”** “The Data Center Coalition is the voice of the data center industry. DCC members are leading data center owners, operators, and end users who maintain data center infrastructure and investment nationally and across the globe.” [Data Center Coalition, Members, accessed [8/24/26](#)]
- **Data Center Coalition Represented “Data Center Owners, Operators, And End Users.”** “The Data Center Coalition is the voice of the data center industry. DCC members are leading data center owners, operators, and end users who maintain data center infrastructure and investment nationally and across the globe.” [Data Center Coalition, Members, accessed [8/24/26](#)]

Data Center Coalition Members Included Developers Who Were Buying Up Iowa Land To Build Data Centers

Meta Was A Data Center Coalition Member. [Data Center Coalition, Members, accessed [8/24/26](#)]

- **2024: Meta Purchased 328 Acres To Build A Data Center In Davenport, Iowa.** “Meta has been named as the company behind the planned development of a two-building data center campus in Davenport, Iowa. The Iowa Economic Development Authority approved Meta’s application for its 328-acre campus this week.” [Data Center Dynamic, [5/21/24](#)]

Google Was A Data Center Coalition Member. [Data Center Coalition, Members, accessed [8/24/26](#)]

- **Google Was Trying To Annex 545 Acres Of Land In Linn County, Iowa To Use To Build A Data Center.** “Google is looking to annex the site for its Linn County data center campus in Iowa into the nearby city of Palo. The \$1 billion project was previously set for unincorporated land in Linn County, but Google is now aiming to see the 545-acre site become a part of the City of Palo.” [Data Center Dynamic, [3/5/26](#)]

Data Centers Were Increasing Energy Costs While Draining Local Water Supplies

AI Data Centers Were Increasing Electricity Costs

HEADLINE: “Iowa Researchers Warn Of Future Costs, Energy Demand Caused By Data Centers.” [KCCI, [9/16/26](#)]

HEADLINE: “Data Center Power Demands Are Contributing To Higher Energy Bills.” [EESI, [2/24/26](#)]

Bloomberg: Electricity Cost 267% More “Than It Did Five Years Ago In Area Near Data Centers.” “Wholesale electricity costs as much as 267% more than it did five years ago in areas near data centers. That’s being passed on to customers.” [Bloomberg, [9/29/25](#)]

AI Data Centers Were Draining Water Supplies

Bloomberg: Data Centers “Consume Immense Amounts Of Water.” “Each time you ask an AI chatbot to summarize a lengthy legal document or conjure up a cartoon squirrel wearing glasses, it sends a request to a data center and strains an increasingly scarce resource: water. The data centers that power artificial intelligence consume immense amounts of water to cool hot servers and, indirectly, from the electricity needed to run these facilities.” [Bloomberg, [5/8/25](#)]

Forbes: Data Centers Were “Projected To Consume Between 16 Billion And 33 Billion Gallons” Of Water Annually By 2028. “In 2023, U.S. data centers consumed an estimated 17 billion gallons of water, according to federal and industry analyses compiled by the Energy Department and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Hyperscale facilities alone are projected to consume between 16 billion and 33 billion gallons annually by 2028.” [Forbes, [1/11/26](#)]

Miller-Meeks Is Among The Biggest Cheerleaders For The Data Center Industry In Congress, Proclaiming “We Can Have Data Centers, We Can Have Bitcoin Mining, We Can Have Digital Assets And AI Development.”

Miller-Meeks Was A Booster Of Data Centers In Congress, And Criticized People Trying To Regulate Them

Newsweek Rated Miller-Meeks As A “Lean Booster” Of Data Centers Based On Her Congressional Votes. [Newsweek, Mariannette Miller-Meeks, accessed [10/8/26](#)]

Data Centers & Energy

Considers data-center growth, electricity costs, resource use, grid strain and infrastructure upgrades. [Learn more](#)

Lean Booster

3 records

3.7

[Newsweek, Mariannette Miller-Meeks, accessed [10/8/26](#)]

January 2026: Miller-Meeks Complained About Democrats Who Wanted To “Put Limits On Data Centers And AI.” “Miller-Meeks added that part of why energy costs are going up is because “demand is going up.” Some Democrats, she noted, ‘want to put limits on data centers and AI, but we need to explain why and have any of the above energy policy.’ Energy ties directly into the debate over whether the federal government should preempt state regulation on AI. ‘There needs to be federal preemption, but not because states can’t do it,’ she said. ‘China has no protections because it’s authoritarian.’ Part of her solution is the SPY Kids Act, which would ban platforms from market research on kids under 13.” [Washington Reporter, [1/20/26](#)]

2026: Miller-Meeks Said “We Can Have Data Centers, We Can Have Bitcoin Mining, We Can Have Digital Assets And AI Development.”

Miller-Meeks: “We Can Have Data Centers, We Can Have Bitcoin Mining, We Can Have Digital Assets And AI Development.” MILLER-MEEKS: “That’s where I come in to help to navigate and educate and reassure people that-- We can have data centers, we can have bitcoin mining, we can have digital assets and AI development, and their electricity prices aren’t necessarily going to go up.” [The Bitcoin Bloc: A New Force In American Politics, 12:59, [5/3/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Mariannette Miller-Meeks Message #2 Backup

On the very same day Miller-Meeks opposed capping the price of insulin at \$35/month for seniors on Medicare, she received a campaign contribution from a PAC for the largest insulin manufacturer in the country. As health care and drug prices skyrocket, Miller-Meeks has taken over \$680,000 from the drug and insurance industries – while giving them over \$8 billion in special handouts.

On The Very Same Day Miller-Meeks Opposed Capping The Price Of Insulin At \$35/Month For Seniors On Medicare, She Received A Campaign Contribution From A PAC For The Largest Insulin Manufacturer In The Country

HEADLINE: “Miller-Meeks Took Money From Insulin Maker, Then Voted Against Insulin Caps.”

[Iowa Starting Line, [8/9/24](#)]

August 12, 2022: Mariannette Miller-Meeks Accepted \$1,000 From Eli Lilly And Company PAC The Day She Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act. “Before voting against a bill that would cap insulin costs and allow the government to negotiate Medicare drug costs, Republican Rep. Mariannette Miller-Meeks, who represents Iowa’s First Congressional District in southeast Iowa, took thousands from an insulin manufacturer and other pharmaceutical companies. Records from the Federal Election Commission show Miller-Meeks received \$1,000 from Eli Lilly and Company PAC on Aug. 12, 2022, the day the Inflation Reduction Act was voted on in the US House of Representatives.” [Iowa Starting Line, [8/9/24](#)]

- **The Inflation Reduction Act Capped The Cost Of Insulin To \$35 Per Month For Seniors.** “The Inflation Reduction Act caps out-of-pocket spending at \$35 per month’s supply of each insulin product covered under Medicare. These provisions are making insulin more affordable for many people covered by Medicare.” [Department of Health and Human Services, [8/16/23](#)]
- **2025: Eli Lilly Was The Largest Insulin Manufacturer And Supplier In The US.** “Here is the updated list of the top insulin manufacturers and suppliers, or the largest manufacturers of insulin in the US in 2025: [...] 1. Eli Lilly and Company.” [US Exports Blogs, [4/17/25](#)]

As Health Care And Drug Prices Skyrocket, Miller-Meeks Has Taken Over \$680,000 From The Drug And Insurance Industries – While Giving Them Over \$8 Billion In Special Handouts

Health Care And Prescription Drug Prices Were Increasing

January 2026: Prices Increased For At Least 350 Prescription Drugs In The US

HEADLINE: “Drugmakers Raise US Prices On 350 Medicines Despite Pressure From Trump.” [Reuters, [1/1/26](#)]

Reuters: “Drugmakers Plan To Raise U.S. Prices On At Least 350 Branded Medications Including Vaccines Against COVID, RSV And Shingles And Blockbuster Cancer Treatment Ibrance.”

“Drugmakers plan to raise U.S. prices on at least 350 branded medications including vaccines against COVID, RSV and shingles and blockbuster cancer treatment Ibrance, even as the Trump administration pressures them for cuts, according to data provided exclusively by healthcare research firm 3 Axis Advisors. The number of price increases for 2026 is up from the same point last year, when drugmakers unveiled plans for raises on more than 250 drugs. The median of this year's price hikes is around 4% - in line with 2025.” [Reuters, [1/1/26](#)]

2026: Health Insurance Premiums Increased By An Average Of 58%

KFF: Premiums Had Increased By An Average Of 58% In 2026. “This analysis draws on data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and state-based Marketplace (SBM) Open Enrollment reports, as well as KFF survey data and individual market enrollment estimates from Wakely Consulting Group, to examine early indicators of how the expiration of enhanced premium tax credits has affected effectuated enrollment levels (i.e., enrollment among people who have paid their premiums), plan selections, and out-of-pocket costs in 2026. [...] Premium payments from enrollees increased by an average of 58% from \$113 to \$178 per month. This is lower than the 114% increase KFF projected if



everyone had stayed in the same plan because many people bought down to higher-deductible plans and because those just past the subsidy cliff with the steepest increases dropped ACA coverage at higher rates. Additionally, the 114% increase was among people receiving a tax credit whereas the 58% increase is among all consumers, including the most number who did not receive a tax credit in 2025.” [KFF, [5/19/26](#)]

Miller-Meeks Has Taken Over \$680,000 From Pharma And Insurance Companies

2009-2004: Miller-Meeks Campaign Had Accepted \$261,698 From The Pharmaceutical/Health Products Industry. [OpenSecrets, accessed [8/18/26](#)]

2009-2004: Miller-Meeks Campaign Accepted \$303,330 From The Insurance Industry. [OpenSecrets, accessed [8/18/26](#)]

Q1-Q2 2025: Miller-Meeks Campaign Accepted \$35,000 From Pharma And Health Insurance Company PACs. [FEC, Miller-Meeks for Congress Q1 2025 Report, Line 11C, filed [4/15/25](#); Q2 2025 Report, Line 11C, filed [7/15/25](#); Q3 Report, Line 11C, filed [10/15/25](#)]

Q1-Q3 2025 Contributions From Pharma And Insurance Company PACs To Mariannette Miller-Meeks			
Corporation PAC	Industry	Date	Amount
Bayer	Pharma	3/4/25	\$1,000
EMD Serono	Pharma	3/4/25	\$1,000
Amgen Inc	Pharma	3/4/25	\$5,000
Elevance Health Inc.	Health Insurance	3/18/25	\$2,500
Vertex Pharmaceuticals	Pharma	3/30/25	\$2,500
Takeda Pharmaceutical	Pharma	3/31/25	\$2,500
Elil Lilly	Pharma	3/31/25	\$5,000
Genentech Inc.	Pharma	5/28/25	\$2,500
Molina Healthcare Inc.	Health Insurance	6/2/25	\$2,500
Vertex Pharmaceuticals	Pharma	6/3/25	\$2,500
Johnson & Johnson PAC	Pharma	6/30/25	\$1,000
Alnylam Pharmaceuticals	Pharma	6/30/25	\$2,500
Merck & Co Inc.	Pharma	6/30/25	\$1,000
Highmark Inc	Health Insurance	9/17/25	\$1,000
EMD Serono	Pharma	9/30/25	\$2,500
TOTAL:			\$35,000

Q4 2025: Miller-Meeks Campaign Accepted “\$45,000 From The Insurance And Pharmaceutical Lobby.” “U.S. Rep. Mariannette Miller-Meeks’ (R-IA) campaign finance records show she took at least \$45,500 from the insurance and pharmaceutical lobby after repeatedly opposing extending the Affordable Care Act’s (ACA) premium tax credits.” [Heartland Signal, [3/23/26](#)]

Q1-Q2 2026: Miller-Meeks Campaign Accepted \$40,500 From Pharma And Health Insurance Industry PACs. [FEC, Miller-Meeks for Congress Q1 2026 Report, filed [4/15/26](#); Q2 2026 Report, filed [7/15/26](#)]

Q1-Q2 2026 Contributions From Pharma And Insurance Industry PACs To Mariannette Miller-Meeks			
Corporation PAC	Industry	Date	Amount

Eli Lilly	Pharma	3/10/26	\$5,000
Genentech Inc.	Pharma	3/10/26	\$2,500
Alkermes Inc.	Pharma	3/25/26	\$2,000
Molina Healthcare Inc.	Health Insurance	3/31/26	\$500
Johnson & Johnson	Pharma	5/8/26	\$5,000
EMD Serono	Pharma	6/11/26	\$2,500
GSK	Pharma	6/19/26	\$1,000
Alnylam Pharmaceuticals	Pharma	6/19/26	\$2,500
Takeda Pharmaceutical	Pharma	6/30/26	\$2,500
Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers of America (PhRMA)	Pharma	6/30/26	\$2,500
Novartis Corporation	Pharma	6/30/26	\$2,500
Amgen Inc.	Pharma	6/30/26	\$2,500
Gilead Sciences Inc	Pharma	6/30/26	\$1,000
Otsuka America Pharmaceutical	Pharma	6/30/26	\$1,000
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company	Pharma	6/30/26	\$2,500
Vertex Pharmaceuticals	Pharma	6/30/26	\$2,500
Vertex Pharmaceuticals	Pharma	6/30/26	\$2,500
TOTAL:			\$40,500

RESEARCH NOTE: $\$261,698 + \$303,330 + \$35,000 + \$45,000 + \$40,500 = \$685,528$

2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For The Big Beautiful Bill Which Was A Massive Giveaway To Big Pharma By Cutting Their Taxes And Undermining Medicare's Ability To Negotiate Drug Prices

Miller-Meeks Voted Twice For The Big Beautiful Bill

May 22, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For Initial Passage Of The Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: "Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate "such sums as necessary" for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who've purchased "silver" plans on the 2010 health care law's exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food

Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For The Final Passage Of The Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

The Big Beautiful Bill Cut Taxes For Corporations, Including Big Pharma Companies

Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: The Big Beautiful Bill “Pushed Through” Tax Cuts For Corporations. “At least 88 of the largest corporations in America paid no federal corporate income taxes in their most recent fiscal year despite enjoying substantial pretax profits in the U.S. While the biggest U.S. corporations have avoided taxes in this way for decades, it appears that corporate tax avoidance has increased in the most recent year. This is at least in part due to two separate packages of corporate tax cuts pushed through by the Trump administration: last year’s ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act’ and the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA).” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [4/14/26](#)]

- **The Big Beautiful Bill Made The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act “Permanent.”** “On July 4, 2025, President Trump signed H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBB), into law. OBBB permanently extends trillions of dollars of 2017 tax cuts that were scheduled to expire on December 31, 2025, adds new tax cuts into the mix like no tax on overtime and an additional senior deduction, and partially pays for these tax cuts with changes to Medicaid, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), and student loan programs. If you’d like to learn more about the law, check out what’s in the Republican tax law and what’s in the entire OBBB.” [Bipartisan Policy Center, [7/23/25](#)]
- **Washington Post: The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act Included “A Massive Tax Cut For Corporations.”** “A new tax cut for the rich: The final plan lowers the top tax rate for top earners. Under current law, the highest rate is 39.6 percent for married couples earning over \$470,700. The GOP bill would drop that to 37 percent and raise the threshold at which that top rate kicks in, to \$500,000 for individuals and \$600,000 for married couples. This amounts to a significant tax break for the very wealthy, a departure from repeated claims by Trump and his top officials that the bill would not benefit the rich. [...] A massive tax cut for corporations ‘A massive tax cut for corporations: Starting on Jan. 1, 2018, big businesses’ tax rate would fall from 35 percent to just 21 percent, the largest one-time rate cut in U.S. history for the nation’s largest companies.’” [Washington Post, [12/15/17](#)]

PharmTech: Lower Corporate Tax Rates “May Incentivize Pharmaceutical Firms To Pursue Acquisitions Of Emerging Biotech Companies, Platform Developers, And Contract Development And Manufacturing Organizations.” “Lower corporate tax rates and greater cash reserves may

incentivize pharmaceutical firms to pursue acquisitions of emerging biotech companies, platform developers, and contract development and manufacturing organizations, or CDMOs. This replicates the post-2017 tax cut trend, which saw a surge in life sciences deal activity.” [PharmTech, [7/7/25](#)]

The Big Beautiful Bill Included Reforms To The Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Program Which Would Provide \$8.8 Billion For Big Pharma

The Congressional Budget Office Estimated The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Delay And Exemption Of Certain Pharmaceutical Drugs From The Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Program Would Cost \$8.8 Billion Over 10 Years. “You have asked the Congressional Budget Office to provide a revised estimate of the budgetary effects of section 71203 of the 2025 reconciliation act. That section delays or exempts certain drugs from selection for the Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Program, which directed the Department of Health and Human Services to negotiate with pharmaceutical manufacturers for the prices that Medicare will pay for certain drugs covered under Part B and Part D of the program. CBO’s July 2025 estimate did not include the effects of the policy on price negotiation for several orphan drugs, including Darzalex (daratumumab) Keytruda (pembrolizumab), and Opdivo (nivolumab). [...] After accounting for the effect of the policy on those additional drugs, CBO now estimates the cost of section 71203 at \$8.8 billion for the 2025–2034 period, \$3.9 billion more than the original estimate.”

[Congressional Budget Office, Revised Estimate of Changes Under the 2025 Reconciliation Act for Exemptions From Medicare Price Negotiations for Orphan Drugs, [10/20/25](#)]

- **Congressional Budget Office: The Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Program “Directed The Department Of Health And Human Services To Negotiate With Pharmaceutical Manufacturers For The Prices That Medicare Will Pay For Certain Drugs.”** “You have asked the Congressional Budget Office to provide a revised estimate of the budgetary effects of section 71203 of the 2025 reconciliation act. That section delays or exempts certain drugs from selection for the Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Program, which directed the Department of Health and Human Services to negotiate with pharmaceutical manufacturers for the prices that Medicare will pay for certain drugs covered under Part B and Part D of the program.” [Congressional Budget Office, Revised Estimate of Changes Under the 2025 Reconciliation Act for Exemptions From Medicare Price Negotiations for Orphan Drugs, [10/20/25](#)]

Office Of Congressman Frank Pallone Jr: “A New Report From The Congressional Budget Office Found A Provision Hidden Away In Trump’s Signature Bill Will Give An Enormous \$8.8 Billion Sweetheart Deal To Big Pharma Companies At The Expense Of Seniors.” “The details of Trump’s so-called ‘Big Beautiful Bill’ keep getting uglier. A new report from the Congressional Budget Office found a provision hidden away in Trump’s signature bill will give an enormous \$8.8 billion sweetheart deal to Big Pharma companies at the expense of seniors. While Republicans were drafting the largest health care cut in history, they quietly tucked in language to weaken Medicare’s ability to negotiate lower drug prices for seniors. This is a trojan horse policy that will undermine efforts to lower prescription drug prices for years to come. According to CBO, the provision will give a windfall to pharmaceutical companies by preventing Medicare from negotiating prices for certain drugs, including cancer treatments like Keytruda, Opdivo, and Darzalex that seniors rely on.” [Office Of Congressman Frank Pallone Jr, Press Release, [10/20/25](#)]

- **Press Release: “New CBO Report: Trump’s Big Ugly Bill Includes \$8.8 Billion Handout For Pharmaceutical Companies.”** [Office Of Congressman Frank Pallone Jr, Press Release, [10/20/25](#)]

September 2025: Miller-Meeks Said Pharma Companies Were Going To Benefit From The Big Beautiful Bill

September 2025: Miller-Meeks Said That “Pharmaceutical Companies Are One That’s Gonna Benefit” From The Big Beautiful Bill. MILLER-MEEKS: “What Congress did, and what the president signed, is the One, Big Beautiful Bill. So, when it comes to manufacturing and support for manufacturing, all of those provisions, the tax provisions, the capital expensing, the keeping the corporate interest rate down, the, the bonus depreciation, immediate expensing 100 percent for research and development, or R&D. All of those are things that help us build manufacturing here in Iowa and in the United States. But more importantly, it helps any kind of company, and pharmaceutical companies are one that’s gonna benefit.” [Real America’s Voice, Just The News, 02:36, [9/5/25](#)] (VIDEO)

Mariannette Miller-Meeks Message #3 Backup

Miller-Meeks took over \$4 million from the corporate special interests -- including the health insurance industry -- while voting their way. Cutting health coverage for 110,000 Iowans. And increasing health care costs on all of us. In Iowa, health insurance premiums have increased 67% -- all while Miller-Meeks voted to give billions in special tax breaks to insurance companies. Worse -- Miller-Meeks even wrote a plan that allowed insurance companies to discriminate against people with pre-existing conditions like diabetes and cancer.

Miller-Meeks Took Over \$4 Million From The Corporate Special Interests – Including The Health Insurance Industry – While Voting Their Way...

2007-2026: Miller-Meeks’ Campaign Accepted Over \$4 Million From Business PACs, Including PACs Of Health Care Companies

Miller-Meeks’ Campaign Accepted \$4,096,880 From Business PACs

2007-2026: Miller-Meeks’ Campaign Accepted \$4,096,880 From Business PACs. [OpenSecrets, accessed [9/2/26](#)]

Election Cycle	Total Money From Business PACs
2026	\$1,351,931
2024	\$1,608,000
2022	\$907,275
2020	\$125,000
2014	\$42,674
2010	\$32,500
2008	\$29,500
TOTAL:	\$4,096,880

[OpenSecrets, accessed [9/2/26](#)]

Miller-Meeks’ Campaigns Had Accepted Nearly \$50,000 From Health Insurance Industry PACs

2021-2025: Miller-Meeks’ Congressional Campaign Accepted \$42,000 From Health Insurance PACs. [FEC Receipts, accessed [4/28/26](#)]

Health Insurance Company PACS	Recipient	Contribution Date	Contribution Amount
Elevance Health Inc PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	9/30/2021	\$1,000
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	2/23/2022	\$1,000
UnitedHealth Group Incorporated PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	3/31/2022	\$2,500
Elevance Health Inc PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	3/31/2022	\$2,500
UnitedHealth Group Incorporated PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	3/31/2022	\$2,500
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	5/18/2022	\$1,000
UnitedHealth Group Incorporated PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	6/30/2022	\$1,500
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	8/12/2022	\$1,500
CVS Health PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	9/30/2022	\$1,000
UnitedHealth Group Incorporated PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	3/31/2023	\$1,000
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	8/4/2023	\$2,500
Elevance Health Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	9/30/2023	\$2,500
Elevance Health Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	6/30/2024	\$1,000
Health Care Service Corporation Employees' PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	6/30/24	\$1,000
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	6/25/2024	\$5,000
Centene Corporation PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	9/16/2024	\$2,000
Elevance Health Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	3/18/2025	\$2,500
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	6/2/2025	\$2,000
Highmark PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	9/17/2025	\$1,000
Elevance Health Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	11/20/25	\$1,000
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	12/29/25	\$2,500
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	3/31/26	\$500
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	3/31/26	\$500
Molina Healthcare Inc. PAC	Miller-Meeks for Congress	6/11/26	\$2,500
TOTAL:			\$42,000

- **United Health Group Offered Individual And Family Health Insurance Plans.** [UnitedHealth, Individual & Family, accessed [4/28/26](#)]
- **Elevance Offered A Variety Of Health Insurance Plans.** [Elevance Health, Our Health Plans, accessed [4/28/26](#)]
- **Centene Offered Multiple Health Care Plans.** [Centene Corporation, accessed [4/28/26](#)]

- **Molina Offered Health Insurance Plans.** [Molina Healthcare, accessed [4/28/26](#)]
- **Highmark Offered Health Insurance Plans.** [Highmark, accessed [4/28/26](#)]
- **CVS Offered Aetna Health Insurance Plans.** [CVS, accessed [4/28/26](#)]
- **Wellmark Was A Health Insurance Company.** [Wellmark, accessed [8/24/26](#)]
- **Health Care Service Corporation Offered Health Insurance Plans In Multiple States.** “Building on nearly a century of experience with health insurance plans in Illinois, Montana, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas, we continue to drive innovations that further improve health and reduce costs for millions of members.” [Health Care Service Corporation, accessed [8/24/26](#)]

Miller-Meeks’ State Legislative Campaigns Accepted \$3,000 From Health Insurance Company PACs. [Iowa Campaign Contributions Search, accessed [8/24/26](#)]

Contributor	Recipient	Date	Amount
UnitedHealth Group Inc Of Iowa PAC	Friends for Miller-Meeks	9/19/19	\$2,500
Wellmark Inc PAC	Friends for Miller-Meeks	10/3/18	\$500
TOTAL:			\$3,000

- **United Health Group Offered Individual And Family Health Insurance Plans.** [UnitedHealth, Individual & Family, accessed [4/28/26](#)]
- **Wellmark Was A Health Insurance Company.** [Wellmark, accessed [8/24/26](#)]

Miller-Meeks Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Cut Taxes For Corporations

May 22, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For Initial Passage Of The Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for

minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For The Final Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **ITEP: The One Big Beautiful Bill “Provided Trillions Of Tax Breaks Mostly For Corporations And Wealthy Individuals.”** “President Trump’s so-called One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBBA), enacted this past summer, provided trillions of tax breaks mostly for corporations and wealthy individuals. Now the administration proposes regulations that will cut corporate taxes even further than an otherwise compliant Republican Congress was willing to do, achieving through regulatory changes what its allies in Congress would not enact through proper legislation.” [ITEP, [2/20/26](#)]
- **ITEP: The One Big Beautiful Bill “Pushed Through” Tax Cuts For Corporations.** “At least 88 of the largest corporations in America paid no federal corporate income taxes in their most recent fiscal year despite enjoying substantial pretax profits in the U.S. While the biggest U.S. corporations have avoided taxes in this way for decades, it appears that corporate tax avoidance has increased in the most recent year. This is at least in part due to two separate packages of corporate tax cuts pushed through by the Trump administration: last year’s ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act’ and the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA).” [ITEP, [4/14/26](#)]
- **CAP: The One Big Beautiful Bill “Cut International Business Taxes.”** “The 2017 TCJA enacted large tax cuts for businesses, especially for large international corporations. The headline changes were lowering the corporate tax rate from a maximum of 35 percent to 21 percent and moving the United States from a worldwide corporate tax system to a more territorial tax base. Despite the comparative lack of focus on corporate and business taxes during the passage of the BBB relative to the TCJA, the BBB actually cut international business taxes more than the 2017 TCJA did.” [Center for American Progress, [11/20/25](#)]

...Cutting Health Coverage For 110,000 Iowans. And Increasing Health Care Costs For All Of Us

Miller-Meeks Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Would Cut Health Care Coverage For 110,000 Iowans

Miller-Meeks Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill

May 22, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For Initial Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary

spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Miller-Meeks voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Projected To Cost 110,000 Iowans Their Access To Health Care

KFF: 110,000 Iowans Were Projected To Lose Health Care Coverage Because Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. “Combined, the policies are projected to increase the uninsured population by roughly 110K people — a 3 percentage point change. This estimate could vary between 80K to 130K based on a 25% range of uncertainty. Of the total increase in the uninsured, 68K would become uninsured due to changes in Medicaid, 35K would become uninsured due to changes in the ACA, and 3.4K would become uninsured because of changes to Medicare and policy interactions.” [KFF, Figure 2, [8/20/25](#)]

Miller-Meeks Voted Against Extending The ACA Premium Tax Credits, Causing Premiums To Skyrocket For 100,000 Iowans

January 2026: Miller-Meeks Voted Against A Discharge Petition That Would Have Extended The ACA Premium Tax Credits For Three Years. On January 8, 2026, Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted against: “Passage of the bill, as amended, that would extend for three years, through the end of calendar year 2028, the enhanced tax credits to subsidize premiums for health insurance purchased on the Affordable Health Care Act health insurance markets. It would allow taxpayers whose household income exceeds 400 percent of the federal poverty line to receive tax credits for three more years. The measure

would retroactively take effect Jan. 1, 2026.” The bill passed by a vote of 230-196. [H.R. 1834, [Vote #11](#), 1/8/26; CQ, [1/8/26](#)]

KFF: 100,000 Iowans’ Premiums Would Increase If The ACA Premium Tax Credits Expired.
[KFF, [2/3/25](#)]

- **2026: Premiums Increased “From 12.5% To More Than 25%” Partly As “The Result Of The Expired Expanded Affordable Care Act Subsidies.”** “Thousands of Iowans will likely see their health insurance premiums rise next year after insurers in the state recently released proposed rate increases for 2027. These higher rates would mark the second straight year where some Iowans see double-digit premium hikes — the result of the expired expanded Affordable Care Act subsidies and rising medical costs. Premiums will see average increases of 4.98% to 16.84% across multiple Iowa insurers offering ACA-compliant individual plans next year, according to rates filed with the Iowa Insurance Division in June. The 2026 rate increases ranged from 12.5% to more than 25%.” [Des Moines Register, [7/13/26](#)]
- **Des Moines Register: In 2027, “Thousands Of Iowans Will Likely See Their Health Insurance Premiums Rise” By 4.98-16.84 Percent Partly As “The Result Of The Expired Expanded Affordable Care Act Subsidies.”** “Thousands of Iowans will likely see their health insurance premiums rise next year after insurers in the state recently released proposed rate increases for 2027. These higher rates would mark the second straight year where some Iowans see double-digit premium hikes — the result of the expired expanded Affordable Care Act subsidies and rising medical costs. Premiums will see average increases of 4.98% to 16.84% across multiple Iowa insurers offering ACA-compliant individual plans next year, according to rates filed with the Iowa Insurance Division in June. The 2026 rate increases ranged from 12.5% to more than 25%.” [Des Moines Register, [7/13/26](#)]

Miller-Meeks Voted For Medicaid Cuts That Could Accelerate Health Care Provider Consolidation And Reduce Competition In Hospital Markets, Which Would Lead To Increased Private Insurance Costs

2025: Miller-Meeks Voted To Cut Medicaid By Nearly \$1 Trillion

May 22, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For Initial Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm

bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Miller-Meeks voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

- **KFF: The One Big Beautiful Bill Cut Medicaid By Nearly \$1 Trillion.** “On July 4, President Trump signed into law a budget reconciliation package once called the ‘One Big, Beautiful Bill’ that made major reductions in federal health care spending to offset part of the costs of extending expiring tax cuts. The Congressional Budget Office’s (CBO) latest cost estimate shows that the reconciliation package would reduce federal Medicaid spending over a decade by an estimated \$911 billion (after accounting for interactions that produce overlapping reductions across different provisions of the law) and increase the number of uninsured people by 10 million.” [KFF, [7/23/25](#)]
- **The Hill: The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Medicaid Cuts Were The Largest In History.** “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

Medicaid Cuts Were Projected To Cause Higher Health Care Premiums For People With Private Health Insurance

Third Way: “Medicaid Cuts Would Squeeze Health Care Providers And Accelerate Consolidation—Further Raising Prices On Care For Patients With Private Insurance.” “As part of the partisan reconciliation process, congressional Republicans are considering \$880 billion in cuts to Medicaid. While these cuts would severely impact the health and finances of low-income Americans, the consequences would reach far beyond Medicaid enrollees. Medicaid cuts would destabilize the broader health care system, ultimately driving up costs for employers and workers who rely on private insurance. In this memo, we unpack how workers and employers are struggling with health care costs. We then examine how Medicaid cuts would squeeze health care providers and accelerate consolidation—further raising prices on care for patients with private insurance.” [Third Way, [5/1/25](#)]

Third Way: “If Republicans Are Successful In Cutting Up To \$880 Billion From The Medicaid Program, Employers And Patients With Private Insurance Will See Their Costs Rise As A Result Of Reduced Competition In Hospital Markets.” “The problem is that when a hospital is acquired by a larger system, prices increase. For example, after North Carolina-based Mission Health was acquired by

HCA Healthcare, patients saw significant price hikes. Hospital mergers can lead to price increases of up to 65%. As more struggling hospitals are absorbed by larger systems, we will see higher prices and reduced competition in hospital markets. If Republicans are successful in cutting up to \$880 billion from the Medicaid program, employers and patients with private insurance will see their costs rise as a result of reduced competition in hospital markets.” [Third Way, [5/1/25](#)]

- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Cut More Than \$1 Trillion In Medicaid And Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Funding.** “The nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimated that the OBBBA will cut federal spending on Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) benefits by \$1.02 trillion, due in part to eliminating at least 10.5 million people from the programs by 2034. With new federal limits on Medicaid eligibility likely increasing the number of uninsured, along with other provisions that restrict states’ ability to raise revenue to fund their Medicaid programs, states will have to reevaluate their budgets to either supplement the spending or cut services. Research shows that when federal funding for Medicaid decreases, states tend to cut optional benefits such as home- and community-based (HCBS) first. It is nearly impossible to carve out a specific population, such as disabled people or elderly people, because the cuts to Medicaid funding will affect everyone due to hospital closures and health care workforce layoffs.” [Center for American Progress, [7/3/25](#)]

In Iowa, Health Insurance Premiums Have Increased 67% -- All While Miller-Meeks Voted To Give Billions In Special Tax Breaks To Insurance Companies

KFF: Between 2025-2026, The Average Premiums For People After Receiving An Advanced Premium Tax Credit Increased By 67% In Iowa

2025: According To KFF, The Average Premium After An Average Advanced Premium Tax Credit (APTC) Was \$141 A Month. [KFF, Iowa Open Enrollment 2025, accessed [9/5/26](#)]

2026: According To KFF, The Average Premium After An Average Advanced Premium Tax Credit (APTC) Was \$235 A Month. [KFF, Iowa Open Enrollment 2026, accessed [9/5/26](#)]

- **2025: 117,890 Iowans Relied On The Advanced Premium Tax Credit.** [KFF, accessed [9/5/26](#)]

Miller-Meeks Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Extended Provisions That Gave Billions In Tax Cuts To Health Insurance Companies

Miller-Meeks Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill

May 22, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For Initial Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On May 22, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on

adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

July 3, 2025: Miller-Meeks Voted For The Final Passage Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. On July 3, 2025, Representative Mariannette Miller-Meeks voted for: “Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” Motion agreed to 218-214. [H.R.1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Extended The Tax Cuts And Jobs, Which Made Provisions Giving Health Insurance Companies Billions In Tax Breaks Permanent

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act “Permanent.” “On July 4, 2025, President Trump signed H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBB), into law. OBBB permanently extends trillions of dollars of 2017 tax cuts that were scheduled to expire on December 31, 2025, adds new tax cuts into the mix like no tax on overtime and an additional senior deduction, and partially pays for these tax cuts with changes to Medicaid, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), and student loan programs. If you’d like to learn more about the law, check out what’s in the Republican tax law and what’s in the entire OBBB.” [Bipartisan Policy Center, [7/23/25](#)]

- **As Of September 2025, Health Insurance Companies Had “Dodged Over \$34 Billion In Taxes” Because Of The Tax Cuts And Jobs Act.** “Since the enactment of the 2017 Trump-GOP tax law—which Republicans just succeeded in extending and expanding many of its worst provisions—seven of America’s largest health care corporations — including insurers, hospital chains, and CVS Health — have dodged over \$34 billion in taxes.” [Community Catalyst, [9/3/25](#)]
- **2025: CVS Health Paid Zero Dollars In Federal Income Tax.** [ITEP, Table, [4/14/26](#)]
 - **CVS Partnered With Aetna To Offer Health Insurance Plans.** “At Aetna® and CVS Health®, we offer benefits that support every member we serve. We’re helping members get the most from their Aetna health insurance plan by providing access to our nationwide network, over 900 MinuteClinic® locations, in home health support and even more benefits to make healthier happen.” [CVS Health, accessed [9/5/26](#)]

- **2025: Aetna Was A “CVS Health Company.”** “Aetna, a CVS Health Company, is an industry leading, diversified health solutions company with more than 170+ years of experience providing innovative, quality benefits, products and services to businesses, individuals, employers, health care professionals, producers, the government and others. Our broad range of services includes medical, dental, vision, pharmacy, student health, voluntary, Medicare, Medicaid and other consumer-directed health care benefits.” [CVS Health, Aetna Health Services, accessed [9/5/26](#)]

Worse -- Miller-Meeks Even Wrote A Plan That Allowed Insurance Companies To Discriminate Against People With Pre-Existing Conditions Like Diabetes And Cancer

December 2025: Miller-Meeks Introduced HR 6703, Which Would Undermine Protection For People With Pre-Existing Conditions Like Diabetes And Cancer

December 2025: Miller-Meeks Introduced And Sponsored HR 6703, The Lower Health Care Premiums For All Americans Act

December 15, 2025: Miller-Meeks Introduced And Was The Lead Sponsor Of HR 6073, The Lower Health Care Premiums For All Americans Act. [Congress.gov, HR 6703, introduced [12/15/25](#)]

The Lower Health Care Premiums For All Americans Act Allowed Insurance Companies To Discriminate Against People With Pre-Existing Conditions

CBPP: The Lower Health Care Premiums For All Americans Act Would “Weaken Pre-Existing Condition Protections For Individuals And Small Businesses.” “The health bill House Republicans are preparing to bring to the floor this week not only fails to prevent imminent premium spikes for more than 20 million people in marketplace plans, but would raise costs even higher for many marketplace enrollees and weaken pre-existing condition protections for individuals and small businesses.” [CBPP, [12/15/25](#)]

AFL-CIO Director Of Government Affairs Jody Calemine: H.R. 6703 Would “Steer Workers Into Association Health Plans (AHPs), Expanding Plans That Evade ACA Requirements To Cover Essential Health Benefits.” “On behalf of the AFL-CIO, a federation of 64 affiliate unions representing 15 million working people across our economy, I urge you to oppose the Lower Health Care Premiums for All Americans Act (H.R. 6703) when it is considered on the House floor [...] The bill will steer workers into association health plans (AHPs), expanding plans that evade ACA requirements to cover essential health benefits. Providing skimpier coverage will lower premiums for employers but increase costs for people who find that needed services are not covered. In addition, AHPs have a track record of poor management and insolvency, and plan bankruptcies have left thousands of working people with unpaid medical bills.” [AFL-CIO, Press Release, [12/16/25](#)]

CWA: The Lower Health Care Premiums For All Americans Act “Puts People With Pre-Existing Conditions And Chronic Illnesses At Risk Of Losing Coverage.” “CWA recommended a vote in opposition of the Lower Health Care Premiums for All Americans Act as it would shift healthcare costs from employers and insurers onto workers by allowing stripped-down health plans that do not meet ACA essential health benefits requirements. This bill puts people with pre-existing conditions and chronic illnesses at risk of losing coverage, and rewards low-road employers for cutting corners on worker health care. Furthermore, the bill neglects to extend the ACA premium tax credits and will do nothing to address the skyrocketing health care costs that millions are about to experience.” [CWA, [12/17/25](#)]

- **Miller-Meeks' Bill Would Expand Association Health Plans, Which Would Result In Higher Underlying Premiums For Individuals And Small Businesses That Remained In ACA-Regulated Markets.** According to the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, "It would expand association health plans (AHPs), a type of health plan that trade associations, professional groups, and other organizations may offer their members, to cover self-employed individuals and small businesses as if they were large employers. By allowing more people to enroll in coverage not subject to ACA standards and consumer protections, this would segment insurance risk pools: individuals who are younger and healthier, or small businesses with younger or healthier employees, could get plans with lower premiums because they would be priced separately from ACA-compliant coverage and wouldn't have to meet ACA standards such as having to cover a set of essential health benefits. As a result, individuals and small businesses remaining in ACA-regulated markets would see higher underlying premiums." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [12/16/25](#)]
- **Miller-Meeks' Bill Would Likely Lead To Higher Premiums For Older And Sicker Small Groups And Self-Employed People, Thereby Undermining Protections For People With Pre-Existing Conditions.** According to the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, "In addition, the bill would undermine protections for people with pre-existing conditions. While it would bar AHPs from rejecting individuals or charging them more based on certain health factors, it would give them greater ability to base a small group's or self-employed person's costs on their health risk compared to individual or small-group coverage. This would likely lead to higher premiums for older and sicker small groups and self-employed individuals, making such arrangements more attractive to healthier individuals and groups." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [12/16/25](#)]

Cancer And Diabetes Were Two Pre-Existing Conditions

US Department Of Health And Human Services: Cancer And Diabetes Were Two Pre-Existing Conditions. "Health insurance companies cannot refuse coverage or charge you more just because you have a 'pre-existing condition' — that is, a health problem you had before the date that new health coverage starts. Health insurers can no longer charge more or deny coverage to you or your child because of a pre-existing health condition like asthma, diabetes, or cancer, as well as pregnancy. They cannot limit benefits for that condition either. Once you have insurance, they can't refuse to cover treatment for your pre-existing condition." [US Department of Health and Human Services, accessed [6/2/26](#)]