

Anna Paulina Luna Redbox Overview

Anna Paulina Luna is a corrupt Washington politician who has enriched herself in office and protected the Epstein class and wealthy elites, while supporting policies that raise your costs.

Anna Paulina Luna Is A Corrupt Washington Politician Who Has Enriched Herself And Protected The Epstein Class And Wealthy Elites,

Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Increased By More Than \$20,000 During Her Time In Congress

2022: Luna Was Elected To Congress

2022: Luna Was Elected To Congress. “Luna, 35, is a Southern California native and U.S. Air Force veteran whose endorsement by Donald Trump helped catapult her to the GOP nomination for Congress in 2022. She then defeated Democrat Eric Lynn by 8 percentage points, 53%-45%. The district has only become redder since then, but Fox, 37, campaigned on a platform that Luna was simply too radical for a district that historically has supported moderate-leaning Republicans and Democrats.” [Florida Phoenix, [11/6/24](#)]

2023-2026: Luna’s Net Worth Grew By More Than \$20,000

2023: Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Was As Much As \$579,999. [Rep. Luna Financial Disclosure Report, [8/2/23](#)]

2026: Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Was As Much As \$601,999. [Rep. Luna Financial Disclosure Report, [5/15/26](#)]

Luna Invested In An Oil And Gas Exploration Company Founded By Her Donor While She Sat On The House Natural Resources Committee, Which Prompted Questions About Conflicts Of Interest

Luna Invested Up To \$500,000 In America First Natural Resources LLC, A Company That Was Focused On Oil And Gas Exploration And Production

2025: Luna Disclosed That In 2024, She Invested Up To \$500,000 In America First Natural Resources LLC. [U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

FILER INFORMATION

Name: Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status: Member
State/District: FL13

FILING INFORMATION

Filing Type: Annual Report
Filing Year: 2024
Filing Date: 07/24/2025

SCHEDULE A: ASSETS AND "UNEARNED" INCOME

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > \$1,000?
America First Natural Resources LLC (OL)		\$250,001 - \$500,000	None		
Location: San Antonio, TX, US					
Description: Energy production company					

[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

In Her 2023 Personal Financial Disclosure Report, Luna Did Not Report An Investment In America First Natural Resources Made During The Year 2023. [U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2023 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [5/15/24](#)]

FILER INFORMATION

Name: Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status: Member
State/District: FL13

FILING INFORMATION

Filing Type: Annual Report
Filing Year: 2023
Filing Date: 05/15/2024

SCHEDULE A: ASSETS AND "UNEARNED" INCOME

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > \$1,000?
AP Luna Enterprises Inc. [BA]	SP	\$1,001 - \$15,000	None		☐
Lineage Cell Therapeutics, Inc. (LCTX) [ST]	SP	\$1,001 - \$15,000	None		☐
Regents Bank Savings [BA]		\$100,001 - \$250,000	Interest	\$1 - \$200	☐
USAA Checking [BA]		\$250,001 - \$500,000	Interest	\$1 - \$200	☐
USAA Checking [BA]	JT	\$15,001 - \$50,000	None		☐

[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2023 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [5/15/24](#)]

Rosenthal Described America First Natural Resources LLC As A Private Equity Firm That Was Focused On Energy Exploration And Production. “Luna reported in July on a financial disclosure form that she has invested \$250,001 to \$500,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company founded and managed by one of her political donors, Bruce N. Rosenthal, who has described it as a private equity firm focused on domestic energy exploration and production.” [NBC News, [9/9/25](#)]

America First Natural Resources LLC Aimed To Produce Oil And Gas In The United States. “Luna, a conservative Republican seeking re-election to her St. Petersburg-area seat, disclosed in May that she had invested between \$200,000 and \$450,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company created by a political supporter that aims to produce oil and gas in the United States.” [Miami Herald, [7/1/24](#)]

2025: Luna Filed Her Personal Financial Disclosure, Which Covered The Year 2024, In Which She Disclosed Her Investment In America First Natural Resources

2025: Luna Filed Her Personal Financial Disclosure, Which Covered The Year 2024, In Which She Disclosed Her Investment In America First Natural Resources. [U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

FILER INFORMATION

Name: Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status: Member
State/District: FL13

FILING INFORMATION

Filing Type: Annual Report
Filing Year: 2024
Filing Date: 07/24/2025

SCHEDULE A: ASSETS AND "UNEARNED" INCOME

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > \$1,000?
America First Natural Resources LLC [01]		\$250,001 - \$500,000	None		☐
LOCATION: San Antonio, TX, US DESCRIPTION: Energy production company					

[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

2024: Luna Was A Member Of The House Natural Resources Committee Which Oversaw Energy And Mining On Federal Lands

2024: Luna Was A Member Of The House Natural Resources Committee And The Subcommittee On Economic Growth, Energy Policy And Regulatory Affairs. “Luna, an Air Force veteran who entered politics after working at the conservative nonprofit Turning Point USA, sits on the House Natural Resources Committee and the House Oversight Committee’s subcommittee on Economic Growth, Energy Policy and Regulatory Affairs. It wouldn’t be a conflict of interest for her to vote on issues that might benefit America First Natural Resources, so long as the legislation would benefit all domestic producers of energy, said Kedric Payne, a former attorney at the Office of Congressional Ethics and the senior director of ethics at the nonpartisan Campaign Legal Center.” [Miami Herald, [7/1/24](#)]

The House Natural Resources Committee Oversaw Energy And Mining On Federal Lands. “Luna sits on both the House Natural Resources Committee, which oversees energy and mining on federal lands, and the Oversight and Government Reform Committee, which investigates government abuse, but her office said she is not a member of panels that have jurisdiction over her investments.” [NBC News, [9/9/25](#)]

A Nonpartisan Group And House Democrats Said Luna’s Investment In Her Donor’s Company Raised Questions About Conflicts Of Interest

The Nonpartisan Independent Project On Government Oversight Said Luna’s Energy Investments Raised Red Flags And Were “Ripe For Manipulation” Or Some “Kind Of Conflict Of Interest.” “The nonpartisan independent Project on Government Oversight (POGO), which has endorsed the proposed stock ban, said lawmaker investments focused on a specific industry, like Luna’s in energy, raise red flags. They are ‘ripe for manipulation or ripe for some kind of conflict of interest,’ said Dylan Hedtler-Gaudette, who heads policy and government affairs at POGO.” [NBC News, [9/9/25](#)]

House Democrats Accused Luna Of Insider Trading Hypocrisy Due To Her Investment In Her Donor’s Company, America First Natural Resources. “House Democrats just dropped five figures on a digital ad accusing U.S. Rep. Anna Paulina Luna of insider trading hypocrisy. The static ad, from the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), shows Luna beside a pile of cash with the headline ‘Anna Paulina Luna invested up to \$500,000 in her donor’s company.’ It sources a 2025 NBC News report showing that even while Luna pressured House leadership to take up an insider trading ban, her financial disclosures showed she invested between \$250,000 and \$500,000 in America First Natural Resources, a company founded by donor Bruce N. Rosenthal.” [Florida Politics, [8/24/26](#)]

America First Natural Resources Was Founded By Luna's Donor, Bruce N. Rosenthal. "House Democrats just dropped five figures on a digital ad accusing U.S. Rep. Anna Paulina Luna of insider trading hypocrisy. The static ad, from the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), shows Luna beside a pile of cash with the headline 'Anna Paulina Luna invested up to \$500,000 in her donor's company.' It sources a 2025 NBC News report showing that even while Luna pressured House leadership to take up an insider trading ban, her financial disclosures showed she invested between \$250,000 and \$500,000 in America First Natural Resources, a company founded by donor Bruce N. Rosenthal." [Florida Politics, [8/24/26](#)]

July 2025: Luna Voted To Block Discussion On A Bipartisan Bill To Force The Release Of The Epstein Files

July 2025: Luna Voted To Block Consideration Of The Bipartisan Epstein Files Transparency Act

July 2025: Luna Voted To Block Consideration Of The Bipartisan Epstein Files Transparency Act. In July 2025, Luna voted for "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 590) that would deem the House to have concurred in the Senate amendment to the budget rescissions package (HR 4))." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I urge that we defeat the previous question, and I will offer an amendment to the rule to immediately bring up to the House floor H.R. 4405, the bipartisan Epstein Files Transparency Act, introduced by Representatives KHANNA and MASSIE under a closed rule with 1 hour of debate." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218- 211. [H Res 590, [Vote #202](#), 7/17/25; CQ, [7/17/25](#); Congressional Record, [7/17/25](#)]

- **July 2025: Luna Voted For A Motion To Order The Previous Question On The Rule (H Res 580) Providing For Floor Consideration Of The Fiscal 2026 Defense Appropriations Bill (HR 4016), The Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act (HR 1919), The Digital Asset Market Clarity Act (HR 3633) And The Guiding And Establishing National Innovation For U.S. Stablecoins (GENIUS) Act (S 1582).** In July 2025, Luna voted for: "Jack, R-Ga., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 580) providing for floor consideration of the fiscal 2026 Defense appropriations bill (HR 4016), the Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act (HR 1919), the Digital Asset Market Clarity Act (HR 3633) and the Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for U.S. Stablecoins (GENIUS) Act (S 1582). According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to make in order amendment No. 50 to H.R. 3633, offered by Representative KHANNA, which requires the Attorney General to preserve and release any records related to Jeffrey Epstein." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to/rejected by a vote of X-X. [H. Res. 580, Vote #[194](#), 7/15/25; CQ, [7/15/25](#); Congressional Record, [7/15/25](#)]

Luna Said She Voted Against The Motion To Force A Vote To Release The Epstein Files Because It "Would Have Turned Over The House To The Democrat Party"

Luna Said She Voted Against The Motion To Force A Vote To Release The Epstein Files Because It "Would Have Turned Over The House To The Democrat Party." CHIEF TRUMPSTER: "The GOP just UNANIMOUSLY VOTED to BLOCK the Epstein Files release. All 211! MAGA!" LUNA: "This not true. This was a procedural vote that it failed would have turned over the house to the Democrat party. You should update your posting so that you're putting out factual information. Pull up the language for this vote and verify for yourself." [Anna Paulina Luna, Twitter, [7/15/25](#)]

Luna Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Gave Tax Breaks To The Richest Americans

2025: Luna Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill

July 2025: Luna Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Luna voted for: "Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly \$4 trillion in expiring individual and

business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by \$5 trillion and appropriate more than \$448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including \$153 billion for defense, \$89 billion for immigration enforcement, and \$89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to \$40,000 annually for five years for households making up to \$500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to \$10,000.” The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, [Vote #190](#), 7/3/25; CQ, [7/3/25](#)]

May 2025: Luna Voted For Cutting Net Taxes By Approximately \$3.8 Trillion And Reducing Spending By \$1.5 Trillion As Instructed In The Fiscal 2025 Budget Resolution. In May 2025, Luna voted for “Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately \$3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and \$321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by \$1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by \$4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by \$625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate “such sums as necessary” for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who’ve purchased “silver” plans on the 2010 health care law’s exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at \$40,000 for households with incomes below \$500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions.” The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, [Vote #145](#), 5/22/25; CQ, [5/22/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Give The Richest 5% Of Americans More Than \$1.5 Trillion In Tax Cuts

Center for American Progress: “Under This Proposal, Millionaires Would See A Larger Tax Cut Than The Bottom Half Of Americans Combined—With More Than \$1.5 Trillion In Tax Cuts Going To The Top 5 Percent.” “The One Big Beautiful Bill Act would issue the largest transfer of wealth from working-class Americans to the ultrawealthy in the nation’s history. To do so, House Republicans are proposing to cut programs that are essential to people, especially in states that voted for Trump in the last election, in order to pay for tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans. Under this proposal, millionaires would see a larger tax cut than the bottom half of Americans combined—with more than \$1.5 trillion in tax cuts going to the top 5 percent. In total, households that make at least \$1 million per year would receive roughly twice as much in tax breaks as the bottom 50 percent of the nation combined. Roughly 57 million households in the bottom 60 percent would see a tax increase or a negligible tax change (\$100 in either direction) under the House Republican proposal. Not only does this proposal extend the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act’s tax breaks for the wealthy, but it also adds additional loopholes that skew the tax code even more in favor of the ultrawealthy. Polling demonstrates that Republican voters oppose the bill when they learn that the tax cuts overwhelmingly benefit those who are already rich.” [Center for American Progress, [6/13/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Increase Income Inequality, With Low-Income Americans Feeling The Effects Of Aid Cuts And The Wealthy Seeing Most Of The Tax Gains

Washington Center For Equitable Growth: The Federal Budget Bill’s Spending Cuts To Benefit Programs Would Increase Inequality. “Similar to the tax cuts they help finance, the bill’s spending cuts are guaranteed to substantially increase inequality. They share another feature, too: These are complex changes to policy details that few Americans recognize or understand. Indeed, many involve the imposition of new administrative burdens on

people who receive or apply for public benefits at the state level. There is only one way that regressive spending cuts can free up \$1.3 trillion to finance regressive tax cuts: by taking away valued benefits from tens of millions of Americans.” [Washington Center for Equitable Growth, [6/25/25](#)]

The Budget Lab: The Bottom 10% Of American Households By Income Would See An Average Reduction In Incomes, While Those At The Top Would See An Increase Due To The Combined Effects Of The Reconciliation Bill And Tariffs. “The Budget Lab has previously estimated the net budget impact of combining the OBBBA and recent increases in tariffs. Conventionally scored, OBBBA costs \$2.4 trillion as written (\$4.0 trillion if the temporary provisions become permanent). The tariffs implemented as of June 1 would raise \$2.4 trillion. A natural follow-up question is: what is the net distributional impact of both the OBBBA and tariffs? This report answers that question by incorporating tariffs into CBO’s distributional estimates of the OBBBA. Our tariff estimates, which reflect the Trump Administration’s 2025 tariff increases this year through June 1, are based on prior TBL analysis and are adjusted to match the income concepts in CBO’s report. [...] The results of this exercise are shown in Figure 1, which reports average annual changes to after-tax-and-transfer income over the 2026-2034 period. We find that the combination of OBBBA and 2025 tariffs would reduce resources on average among the bottom 80 percent of households. The bottom ten percent of households would see an average reduction of more than 6.5 percent in incomes, while those at the top would see an increase of nearly 1.5 percent.” [The Budget Lab, [6/16/25](#)]

CNN: “Low-Income Americans Will Feel The Effect Of Changes To Aid Programs, While The Wealthy Will See Most Of The Windfall From Tax Cuts, According To Multiple Assessments.” “It took intense lobbying from President Donald Trump, an all-nighter, and a vote on a bill for which many people did not yet have a clear grasp of the final changes, but House Republicans got it done this week. They passed their version of the ‘one big, beautiful bill,’ a behemoth that pairs tax cuts with new provisions that will push people off Medicaid and food assistance. Low-income Americans will feel the effect of changes to aid programs, while the wealthy will see most of the windfall from tax cuts, according to multiple assessments. [...] Higher-income taxpayers would come out ahead, with 60% of the tax cuts going to the top 20%, who have incomes of at least \$217,000, next year and more than a third going to the top 5%, or those who earn \$460,000 or more, according to the center. The following numbers show how the rich would get the biggest boost in their after-tax income compared to others. Those in the top 20% would see an average tax cut of \$12,660 next year, increasing their after-tax income by 3.4%. But again, that’s compared with current law, in which Trump’s first-term tax cuts are expiring. Middle-income earners, those making between about \$67,000 and \$119,000, would get a tax break of \$1,840, bumping up their after-tax income by 2.4%, while those in the lowest bracket, who earn less than about \$35,000, would get a tax cut of \$160, nudging up their after-tax income by 0.8%.” [CNN, [5/24/25](#)]

Washington Center For Equitable Growth: “The One Big Beautiful Bill Act Also Contains Changes To The Federal Estate Tax That Would Cost More Than \$200 Billion Over 10 Years And Would Further Increase Economic Inequality.” “The One Big Beautiful Bill Act also contains changes to the federal estate tax that would cost more than \$200 billion over 10 years and would further increase economic inequality. Wealth inequality is not only high in the United States, compared with other rich democracies, but is also growing at a faster rate. Though estimates vary, the share of wealth that comes from inheritances also is large: On the lower end, more than one-third of wealth is inherited among U.S. households, and high estimates put the share closer to two-thirds. Rather than strengthening a key policy tool that could reduce the ability of dynastic wealth to be transferred across generations, the Republican tax bill follows a trend that began at the turn of the 21st century. Dramatic increases in the estate tax exemption threshold have resulted in an infinitesimally small fraction of the nation’s wealthiest individuals facing any liability at all.” [Washington Center for Equitable Growth, [6/25/25](#)]

While Supporting Policies That Raise Your Costs.

Luna Voted In Support Of The Iran War Which Raised Prices And Cost Billions In Taxpayer Money

July 2026: Luna Voted For A Fifth Time To Continue The War In Iran

July 23, 2026: Luna Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. On 7/23/26, LUNA voted NO on “H. Con. Res. 89 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The resolution passed in the House 214-208. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 89, House Roll Call Vote 282, [7/23/26](#)]

- **7/23/26: Associated Press: The House Passed A Resolution To Halt U.S. Military Action In Iran In A Bipartisan Vote.** “The House on Thursday narrowly passed a resolution to halt U.S. military action in Iran, sending a warning to President Donald Trump for the second time as the war has escalated and the future of the conflict is increasingly uncertain. The 214-208 vote requiring congressional approval for the war comes as the Senate is expected to consider a similar resolution later Thursday morning. Though the votes forced by Democrats are largely symbolic, they are meant as a strong signal to the Republican president that his support on Capitol Hill is chipping away as the war drags on and lawmakers in both parties have questioned his administration’s endgame.” [Associated Press, [7/23/26](#)]

June 2026: Luna Voted For A Fourth Time To Continue The War In Iran

June 3, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On June 3, 2026, LUNA voted against “H.Con.Res.86 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.” The bill was passed by a vote of 215-208. [H. Con. Res. 86, Vote #199, [6/3/26](#)]

- **TIME Headline: “Four Republicans Join Democrats In House Vote To Rein In Trump’s Iran War Powers”** [TIME, [6/3/26](#)]

May 2026: Luna Voted For A Third Time To Continue The War In Iran, And Was The Deciding Vote To Continue The War

May 2026: Luna Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On May 14, 2026, LUNA voted against “Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove the United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran” The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-212.” [H. Con. Res. 75, Vote #170, [5/14/26](#)]

- **Reuters Headline: “US House Narrowly Rejects Bid To Rein In Trump Iran War Powers”** [Reuters, [5/14/26](#)]

April 2026: Luna Voted For A Second Time To Continue The War In Iran

April 16, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, LUNA voted against: “Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, [Vote #114](#), 4/16/26; CQ, [4/16/26](#)]

- **NBC News Headline: “House Effort To End Trump's War With Iran Fails By One Vote”** [NBC News, [4/16/26](#)]

March 2026: Luna Voted To Continue The War In Iran

March 5, 2026: Luna Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran. On 3/5/26, LUNA voted NO on “H.Con.Res.38 - Directing the President pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution to remove United States Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in the Islamic Republic of Iran.” The bill failed in the House 212-219. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 38, House Roll Call Vote 85, [3/5/26](#)]

- **The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran.** “The U.S. House of Representatives rejected an effort on Thursday to stop President Donald Trump's air war on Iran and require that any hostilities against Iran be authorized by Congress, backing the Republican president's military campaign on the sixth day of the expanding conflict. The vote was 219 to 212, largely along party lines, in the House, where Trump's fellow Republicans control a narrow majority of seats. Two Republicans voted in favor of the resolution and four Democrats voted against it. [...] Supporters said the resolution, by requiring Trump to come to Congress for a war authorization, would force him to explain to Americans why the U.S. is fighting and how it might end.” [Reuters, [3/6/26](#)]

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began. “Gas at the pump costs 40% more than it did before the Iran war began in February, according to the Energy Information Administration. And whether you’re a die-hard Democrat or red-blooded Republican or somewhere in between, everyone pays directly or indirectly for that hike. Historically, this would be awful news for the White House occupant. Political scientists seem to agree that, unlike some trends that preoccupy pundits, gas prices really do move the needle on presidential approval.” [NOTUS, [8/28/26](#)]

The Iran War Cost American Taxpayers An Estimated \$132 Billion

The War With Iran Was Estimated To Have Cost U.S. Consumers And Taxpayers About \$132 Billion. “Moody's Analytics estimates the war has cost U.S. consumers and taxpayers about \$132 billion so far, and the meter is still running. The most visible piece of that cost is higher energy prices, resulting from the near shutdown of the Strait of Hormuz. Gasoline prices, which averaged just under \$3 a gallon when the war began, soared as high as \$4.56 a gallon after that vital artery for crude oil was cut off, according to AAA.” [NPR, [6/17/26](#)]

Luna Voted For Trump’s Tariffs Multiple Times Which Raised Grocery And Household Prices On Americans

February 11, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump’s Tariffs

Luna Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Luna voted against: “Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada.” The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, [Vote #65](#), 2/11/26; CQ, [2/11/26](#)]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump’s Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** “The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president’s tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House’s first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump’s trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy.” [New York Times, [2/11/26](#)]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump’s Tariffs

Luna Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariffs. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #60](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#)]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, [2/10/26](#)]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." **A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.** The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #59](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#); Congressional Record, [2/10/26](#)]

September 16, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

September 2025: Luna Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026. In September 2025, Luna voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, [9/16/25](#); U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, [9/16/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gavelled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board." [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Expedited Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariff Actions And Considering The Senate Amendment To The FY 2025 Budget Resolution. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, [Vote #94](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress's Power To Override Trump's Tariffs.** "Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump's chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives' rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president's recently imposed taxes on foreign imports." [The Guardian, [4/9/25](#)]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump's disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, [Vote #93](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/9/25](#)]

April 8, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, [Vote #91](#), 4/8/25; CQ, [4/8/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/8/25](#)]

March 11, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales. In March 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager's amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, [Vote #67](#), 3/11/25; CQ, [3/11/25](#)]

- **New York Times: “House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump’s Tariffs.”** “House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump’s tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber’s ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Politico: “GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump’s Declaration Of A National Emergency.”** “GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump’s declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China.” [Politico, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked “The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets.”** “The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. [...] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans' six-month stopgap funding bill.” [Reuters, [3/11/25](#)]

Americans Were Paying More For Household Costs And Groceries Due To Trump’s Tariffs

Trump’s Tariffs Increased The Average American Household’s Costs By \$1,200 Per Year. “By far the largest price increase on consumers that Trump has imposed came in the form of his sweeping tariffs on virtually every foreign country’s goods. That has raised the effective US tariff rate to 13.6%, up from 1.2% in 2024 – a gigantic tax increase that has raised the average American household’s costs this year by \$1,200, according to the conservative-leaning Tax Foundation.” [CNN, [11/17/25](#)]

Trump’s Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. “President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration’s policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump’s tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons.” [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

HEADLINE: “Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up.” [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

Anna Paulina Message #1

Meanwhile, Anna Paulina Luna is a corrupt Washington politician who has enriched herself in office. She invested up to \$500,000 dollars in an oil and gas exploration company owned by a donor, while sitting on a committee overseeing the oil and gas industry, prompting allegations of conflict of interest.

Meanwhile, Anna Paulina Luna Is A Corrupt Washington Politician Who Has Enriched Herself In Office.

Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Increased By More Than \$20,000 During Her Time In Congress

2022: Luna Was Elected To Congress

2022: Luna Was Elected To Congress. “Luna, 35, is a Southern California native and U.S. Air Force veteran whose endorsement by Donald Trump helped catapult her to the GOP nomination for Congress in 2022. She then defeated Democrat Eric Lynn by 8 percentage points, 53%-45%. The district has only become redder since then, but Fox, 37, campaigned on a platform that Luna was simply too radical for a district that historically has supported moderate-leaning Republicans and Democrats.” [Florida Phoenix, [11/6/24](#)]

2023-2026: Luna’s Net Worth Grew By More Than \$20,000

2023: Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Was As Much As \$579,999. [Rep. Luna Financial Disclosure Report, [8/2/23](#)]

2026: Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Was As Much As \$601,999. [Rep. Luna Financial Disclosure Report, [5/15/26](#)]

She Invested Up To \$500,000 Dollars In An Oil And Gas Exploration Company Owned By A Donor, While Sitting On A Committee Overseeing The Oil And Gas Industry, Prompting Allegations Of Conflict Of Interest.

Luna Invested Up To \$500,000 In America First Natural Resources LLC, A Company That Was Focused On Oil And Gas Exploration And Production

2025: Luna Disclosed That In 2024, She Invested Up To \$500,000 In America First Natural Resources LLC. [U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

FILER INFORMATION

Name: Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status: Member
State/District: FL13

FILING INFORMATION

Filing Type: Annual Report
Filing Year: 2024
Filing Date: 07/24/2025

SCHEDULE A: ASSETS AND "UNEARNED" INCOME

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > \$1,000?
America First Natural Resources LLC [01]		\$250,001 - \$500,000	None		
LOCATION: San Antonio, TX, US					
DESCRIPTION: Energy production company					


[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

Rosenthal Described America First Natural Resources LLC As A Private Equity Firm That Was Focused On Energy Exploration And Production. “Luna reported in July on a financial disclosure form that she has invested \$250,001 to \$500,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company founded and managed by one of her political donors, Bruce N. Rosenthal, who has described it as a private equity firm focused on domestic energy exploration and production.” [NBC News, [9/9/25](#)]

America First Natural Resources LLC Aimed To Produced Oil And Gas In The United States. “Luna, a conservative Republican seeking re-election to her St. Petersburg-area seat, disclosed in May that she had invested between \$200,000 and \$450,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company created by a political supporter that aims to produce oil and gas in the United States.” [Miami Herald, [7/1/24](#)]

America First Natural Resources LLC Was Founded And Managed By Bruce N. Rosenthal

Bruce N. Rosenthal Listed Himself As Founder And Manager Of America First Natural Resources LLC On LinkedIn From October 2023 To Present. “Bruce N. Rosenthal Listed Himself As Founder And Manager Of America First Natural Resources LLC On LinkedIn From October 2023 To Present.” [Bruce Rosenthal via LinkedIn, accessed [8/5/26](#)]



Bruce Rosenthal ✓

--

St. Petersburg, Florida, United States · [Contact info](#)

[Connect](#) [Message](#) [More](#)

Experience

 **Founder & Manager**
America First Natural Resources LLC · Full-time
Oct 2023 - Present · 2 yrs 11 mos
San Antonio, Texas, United States · On-site
Private Equity firm directly investing in United States exploration and production

[Bruce Rosenthal via LinkedIn, accessed [8/5/26](#)]

2020-2025: Bruce N. Rosenthal Donated \$52,000 To Luna And Her Associated Political Committees According To Federal Campaign Filings

Bruce N. Rosenthal Donated \$52,000 To Luna And Her Associated Political Committees Since Her First Campaign For Congress In 2020. “Bruce N. Rosenthal Donated \$52,000 To Luna Since Her First Campaign For Congress In 2020.” [Federal Elections Commission, accessed [8/6/26](#)]

Source name	Recipient	Election	State	Receipt date ▼	Amount —
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	APL PAC	PRIMARY	FL	12/30/2025	\$5,000.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	ANNA PAULINA LUNA FOR CONGRESS	GENERAL	FL	08/30/2024	\$3,300.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	APL PAC	PRIMARY	FL	06/21/2024	\$5,000.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	ANNA PAULINA LUNA FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	FL	03/16/2024	\$3,300.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	APL PAC	PRIMARY	FL	12/09/2023	\$5,000.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	APL PAC	PRIMARY	FL	06/30/2022	\$5,000.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	ANNA PAULINA LUNA FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	FL	06/30/2021	\$2,900.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	ANNA PAULINA LUNA FOR CONGRESS	GENERAL	FL	06/30/2021	\$2,900.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	APL VICTORY FUND	PRIMARY	FL	06/30/2021	\$10,800.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	APL PAC	PRIMARY	FL	06/30/2021	\$5,000.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	ANNA PAULINA LUNA FOR CONGRESS	GENERAL	FL	09/11/2020	\$2,800.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	ANNA PAULINA LUNA FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	FL	08/07/2020	\$500.00
ROSENTHAL, BRUCE	ANNA PAULINA LUNA FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	FL	08/01/2020	\$500.00

[Federal Elections Commission, accessed [8/6/26](#)]

2024: Luna Was A Member Of The House Natural Resources Committee Which Oversaw Energy And Mining On Federal Lands

2024: Luna Was A Member Of The House Natural Resources Committee And The Subcommittee On Economic Growth, Energy Policy And Regulatory Affairs. “Luna, an Air Force veteran who entered politics after working at the conservative nonprofit Turning Point USA, sits on the House Natural Resources Committee and the House Oversight Committee’s subcommittee on Economic Growth, Energy Policy and Regulatory Affairs. It wouldn’t be a conflict of interest for her to vote on issues that might benefit America First Natural Resources, so long as the legislation would benefit all domestic producers of energy, said Kedric Payne, a former attorney at the Office of Congressional Ethics and the senior director of ethics at the nonpartisan Campaign Legal Center.” [Miami Herald, [7/1/24](#)]

The House Natural Resources Committee Oversaw Energy And Mining On Federal Lands. “Luna sits on both the House Natural Resources Committee, which oversees energy and mining on federal lands, and the Oversight and Government Reform Committee, which investigates government abuse, but her office said she is not a member of panels that have jurisdiction over her investments.” [NBC News, [9/9/25](#)]

2025: Luna Filed Her Personal Financial Disclosure, Which Covered The Year 2024, In Which She Disclosed Her Investment In America First Natural Resources

2025: Luna Filed Her Personal Financial Disclosure, Which Covered The Year 2024, In Which She Disclosed Her Investment In America First Natural Resources. [U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

FILER INFORMATION

Name: Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status: Member
State/District: FL13

FILING INFORMATION

Filing Type: Annual Report
Filing Year: 2024
Filing Date: 07/24/2025

SCHEDULE A: ASSETS AND "UNEARNED" INCOME

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > \$1,000?
America First Natural Resources LLC [OL]		\$250,001 - \$500,000	None		☐
LOCATION: San Antonio, TX, US DESCRIPTION: Energy production company					

[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed [7/24/25](#)]

A Nonpartisan Group And House Democrats Said Luna's Investment In Her Donor's Company Raised Questions About Conflicts Of Interest

The Nonpartisan Independent Project On Government Oversight Said Luna's Energy Investments Raised Red Flags And Were "Ripe For Manipulation" Or Some "Kind Of Conflict Of Interest." "The nonpartisan independent Project on Government Oversight (POGO), which has endorsed the proposed stock ban, said lawmaker investments focused on a specific industry, like Luna's in energy, raise red flags. They are 'ripe for manipulation or ripe for some kind of conflict of interest,' said Dylan Hedtler-Gaudette, who heads policy and government affairs at POGO." [NBC News, [9/9/25](#)]

House Democrats Accused Luna Of Insider Trading Hypocrisy Due To Her Investment In Her Donor's Company, America First Natural Resources. "House Democrats just dropped five figures on a digital ad accusing U.S. Rep. Anna Paulina Luna of insider trading hypocrisy. The static ad, from the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), shows Luna beside a pile of cash with the headline 'Anna Paulina Luna invested up to \$500,000 in her donor's company.' It sources a 2025 NBC News report showing that even while Luna pressured House leadership to take up an insider trading ban, her financial disclosures showed she invested between \$250,000 and \$500,000 in America First Natural Resources, a company founded by donor Bruce N. Rosenthal." [Florida Politics, [8/24/26](#)]

Anna Paulina Message #2

And Luna is supporting bad policies that make life more expensive for regular Floridians. She voted eight times for tariffs that have raised prices for household items and groceries for families and hurt small businesses. She voted to allow corporations to charge hidden fees and junk fees. And she supports the war in Iran that has caused the cost of gas to skyrocket, increasing more than 40 percent and cost American taxpayers \$132 billion dollars.

And Luna Is Supporting Bad Policies That Make Life More Expensive For Regular Floridians.

Backup below.

She Voted Eight Times For Tariffs That Have Raised Prices For Household Items And Groceries For Families And Hurt Small Businesses.

Luna Voted Eight Times To Protect Cost-Spiking Tariffs

February 11, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada.

In February 2026, Luna voted against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada." The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, [Vote #65](#), 2/11/26; CQ, [2/11/26](#)]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump's Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** "The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president's tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House's first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump's trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy." [New York Times, [2/11/26](#)]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariffs.

In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #60](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#)]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, [2/10/26](#)]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act.

In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." **A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.** The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, [Vote #59](#), 2/10/26; CQ, [2/10/26](#); Congressional Record, [2/10/26](#)]

September 16, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

September 2025: Luna Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026. In September 2025, Luna voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, [9/16/25](#); U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, [9/16/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gavelled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board." [Politico, [9/16/25](#)]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Expedited Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariff Actions And Considering The Senate Amendment To The FY 2025 Budget Resolution. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, [Vote #94](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress's Power To Override Trump's Tariffs.** "Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump's chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives' rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president's recently imposed taxes on foreign imports." [The Guardian, [4/9/25](#)]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foyxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump's disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans." *A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.* The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, [Vote #93](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/9/25](#)]

April 8, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foyxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the

previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place.” **A vote for the motion was a vote to block consideration of the bill.** The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, [Vote #91](#), 4/8/25; CQ, [4/8/25](#); Congressional Record, [4/8/25](#)]

March 11, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump’s Tariffs

Luna Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales. In March 2025, Luna voted for: “Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager’s amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act.” The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, [Vote #67](#), 3/11/25; CQ, [3/11/25](#)]

- **New York Times: “House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump’s Tariffs.”** “House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump’s tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber’s ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Politico: “GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump’s Declaration Of A National Emergency.”** “GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump’s declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China.” [Politico, [3/11/25](#)]
- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked “The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets.”** “The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. [...] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans' six-month stopgap funding bill.” [Reuters, [3/11/25](#)]

Tariffs Raised The Price Of Household Items And Groceries For Families And Hurt Small Businesses

Americans Were Paying More For Household Costs And Groceries Due To Trump’s Tariffs

Trump’s Tariffs Increased The Average American Household’s Costs By \$1,200 Per Year. “By far the largest price increase on consumers that Trump has imposed came in the form of his sweeping tariffs on virtually every foreign country’s goods. That has raised the effective US tariff rate to 13.6%, up from 1.2% in 2024 – a gigantic tax increase that has raised the average American household’s costs this year by \$1,200, according to the conservative-leaning Tax Foundation.” [CNN, [11/17/25](#)]

Trump’s Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. “President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration’s policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and

companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons." [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

HEADLINE: "Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up." [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

The Average Household In Florida Spent \$3,100 More Under Trump Because Of His Reckless Tariffs And The War In Iran

June 2026: The Average Household In Florida Spent \$3,100 More Under Trump Because Of His Reckless Tariffs And The War In Iran. "As of June 2026, the average household in Florida has had to spend \$3,100+ more on goods and services under President Trump. Despite President Trump's repeated pledges on the campaign trail that his administration would 'end inflation' and bring down costs for families, Trump and Republicans in Congress have instead caused costs to continue to rise significantly, including through Trump's reckless tariffs and war in Iran. Below are calculations from the Joint Economic Committee – Minority and other statistics on higher costs that families in Florida face for everyday essentials." [Joint Economic Committee, [7/22/26](#)]

Trump's Tariffs Would Cause Higher Grocery Bills For Floridians

Trump's Tariffs Would Cause Higher Grocery Bills For Floridians. "The trickle-down effect of tariffs will not be limited to major industries. Floridians will see higher prices for food going on the dinner table as well, according to TaxWatch analysts. 'Florida ranks fifth among the U.S. in grocery costs. An average Floridian's weekly grocery bill is expected to increase by 10 to 15 percent as a result of imposed tariffs,' the report said." [Florida Politics, [7/8/25](#)]

Trump's Tariffs Drove Up Costs And Triggered Economic Uncertainty For Small Businesses

Trump's Tariffs Drove Up Costs And Triggered Economic Uncertainty For Small Businesses. "The Joint Economic Committee - Minority issued a report today on the impact of President Trump's tariffs, which have driven up costs and triggered economic uncertainty for America's small businesses. The report includes new Committee data, including that the smallest businesses lost 4.5 times more jobs in 2025 than during the pandemic in 2020. This report comes during National Small Business Week, which runs from May 3 – 9, 2026." [Joint Economic Committee, [5/7/26](#)]

She Voted To Allow Corporations To Charge Hidden Fees And Junk Fees.

Rep. Luna Voted Against The No Hidden FEES Act, Which Required Hotels And Short-Term Rentals To Disclose All Mandatory Fees When Advertising Prices

Rep. Luna Voted Against The No Hidden FEES Act, Requiring Hotels And Short-Term Rentals To Disclose All Mandatory Fees When Advertising Pricing. In June 2024, Rep. Luna voted against: "Bilirakis, R-Fla., motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill that would prohibit a provider of short-term, overnight lodging from advertising a reservation price that does not include all mandatory fees, including parking, booking, cleaning and resort fees. It would require the Federal Trade Commission to enforce the prohibition as a violation of federal law regarding unfair or deceptive trade practices. It would allow a state to bring a civil action against a lodging provider in federal court to obtain appropriate relief on behalf of its residents if a federal civil action is not already pending, though it would allow the FTC to intervene in such actions. It also would prohibit a state or local government from enforcing any law prohibiting a lodging provider from advertising a price that includes all mandatory fees." The

motion was agreed to by a vote of 384-25. [H.R. 6543, [Vote #248](#), 6/11/24; CQ, [6/11/24](#)]

- **The No Hidden FEES Act Would Ensure Lodging Cost Transparency For Consumers.** “The U.S. House of Representatives today passed the No Hidden FEES Act, a major American Hotel & Lodging Association (AHLA) legislative priority that would ensure lodging cost transparency for consumers. The legislation would establish a comprehensive single standard for transparent and mandatory fee displays across the lodging industry – from short-term rental platforms, to online travel agencies, metasearch sites, and hotels.” [American Hotel & Lodging Association, [6/11/24](#)]

Luna Voted For Nullifying A CFPB Rule That Regulated Overdraft Protection Services And Capped Fees By Very Large Financial Institutions

Luna Voted For Nullifying A CFPB Rule That Regulated Overdraft Protection Services And Capped Fees By Very Large Financial Institutions. In April 2025, Luna voted for: “Passage of the joint resolution that would provide for congressional disapproval of, and nullify, a December 2024 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau rule which regulates overdraft protection services offered by very large financial institutions, including by capping the fees that covered institutions may charge for the service.” The resolution was passed by a vote of 217-211. [S.J. Res. 18, [Vote #96](#), 4/9/25; CQ, [4/9/25](#)]

- **The House Voted To Overturn A Rule That Would Have Limited Bank Overdraft Fees To \$5.** “The House voted Wednesday to overturn a rule that would have limited bank overdraft fees to \$5, following the Senate in moving to dismantle the regulation that the Biden administration had estimated would save consumers billions of dollars.” [AP, [4/10/25](#)]
- **The CFPB Estimated The Rule Would Have Saved Consumers About \$5 Billion In Annual Overdraft Fees.** “The CFPB estimated the rule would have saved consumers about \$5 billion in annual overdraft fees, or \$225 per household that typically experiences the fees.” [AP, [4/10/25](#)]
- **Overdraft Fees Were Also Referred To As Junk Fees.** “The Biden administration finalized the overdraft rule — part of its campaign against so-called junk fees — in December, to the chagrin of Republicans who had asked financial regulators to pause rulemaking after the election until the new administration was sworn in. Banks, which say the rule would limit their ability to offer overdraft coverage, fiercely opposed the regulation and sued to stop it hours after it was finalized.” [Politico, [3/27/25](#)]

And She Supports The War In Iran That Has Caused The Cost Of Gas To Skyrocket, Increasing More Than 40 Percent And Cost American Taxpayers \$132 Billion Dollars.

Rep. Luna Voted Multiple Times Against Iran War Powers Resolution

April 2026: Rep. Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, Rep. Luna Voted Against: “Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack.” The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, [Vote #114](#), 4/16/26; CQ, [4/16/26](#)]

May 2026: Rep. Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In May 2026, Rep. Luna Voted Against: “Adoption of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or a specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. It would clarify that nothing in the measure could be construed to disrupt intelligence, counterintelligence or investigative activities.” The resolution was rejected by a vote of 212-212. [H. Con. Res. 75, [Vote #170](#), 5/28/26; CQ, [5/28/26](#)]

July 2026: Rep. Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In July 2026, Rep. Luna Voted Against: “Adoption of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to remove U.S. armed forces from hostilities in Iran.” The bill passed by a vote of 214-208. [H Con Res 89, [Vote #282](#), 7/23/26; CQ, [7/23/26](#)]

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began. “Gas at the pump costs 40% more than it did before the Iran war began in February, according to the Energy Information Administration. And whether you’re a die-hard Democrat or red-blooded Republican or somewhere in between, everyone pays directly or indirectly for that hike. Historically, this would be awful news for the White House occupant. Political scientists seem to agree that, unlike some trends that preoccupy pundits, gas prices really do move the needle on presidential approval.” [NOTUS, [8/28/26](#)]

The Iran War Cost American Taxpayers An Estimated \$132 Billion

The War With Iran Was Estimated To Have Cost U.S. Consumers And Taxpayers About \$132 Billion. “Moody's Analytics estimates the war has cost U.S. consumers and taxpayers about \$132 billion so far, and the meter is still running. The most visible piece of that cost is higher energy prices, resulting from the near shutdown of the Strait of Hormuz. Gasoline prices, which averaged just under \$3 a gallon when the war began, soared as high as \$4.56 a gallon after that vital artery for crude oil was cut off, according to AAA.” [NPR, [6/17/26](#)]