Chung Vo Overview

Chuong Vo will hurt working families in Congress.

Chuong Vo Will Hurt Working Families In Congress.

Backup below.

Chung Vo Message #1

Chuong Vo supports one of the largest billionaire tax cuts in history paid for by gutting Medicaid and kicking millions of Americans off their health insurance, including more than 41,000 people in CA-45. He said this law would "give money back to the people," even as it gave nearly one trillion dollars in tax breaks to the ultra-wealthy at the expense of working families.

Chuong Vo Supports One Of The Largest Billionaire Tax Cuts In History Paid For By Gutting Medicaid And Kicking Millions Of Americans Off Their Health Insurance, Including More Than 41,000 People In CA-45.

2026: Vo Said He Would Have Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill

VIDEO: 2026: Vo Said He Would Have Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Saying "When The Republicans Put Out Bills That Are For The People, To Help The People Like The Big Beautiful Bill That Was Able To Reform Taxes, Will Give Money Back To The People. I'm Going To Vote Yes For Stuff Like That, Not No Like Derek Did." VO: "So, when I go in there, I'm going to support and vote for conservative values. I want to make sure that when the Republicans put out bills that are for the people to help the people like the Big Beautiful Bill that was able to reform taxes, will give money back to the people. I'm going to vote yes for stuff like that, not no like Derek did. When the you know when Congress wants to make it known that we are anti-socialists, I will vote to make sure that that message is very clear that California, USA, Americans are against socialism. I will vote to make sure that message is very clear. He voted against it." [Pho Bolsa TV, 39:19, 5/23/26] (VIDEO)

The One Big Beautiful Bill Included One Of The Largest Tax Cuts For Billionaires Ever

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Enact The Largest Upward Wealth Transfer In American History

Legal Defense Fund: The One Big Beautiful Bill Grants "More Than $4.5 Trillion In Tax Breaks-- Mostly For Billionaires." "President Trump's 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' (OBBBA) made the largest-ever cuts to social safety net programs in U.S. history to fund tax cuts for the ultrawealthy and corporations. The OBBBA extends, expands, or implements more than $4.5 trillion in tax breaks — mostly for billionaires — while making more than $1 trillion in cuts to programs that many rely on for health care, adequate nutrition, and student loans." [Legal Defense Fund, accessed 8/28/26]

Atlantic: "House Republicans Worked Through The Night To Advance A Massive Piece Of Legislation That Might, If Enacted, Carry Out The Largest Upward Transfer Of Wealth In American History." "House Republicans worked through the night to advance a massive piece of legislation that might, if enacted, carry out the largest upward transfer of wealth in American history. That is not a side effect of the legislation, but its central purpose. The 'big, beautiful bill' would pair huge cuts to food assistance and health insurance for low-income Americans with even larger tax cuts for affluent ones." [The Atlantic, 5/22/25]

- **The Atlantic Headline: "The Largest Upward Transfer Of Wealth In American History."** [The Atlantic, 5/22/25]

CAP: "The One Big Beautiful Bill Act Would Issue The Largest Transfer Of Wealth From Working-Class Americans To The Ultrawealthy In The Nation's History." "The One Big Beautiful Bill Act would issue the largest transfer of wealth from working-class Americans to the ultrawealthy in the nation's history. To do so, House Republicans are proposing to cut programs that are essential to people, especially in states that voted for Trump in the last election, in order to pay for tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans. Under this proposal, millionaires would see a larger tax cut than the bottom half of Americans combined—with more than $1.5 trillion in tax cuts going to the top 5 percent. In total, households that make at least $1 million per year would receive roughly twice as much in tax breaks as the bottom 50 percent of the nation combined. Roughly 57 million households in the bottom 60 percent would see a tax increase or a negligible tax change ($100 in either direction) under the House Republican proposal. Not only does this proposal extend the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act's tax breaks for the wealthy, but it also adds additional loopholes that skew the tax code even more in favor of the ultrawealthy. Polling demonstrates that Republican voters oppose the bill when they learn that the tax cuts overwhelmingly benefit those who are already rich." [Center for American Progress, 6/13/25]

- **CNBC: Households In The Top 5% Were "The Biggest Winners."** "House Republicans passed a budget plan Tuesday that lays the groundwork to extend the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, a package of tax breaks enacted in 2017 during President Donald Trump's first term. […] However, with an extension, the largest tax cuts would accrue to the highest-income families, the Treasury said. Household in the top 5% — who earn more than $450,000 a year, roughly — are the 'biggest winners,' according to a July 2024 analysis by the Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center. They'd get over 45% of the benefits of extending the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, it said. A Penn Wharton Budget Model analysis on the impacts of the broad Republican tax plan had a similar finding. The bottom 80% of income earners would get 29% of the total value of proposed tax cuts in 2026, according to the Wharton analysis, issued Thursday. The top 10% would get 56% of the value, it said." [CNBC, 3/3/25]

Economic Policy Institute: "The Radical Republican Budget Bill Steals From The Poor To Give Tax Cuts To The Rich." [Economic Policy Institute, 7/2/25]

Cronkite News Headline: "Historic Tax Cuts In Trump's Big Beautiful Bill Have Wide Gap Between Winners And Big Winners." [Cronkite News, 7/16/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Gave The Richest Americans The Bulk Of The Tax Cuts

The One Big Beautiful Bill's Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest. "The One Big Beautiful Bill includes the largest tax cuts in U.S. history. Whether it's actually 'beautiful' is in the eye of the beholder. At every income level taxes should subside. That's a big selling point from President Donald Trump and fellow Republicans who pushed the law through Congress with no Democratic support. It will benefit everyone. But the biggest cuts go to the wealthiest taxpayers – the ones who pay the lion's share of taxes. For most families the savings will be miniscule in comparison, in raw dollars and as a share of income. And millions will lose subsidized health insurance and other benefits. Critics see a plan that widens income inequality and, worse, offsets tax cuts for the wealthy with draconian spending cuts that hit lower-income households." [Cronkite News, 7/16/25]

Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: The House-Passed Reconciliation Bill Would Give The Richest 1% Of Americans $117 Billion In Net Tax Cuts In 2026. "The House of Representatives today narrowly passed a massive tax and spending reconciliation bill that now heads to President Trump's desk. Please see below for a statement from ITEP and our latest updated analysis of how the bill's tax provisions will affect families at different income levels nationally and in every state. […] The richest 1 percent of Americans would receive a total of $117 billion in net tax cuts in 2026. The middle 20 percent of taxpayers on the income scale, a group that has 20 times the number of taxpayers as the richest 1 percent, would receive less than half that much, $53 billion in net tax cuts that year." [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, 7/3/24]

Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: The Value Of The Tax Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill Exceeded The Amount Going To The Entire Bottom 60%. "The House of Representatives today narrowly passed a massive tax and spending reconciliation bill that now heads to President Trump's desk. Please see below for a statement from ITEP and our latest updated analysis of how the bill's tax provisions will affect families at different income levels nationally and in every state. […] The $117 billion in net tax cuts going to the richest 1 percent next year would exceed the amount going to the entire bottom 60 percent of taxpayers (about $77 billion)." [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, 7/3/24]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Delivered $1 Trillion In Tax Cuts To The Top 1 Percent While Cutting More Than $1.1 Trillion For Assistance Programs Like Medicaid

The One Big Beautiful Bill Delivered $1 Trillion In Tax Cuts To The Top 1 Percent While Cutting More Than $1.1 Trillion For Assistance Programs. "Overall, the BBB cuts taxes by $4.5 trillion over the next decade, primarily with $2.3 trillion of provisions that deliver most of their benefits to the richest 10 percent of Americans by income, according to new analysis of JCT data by the Center for American Progress. Within that, the BBB delivers $1 trillion in tax cuts to the top 1 percent while cutting more than $1.1 trillion from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Medicaid, and other health programs used by the poorest Americans." [Center for American Progress, 11/20/25]

The One Big Beautiful Was Designed To Cut Health Care And Food Assistance To Pay For Massive Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Households

The Bill Was Designed To Cut Health Care And Food Assistance To Pay For Massive Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Households. "The bill is designed to cause a shocking upward redistribution of income. It includes draconian spending cuts—mostly to health care and food assistance for children and families—in order to give massive tax cuts to the wealthiest households. Because these cuts to health care and food assistance are so broad and deep, and because the tax cuts for anybody who is not already rich are so paltry, the bill will cause the bottom 40% of households to actually lose income on average. This group includes roughly 125 million people, and for a family of three it will include households with incomes up to $85,000. Meanwhile, households in the top 0.1% (those making over $3.3 million per year) will gain over $100,000 annually under this bill." [Economic Policy Institute, 7/2/25]

- **Economic Policy Institute: "The Radical Republican Budget Bill Steals From The Poor To Give Tax Cuts To The Rich."** [Economic Policy Institute, 7/2/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Cut $1 Trillion From Medicaid, The Largest Cut To Medicaid In American History

Republicans Passed The Largest Cuts To Medicaid Since The Program Began In The 1960's

The Hill: Republicans Passed The Largest Cuts To Medicaid Since The Program Began In The 1960's. "Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than $3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about $1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO)." [The Hill, 7/1/25]

- **The Hill: The Republicans' Made About $1 Trillion In Cuts To Medicaid.** "Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than $3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about $1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO)." [The Hill, 7/1/25]

The Hill: "Congress Passed The Largest Medicaid Cuts In The Program's 60-Year History Through The GOP's Megabill Right Before The July 4 Holiday, A $1 Trillion Reduction Projected To Push More Than 12 Million Low-Income Individuals Off Their Health Insurance Over The Next Decade." "Medicaid is set to become a key issue in the battle over control of Congress in next year's midterm elections now that President Trump's "big, beautiful bill" has passed. Congress passed the largest Medicaid cuts in the program's 60-year history through the GOP's megabill right before the July 4 holiday, a $1 trillion reduction projected to push more than 12 million low-income individuals off their health insurance over the next decade. Republicans argue the moves are necessary to address waste and fraud in the program, ensuring "able-bodied" adults aren't taking advantage of the system." [The Hill, 7/7/25]

- **The Hill Headline: "Senate Megabill Marks Biggest Medicaid Cuts In History."** [The Hill, 7/1/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Could Kick Millions Off Their Insurance

Politico: "The Final Bill, Passed By The House On Thursday, Delivered A $1 Trillion-Plus Cut To Health Care Programs And Could Lead To An Estimated 11.8 Million People Losing Their Insurance." "Republicans just delivered Donald Trump a 'big, beautiful' legislative win. Now they're fretting it will lead to some ugly electoral losses. GOP lawmakers are warning that slashing spending on Medicaid and food assistance will cost the party seats in the midterms — threatening their razor-thin House majority — by kicking millions of Americans off safety-net programs. [...] The final bill, passed by the House on Thursday, delivered a $1 trillion-plus cut to health care programs and could lead to an estimated 11.8 million people losing their insurance." [Politico, 7/6/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Estimated To Kick More Than 41,000 People In CA-45 Off Their Health Insurance

September 2025: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Estimated More Than 41,000 People In California's 45th Congressional District Would Lose Health Insurance By 2034 Due To Congressional Republicans' Cuts To Medicaid And The ACA. "According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO)'s most recent analysis, President Trump and Congressional Republicans' cuts to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) would result in 16 million people losing their health insurance by 2034. Using CBO's analysis released June 4 and other research, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority calculated new estimates of the number of people who would lose health insurance." [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, September 2025]

CA-45: Health Insurance Losses From Cuts To Medicaid And The Affordable Care Act Under The One Big Beautiful Bill		
Est. Number of People Losing Affordable Care Act Coverage	**Est. Number of People Losing Medicaid Coverage**	**Est. Total Number of People Losing Insurance**
9,500	31,742	41,242

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, September 2025]

NOTE: Data refers to district lines prior to Prop 50.

He Said This Law Would "Give Money Back To The People," Even As It Gave Nearly One Trillion Dollars In Tax Breaks To The Ultra-Wealthy At The Expense Of Working Families.

Vo Praised The One Big Beautiful Bill

VIDEO: 2026: Vo Praised The One Big Beautiful Bill. VO: "So, when I go in there, I'm going to support and vote for conservative values. I want to make sure that when the Republicans put out bills that are for the people to help the people like the Big Beautiful Bill that was able to reform taxes, will give money back to the people. I'm going to vote yes for stuff like that, not no like Derek did. When the you know when Congress wants to make it known that we are anti-socialists, I will vote to make sure that that message is very clear that California, USA, Americans are against socialism. I will vote to make sure that message is very clear. He voted against it." [Pho Bolsa TV, 39:19, 5/23/26] (VIDEO)

The One Big Beautiful Bill Delivered $1 Trillion In Tax Cuts To The Top 1 Percent While Cutting More Than $1.1 Trillion For Assistance Programs Like Medicaid

The One Big Beautiful Bill Delivered $1 Trillion In Tax Cuts To The Top 1 Percent While Cutting More Than $1.1 Trillion For Assistance Programs Like Medicaid. "Overall, the BBB cuts taxes by $4.5 trillion over the next decade, primarily with $2.3 trillion of provisions that deliver most of their benefits to the richest 10 percent of Americans by income, according to new analysis of JCT data by the Center for American Progress. Within that, the BBB delivers $1 trillion in tax cuts to the top 1 percent while cutting more than $1.1 trillion from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Medicaid, and other health programs used by the poorest Americans." [Center for American Progress, 11/20/25]

The Bill Was Designed To Cut Health Care And Food Assistance To Pay For Massive Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Households. "The bill is designed to cause a shocking upward redistribution of income. It includes draconian spending cuts—mostly to health care and food assistance for children and families—in order to give massive tax cuts to the wealthiest households. Because these cuts to health care and food assistance are so broad and deep, and because the tax cuts for anybody who is not already rich are so paltry, the bill will cause the bottom 40% of households to actually lose income on average. This group includes roughly 125 million people, and for a family of three it will include households with incomes up to $85,000. Meanwhile, households in the top 0.1% (those making over $3.3 million per year) will gain over $100,000 annually under this bill." [Economic Policy Institute, 7/2/25]

- **Economic Policy Institute: "The Radical Republican Budget Bill Steals From The Poor To Give Tax Cuts To The Rich."** [Economic Policy Institute, 7/2/25]

Chuong Vo Message #2

As mayor pro tem, Vo cast the deciding vote to increase fees on his constituents. He's also backed by Republican leaders that extended Trump's costly tariffs that are costing the average family more than $2,500.

As Mayor Pro Tem, Vo Cast The Deciding Vote To Increase Fees On His Constituents.

2020: As Mayor Pro Tem, Vo Was The Deciding Vote To Approve The City's Trash Collection Provider's Request To Increase Residential Trash Collection Fees By 16%

2020-2022: Vo Served As Mayor Pro Tem Of Cerritos

2020-2025: Vo Served On The Cerritos City Council As Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem, And Councilmember. According to Vo's LinkedIn, he served on the Cerritos City Council as Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem, And Councilmember from 2020 to 2025. [Chuong Vo, LinkedIn, accessed 6/16/25]

- **April 2020-October 2022: Vo Served As Mayor Pro Tem Of Cerritos.** [Chuong Vo, LinkedIn, accessed 6/16/25]

2020: Vo Cast The Deciding Vote To Approve CalMet's Request To Increase Residential Trash Collection Rates by 16%

2020: Vo Voted To Approve CalMet's Request To Increase Trash Collection Rates By 16% For Residential, 13.7% For Commercial Bin, And 18% On Commercial Roll-Off Services. In August 2020, Vo voted for: "MOTION: It was moved by Solanki, and seconded by Barrows, to approve CalMet Services' request to adjust the maximum allowable solid waste management rates in Cerritos by the following amounts effective September 1, 2020: Residential: 16.0% increase. Commercial Bin: 13.7% increase. Commercial Roll-Off: 18.0% increase." The motion passed by a vote of 3-2. [City of Cerritos, Meeting Minutes, Agenda Item 9B, 8/27/20]

- **The Cerritos City Council Approved CalMet's Request To Increase Trash Collection Rates By A Vote Of 3-2.** [City of Cerritos, Meeting Minutes, Agenda Item 9B, 8/27/20]

9B. (continued)

MOTION: It was moved by Solanki, and seconded by Barrows, to approve CalMet Services' request to adjust the maximum allowable solid waste management rates in Cerritos by the following amounts effective September 1, 2020:

Residential:	16.0% increase
Commercial Bin:	13.7% increase
Commercial Roll-Off:	18.0% increase

(COUNCIL).

The motion carried (3 – 2) as follows:

AYES:	Barrows, Vo, Solanki
NOES:	Hu, Yokoyama
ABSENT:	None
ABSTAIN:	None

[City of Cerritos, Meeting Minutes, Agenda Item 9B, 8/27/20]

- **CalMet Provided Trash Collection Services For The City Of Cerritos.** "On April 13, 2017, the Cerritos City Council reviewed, considered and approved a ten-year solid waste management agreement with CalMet Services, Inc. The agreement was executed by the Mayor on May 9, 2017, and became effective July 1, 2017. The agreement set forth the maximum rates CalMet can charge its customers in Cerritos. To account for the increasing costs associated with solid waste collection, processing and disposal, the agreement includes a provision for CalMet to submit an annual request to adjust the maximum allowable rates that can be charged to its customers effective July 1 of each year." [City of Cerritos, Agenda Report, 8/26/21]

He's Also Backed By Republican Leaders That Extended Trump's Costly Tariffs That Are Costing The Average Family More Than $2,500.

Vo Was Endorsed By Republican Representatives Ken Calvert And Young Kim

Vo Was Endorsed By Republican Representatives Ken Calvert And Young Kim. [Vo 4 Congress, Endorsements, accessed 8/28/26]

[Vo 4 Congress, Endorsements, accessed 8/28/26]

Calvert And Kim Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada

Calvert Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Calvert voted against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada." The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, Vote #65, 2/11/26; CQ, 2/11/26]

Kim Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Kim voted against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada." The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, Vote #65, 2/11/26; CQ, 2/11/26]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump's Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** "The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president's tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House's first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump's trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy." [New York Times, 2/11/26]

Calvert And Kim Voted To Keep Trump's Tariffs In Place

Calvert Voted To Keep Trump's Tariffs In Place. In February 2026, Calvert voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #60, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26]

Kim Voted To Keep Trump's Tariffs In Place. In February 2026, Kim voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6

executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #60, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, 2/10/26]

2026: The Joint Economic Committee Estimated That Trump's Tariffs Would Cost American Families More Than $2,500 A Year On Average

Joint Economic Committee Minority: "American Families Will Pay More Than $2,500 Each In Tariff Costs In 2026." "Even though the Supreme Court ruled that the bulk of President Trump's tariff agenda was illegal, the administration moved immediately to enact new tariffs that Treasury Secretary Bessent claims will result in 'virtually unchanged tariff revenue in 2026'. If Bessent's estimates hold true, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority estimates that American families will pay more than $2,500 each in tariff costs in 2026. Building on its previous tariff cost estimates, the Committee combined official data from the U.S. Treasury Department on the amount of tariff revenue collected since the start of 2025 with independent estimates from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office of the percent of each tariff dollar that is ultimately paid by American consumers. The Committee found that if tariff revenue stays at the level recorded in January 2026 for the rest of the year, American families would in total pay more than $330 billion in tariff costs in 2026, an average of more than $2,500 per household in tariff costs this year. This is substantially higher than the more than $1,700 in average tariff costs that the JEC – Minority previously estimated that families paid during the first year of Trump's second term." [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Fact Sheet, March 2026]

- **January 2025-February 2026: Trump's Tariffs Cost Americans An Average Of More Than $1,700 Per Family.** "Following the Supreme Court's decision today to overturn Trump's tariffs, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority released an updated report estimating that American families have already paid more than $1,700 each in tariff costs since President Trump took office. Combining Treasury Department data on the amount of tariff revenue collected across the first year of Trump's term with independent estimates from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office of the percent of each tariff dollar that is paid by American consumers, the Committee found that they paid more than $231 billion in total tariff costs between February 2025 and January 2026, an average of more than $1,700 per family." [Joint Economic Committee Minority, 2/26/26]