

Carrie Buck Overview Backup

Carrie Buck is another self-serving politician putting her corrupt special interest donors and her party first at the expense of Southern Nevada.

Carrie Buck Is Another Self-Serving Politician Putting Her Corrupt Special Interest Donors And Her Party First At The Expense Of Southern Nevada

Backup Below

Carrie Buck Message #1 Backup

In the legislature, Buck used her position to benefit herself and help her special interest donors price gouge Nevadans. Buck took tens of thousands from the big drug and insurance companies and then voted to protect their profits and let them jack up prices when people get sick. She voted against capping the price of prescription drugs and even to let insurance companies use artificial intelligence to deny patients coverage for their health care.

In The Legislature, Buck Used Her Position To Benefit Herself And Help Her Special Interest Donors Price Gouge Nevadans

Buck Voted In Favor Of Charter Schools While Serving As The President Of A Charter School Network

2018-Present: Buck Was President Of The Pinecrest Foundation, A Charter School Network Where She Raised Private Money For Its Chain Of Schools

2018: Buck Was Elevated To President Of The Pinecrest Academy Of Nevada Foundation After Working As Executive Director Of Pinecrest Academy of Nevada. “Agenda Item: 3 – Acknowledgement and Acceptance of Change in Position of Dr. Carrie Buck as Executive Director of Pinecrest Academy of Nevada to the President of the Pinecrest Academy of Nevada Foundation.” [Pinecrest Academy of Nevada, Board of Directors, Meeting Minutes, page 3, [10/10/18](#)]

2025: Buck Remained President Of The Pinecrest Foundation When She Announced Her Bid For Congress. “State Sen. Carrie Buck (R-Henderson) launched a bid for the Congressional District 1 seat held by Rep. Dina Titus (D-NV) on Tuesday, pledging to advance President Donald Trump’s agenda and ‘renew the American Dream.’ She currently serves as the president of the Pinecrest Foundation, a registered nonprofit organization that supports charter school educational initiatives, including scholarships for students. She is married to a retired Henderson Deputy Police Chief and has 4 children.” [Nevada Independent, [8/5/25](#)]

2018-Present: As President, Buck Raised Private Money For The Pinecrest Foundation’s Chain Of Charter Schools. “Buck, a Clark County School District principal turned charter school leader, is currently president of The Pinecrest Foundation, where she raises private money for the Pinecrest network of charter schools.” [Nevada Current, [3/26/21](#)]

2025: Buck Voted Against Legislation To Ban Charter Schools From Contracting With Education Management Organizations (EMOs)

May 2025: Buck Voted Against S.B. 318. In May 2025 Buck voted against Senate Bill 318: “AN ACT relating to education; revising provisions relating to projects for the new construction, repair or reconstruction of certain buildings, facilities and property of a charter school; providing administrative penalties; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” The bill passed the Senate (13-8) and stalled Assembly Committee on Education. [Nevada Legislature, [S.B. 318](#), [5/29/25](#)]

- **S.B. 318 Would Have Banned Charter Schools From Contracting With For-Profit Education Management Organizations (EMOs).** “Democratic state Sen. Skip Daly is sponsoring legislation that would put an end to what he sees as a loophole that allows for-profit companies to use taxpayer dollars to build quasi-public schools while skirting Nevada’s prevailing wage laws. But his Senate Bill 318 goes further and bans charter schools from contracting with for-profit education management organizations (EMOs) altogether. [...] The education committee’s Republicans were critical of the proposal. State Sen. Carrie Buck, who is the executive director of Pinecrest Academies of Nevada, told Daly he ‘needs to be truthful’ and implied he was either misinformed or lying about how charter schools are set up and run. Minority Leader Robin Titus questioned his characterization of EMOs as running a ‘scheme.’” [Nevada Current, [3/20/25](#)]
- **Nevada Current: Buck Implied The Sponsor Of S.B. 318 Was Either Misinformed Or Lying About How Charter Schools Are Set Up And Run.** “The education committee’s Republicans were critical of the proposal. State Sen. Carrie Buck, who is the executive director of Pinecrest Academies of Nevada, told Daly he ‘needs to be truthful’ and implied he was either misinformed or lying about how charter schools are set up and run. Minority Leader Robin Titus questioned his characterization of EMOs as running a ‘scheme.’” [Nevada Current, [3/20/25](#)]

Pinecrest Contracted With Academica Nevada, Which Was A Leading EMO For Nevada Charter Schools, And Received \$13.8 Million Annually In State-Funded Per Pupil Dollars

Pinecrest Was Under Contract With Academica Nevada, “A Branch Of Florida-Based Academica, The Largest For-Profit Educational Management Organization In The Country.” “More than half of Nevada’s approximately 55,000 charter school students are enrolled at schools contracted with the same private education management company, state data indicates. Lawmakers on the interim legislative committee on education received an informational presentation on Wednesday about ‘education management organizations,’ or EMOs — the private companies that receive millions of dollars in public funds each year but often go unscrutinized by the public and legislators alike. [...] Five EMOs are currently operating in Nevada, according to Charter School Authority presentation materials. But the industry has one clear leader. Academica Nevada is contracted with the Doral, Mater, Pinecrest and Somerset academies, as well CIVICA and Sports Leadership and Management Academy (SLAM). Altogether these Academica Nevada schools enroll 30,737 students, or 55% of the total charter school population, according to 2021 enrollment data from the Nevada Department of Education. Academica Nevada is a branch of Florida-based Academica, the largest for-profit educational management organization in the country.” [Nevada Current, [2/18/22](#)]

Nevada Independent: Academica Nevada The “One Clear Leader” In Contract With Nevada Charter Schools. “Five EMOs are currently operating in Nevada, according to Charter School Authority presentation materials. But the industry has one clear leader. Academica Nevada is contracted with the Doral, Mater, Pinecrest and Somerset academies, as well CIVICA and Sports Leadership and Management Academy (SLAM). Altogether these Academica Nevada schools enroll 30,737 students, or

55% of the total charter school population, according to 2021 enrollment data from the Nevada Department of Education.” [Nevada Current, [2/18/22](#)]

Academica Nevada Was Estimated To Take \$13.8 Million Annually In Per Pupil Dollars Allotted By State Funding. “Charter School Authority Executive Director Rebecca Feiden said that EMO and CMO fees typically range from 10 to 15% of the per-pupil allotment given to the charter school by the state. She noted that industry leader Academica Nevada charges \$450 per student, which works out to about 6% of the school’s guaranteed per-pupil dollars. With more than 30,500 students enrolled in Academia Nevada schools during this current school year, that would mean an estimated \$13.8 million is going to the EMO annually.” [Nevada Current, [2/18/22](#)]

March 2021: Buck Sponsored A Bill For The State To Subsidize Upkeep Of Charter Schools’ Facilities With A Multi-Million-Dollar Corporate Tax Credit Program

March 2021: Buck Sponsored A Bill That Would Fund Charter Schools’ Facilities With A Corporate Tax Credit Program. In 2021, Buck sponsored S.B. 333: “AN ACT relating to taxation; establishing a credit against the modified business tax and the general tax on insurance premiums for a taxpayer who donates money to a charter school tax credit organization; providing for the appointment of a charter school tax credit organization to distribute donations to eligible charter schools; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” The bill did not leave committee. [Nevada Legislature, [S.B. 333](#), sponsored 3/21/21]

- **2021: S.B. 333 Would Have Raised \$10 Million In Public Funding For A Corporate Tax Credit Program Benefitting Charter Schools.** “Senate Bill 333 would raise \$10 million in public funding for charter school facilities by creating a corporate tax credit program. Businesses would donate money to a charter school facilities fund in exchange for a credit on their modified business tax. The money collected would then be distributed to charter schools based on the number of students enrolled who qualify for free or reduced lunch.” [Nevada Current, [3/26/21](#)]
- **The Corporate Tax Credit Program Proposed Would Have Allowed Businesses To “Donate Money To A Charter School Facilities Fund In Exchange For A Credit On Their Modified Business Tax.”** Senate Bill 333 would raise \$10 million in public funding for charter school facilities by creating a corporate tax credit program. Businesses would donate money to a charter school facilities fund in exchange for a credit on their modified business tax. The money collected would then be distributed to charter schools based on the number of students enrolled who qualify for free or reduced lunch.” [Nevada Current, [3/26/21](#)]

Buck Voted Against Tenant Protection Laws While Being A Landlord Herself

Buck And Her Husband Were Landlords Who Owned Multiple Properties In Nevada

2026: Buck Reported Owning Two Rental Properties. [U.S. House Clerk, 2026 Carrie Buck Financial Disclosure, Filed [8/13/26](#)]

2026: Buck Reported Between \$20,004 And \$60,000 Worth Of Rental Income On Her Federal Financial Disclosure. [U.S. House Clerk, 2026 Carrie Buck Financial Disclosure, Filed [8/13/26](#)]

2026: Nevada Current: Buck And Her Husband Were Landlords Who “Own And Rent Out Properties In Las Vegas And Carson City.” “Buck and her husband are landlords themselves.

According to her state and federal campaign finance disclosures, they own and rent out properties in Las Vegas and Carson City.” [Nevada Current, [7/29/26](#)]

Buck Voted Capping Rent Increases At 10% For People Older Than 62 Or Who Relied On Disability Insurance Benefits

May 2023: Buck Voted Against A.B. 298, Which Would Cap Rent Increases On Seniors And Vulnerable Communities And Require Landlords To Refund Application Fees Under Certain Circumstances. In May 2023, Buck voted against: “AN ACT relating to real property; requiring, under certain circumstances, a landlord who collects from a prospective tenant any fee to apply to rent a dwelling unit to return such fees; prohibiting a landlord from collecting certain application fees for a minor in the household of a prospective tenant; requiring any written agreement for the use and occupancy of a dwelling unit or premises to contain separate appendices relating to fees and tenant rights; making it unlawful for a landlord or certain other persons to charge a tenant certain fees; temporarily prohibiting a landlord from entering into a rental agreement with certain existing tenants that increases the rent due from the tenant by more than a certain amount; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” The legislation passed the Senate 12-8. [Nevada Legislature, [A.B. 298, 5/23/23](#)]

- **A.B. 298 Was Legislation To Cap Rent Increases At 10% For People Older Than 62 Or Who Rely On Disability Insurance Benefits And Require Landlords To Refund Application Fees For Unscreened Tenants.** “Prior to Friday’s mass veto, Lombardo already killed Assembly Bill 298, which sought to cap rent increases at 10% for people older than 62 or who rely on disability insurance benefits. The bill would have required landlords to refund application fees for unscreened tenants and include an appendix that outlines all the fees associated with renting the place. In his veto message, Lombardo called the legislation ‘needlessly heavy handed’ and ‘an unreasonable restraint on standard business activity.’” [Nevada Current, [6/19/23](#)]
- **Governor Lombardo Vetoed A.B. 298.** “Prior to Friday’s mass veto, Lombardo already killed Assembly Bill 298, which sought to cap rent increases at 10% for people older than 62 or who rely on disability insurance benefits. The bill would have required landlords to refund application fees for unscreened tenants and include an appendix that outlines all the fees associated with renting the place. In his veto message, Lombardo called the legislation ‘needlessly heavy handed’ and ‘an unreasonable restraint on standard business activity.’” [Nevada Current, [6/19/23](#)]

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 280, The 2025 Version Of The 2023 Rent Cap Bill

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 280. In May 2025, Buck voted against A.B. 280: “AN ACT relating to real property; requiring, under certain circumstances, a landlord who collects from a prospective tenant any fee to apply to rent a dwelling unit to return such fees; prohibiting a landlord from collecting certain application fees for a minor in the household of a prospective tenant; requiring any written agreement for the use and occupancy of a dwelling unit or premises to contain separate appendices relating to fees and tenant rights; making it unlawful for a landlord or certain other persons to charge a tenant certain fees; temporarily prohibiting a landlord from entering into a rental agreement with certain existing tenants that increases the rent due from the tenant by more than a certain amount; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” A.B. 280 passed the Senate by a vote of 13 to 8, and was later vetoed by Governor Lombardo. [Nevada Legislature, [A.B. 280, 5/21/25](#)]

- **A.B. 280 Would Have “Limited Landlords From Raising Rent More Than 5% On Tenants 62 Years Or Older.”** “Assembly Bill 280, which would have limited landlords from raising rents more than 5% on tenants 62 years or older, and Assembly Bill 283, which flipped Nevada’s summary

eviction process to align with the rest of the country by requiring landlords be the first to file with courts first, were among those brought back. AB 280 also required landlords to refund application fees if they don't screen a tenant who applied for the unit." [Nevada Current, [6/16/25](#)]

A.B. 280 "Revive[d] A Similar Effort From 2023 To Limit Landlords From Raising Rents More Than 5% On Tenants 62 Years Or Older." "Assembly Bill 280, which passed out of the Assembly Commerce and Labor Committee last week on a party line vote, revives a similar effort from 2023 to limit landlords from raising rents more than 5% on tenants 62 years or older or relies on Social Security payments through the end of 2026. This year's bill, sponsored by Democratic Assemblymember Sandra Jauregui, also requires landlords to refund application fees if they don't screen a tenant who applied for the unit. Republican Gov. Joe Lombardo vetoed the 2023 version of the bill saying the legislation was admirable but 'needlessly heavy-handed in its approach.' Nevada Realtors, who typically oppose expanded tenant protections, backed the bill during the 2023 session." [Nevada Current, [4/10/25](#)]

April 2023: Buck Voted Against S.B. 335, Which Prevented Tenants Waiting For Rental Assistance From Being Evicted For 60 Days While Applications Were Processed

April 2023: Buck Voted Against S.B. 335. In April 2023, Buck voted against S.B. 335: "AN ACT relating to property; authorizing tenants subject to certain actions for summary eviction to request that the court stay the action until a decision concerning an application for rental assistance is made and establishing procedures relating thereto; requiring a landlord to accept payment of rent from a tenant and rental assistance on behalf of a tenant under certain circumstances; authorizing a justice court to establish a diversion program for certain tenants subject to an action for summary eviction; and providing other matters properly relating thereto." The legislation passed the Senate 12-9. [Nevada Legislature, [S.B. 335, 4/25/23](#)]

- **Nevada Current: S.B. 335 Prevented Tenants Waiting For Rental Assistance From Being Evicted For 60 Days While Applications Were Processed.** "Senate Bill 335 would prevent tenants waiting for rental assistance from being evicted for 60 days while applications are processed, and would extend some protections passed in 2021." [Nevada Current, [5/4/23](#)]

April 2023: Buck Voted Against S.B. 78, A Bill That Prevented Landlords From Collecting Unlimited Application Fees On A Single Unit

April 2023: Buck Voted Against S.B. 78. In April 2023, Buck voted against S.B. 78: "AN ACT establishing and revising various definitions relating to property; establishing provisions relating to fees charged by landlords to prospective tenants; prohibiting landlords from transferring, selling, assigning or reporting to certain agencies information concerning amounts owed by tenants to landlords; establishing provisions relating to circumstances under which a landlord changes his or her agent, broker or property management company; requiring a rental agreement to include separate appendices that contain certain information; making it unlawful for a landlord or certain other persons to charge a tenant certain fees; making various changes relating to fees, fines, deposits and costs paid by tenants; requiring rental agreements to include a grace period for the late payment of rent; requiring a tenant to be served with advance notice of increases in certain fees, fines and costs; revising provisions relating to agents of attorneys who serve certain notices relating to evictions; revising provisions relating to representation in small claim actions; and providing other matters properly relating thereto." The legislation passed 14-7. [Nevada Legislature, [S.B. 78, 4/25/23](#)]

- **ACLU Nevada: "SB 78 Is Seeking To Prevent Landlords From Collecting Unlimited Application Fees On A Single Unit By Prohibiting Charging Fees To Other Prospective Tenants"**

‘Unless The Application Or Applications For That Dwelling Unit Have Been Denied.’”

“Democratic state Sen. Fabian Doñate, through Senate Bill 78, is seeking to prevent landlords from collecting unlimited application fees on a single unit by prohibiting charging fees to other prospective tenants ‘unless the application or applications for that dwelling unit have been denied.’ The bill would require landlords to list fees either on the front page of the application or in an addendum that would be signed by landlords.” [ACLU Nevada, [5/1/23](#)]

Buck Took Tens Of Thousands From The Big Drug And Insurance Companies And Then Voted To Protect Their Profits

2020-2024: Buck Received \$20,000 In Contributions From Health Care Corporations

September 2020-November 2024: Buck Received \$20,000 In Contributions From The Health Care Industry. [Nevada Secretary of State, Carrie Buck CE Reports, [2022](#); [2023](#); [2024](#); [2024 Report 4](#)]

Carrie Buck Notable Contributions From The Health Care Industry		
Contributing Entity	Receipt Date	Contribution Amount
United Health Group	9/29/20	\$1,000
Anthem Inc.	9/30/20	\$2,000
Davita	10/31/23	\$500
United Health Group Inc	12/5/23	\$2,500
Molina Health Care Inc	12/31/23	\$1,000
Prominence Management Services	5/17/24	\$2,500
Centene Management Company LLC	6/15/24	\$1,500
Valley Health System LLC	7/15/24	\$2,000
UnitedHealth Group Inc	10/17/24	\$3,000
Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield	10/24/24	\$1,000
Davita	10/31/24	\$500
Select Health	11/21/24	\$2,500
TOTAL:		\$20,000

[Nevada Secretary of State, Carrie Buck CE Reports, [2022](#); [2023](#); [2024](#); [2024 Report 4](#)]

2021-2024: Buck Received \$13,000 In Contributions From The Pharmaceutical Industry

November 2021-November 2024: Buck Accepted \$13,000 In Contributions From Pharmaceutical Companies. [Nevada Secretary of State, Carrie Buck CE Reports, [2022](#); [2023](#); [2024](#); [2024 Report 4](#)]

Carrie Buck Notable Pharmaceutical Industry Contributions		
Contributing Entity	Receipt Date	Contribution Amount
PhRMA	11/5/21	\$1,000
PhRMA	10/9/23	\$2,000
Pfizer	12/18/23	\$1,000
Gilead Sciences Inc	12/26/23	\$750
PhRMA	5/13/24	\$2,000
PhRMA	5/13/24	\$3,000
Fresenius Medical Care North America (FMCNA)	8/23/24	\$750
Sanofi US Services Inc	10/21/24	\$1,000
Pfizer	10/31/24	\$500

Gilead Sciences Inc	11/4/24	\$500
Zeneca	11/8/24	\$500
TOTAL:		\$13,000

[Nevada Secretary of State, Carrie Buck CE Reports, [2022](#); [2023](#); [2024](#); [2024 Report 4](#)]

And Let Them Jack Up Prices When People Get Sick

May 2023: Buck Voted Against Capping Prescription Drug Costs At Medicare Rates For All Nevadans Regardless Of Their Age

May 2023: Buck Voted Against Capping Prescription Drugs At Medicare Rates For All Nevadans Regardless Of Their Age

May 2023: Buck Voted Against A.B. 250. In May 2023, Buck voted against A.B. 250: “AN ACT relating to health care; prohibiting certain actions related to pricing and reimbursement for certain drugs; creating a cause of action for violating such prohibitions; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” [Nevada Legislature, [A.B. 250](#), [5/26/23](#)]

- **A.B. 250 Would Have Capped Costs For Prescription Drugs At Medicare Rates For All Nevadans Regardless Of Their Age.** “When Lombardo vetoed Assembly Bill 250 in June, he rejected a plan that would have capped the costs of many prescription drugs at Medicare rates for all Nevadans, regardless of their age.” [Nevada Current, [9/15/23](#)]
- **Nevada Current: “AB 250 Would Have Helped Nevadans Afford Medications To Get Healthy And Stay Healthy, To Manage Chronic Conditions And To Recover From Injuries And Illnesses.”** “When Lombardo vetoed Assembly Bill 250 in June, he rejected a plan that would have capped the costs of many prescription drugs at Medicare rates for all Nevadans, regardless of their age. Doctors across Nevada had repeatedly called for passage of the legislation and urged Lombardo to sign AB 250 so patients squeezed by skyrocketing health care costs would get some relief. [...] These medicare drug pricing negotiations are an essential component of our nation’s efforts to make health care more affordable. This step is expected to reduce prices for certain drugs by 25 to 60 percent, depending on the age of the drug. However, these price caps will only impact people who qualify for Medicare. By extending these negotiated price caps to non-Medicare recipients in Nevada, AB 250 would have provided savings on prescription drugs to Nevadans younger than 65 who do not qualify yet for Medicare. AB 250 would have helped Nevadans afford medications to get healthy and stay healthy, to manage chronic conditions and to recover from injuries and illnesses.” [Nevada Current, [9/15/23](#)]

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 44, Which Expanded A State Law Making Knowingly Deceptive Price Fixing Illegal For Essential Goods And Services

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 44, Which Cracked Down On Price Manipulation. In May 2025, Buck voted against: “AN ACT relating to unfair trade practices; revising the list of activities that constitute an unlawful contract, combination or conspiracy in restraint of trade to include manipulating the price of an essential good or service in this State; providing a penalty; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” The legislation passed 14-7. [Nevada Legislature, [A.B. 44](#), [5/22/25](#)]


A.B. 44 Sought To Expand The State's Existing Unfair Trade Practice Act To Include Knowingly Deceptive Price Fixing Of Essential Goods And Services Including Pharmaceutical And Other Medical Products

A.B. 44 Sought To Expand The State's Existing Unfair Trade Practice Act To Include Knowingly Deceptive Price Fixing Of Essential Goods And Services Including Housing, Food, Internet Service, Ground Transportation, And Pharmaceutical And Other Medical Products. “Assembly Bill 44 sought to expand the state’s existing Unfair Trade Practice Act to include knowingly deceptive price fixing of essential goods and services, defining those goods as things ‘needed on a daily or recurring basis for the livelihood of a person.’ The list of essential goods defined by the bill included housing, food, internet service, ground transportation, and pharmaceutical and other medical products. ‘Let’s be clear about what this veto means,’ said Ford, who has indicated he hopes to take Lombardo’s job away from him in next year’s election, in a statement Monday. ‘It means fewer tools to hold bad corporations accountable. It means fewer protections for your wallet. And it means more power for the people who rig the rules against all of us in the Nevada family.’” [Nevada Current, [6/9/25](#)]

Buck Opposed The Affordable Care Act, Which Protected 487,000 Nevada Residents With Pre-Existing Conditions And Decreased Health Insurance Premiums Across The Board

Buck Opposed The Affordable Care Act

Buck Opposed The Affordable Care Act, Lamenting That Americans Were “Forced To Have It.” “Wait, Congress doesn’t want Obamacare? Yet, Americans are forced to have it. This is an example of hypocrisy, kids.” [Carrie Ann Buck, EdD, Twitter, [12/18/24](#)]



[Carrie Ann Buck, Twitter, [12/18/24](#)]

Repealing The Affordable Care Act Would End Coverage Protections For 487,000 Nevada Residents With Pre-Existing Conditions

2014: The Affordable Care Act Barred Insurance Companies From Denying Coverage Or Charging Higher Premiums To People With Pre-Existing Conditions

NPR: Before The Affordable Care Act, It Was Legal For Health Insurers To Deny Coverage To People With Pre-Existing Conditions. “A ‘preexisting condition’ refers to any health issue someone has before they sign up for a health insurance policy — from high blood pressure to pregnancy to allergies. Before the Affordable Care Act, it was perfectly legal for health insurers to deny coverage to people with such conditions. An estimate from the Kaiser Family Foundation suggests that about 54 million people

have conditions that — without legal protections — could prompt health insurers to deny them policies. Polls show this is one of the most popular provisions of the law, across the political spectrum.” [NPR, [10/27/20](#)]

January 2014: ACA Regulations Preventing Insurance Companies From Refusing To Cover Costs Related To Pre-Existing Conditions Went Into Effect. “Insurers pursue multiple strategies to reduce the cost of covering enrollees with pre-existing conditions, or medical conditions and health problems that existed before the individual enrolled in a health plan. One strategy, the pre-existing condition exclusion, allows insurers to refuse to cover any costs associated with care for a pre-existing condition permanently or over a period of time. Beginning January 1, 2014, insurers in the individual and group markets will be prohibited from imposing pre-existing condition exclusions under the Affordable Care Act. This new fact sheet provides an overview of how pre-existing condition exclusions are regulated under current law, and how the ACA will change those regulations in 2014, enabling consumers to access necessary benefits and services beginning from their first day of coverage.” [KFF, [10/8/12](#)]

2014: The Affordable Care Act Barred Insurers From Charging People With Pre-Existing Conditions Higher Premiums. “Beginning in 2014, the ACA barred insurers in the individual market from charging people higher premiums because of their health status or health conditions. Insurers can only adjust an individual’s premium by specific factors: age (within limits), geographic area, and tobacco use (also within limits). Before the ACA, people in the individual market frequently paid much higher premiums if they had pre-existing conditions. Often, a ‘standard’ premium rate would be established for a particular insurance product, and people could be charged a higher premium (‘rated up’) if they had health conditions or get a reduced premium (‘rated down’) if they were healthy.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [10/5/20](#)]

2019: 487,000 Nevada Residents Had Declinable Pre-Existing Conditions If The Affordable Care Act Were Repealed

2019: KFF Estimated 487,000 Non-Elderly Nevada Residents, Or 26% Of The Non-Elderly Population, Had Declinable Pre-Existing Conditions. [KFF, [10/4/19](#)]

2019: Number Of Nevada Residents With Declinable Pre-Existing Conditions Without The Affordable Care Act		
State	Number Of Non-Elderly Adults	Percent Of Non-Elderly Population
Nevada	487,000	26%

[KFF, [10/4/19](#)]

2020: Center For American Progress: If The ACA Were Repealed, 282,000 People In Nevada Would Lose Their Health Coverage, Raising The State’s Uninsured Rate Among The Non-Elderly From 13.8 Percent To 24.1 Percent. “A ruling that invalidates the ACA or eliminates core features of the law would have a devastating effect on American families. Select your state from the drop-down list below to see some of the harms that would result from ACA repeal. [...] 282,000 people in Nevada would lose coverage, raising the state's uninsured rate among the nonelderly population from 13.8 percent to 24.1 percent.” [Center for American Progress, [3/2/20](#)]

Repealing The ACA Would Likely Lead To Higher Premiums For All Consumers, Especially Impacting Older Americans And Americans Under 26

USA Today: ACA Repeal Would Likely Mean “All Consumers Would Take A Huge Financial Hit” Since “Insurers Would Probably Recoup Their Losses By Charging Higher Premiums.”

“Republicans may not agree with President Obama's State of the Union call to drop the idea of repealing the Affordable Care Act, but health experts say the law has taken such hold that it may be impossible to get rid of it. The consequences of repeal, health care officials and industry analysts say, go beyond the fact that 9 million people would suddenly lose their insurance or that anyone with a pre-existing condition would either lose insurance or pay much higher premiums. All consumers would take a huge financial hit, because health care costs would continue to rise, and insurers would probably recoup their losses by charging higher premiums.” [USA Today, [2/1/14](#)]

AARP: If The Affordable Care Act Were Fully Repealed, The Health Care Market Would Return To When “Insurance Companies Routinely Charged Older People Much Higher Amounts Than Younger People For The Same Coverage.” “Prior to enactment of the ACA, many older adults ages 50–64 who were not old enough to be eligible for Medicare but who did not have coverage through an employer had difficulty obtaining health insurance coverage on their own in the individual market. If coverage was available, older adults were often charged much higher premiums due to their age or a preexisting condition, making it unaffordable and out of reach. [...] Consequently, insurance companies routinely charged older people much higher amounts than younger people for the same coverage in the individual health insurance market.” [AARP, [1/2017](#)]

- **The ACA Prevented Insurers From Charging Older Customers More Than Three Times What They Charge Younger Adults.** “Raises premiums for older people. The Affordable Care Act limited insurers from charging older customers more than three times what they charge younger adults. The House bill would raise that to five times. This may enable younger consumers to find cheaper coverage, but older policyholders would face higher rates.” [Huffington Post, [3/6/17](#)]

Repealing The ACA Would End The Guarantee That People Under 26 Can Stay On Their Parents’ Health Insurance, Which Cut The Uninsured Rate For Young Adults In Half After The ACA’s Implementation. “Repealing the ACA could have devastating consequences for young adults. Prior to the ACA, young adults were uninsured at a higher rate than any other age group. However, thanks to the ACA’s various coverage provisions, the young adult uninsured rate has been cut in half since 2010. One of the law’s most popular components allows young people to stay on a parent’s health plan until they are 26. This dependent coverage provision has helped millions of young people gain access to health insurance during a volatile age range when many are pursuing higher education; beginning their careers; or working in part-time or contract jobs that do not offer benefits. It has also helped young adults secure more comprehensive coverage than many were previously able to access through student health plans available prior to the ACA. The law made important strides in boosting the quality of these policies by requiring that they cover preexisting conditions; banning annual and lifetime limits; and providing preventive care at no out-of-pocket cost.” [Center for American Progress, [10/1/20](#)]

She Voted Against Capping The Price Of Prescription Drugs

May 2023: Buck Voted Against Capping Prescription Drug Costs At Medicare Rates For All Nevadans Regardless Of Their Age

May 2023: Buck Voted Against Capping Prescription Drugs At Medicare Rates For All Nevadans Regardless Of Their Age

May 2023: Buck Voted Against A.B. 250. In May 2023, Buck voted against A.B. 250: “AN ACT relating to health care; prohibiting certain actions related to pricing and reimbursement for certain drugs;

creating a cause of action for violating such prohibitions; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” [Nevada Legislature, [A.B. 250](#), [5/26/23](#)]

- **A.B. 250 Would Have Capped Costs For Prescription Drugs At Medicare Rates For All Nevadans Regardless Of Their Age.** “When Lombardo vetoed Assembly Bill 250 in June, he rejected a plan that would have capped the costs of many prescription drugs at Medicare rates for all Nevadans, regardless of their age.” [Nevada Current, [9/15/23](#)]
- **Nevada Current: “AB 250 Would Have Helped Nevadans Afford Medications To Get Healthy And Stay Healthy, To Manage Chronic Conditions And To Recover From Injuries And Illnesses.”** “When Lombardo vetoed Assembly Bill 250 in June, he rejected a plan that would have capped the costs of many prescription drugs at Medicare rates for all Nevadans, regardless of their age. Doctors across Nevada had repeatedly called for passage of the legislation and urged Lombardo to sign AB 250 so patients squeezed by skyrocketing health care costs would get some relief. [...] These medicare drug pricing negotiations are an essential component of our nation’s efforts to make health care more affordable. This step is expected to reduce prices for certain drugs by 25 to 60 percent, depending on the age of the drug. However, these price caps will only impact people who qualify for Medicare. By extending these negotiated price caps to non-Medicare recipients in Nevada, AB 250 would have provided savings on prescription drugs to Nevadans younger than 65 who do not qualify yet for Medicare. AB 250 would have helped Nevadans afford medications to get healthy and stay healthy, to manage chronic conditions and to recover from injuries and illnesses.” [Nevada Current, [9/15/23](#)]

And Even To Let Insurance Companies Use Artificial Intelligence To Deny Patients Coverage For Their Health Care

January 2025: Buck Voted Against Prohibiting Insurance Companies From Solely Using AI Or Other Automated Decision Tools To Deny Prior Authorization Requests For Medical Or Dental Care

January 2025: Buck Voted Against A Bill That That Would Prohibit Health Insurance Companies From Solely Using Artificial Intelligence Or An Automated Decision Tool To Deny Prior Authorization Requests For Medical Or Dental Care. In March 2025, Buck voted against S.B. 128: “AN ACT relating to health care; prescribing requirements governing the denial of requests for prior authorization; making certain legislative findings and declarations; encouraging licensed physicians, physician assistants, advanced practice registered nurses and osteopathic physicians to discuss with patients in certain circumstances information relating to stem cell treatment, storage and donation; and providing other matters properly relating thereto. [...] Existing law authorizes certain health insurers to require an insured to obtain prior authorization from the insurer before receiving certain care. (NRS 687B.225) Sections 1, 4 and 5 of this bill prohibit certain health insurers, including insurance for public employees, from solely using, employing or relying upon an artificial intelligence system or an automated decision tool to: (1) deny a request for prior authorization for medical or dental care; (2) modify a request for prior authorization for such care submitted by a provider of health care; (3) reduce the scope of services or amount of coverage for such care that is included within a request for prior authorization; or (4) terminate, reduce or modify coverage for previously approved medical or dental care.” The bill passed both houses in the legislature but was later vetoed by Governor Lombardo. [Nevada Legislature, [S.B. 128](#), [1/29/25](#)]

- **S.B. 128 Required “Insurers Approve A Prior Authorization Request For Covered Care Unless A Qualified, Licensed Health Care Professional Determines The Care Is Not Necessary, Experimental Or Investigational.”** “Sen. Dina Neal’s (D-North Las Vegas) measure to ban medical and dental insurers from using artificial intelligence (AI) to deny medical insurance requests, SB128, passed out of the Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor with all Republicans on the committee voting against it. A conceptual amendment proposed by Neal on Friday tweaks the bill’s wording to make it so insurers shall not ‘solely’ use or rely upon an AI system or automated decision tool to deny a prior authorization request (a request to have insurance cover certain medical services) or modify a request for medical or dental care, among other requirements. The bill also requires that insurers approve a prior authorization request for covered care unless a qualified, licensed health care professional determines the care is not necessary, experimental or investigational.” [Nevada Independent, [5/16/25](#)]

Carrie Buck Message #2 Backup

Buck is an anti-abortion extremist who would allow politicians to impose a near-total ban on abortion with no exceptions for victims of rape, incest, or when a woman's life is at risk, and is backed by extremists who want to make abortion illegal nationwide, including in Nevada. Buck voted against enshrining abortion rights in Nevada's constitution and even compared women who get abortions to Hitler.

Buck Is An Anti-Abortion Extremist Who Would Allow Politicians To Impose A Near-Total Ban On Abortion With No Exceptions For Victims Of Rape, Incest, Or When A Woman's Life Is At Risk

2023-2025: Buck Repeatedly Voted To Restrict Access To Reproductive Health Care, Including Voting Against Enshrining A “Fundamental Right To Reproductive Freedom” In The State Constitution

April 2023: Buck Voted Against S.J.R. 7, Enshrining Reproductive Freedom In Nevada’s State Constitution

April 2023: Buck Voted Against Enshrining Reproductive Freedom In The Nevada State Constitution. In April 2023 Buck voted against SJR7: “Proposing to amend the Nevada Constitution to establish certain rights relating to reproductive health. [...] Article 1 of the Nevada Constitution sets forth certain inalienable rights of an individual. (Nev. Const. Art. 1) This joint resolution proposes to amend the Nevada Constitution by adding a new section to Article 1 which: (1) guarantees each individual in this State a fundamental right to reproductive freedom; (2) authorizes the State to regulate abortion care after fetal viability with certain exceptions; and (3) prevents the State from penalizing, prosecuting or taking any other adverse action against an individual or entity for exercising the right to reproductive freedom or for aiding or assisting another individual in exercising his or her right to reproductive freedom. If this resolution is passed by the 2023 Legislature, it must also be passed by the next Legislature and then approved by the voters in an election before the proposed amendment to the Nevada Constitution becomes effective.” The legislation passed 13-8. [Nevada Legislature, [S.J.R. 7, 4/13/23](#)]

- **S.J.R. 7 Was A Constitutional Amendment To Guarantee Each Individual In Nevada “A Fundamental Right To Reproductive Freedom.”** In the Nevada Legislature, SJR7 proposed: “to amend the Nevada Constitution to establish certain rights relating to reproductive health. [...] Article

1 of the Nevada Constitution sets forth certain inalienable rights of an individual. (Nev. Const. Art. 1) This joint resolution proposes to amend the Nevada Constitution by adding a new section to Article 1 which: (1) guarantees each individual in this State a fundamental right to reproductive freedom.” [Nevada Legislature, [S.J.R. 7, 4/13/23](#)]

May 2025: Buck Voted Against Legislation That Established The Right To Assisted Reproductive Treatments Like IVF, And Required Insurance To Provide Coverage For Some Services


May 2025: Buck Voted Against S.B. 217. In April 2025, Buck voted against S.B. 217: “ AN ACT relating to health care; prohibiting a governmental entity from substantially burdening certain activity relating to assisted reproduction under certain circumstances; authorizing a person whose engagement in such activity has been so burdened to assert the violation as a claim or defense in a judicial proceeding; authorizing a court to award damages against a governmental entity that substantially burdens such activity in certain circumstances; providing certain immunity from civil and criminal liability and administrative sanctions for certain persons and entities involved in the provision of assisted reproduction; providing that a fertilized egg or human embryo that exists before implantation in a human uterus is not a person for legal purposes; requiring certain health insurers to authorize a pregnant person to enroll in a health plan during a specified period; requiring certain public and private health insurers to provide certain coverage for the treatment of infertility and fertility preservation; providing a penalty; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” The bill passed both chambers of the legislature and was vetoed by Governor Lombardo. [Nevada Legislature, [S.B. 217, 5/26/25](#)]

- **S.B. 217 Established The Right To Assisted Reproductive Treatments Like IVF, Intrauterine Insemination And The Donation Of Eggs And Embryos And Expanded Insurance Coverage For Some Services.** “Senate Bill 217, sponsored by Senate Majority Leader Nicole Cannizzaro and the rest of the Senate Democratic Caucus, establishes the right to assisted reproduction treatment, which includes in vitro fertilization (IVF) but also intrauterine insemination and the donation of eggs and embryos. The bill, among other things, requires many private and public insurance companies to cover some assisted reproduction treatment.” [Nevada Current, [3/25/25](#)]

Buck Supported The Federal Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, Which Threatened Health Care Providers With Jail Time

Buck Supported The Federal Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act

February 2018: Buck Implied She Supported The Federal Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act. Buck responded “Sick—newborn is an innocent life.” To a Tweet from Victor Joecks that read “The bill would criminalize abortion providers who murder babies after they have been born. Even if you support abortion, why oppose that? That's the question @repdinatitus, @RepJackyRosen and @RepKihuen need to answer” and included a link to an article about the federal Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act. [Carrie Ann Buck, EdD, [2/3/18](#)]



[Carrie Ann Buck, EdD, [2/3/18](#)]

“Born Alive” Bills Threatened Health Care Providers With Jail Time Based On False Claims That Perpetuated Myths About People Getting Abortions And The Providers Who Care For Them

Federal “Born Alive” Legislation Would Establish Criminal Penalties For Doctors Who Did Not Follow Existing Federal Law Requiring Medical Care Be Given To Infants In The Very Unlikely Event Of A Failed Abortion. “Live births during an abortion procedure are exceedingly rare, experts said, and federal law already requires that a baby who survives an attempted abortion receive emergency medical care. The new bill would clarify the standard of care to which doctors are held and lay out penalties for violators. Policy organizations supporting abortion rights said the measure was an effort to discourage women from seeking abortions and doctors from performing them.” [New York Times, [1/11/23](#)]

The So-Called “Born-Alive” Bill Would Penalize Doctors Who Violated It With A Fine And/Or Up To Five Years In Prison. “(b) Penalties.— (1) IN GENERAL.—Whoever violates subsection (a) shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both.” [Congress.gov, HR 26, Text, introduced [1/9/23](#)]

HuffPost Reported The Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act Was “Chock-Full Of Misinformation And Creates More Barriers To Care.” “The Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, which passed 220-210 on Wednesday, would require physicians to provide life-sustaining care to infants born after an attempted abortion and threatens doctors with criminal penalties if they don’t comply. [...] But similar to other anti-choice legislation, the bill is chock-full of misinformation and creates more barriers to care. Reproductive rights advocates and physicians critical of the bill argue that it’s nearly impossible for infants to be born alive during abortions later in pregnancy. Bills like this are also redundant: Murder is already illegal in the U.S. If that’s not enough, the rights of an infant or newborn are already protected by a 2002 law that codified that infants have the same rights as any other human.” [HuffPost, [1/11/23](#)]

Later Abortion Initiative: “‘Born-Alive’ Bills Are Based On False Claims That Create And Perpetuate Myths About People Getting Abortions And The Providers Who Care For Them; They Are A Transparent Attempt By Anti-Abortion Advocates To Further Reduce Abortion Access.” “The ‘Born-Alive Infants Protection Act’ of 2002 granted any infant born alive at any stage of development and by any method (natural or induced labor, cesarean section, or induced abortion) the

rights of a person. In the years since, Republican senators have proposed several ‘Born-Alive’ bills at the federal and state levels that attempt to expand on the 2002 law by mandating that physicians perform lifesaving medical interventions on those infants born alive after an abortion attempt and institute felony charges, incarceration, and/or steep fines for providers who do not comply and witnesses who fail to report them. The ‘Born-Alive’ bills are based on false claims that create and perpetuate myths about people getting abortions and the providers who care for them; they are a transparent attempt by anti-abortion advocates to further reduce abortion access. In mandating medical interventions for infants born alive after an abortion attempt, some of whom may have a condition that would result in neonatal death, ‘Born-Alive’ bills require clinicians to perform unnecessary medical procedures that often prevent families from spending what little time they may have with their infants bonding and providing comfort measures.” [Later Abortion Initiative, [August 2021](#)]

And Is Backed By Extremists Who Want To Make Abortion Illegal Nationwide, Including In Nevada

House Republican Leadership Endorsed Carrie Buck

Johnson, Scalise, Emmer, And McClain Endorsed Buck For Congress. “Joint House Republican leadership endorsement statement with @SteveScaliseGOP, @tomemmer, @LisaForCongress: Carrie Buck is an educator and conservative community leader who will help us continue to deliver safe streets, secure borders, lower costs, and peace through strength. As a Nevada state senator, former teacher and principal, and mom to four sons, including a Green Beret Army Ranger, Carrie will bring her experience to Congress to also defend parents’ rights, military families, and the principles that have made America the greatest nation on Earth. We are proud to ENDORSE @CarrieBuckforNV for Nevada’s 1st District, and look forward to working with her to defend and grow our House Republican majority and CONTINUE our American comeback!” [Mike Johnson, Twitter, [8/14/26](#)]



Johnson And Scalise Had Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act Which Was A Federal Abortion Ban That Would Make It Illegal In Nevada

Johnson Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act, Which Was A National Abortion Ban

2023: Johnson Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act. [H.R. 431, Cosponsors, [1/20/23](#)]

Scalise Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act, Which Was A National Abortion Ban

August 2013: Scalise Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act, Which Was A National Abortion Ban. [H.R.1091 - Life at Conception Act, Cosponsors, [8/2/13](#)]

The Life At Conception Act Was A Federal Abortion Ban

The Life At Conception Act Would Implement Equal Protection Of The Right To Life For “Each [...] Preborn Human Person.” “To implement equal protection under the 14th article of amendment to the Constitution for the right to life of each born and preborn human person. [...] To implement equal protection for the right to life of each born and preborn human person, and pursuant to the duty and authority of the Congress, including Congress’ power under article I, section 8, to make necessary and proper laws, and Congress’ power under section 5 of the 14th article of amendment to the Constitution of the United States, the Congress hereby declares that the right to life guaranteed by the Constitution is vested in each human being.” [Congress.gov, [1/20/23](#)]

The Life At Conception Act Did Not List Exceptions, Including Life Of Mother, Rape, Or Incest. [Congress.gov, [1/20/23](#)]

NARAL President: Life At Conception Act Could Be Used “To Really Attack Issues Like Contraception And Even Fertility Treatments Like IVF.” “Mini Timmaraju, President of NARAL, sees Mooney’s bill as a slippery slope. ‘I think life starts at conception is a line that’s used by extremist right-wing folks to really attack issues like contraception and even fertility treatments like IVF (in vitro fertilization). So, look, we know that that’s not based on science. However, that rhetoric is used to go after a much broader range of reproductive rights and services. So it’s really important that Americans understand what that’s code for. That’s code for going after your fundamental, basic, everyday medication like birth control. It’s code for going after something that we know more and more American women rely on to expand their families and to have children, which is IVF. It’s very dangerous. And we’re going to do everything we can to block any efforts at legislation that tries to do that,’ said Timmaraju.” [Gray DC, [1/25/23](#)]

A Federal Abortion Ban Would Supersede Nevada Law And Outlaw Abortion In Nevada

Article VI Of The U.S. Constitution Established That The Federal Constitution Takes Precedence Over State Laws And Constitution. “Article VI, Paragraph 2 of the U.S. Constitution is commonly referred to as the Supremacy Clause. It establishes that the federal constitution, and federal law generally, take precedence over state laws, and even state constitutions. It prohibits states from interfering with the federal government's exercise of its constitutional powers, and from assuming any functions that are exclusively entrusted to the federal government. It does not, however, allow the federal government to review or veto state laws before they take effect.” [Cornell Law School, Supremacy Clause, accessed [6/7/24](#)]

National Association Of Attorneys General: Under The Constitution’s Supremacy Clause, Federal Law Overrides Conflicting State Law. “One of the most important issues state attorney general offices litigate is whether federal law preempts particular state laws and enforcement actions. Under the



Constitution's Supremacy Clause, federal law is the 'supreme Law of the Land' and overrides conflicting state law." [National Association of Attorneys General, accessed [6/7/24](#)]

2020: Buck Was Endorsed By Nevada Right To Life, An Extreme Anti-Abortion Group That Supported A Ban On Abortion Without Exceptions For Rape Or Incest

2020: Buck Was Endorsed By Nevada Right To Life

2020: Buck Was Endorsed By Nevada Right To Life. [Nevada Right to Life, accessed [6/11/26](#)]

2020 Endorsements for Nevada's General Election

President: Donald J Trump

CD1: Kamau Bakari

CD2: Marc Amodei

CD3: Dan "Big Dan" Rodimer

CD4: Jim Marchant

Justice of the Supreme Court: Douglas Herndon

Senate District 4 – Esper Hickman

Senate District 5 – Carrie Buck

Senate District 6 – April Becker


Senate District 11 – Joshua Dowden

Senate District 15 – Heidi Gansert

[Nevada Right to Life, accessed [6/11/26](#)]

2026: Nevada Right To Life Celebrated Wyoming Passing A Heartbeat Bill That Had No Exceptions For Rape Or Incest

Nevada Right To Life Celebrated Wyoming Passing A Law Banning Abortion After A Heartbeat Was Detected. "Huge victory for life in Wyoming! Wyoming just made history as the 5th state to pass a law protecting unborn babies once a heartbeat is detected, which can be as early as 18 to 22 days after fertilization. A heartbeat means a life and Wyoming just chose to protect it 🙌💖" [Nevada Right to Life, Twitter, [3/10/26](#)]



Wyoming’s Heartbeat Bill Prohibited Abortion Once Cardiac Activity Was Identified And Did Not Include Exceptions For Rape Or Incest. “Wyoming Gov. Mark Gordon signed a bill into law on Monday banning abortion in the state after a ‘fetal heartbeat’ has been detected. HB 126, or the Human Heartbeat Act, prohibits abortion once cardiac activity is identified, which is around six weeks of pregnancy, before many women know they’re pregnant. If cardiac activity is detected, an abortion can only be performed in the case of a medical emergency, meaning if the life of the mother is in danger or if continuing the pregnancy would cause serious or irreversible impairment of a major bodily function, according to the bill. The bill does not include exceptions for pregnancies as a result of rape or incest.” [ABC News, [3/9/26](#)]

Nevada Right To Life Was The Statewide Affiliate Of The National Right To Life Committee, Which Sought To End Abortion In The United States

Nevada Right To Life Was The Statewide Affiliate Of The National Right To Life Committee. “Nevada Right to Life engages in educational, charitable and legislative action that affirms the inherent dignity and right to life of every human being – from conception to natural death. As the statewide affiliate of the National Right to Life Committee, we provide leadership, education, training and resources



to the grassroots so that together we can address issues such as abortion, fetal development, cloning and stem cell research, assisted suicide and euthanasia, and other life issues. Nevada Right to Life fosters a culture of life. Join our team today.” [Nevada Right to Life, accessed [6/11/26](#)]

- **National Right To Life Was One Of The Nation’s Oldest Anti-Abortion Groups, And Had A Federation Of State Right-To-Life Affiliates.** “Founded in 1968, National Right to Life is the nation’s oldest and largest pro-life organization. National Right to Life is the federation of 50 state right-to-life affiliates, the District of Columbia and more than 3,000 local chapters. Through education and legislation, National Right to Life works to restore legal protection to the most defenseless members of our society who are threatened by abortion, infanticide, assisted suicide and euthanasia.” [National Right to Life, “About NRLC,” accessed [11/12/25](#)]

National Right To Life Said Its Legislative Efforts And Those Of Its State Affiliates Were “One Of The Keys To Ending Abortion In The United States.” “Now, on this 48th anniversary of the Court’s action, we pause to look at the state of abortion in the United States. From recent data analyzed in these pages, we know the annual number of abortions is in an overall decline. This drop in numbers can be traced to a number of factors, but among them are the efforts by National Right to Life and its network of state affiliates to enact protective laws that provide legal protection to unborn children and offer hope and help to their mothers. These legislative efforts are at the very heart of our work, and they are one of the keys to ending abortion in the United States.” [National Right To Life, [January 2021](#)]

- **National Right To Life Promoted Model Legislation That Banned Abortion Without Exceptions For Rape Or Incest.** “The 10-year-old Ohio girl who crossed state lines to receive an abortion in Indiana should have carried her pregnancy to term and would be required to do so under a model law written for state legislatures considering more restrictive abortion measures, according to the general counsel for the National Right to Life. Jim Bopp, an Indiana lawyer who authored the model legislation in advance of the Supreme Court’s decision overturning *Roe v. Wade*, told POLITICO on Thursday that his law only provides exceptions when the pregnant person’s life is in danger. [...] ‘Unless her life was at danger, there is no exception for rape,’ Bopp said. ‘The bill does propose exceptions for rape and incest, in my model, because that is a pro-life position, but it’s not our ideal position. We don’t think, as heartwrenching as those circumstances are, we don’t think we should devalue the life of the baby because of the sins of the father.’” [Politico, [7/14/22](#)]

Buck Voted Against Enshrining Abortion Rights In Nevada's Constitution

Buck Voted Against Enshrining A “Fundamental Right To Reproductive Freedom” In The State Constitution And Establishing The Right To Assisted Reproductive Treatments

April 2023: Buck Voted Against Enshrining Reproductive Freedoms In Nevada’s State Constitution

April 2023: Buck Voted Against Enshrining Reproductive Freedom In The Nevada State Constitution. In April 2023 Buck voted against SJR7: “Proposing to amend the Nevada Constitution to establish certain rights relating to reproductive health. [...] Article 1 of the Nevada Constitution sets forth certain inalienable rights of an individual. (Nev. Const. Art. 1) This joint resolution proposes to amend the Nevada Constitution by adding a new section to Article 1 which: (1) guarantees each individual in this State a fundamental right to reproductive freedom; (2) authorizes the State to regulate abortion care after fetal viability with certain exceptions; and (3) prevents the State from penalizing, prosecuting or taking any other adverse action against an individual or entity for exercising the right to reproductive freedom or for aiding or assisting another individual in exercising his or her right to reproductive freedom. If this

resolution is passed by the 2023 Legislature, it must also be passed by the next Legislature and then approved by the voters in an election before the proposed amendment to the Nevada Constitution becomes effective.” [Nevada Legislature, [SJR7, 4/13/23](#)]

- **SJR7 Was A Constitutional Amendment To Guarantee Each Individual In Nevada “A Fundamental Right To Reproductive Freedom.”** In the Nevada Legislature, SJR7 proposed “to amend the Nevada Constitution to establish certain rights relating to reproductive health. [...] Article 1 of the Nevada Constitution sets forth certain inalienable rights of an individual. (Nev. Const. Art. 1) This joint resolution proposes to amend the Nevada Constitution by adding a new section to Article 1 which: (1) guarantees each individual in this State a fundamental right to reproductive freedom.” [Nevada Legislature, [SJR7, 4/13/23](#)]

And Even Compared Women Who Get Abortions To Hitler

Buck Equated Abortion With The Actions Committed By Hitler In Nazi Germany

Buck Equated Abortion To The Actions Committed By Hitler In Nazi Germany

Buck: “I Can’t Imagine Ripping A Baby Limb From Limb Out Of His/Her Mother Based On A Test. I Think Hitler Did That In His Reign.” “I voted NO on SJR7—full term abortion going on the ballot today under the guise of reproductive health and non viable fetuses. I did a floor statement about my son, Colbie. A few months in to my pregnancy, the doctor did an amniocentesis test and told me that my baby would have spina bifida and that I could abort the pregnancy. All I did was pray day and night for God’s healing and I trusted the Lord and His plan. He was born on February 3, 2000 with a small red birthmark on his spine—a kiss from the God who heals! I can’t imagine ripping a baby limb from limb out of his/her mother based on a test. I think Hitler did that in his reign. We treat our pets better than this. I strongly urge you to vote no on this at the ballot box.” [Facebook, [4/17/23](#)]



Research Note: This post is no longer publicly available.

Carrie Buck Message #3

Carrie Buck supports Trump’s illegal ICE raids and extreme mass deportation agenda that has hurt Nevada’s tourism economy, separated parents and children, and targeted U.S. citizens and people here legally. Buck is so extreme she even voted against stopping raids on elementary schools and churches.

Carrie Buck Supports Trump's Illegal ICE Raids And Extreme Mass Deportation Agenda

Buck Said She Supported Trump's Mass Deportations

Buck Supported Trump's Mass Deportations, Saying "Mass Migration Will Be Met With Mass Deportation." "Democrats are committed to keeping illegal aliens in our country, no matter the cost. Well, here's my message to them: Mass migration will be met with mass deportation. America is a nation of laws, PERIOD." [Carrie Ann Buck, Twitter, [10/17/25](#)]



[Carrie Ann Buck, Twitter, [10/17/25](#)]

February 2025: Buck Said Sharing Or Leaking ICE Deportation Info Was "Treason For Sure."

Buck responded to a Tweet from Derrick Evans that read: "Anyone caught sharing or leaking ICE deportation info should be arrested & prosecuted." With: "Treason for sure." [Carrie Ann Buck, Twitter, [2/9/25](#)]



[Carrie Ann Buck, Twitter, [2/9/25](#)]

Buck Posted On Facebook Defending ICE Agents In Minneapolis Just Days After An Agent In The City Shot And Killed 37-Year-Old U.S. Citizen And Mom Renee Nicole Good

January 10, 2026: Buck Posted On Facebook Defending ICE In Minnesota

January 10, 2026: Buck Posted On Facebook Defending ICE In Minnesota. “Here are the sickos and pedophiles ICE is getting off the streets of Minneapolis, MN to protect American lives. Yes, protecting our young people, our children. Democrat protesters and agitators are defending them. Maybe the protestors or Democrat electeds can each take one into their care, sponsor them at their house, in their home, and be held responsible if they recommit. Read the charges under the photos, disgusting. 🤢 Get them off American streets!” [Carrie Ann Buck, Facebook, [1/10/26](#)]



[Carrie Ann Buck, Facebook, [1/10/26](#)]

January 7, 2026: An ICE Agent Shot And Killed 37-Year-Old U.S. Citizen And Mom Renee Nicole Good In Minneapolis

January 7, 2026: An ICE Agent “Opened Fire Into Renee Nicole Good’s Car, Killing The 37-Year-Old Mother And United States Citizen After She Stopped To Support Her Immigrant Neighbors.”

“On January 7 in Minneapolis, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agent Jonathan Ross opened fire into Renee Nicole Good’s car, killing the 37-year-old mother and United States citizen after she stopped to support her immigrant neighbors—who, since early December, have been in the crosshairs of President Donald Trump’s extreme deportation agenda. As with the murder of George Floyd, also in Minneapolis, video evidence has fueled nationwide outrage over Good’s killing, with more than eight in 10 U.S. voters reportedly having seen the footage.” [Vera Institute of Justice, [1/21/26](#)]

- **Good Was Killed In Her Minneapolis Neighborhood After Encountering ICE Agents On Her Way Home From Dropping Her Six-Year-Old Son Off At School.** “Good, a mother of three and a poet, lived in the Minneapolis neighborhood where she was fatally shot. She was a United States citizen and had no criminal background, The Associated Press reported. Good's ex-husband told the AP that she wasn't an activist and he hadn't known her to participate in protests. Good had dropped off her 6-year-old son at school and was driving home when she encountered ICE.” [PolitiFact, [1/8/26](#)]

That Has Hurt Nevada’s Tourism Economy, Separated Parents And Children, And Targeted U.S. Citizens And People Here Legally**2025-2026: Trump’s Immigration Policies In Part Caused A Slow Down In Tourism To Las Vegas**

March 2026: New York Times: “President Trump’s Tariffs And Immigration Raids Are Rattling Workers And Angering International Travelers.” “Sin City’s financial well-being has long relied on millions of tourists spending their discretionary income indiscreetly. But in an economy where the affluent thrive while the rest struggle, that formula is in trouble. President Trump’s tariffs and immigration raids are rattling workers and angering international travelers, especially Canadians, who stopped coming in droves after Mr. Trump began musing about their country becoming the ‘51st state.’” [New York Times, [3/28/26](#)]

August 2025: PBS: Ted Pappageorge, Head Of The Culinary Workers Union, Called The Decline In Tourism The “Trump Slump.” “Ted Pappageorge, head of the powerful Culinary Workers Union, called it the ‘Trump slump.’ He said visits from Southern California, home to a large Latino population, were also drying up because people are afraid of the administration's immigration crackdown. ‘If you tell the rest of the world they're not welcome, then they won't come,’ Pappageorge said. The Vegas dip mirrors a national trend. The travel forecasting company Tourism Economics, which in December 2024 anticipated the U.S. would have nearly 9% more international arrivals this year, revised its annual outlook to predict a 9.4% drop. Some of the steepest declines could be from Canada, the company said. Canada was the largest source of visitors to the U.S. in 2024, with more than 20.2 million, according to U.S. government data.” [PBS, [8/19/25](#)]

The Las Vegas Convention And Visitors Authority Blamed The Decrease In Tourism On Travelers’ Caution About The Economy And A Drop In Foreign Tourists. “The neon glow of Las Vegas has lost some of its shine. The number of visitors to the world-famous city fell by 7.5% last year, to 38.5 million. The Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority blames the downturn on travelers’ caution

about the economy and a drop in foreign tourists. Canadian travelers, for instance, have retaliated against President Trump's trade war by cancelling trips to the U.S. Last year was 'one of the more complex operating environments the destination has navigated outside of the pandemic period,' the authority said." [WBUR, [1/30/26](#)]

April 2025: Las Vegas Sun Headline: "Las Vegas Tourism Feeling The Strain Of Trump's Tariffs And Traveler Anxiety." [Las Vegas Sun, [4/9/25](#)]

August 2025: PBS Headline: "Las Vegas Tourism Is Slumping. Some Blame Trump's Tariffs And Immigration Crackdown." [PBS, [8/19/25](#)]

2025: Las Vegas Saw A 7.5% Year-Over-Year Decrease In Total Tourist Visits In The Worst Non-Pandemic Year Since The City Started Tracking Data In 1970

August 2025: Tourism In Las Vegas Slumped, With Resorts And Convention Centers Reporting 11% Fewer Visitors In June Compared To The Year Prior. "Tourism in Las Vegas is slumping this summer, with resorts and convention centers reporting fewer visitors compared to last year, especially from abroad, and some officials are blaming the Trump administration's tariffs and immigration policies for the decline. The city known for lavish shows, endless buffets and around-the-clock gambling welcomed just under 3.1 million tourists in June, an 11% drop compared to the same month in 2024. There were 13% fewer international travelers, and hotel occupancy fell by about 15%, according to data from the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority. Mayor Shelley Berkley said tourism from Canada — Nevada's largest international market — has dried up from a torrent 'to a drip.' Same with Mexico. 'We have a number of very high rollers that come in from Mexico that aren't so keen on coming in right now. And that seems to be the prevailing attitude internationally,' Berkley told reporters this month." [PBS, [8/19/25](#)]

February 2026: The Las Vegas Convention And Visitors Authority Reported That Las Vegas Saw 3.1 Million Fewer Visitors In 2025. "Las Vegas drew about 3.1 million fewer visitors in 2025, a 7.5% drop — its sharpest decline outside the pandemic since record-keeping began in 1970, according to the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority. The agency does not break down its visitation figures by domestic versus international travelers." [USA Today, [2/19/26](#)]

Trump's Immigration Policies Resulted In Family Separations

A July 2025 ICE Directive Gave Broad Discretion To Detain And Remove Parents And Abolished A Requirement To Place Detained Parents As 'Close As Practicable' To Their Minor Children. "After the major controversy over family separation during the first Trump term, during which several thousand children were separated from a parent, the Biden administration declared that unauthorized immigrants in immigration proceedings who are parents be detained only in 'limited circumstances.' A July 2025 ICE directive rescinded those limitations, gave broad discretion to detain and remove parents, and abolished a requirement to place detained parents as 'close as practicable' to their minor children. This loosening of guidelines, combined with scaled-up immigration enforcement, has resulted in the detention of thousands of parents, many of whose minor children are U.S. citizens. Of the 320,000 bookings to detention that ICE has reported so far in fiscal year (FY) 2026, nearly 17,900 (6 percent) were of people who self-reported they were parents of U.S.-citizen children—an almost twofold increase over FY 2024 (see Figure 1). This is likely a dramatic undercount given that many parents may decline to identify themselves as such, potentially out of fear of further involvement with immigration enforcement. This number also does not include the parents of noncitizen children, who may or may not also be targeted for enforcement, nor would it include the detention in state and local jails of noncitizens identified for

removal by state and local law enforcement working with ICE but not yet in ICE's database. As such, it is unclear how many children in total have had a parent detained by immigration enforcement officials during this administration." [Migration Policy Institute, [7/30/26](#)]

- **Trump's Immigration Policies "Resulted In The Detention Of Thousands Of Parents, Many Of Whose Minor Children Are U.S. Citizens."** "After the major controversy over family separation during the first Trump term, during which several thousand children were separated from a parent, the Biden administration declared that unauthorized immigrants in immigration proceedings who are parents be detained only in 'limited circumstances.' A July 2025 ICE directive rescinded those limitations, gave broad discretion to detain and remove parents, and abolished a requirement to place detained parents as 'close as practicable' to their minor children. This loosening of guidelines, combined with scaled-up immigration enforcement, has resulted in the detention of thousands of parents, many of whose minor children are U.S. citizens. Of the 320,000 book-ins to detention that ICE has reported so far in fiscal year (FY) 2026, nearly 17,900 (6 percent) were of people who self-reported they were parents of U.S.-citizen children—an almost twofold increase over FY 2024 (see Figure 1). This is likely a dramatic undercount given that many parents may decline to identify themselves as such, potentially out of fear of further involvement with immigration enforcement. This number also does not include the parents of noncitizen children, who may or may not also be targeted for enforcement, nor would it include the detention in state and local jails of noncitizens identified for removal by state and local law enforcement working with ICE but not yet in ICE's database. As such, it is unclear how many children in total have had a parent detained by immigration enforcement officials during this administration." [Migration Policy Institute, [7/30/26](#)]
- **FY2026: Nearly 17,900 Of People Detained By ICE Self-Reported They Were Parents Of U.S.-Citizen Children.** "After the major controversy over family separation during the first Trump term, during which several thousand children were separated from a parent, the Biden administration declared that unauthorized immigrants in immigration proceedings who are parents be detained only in 'limited circumstances.' A July 2025 ICE directive rescinded those limitations, gave broad discretion to detain and remove parents, and abolished a requirement to place detained parents as 'close as practicable' to their minor children. This loosening of guidelines, combined with scaled-up immigration enforcement, has resulted in the detention of thousands of parents, many of whose minor children are U.S. citizens. Of the 320,000 book-ins to detention that ICE has reported so far in fiscal year (FY) 2026, nearly 17,900 (6 percent) were of people who self-reported they were parents of U.S.-citizen children—an almost twofold increase over FY 2024 (see Figure 1). This is likely a dramatic undercount given that many parents may decline to identify themselves as such, potentially out of fear of further involvement with immigration enforcement. This number also does not include the parents of noncitizen children, who may or may not also be targeted for enforcement, nor would it include the detention in state and local jails of noncitizens identified for removal by state and local law enforcement working with ICE but not yet in ICE's database. As such, it is unclear how many children in total have had a parent detained by immigration enforcement officials during this administration." [Migration Policy Institute, [7/30/26](#)]

Headline: "In Its Second Term, Trump Administration Quietly Expands Family Separation."
[Migration Policy Institute, [7/30/26](#)]

June 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 460, Which Allowed Children Separated From Their Families By Deportation To Be Appointed A Temporary Guardian Chosen By Their Parents

June 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 460. In June 2025, Buck voted against A.B. 460: "AN ACT relating to child welfare; establishing a form by which a parent or guardian may request to nominate a

guardian of a minor; authorizing the filing of such a form in the Nevada Lockbox; removing the authority of the Secretary of State to charge and collect a fee for filing a form in the Nevada Lockbox; creating a presumption that temporary guardianship is in the best interest of a minor in certain circumstances; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” The legislation passed 16-4. [Nevada Legislature, [A.B. 460, 6/2/25](#)]

- **June 2025: Buck Voted Against A Bill That Allowed Families Who Are Separated Because Of Deportation To Select A Temporary Guardian For Their Children.** “A new Nevada law gives families who may get split up because of a deportation order a chance to choose a temporary guardian for their child. Assembly Bill 460 was signed into law last week and is already in effect. It allows a parent or guardian of a minor to nominate another person to be their court-appointed guardian if no parent is around because of a federal immigration order. [...] AB 460 passed unanimously in the Assembly and 16-4 in the state Senate. Republican Sens. Carrie Buck, John Ellison, Ira Hansen and Robin Titus opposed, and Democrat Melanie Scheible was absent from the vote.” [Las Vegas Review Journal, [6/19/25](#)]

Trump’s Immigration Enforcement Policies Led To U.S. Citizens Being Detained And Legal Permanent Residents Being Targeted For Deportation

Immigration Enforcement Detained At Least 170 U.S. Citizens During The First Nine Months Of The Trump Administration

Immigration Enforcement Detained At Least 170 U.S. Citizens During The First Nine Months Of The Trump Administration. “We compiled and reviewed every case we could find of agents holding citizens against their will, whether during immigration raids or protests. While the tally is almost certainly incomplete, we found more than 170 such incidents during the first nine months of President Donald Trump’s second administration. Among the citizens detained are nearly 20 children, including two with cancer. That includes four who were held for weeks with their undocumented mother and without access to the family’s attorney until a congresswoman intervened.” [ProPublica, [10/16/25](#)]

The Trump Administration Targeted DACA Recipients With Deportation

The Trump Administration “Worked To Undermine DACA’s Protections And Directly Target People Who Have Benefitted From It.” “In 2012, the lives of hundreds of thousands of immigrants who came to the U.S. as young children changed dramatically with the creation of the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program – an executive action that provided recipients protection from deportation and renewable work authorization. DACA has allowed immigrant youth who have already been living in the U.S. for an extended period of time the freedom to live with more security and stability...But today, on DACA’s 14th anniversary, Esmeralda, Faten, and Daniel face detention and deportation at the hands of the Trump administration, which has worked to undermine DACA’s protections and directly target people who have benefitted from it. Under the administration’s mass deportation agenda, immigration enforcement agencies are stripping DACA recipients of their safety and their ability to work lawfully.” [American Immigration Council, [6/15/26](#)]

The Trump Administration Pursued “Strategies To Dismantle The DACA Program, Placing DACA Recipients At Heightened Risk Of Enforcement As It Increasingly Targets These Long-Term Residents For Detention And Deportation.” “While the administration initially claimed to be targeting hardened criminals for deportation, the enforcement happening on the ground proves that the opposite is true. Mothers like Maria – a DACA recipient with no criminal record who has lived in the U.S. for 27 years – are being ripped away from their daughters during routine adjustment of status interviews at U.S.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) offices. Fathers like José, who arrived in the U.S. at the age of 8, are being carelessly separated from their newborn children and deported to a country they barely know. The administration is pursuing several strategies to dismantle the DACA program, placing DACA recipients at heightened risk of enforcement as it increasingly targets these long-term residents for detention and deportation. DACA renewals face unprecedented delays with USCIS, shutting larger numbers of individuals out of the ability to work lawfully and pushing them into accruing unlawful presence – days spent in the U.S. without being legally admitted, paroled, or authorized – as they wait months for their renewals to be processed.” [American Immigration Council, [6/15/26](#)]

Buck Is So Extreme She Even Voted Against Stopping Raids On Elementary Schools And Churches

Buck Voted Against Efforts To Prevent Immigration Enforcement At Schools And Places Of Worship

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 217, Which Required ICE And Other Immigration Officers To Obtain A Judicial Warrant Before Entering Schools

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.B. 217, Which Prohibited School Officials From Providing Permission To Access School District Facilities To Certain Federal Officials Investigating Or Enforcing Immigration Law. In May 2025, Buck voted against Assembly Bill 217: “AN ACT relating to education; prohibiting school districts, public schools and their employees from providing permission to access school district facilities to certain federal officials investigating or enforcing immigration laws; prohibiting school districts, public schools and their employees from providing information concerning pupils to certain federal, state or local officials investigating or enforcing immigration laws; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” The bill passed the senate 14-6 and was enrolled. [Nevada Legislature, [A.B. 217](#), [5/23/25](#)]

A.B. 217 Required ICE And Other Immigration Officers To Obtain A Judicial Warrant Before Entering Schools. “Schools, churches, and hospitals were once considered ‘sensitive locations’—places where immigration enforcement arrests, searches, or surveillance were limited to avoid disrupting essential services or instilling fear in communities. But President Donald Trump’s administration removed these restrictions as part of its ongoing deportation efforts...AB217 would require ICE and other immigration officers to obtain a judicial warrant before entering schools. González said it will prevent ICE from using tactics — like presenting themselves as local law enforcement or flashing unrelated ICE documents — to enter schools.” [KUNR, [2/24/25](#)]

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.J.R. 9, A Resolution Urging Congress To Protect Schools And Places Of Worship From ICE Activities

May 2025: Buck Voted Against A.J.R. 9. In May 2025, Buck voted against Assembly Joint Resolution 9: “Urging Congress to enact legislation to prohibit officers and agents of the United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement in the United States Department of Homeland Security from entering schools and places of worship for the purposes of enforcing federal immigration laws.” The resolution passed the senate 14-7 and was enrolled. [Nevada Legislature, [A.J.R. 9](#), [5/22/25](#)]

- **A.J.R. 9 Urged Congress To Protect Schools And Places Of Worship From ICE Activities.** “The Nevada Senate today approved Assembly Joint Resolution 9, marking passage of the bill in the Nevada Legislature and making Nevada the first state in the U.S. to officially urge Congress to

protect places of worship and schools from immigration enforcement actions since the federal government rescinded its 'sensitive locations' guidelines earlier this year." [American Civil Liberties Union, Press Release, [5/22/25](#)]

- **Nevada Was The First State To Formally Draft Legislation That Urged Congress To Push Back On The Trump Administration's Immigration Enforcement Policies.** "The Nevada Senate today approved Assembly Joint Resolution 9, marking passage of the bill in the Nevada Legislature and making Nevada the first state in the U.S. to officially urge Congress to protect places of worship and schools from immigration enforcement actions since the federal government rescinded its 'sensitive locations' guidelines earlier this year." [American Civil Liberties Union, Press Release, [5/22/25](#)]

June 2025: Responded "Thank Goodness" To A Tweet Praising Gov. Lombardo's Vetoes, Including His Veto Of Legislation To Stop ICE From Going Into School

June 2025: Responded "Thank Goodness" To A Tweet Praising Gov. Lombardo's Vetoes, Including His Veto Of Legislation To Stop ICE From Going Into Schools. Buck Responded "Thank goodness" to a Tweet that read: "Bill Gov. Lombardo has vetoed: -Stopping ICE from going into schools -Recognizing holidays for Southeast Asian community -Extending the time frame to execute prisoners from 90 days to 1 year -Banning guns from polling places -Setting the minimum age to buy a gun at 21 -Making October 'Menopause Awareness Month' -Making the second Monday in October as 'Indigenous Peoples Day' instead of August 9th. -Making July of each year as 'Muslim American Heritage Month'" [Carrie Ann Buck, Twitter, [6/12/25](#)]



Jacob Orth ✓ @JacobsVegasLife · Jun 10, 2025



Bill Gov. Lombardo has vetoed:

- Stopping ICE from going into schools
- Recognizing holidays for Southeast Asian community
- Extending the time frame to execute prisoners from 90 days to 1 year
- Banning guns from polling places
- Setting the minimum age to buy a gun at 21
- Making October "Menopause Awareness Month"
- Making the second Monday in October as "Indigenous Peoples Day" instead of August 9th.
- Making July of each year as "Muslim American Heritage Month"



224



104



646



68K



Carrie Ann Buck, EdD ✓

@CarrieBuckforNV



Thank goodness

9:10 AM · Jun 12, 2025 · 54 Views

[Carrie Ann Buck, Twitter, [6/12/25](#)]