

Ryan Elijah Overview

Ryan Elijah is too extreme for Central Florida. He supports policies that will drive up costs for everyday Floridians, while Bale will work with both parties to bring down costs.

Ryan Elijah Is Too Extreme For Central Florida. He Supports Policies That Will Drive Up Costs For Everyday Floridians.

Backup below.

Ryan Elijah Message #1

Millionaire Ryan Elijah will do whatever Trump wants, even when it costs Floridians more. Elijah thinks the economy is “in a great spot,” and the tariffs that are raising prices “are working” despite them increasing our grocery, clothing and even construction prices. Elijah even supports the Iran war that is costing taxpayers over \$100 billion and drastically increasing our gas prices.

Millionaire Ryan Elijah Will Do Whatever Trump Wants,


2026: Elijah Had An Estimated Net Worth Of \$3.1 Million

Quiver Quantitative: Ryan Elijah’s Estimated Net Worth Was \$3.1 Million. [Quiver Quantitative, Ryan Elijah, Accessed [9/23/26](#)]

Trump Said Elijah Had His “Complete And Total Endorsement” And Stood For “Everything MAGA”

Trump Said Elijah Had His “Complete And Total Endorsement” For Congress

Trump: “Ryan Elijah Has My Complete And Total Endorsement To Be The Next Representative From Florida’s 7th Congressional District — HE WILL NEVER LET YOU DOWN!” “It is my Great Honor to endorse America First Patriot, Ryan Elijah, who is running to represent the wonderful people of Florida’s 7th Congressional District! A Highly Respected Former News Anchor, Ryan knows the Wisdom and Courage required to confront the Corrupt Fake News, which seeks to divide and destroy our Great Country. His opponent, Bale Dalton, is a Radical Left Democrat who is opposed to NO TAX ON TIPS, NO TAX ON OVERTIME, and NO TAX ON SOCIAL SECURITY and, if he and the Dumocrats ever got in, all of these things will be terminated. He wants massive Tax Increases, Open Borders, Men playing in Women’s Sports, and Transgender Mutilization of your Children. Bale Dalton is Reckless, Radical, and Dangerous! On the other hand, as your next Congressman, Ryan will fight tirelessly to Grow our Economy, Cut Taxes and Regulations, Unleash American Energy DOMINANCE, Promote MADE IN THE U.S.A., Strengthen our Brave Military/Veterans, Keep our Border SECURE, Safeguard our Elections, and Protect our always under siege Second Amendment. Ryan Elijah has my Complete and Total Endorsement to be the next Representative from Florida’s 7th Congressional District — HE WILL NEVER LET YOU DOWN!” [Donald Trump, Truth Social, [9/1/26](#)]



[Donald Trump, Truth Social, [9/1/26](#)]

Trump Said Elijah Stood For “Everything MAGA”

Trump: “We Now Have A Great Candidate, Ryan Elijah, Running In His Place! Ryan Stands For EVERYTHING MAGA, And Is Respected By ALL.” “I told Congressman Cory Mills of Florida, a friend of mine, to get out of the Race, but he wouldn’t listen. He thought he could win, so who can blame him? We now have a great candidate, Ryan Elijah, running in his place! Ryan stands for EVERYTHING MAGA, and is respected by ALL. He has my Complete and Total Endorsement! President DONALD J. TRUMP.” [Donald Trump, Truth Social, [8/19/26](#)]



[Donald Trump, Truth Social, [8/19/26](#)]

2026: Elijah Said “When You Elect A President” Like Trump, “You Have To Trust Him. You Have To Trust His Administration”

VIDEO: Elijah: “When You Elect A President As A Business President, You Have To Trust Him. You Have To Trust His Administration. I Think They’ve Done A Good Job.” MODERATOR: “I just want to point out that no one answered the question specifically or directly. We're good. Next question: Tariffs. So, do you think that bolstering tariffs are going to bring down bolster the economic prosperity here in the U.S. Do you think they're working, and you think more tariffs will work?” ELIJAH: “Yes. Well, I think number one, when you elect a president as a business president, you have to trust him. You have to trust his administration. I think they've done a good job. That said, we've all sat with CEOs that are not fans of all the tariffs. This is something across the board. It's not going to be 100 percent. But we go back to that issue with Boston Whaler here. The tariffs make a difference where it encourages a Boston Whaler owned by Brunswick to bring their jobs back onshore. So you have to look at just beyond the regular numbers. Now you've got jobs here. Now you've got revenue coming back in that would not have been there without the big, beautiful bill. So tariffs, we've got to get the trade deficit changed, and that has done that. When you look at China and you look at some of those, I don't think people realized until President Trump got in office how bad some of the trade deficits were. Meaning that we're doing so much more business with them, and it's not the other way around. So they are working. Are they controversial with some businesses? Yes, but they are working.” [FL-07 GOP Primary Debate, 40:49, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Elijah Supported Trump’s Tariffs And Claimed They Were Working

VIDEO: Elijah Said He Supported Tariffs And Claimed They Were Working. MODERATOR: “I just want to point out that no one answered the question specifically or directly. We're good. Next question: Tariffs. So, do you think that bolstering tariffs are going to bring down bolster the economic prosperity here in the U.S. Do you think they're working, and you think more tariffs will work?” ELIJAH: “Yes. Well, I think number one, when you elect a president as a business president, you have to trust him. You have to trust his administration. I think they've done a good job. That said, we've all sat with CEOs that are not fans of all the tariffs. This is something across the board. It's not going to be 100 percent. But we go back to that issue with Boston Whaler here. The tariffs make a difference where it encourages a Boston Whaler owned by Brunswick to bring their jobs back onshore. So you have to look at just beyond the regular numbers. Now you've got jobs here. Now you've got revenue coming back in that would not have been there without the big, beautiful bill. So tariffs, we've got to get the trade deficit changed, and that has done that. When you look at China and you look at some of those, I don't think people realized until President Trump got in office how bad some of the trade deficits were. Meaning that we're doing so much more business with them, and it's not the other way around. So they are working. Are they controversial with some businesses? Yes, but they are working.” [FL-07 GOP Primary Debate, 40:49, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Elijah Praised Trump’s Signature Legislative Accomplishment, The One Big Beautiful Bill

Elijah Praised The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Trump Referred To As “The Ultimate Codification Of Our Agenda”

Elijah Praised The One Big Beautiful Bill. ELIJAH: “Yeah, we can do tax cuts, and we've done a great job with those tax cuts that were in the Big Beautiful Bill.” [The Floridian via YouTube, 40:25, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

NPR: Trump’s “One Big Beautiful Bill” Encompassed Much Of His Second-Term Agenda. “President Trump muscled a 900-page package through a closely divided Congress — and that might have been the easy part. The tax and policy bill, which Trump dubbed the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill,’ encompasses much of his second-term agenda.” [NPR, [7/11/25](#)]

June 2025: Trump Called The One Big Beautiful Bill “The Ultimate Codification Of Our Agenda To Very Simply Make America Great Again!” “The One Big Beautiful Bill will Secure our Borders, turbocharge our economy, and bring back the American Dream. This is the ultimate codification of our agenda to very simply Make America Great Again!” [Donald J. Trump, Truth Social, [6/26/25](#)]



The One Big Beautiful Bill will Secure our Borders, turbocharge our economy, and bring back the American Dream. This is the ultimate codification of our agenda to very simply Make America Great Again!



7.72k ReTruths 34.7k Likes

Jun 26, 2025, 7:46 PM

[Donald J. Trump, Truth Social, [6/26/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Gave A Nearly Two Trillion Dollar Tax Cut To Businesses

The One Big Beautiful Bill Gave A Nearly Two Trillion Dollar Tax Cut To Businesses. “The One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBBA) is one of the most significant tax reforms in recent years, combining extensions of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act with new provisions that impact nearly every industry. With an estimated \$4.5t in net tax reductions, including \$1.8t for businesses, this legislation introduces sweeping changes that executives, CFOs, and tax professionals cannot afford to ignore.” [EY, [12/3/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Gave The Richest Americans The Bulk Of The Tax Cuts

The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Biggest Tax Cuts Benefited The Wealthiest. “The One Big Beautiful Bill includes the largest tax cuts in U.S. history. Whether it’s actually ‘beautiful’ is in the eye of the beholder. At every income level taxes should subside. That’s a big selling point from President Donald Trump and fellow Republicans who pushed the law through Congress with no Democratic support. It will benefit everyone. But the biggest cuts go to the wealthiest taxpayers – the ones who pay the lion’s share of taxes. For most families the savings will be miniscule in comparison, in raw dollars and as a share of income. And millions will lose subsidized health insurance and other benefits. Critics see a plan that widens income inequality and, worse, offsets tax cuts for the wealthy with draconian spending cuts that hit lower-income households.” [Cronkite News, [7/16/25](#)]

Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: The House-Passed Reconciliation Bill Would Give The Richest 1% Of Americans \$117 Billion In Net Tax Cuts In 2026. “The House of Representatives today narrowly passed a massive tax and spending reconciliation bill that now heads to President Trump’s desk. Please see below for a statement from ITEP and our latest updated analysis of how the bill’s tax provisions will affect families at different income levels nationally and in every state. [...] The richest 1 percent of Americans would receive a total of \$117 billion in net tax cuts in 2026. The middle 20 percent of taxpayers on the income scale, a group that has 20 times the number of taxpayers as the richest 1 percent, would receive less than half that much, \$53 billion in net tax cuts that year.” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [7/3/24](#)]

Institute On Taxation And Economic Policy: The Value Of The Tax Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill Exceeded The Amount Going To The Entire Bottom 60%. “The House of Representatives today narrowly passed a massive tax and spending reconciliation bill that now heads to President Trump’s desk. Please see below for a statement from ITEP and our latest updated analysis of how the bill’s tax provisions will affect families at different income levels nationally and in every state. [...] The \$117 billion in net tax cuts going to the richest 1 percent next year would exceed the amount going to the entire bottom 60 percent of taxpayers (about \$77 billion).” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [7/3/24](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Was Designed To Cut Health Care And Food Assistance To Pay For Massive Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Households

The Bill Was Designed To Cut Health Care And Food Assistance To Pay For Massive Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Households. “The bill is designed to cause a shocking upward redistribution of income. It includes draconian spending cuts—mostly to health care and food assistance for children and families—in order to give massive tax cuts to the wealthiest households. Because these cuts to health care and food assistance are so broad and deep, and because the tax cuts for anybody who is not already rich are so paltry, the bill will cause the bottom 40% of households to actually lose income on average. This group includes roughly 125 million people, and for a family of three it will include households with incomes up to \$85,000. Meanwhile, households in the top 0.1% (those making over \$3.3 million per year) will gain over \$100,000 annually under this bill.” [Economic Policy Institute, [7/2/25](#)]

- **Economic Policy Institute: “The Radical Republican Budget Bill Steals From The Poor To Give Tax Cuts To The Rich.”** [Economic Policy Institute, [7/2/25](#)]

Even When It Costs Floridians More.

Joint Economic Committee Minority: The Average Household In Florida Has Paid An Additional \$3,400 For Goods And Services Under Trump

Joint Economic Committee Minority: The Average Household In Florida Has Paid An Additional \$3,400 For Goods And Services Under Trump. “As of July 2026, the average household in Florida has had to pay an additional \$3,400+ for goods and services due to rising costs under President Trump. Despite his repeated pledges on the campaign trail that his administration would ‘end inflation’ and bring down costs for families, Trump and Republicans in Congress have instead caused costs to continue to rise significantly, including through Trump’s reckless tariffs and war in Iran.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Fact Sheet, [August 2026](#)]

Elijah Thinks The Economy Is “In A Great Spot,” And The Tariffs That Are Raising Prices “Are Working” Despite Them Increasing Our Grocery, Clothing And Even Construction Prices.

August 2026: Elijah Said The Economy Was “In A Great Spot” Right Now

VIDEO: Elijah Said The Economy Was “In A Great Spot Right” Right Now. HOST: “Do you believe that the economy is cyclical or do you believe that the downturn in the economy is directly tied to the economic policies coming from the Biden administration.” ELIJAH: “So this is one of my strengths. I have been into the market a lot, and we followed this. We’re at a near all-time high for the Dow, which is a great thing. We elected a president that is a business president, and we have seen that. Sure, the economy is cyclical. Absolutely, we run these flows. We’re in a great spot now, but absolutely, we have these runs where things go very well, and the economy is very cyclical. That said, we are seeing growth right now. We’re seeing the different act, the Big Beautiful Bill, is starting to have an impact. In fact, here’s a good example. There’s Brunswick, which of course owns a business here. They have reshored some of their manufacturing back to Florida from Mexico. Those are things that make a difference. Growth policies that allow businesses to bring jobs from Monterrey, Mexico, back to Florida make a huge difference. We have seen growth. Yeah, we’re in a cyclical spot right now, but we’re near the top, and companies are making money, and we need to continue to produce jobs. [The Floridian via YouTube, 33:16. [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

- **Elijah: “We’re In A Great Spot Now, But Absolutely, We Have These Runs Where Things Go Very Well, And The Economy Is Very Cyclical.”** HOST: “Do you believe that the economy is cyclical or do you believe that the downturn in the economy is directly tied to the economic policies coming from the Biden administration.” ELIJAH: “So this is one of my strengths. I have been into the market a lot, and we followed

this. We're at a near all-time high for the Dow, which is a great thing. We elected a president that is a business president, and we have seen that. Sure, the economy is cyclical. Absolutely, we run these flows. We're in a great spot now, but absolutely, we have these runs where things go very well, and the economy is very cyclical. That said, we are seeing growth right now. We're seeing the different act, the Big Beautiful Bill, is starting to have an impact. In fact, here's a good example. There's Brunswick, which of course owns a business here. They have reshored some of their manufacturing back to Florida from Mexico. Those are things that make a difference. Growth policies that allow businesses to bring jobs from Monterrey, Mexico, back to Florida make a huge difference. We have seen growth. Yeah, we're in a cyclical spot right now, but we're near the top, and companies are making money, and we need to continue to produce jobs. [The Floridian via YouTube, 33:16. [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Elijah Supported Trump's Tariffs And Claimed They Were Working

VIDEO: Elijah Said He Supported Tariffs And Claimed They Were Working. MODERATOR: "I just want to point out that no one answered the question specifically or directly. We're good. Next question: Tariffs. So, do you think that bolstering tariffs are going to bring down bolster the economic prosperity here in the U.S. Do you think they're working, and you think more tariffs will work?" ELIJAH: "Yes. Well, I think number one, when you elect a president as a business president, you have to trust him. You have to trust his administration. I think they've done a good job. That said, we've all sat with CEOs that are not fans of all the tariffs. This is something across the board. It's not going to be 100 percent. But we go back to that issue with Boston Whaler here. The tariffs make a difference where it encourages a Boston Whaler owned by Brunswick to bring their jobs back onshore. So you have to look at just beyond the regular numbers. Now you've got jobs here. Now you've got revenue coming back in that would not have been there without the big, beautiful bill. So tariffs, we've got to get the trade deficit changed, and that has done that. When you look at China and you look at some of those, I don't think people realized until President Trump got in office how bad some of the trade deficits were. Meaning that we're doing so much more business with them, and it's not the other way around. So they are working. Are they controversial with some businesses? Yes, but they are working." [FL-07 GOP Primary Debate, 40:49, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

- **VIDEO: Elijah On Tariffs: "So They Are Working. Are They Controversial With Some Businesses? Yes, But They Are Working."** MODERATOR: "I just want to point out that no one answered the question specifically or directly. We're good. Next question: Tariffs. So, do you think that bolstering tariffs are going to bring down bolster the economic prosperity here in the U.S. Do you think they're working, and you think more tariffs will work?" ELIJAH: "Yes. Well, I think number one, when you elect a president as a business president, you have to trust him. You have to trust his administration. I think they've done a good job. That said, we've all sat with CEOs that are not fans of all the tariffs. This is something across the board. It's not going to be 100 percent. But we go back to that issue with Boston Whaler here. The tariffs make a difference where it encourages a Boston Whaler owned by Brunswick to bring their jobs back onshore. So you have to look at just beyond the regular numbers. Now you've got jobs here. Now you've got revenue coming back in that would not have been there without the big, beautiful bill. So tariffs, we've got to get the trade deficit changed, and that has done that. When you look at China and you look at some of those, I don't think people realized until President Trump got in office how bad some of the trade deficits were. Meaning that we're doing so much more business with them, and it's not the other way around. So they are working. Are they controversial with some businesses? Yes, but they are working." [FL-07 GOP Primary Debate, 40:49, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Trump's Tariffs Raised Prices On Groceries

Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. "President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration's policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the

economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons.” [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

- **Axios Headline: “Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up.”** [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

Trump’s Tariffs Raised Prices On Apparel Goods

Trump’s Tariffs Raised Prices On Apparel Goods. “The impact of President Donald Trump’s tariffs on prices throughout the economy is expected to increase over the summer. At U.S. department store chains including Macy’s, Nordstrom, and Dillard’s, the evidence of sticker price inflation is starting to show up across more items. Recent price increases in apparel, footwear, and bags across major U.S. department-store websites tracked by DataWeave indicate a turning point in May, when prices started their ascent. DataWeave analyzes nearly 15,000 SKUs (stock keeping units), a scannable code retailers use to identify and track a product, and has been collecting that data from January to June for signs of price hikes in footwear, apparel, and bags. ‘Footwear is now as much as 4% above January levels at some banners, while apparel is roughly half that pace,’ said Karthik Bettadapura, co-founder & CEO of DataWeave.” [CNBC, [7/2/25](#)]

- **CNBC Headline: “Inside America’s Department Stores, Tariff-Triggered Price Hikes Are Picking Up.”** [CNBC, [7/2/25](#)]
- **The Guardian Headline: “Clothing Prices Rising In US As Trump Tariffs Kick In, H&M Boss Says.”** [The Guardian, [6/26/25](#)]

Trump’s Tariffs Contributed To The Increased Cost Of Construction In Florida

Florida Builders Were Reporting An Increase In Costs Because Of The Tariffs

Sarasota Magazine: Florida Builders Were Reporting An Increase In Costs Because Of The Tariffs.

“Homebuilders are bracing for the next wave of pricing pressures in the housing market as new federal tariffs ripple through supply chains. [...] Recent developments suggest the pressure could intensify. Axios affirmed this month that tariffs are helping drive national construction costs higher, part of a broader squeeze on the housing market. A July Reuters survey found tariffs could increase U.S. homebuilding costs by 4 percent to 10 percent, depending on region and materials—a projection that mirrors what Southwest Florida builders are already reporting. The national effective tariff rate remains elevated at historic levels, and while Canada announced it will remove some retaliatory tariffs on U.S. goods, lumber and metals are still excluded. For a region where construction is among the largest industries, the burden may continue to fall on builders, suppliers, and, eventually, buyers. As Mast says, ‘Every \$1,000 increase in the price of a home cuts out 100 prospective families,’ he says.” [Sarasota Magazine, [8/22/25](#)]

- **Sarasota Magazine Headline: “Tariffs Cloud Florida’s Housing Market With Rising Costs And Uncertainty.”** [Sarasota Magazine, [8/22/25](#)]

The Cost To Build A Florida Home Was 5.1% Higher In July 2025 Than July 2024

Florida TaxWatch: The Cost To Build A Home Was 5.1% Higher In July 2025 Than July 2024.

“Construction is a cornerstone of Florida’s economy, contributing \$97 billion (5.7 percent) to the state’s GDP in 2024. However, rising material costs are presenting significant challenges to the industry, impacting everything from large-scale infrastructure projects to residential home building and affordability for Florida taxpayers. This report examines the national and statewide trends driving these price increases and their potential consequences. [...] Florida Feels the Impact: Florida is experiencing similar trends. The RoMac Building Supply Whole House Commodity Index, a measure of the cost to build a home in Florida, was 5.1 percent higher in July 2025 than in July 2024. These increases are estimated to add approximately \$10,000 to the price of a new home and are causing

budget overruns in affordable housing projects. Widespread Material Inflation: Key materials have seen significant year-over-year price hikes. In the Southeast region, wood prices have increased by 8.2 percent, drywall/insulation by 6.2 percent, steel by 5.1 percent, and concrete by 4.7 percent between June 2024 and June 2025. Tariffs and Imports are Major Factors: The industry's reliance on imported materials, combined with significant tariffs—such as the 50 percent tariff on steel, aluminum, and copper—exacerbates cost issues. With domestic production struggling to meet demand, Florida remains vulnerable to global trade volatility.” [Florida Tax Watch, [9/10/25](#)]

- **Florida TaxWatch: “Tariffs And Imports Are Major Factors: The Industry’s Reliance On Imported Materials, Combined With Significant Tariffs—Such As The 50 Percent Tariff On Steel, Aluminum, And Copper—Exacerbates Cost Issues.”** “Construction is a cornerstone of Florida's economy, contributing \$97 billion (5.7 percent) to the state's GDP in 2024. However, rising material costs are presenting significant challenges to the industry, impacting everything from large-scale infrastructure projects to residential home building and affordability for Florida taxpayers. This report examines the national and statewide trends driving these price increases and their potential consequences. [...] Florida Feels the Impact: Florida is experiencing similar trends. The RoMac Building Supply Whole House Commodity Index, a measure of the cost to build a home in Florida, was 5.1 percent higher in July 2025 than in July 2024. These increases are estimated to add approximately \$10,000 to the price of a new home and are causing budget overruns in affordable housing projects. Widespread Material Inflation: Key materials have seen significant year-over-year price hikes. In the Southeast region, wood prices have increased by 8.2 percent, drywall/insulation by 6.2 percent, steel by 5.1 percent, and concrete by 4.7 percent between June 2024 and June 2025. Tariffs and Imports are Major Factors: The industry's reliance on imported materials, combined with significant tariffs—such as the 50 percent tariff on steel, aluminum, and copper—exacerbates cost issues. With domestic production struggling to meet demand, Florida remains vulnerable to global trade volatility.” [Florida Tax Watch, [9/10/25](#)]
- **Florida Politics Headline: “Florida TaxWatch: Rising Construction Costs Hammer Builders In The State.”** [Florida Politics, [3/3/26](#)]

Gulfshore Business: “Tariffs As High As 50% On Steel, Aluminum And Copper Imports Are Worsening The Problem. Affordable Housing Projects Are Already Seeing 4% Budget Overruns, And TaxWatch Warns Continued Volatility Threatens Growth, Housing Affordability And State Infrastructure.”

“Construction costs in Florida rose 5.1% in the past year, adding about \$10,000 to the price of a new home, according to a Florida TaxWatch report. The \$97 billion industry, which makes up 5.7% of the state’s GDP, faces pressure from tariffs, inflation and supply chain disruptions. In the Southeast, wood prices rose 8.2%, drywall 6.2%, steel 5.1% and concrete 4.7% between June 2024 and June 2025. Tariffs as high as 50% on steel, aluminum and copper imports are worsening the problem. Affordable housing projects are already seeing 4% budget overruns, and TaxWatch warns continued volatility threatens growth, housing affordability and state infrastructure.” [Gulfshore Business, [9/11/25](#)]

- **Gulfshore Business Headline: “Florida Construction Costs Climb, Threatening Affordability”** [Gulfshore Business, [9/11/25](#)]

Elijah Even Supports The Iran War That Is Costing Taxpayers Over \$100 Billion And Drastically Increasing Our Gas Prices.

Elijah Supported Trump’s War In Iran Which Cost Taxpayers Over A \$100 Billion And Increased Gas Prices

August 2026: Elijah Supported Trump’s War In Iran

VIDEO: Elijah Supported Trump’s War In Iran, Called For Regime Change In Iran, Adding “If We Don’t Get That, The Problem Is We’re Back Here Again.” ELIJAH: “So at the outset of this, Secretary of State Marco Rubio said, "There's an imminent threat. Once there's an imminent threat, you have to go forward. Here's the problem. I've said this a lot at our events. They can't match our military strength. What they can match is the

resolve of the American people, and they've done that through the media in many cases, trying to get public opinion. They also know midterms are coming, and unfortunately, that message gets to them. And they know while they can't match our military; they can match our resolve. So it's an issue. We got to finish the job. We've got to make sure IRGC cannot be trusted. You're dealing with a country where the people are great. The people in leadership are not, and they cannot be trusted. We've got to finish the job. We have to make sure the straits open. If we can get a regime change, if we don't get that, the problem is we're back here again. So it'd be nice to see that job finished, and certainly with midterms coming up, it'd be nice sooner rather than later.” [FL07 GOP Primary Debate, 46:58, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

The Iran War Had Cost U.S. Taxpayers Over A \$100 Billion

The Iran War Had Cost U.S. Taxpayers Over A \$100 Billion. “Over 108 days, the war in Iran cost U.S. taxpayers an estimated \$113.3 billion — counting from the first strike on February 28 through June 16, 2026. The clock has been stopped and the count preserved as a record.” [Iran Cost Tracker, accessed [9/21/26](#)]

NBC News: According To U.S. Officials, The Defense Department’s Internal Overall Cost Estimate Of The Iran War Was At Around \$80 To \$100 Billion. “The cost of the war with Iran could be more than triple the most recent estimate of roughly \$30 billion, according to three U.S. officials and three people familiar with the internal cost estimates. The Defense Department’s internal overall cost estimate is \$80 billion to \$100 billion when the costs of repairing damaged U.S. bases, replacing destroyed aircraft and fully replenishing expended American weaponry are factored in, according to the sources.” [NBC News, [7/14/26](#)]

Axios Headline: “Iran War Drives \$100 Billion In Extra Energy Costs For U.S. Consumers.” [Axios, [9/7/26](#)]

July 2026: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Found That Floridians Had Paid An Average Of \$472 More Per Household For Gas Since The Start Of The War With Iran

July 2026: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Found That Floridians Had Paid An Average Of \$472 More Per Household For Gas Since The Start Of The War With Iran On February 28th. “As President Trump declares that the war with Iran has resumed, the Joint Economic Committee - Minority issued new data today that shows the extent to which the President’s war has driven up gas costs in all 50 states. Since the war began on February 28, Americans have paid a total of \$56.4 billion more, or \$477 more per household, on the gas they need to commute to work, run errands, pick up their kids, and live their daily lives. Additionally, today’s national average gas price sits at \$3.87 per gallon, which is about 30 percent higher than before the start of the war. ‘As President Trump declares that he’s ended his ceasefire with Iran, gas costs have already started rising again, at a time when Americans continue to face the squeeze of higher costs overall from Trump’s war, tariffs, and other actions. Yet President Trump says he doesn’t even think about the financial situation of Americans,’ said U.S. Senator Maggie Hassan, Ranking Member of the Committee.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, [7/13/26](#)]

Excess Spending On Gas Since The Start Of The War With Iran		
State	Increase In Total Gasoline Spending Since Feb. 28	Increase In Household Gasoline Spending Since Feb. 28
Florida	+\$3,887,817,941	+\$472

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, [7/13/26](#)]

July 2026: ABC News Headline: “Gas Prices Top \$4 A Gallon As Iran War Escalates.” [ABC News, [7/20/26](#)]

- **July 2026: ABC News: “Gas Prices In The United States Topped \$4 Per Gallon On Average Monday As An Escalation Of The Iran War Renewed Fears Of A Global Oil Shortage.”** “Gas prices in the United States topped \$4 per gallon on average Monday as an escalation of the Iran war renewed fears of a global oil shortage. Prices at the pump have jumped 13 cents per gallon, or 3%, over the past week, AAA data showed, with the average price per gallon now at \$4.003. The recent cost rise brings gasoline prices back to a level achieved soon after the Iran war began in late February. The Middle East conflict prompted Iranian closure of the Strait of Hormuz, the maritime trading route that facilitates transportation of about one-fifth of global oil

supply. The historic oil disruption sent gasoline prices surging.” [ABC News, [7/20/26](#)]

May 2026: The Iran War Was Expected To Drive Diesel Prices To “An All-Time High,” Which Raised Costs For Everyday Goods

May 2026: Politico: “Diesel Fuel Costs Are Also Poised To Set An All-Time High In The U.S., Which In Turn Is Expected To Drive Up Prices For Everything From Groceries To Postage.” “Most Americans are focused on the cost of gasoline at the station up the street — but diesel fuel costs are also poised to set an all-time high in the U.S., which in turn is expected to drive up prices for everything from groceries to postage. A spike in diesel fuel affects a wide array of commodities that need to move across the country – including for home building, manufacturing and much more. And it couldn’t come at a worse time for President Donald Trump and Republicans, just months ahead of the contentious midterm election season in which Democrats are expected to take back the House and possibly the Senate amid intensifying voter anger over the rising cost of living and the unpopular war in Iran.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

- **Politico: The “Inflationary Impact Of High Diesel” Affected “The Cost Of Everything From Eggs To Sneakers,” And Resulted In Price Increases That Were “Passed Along To Consumers.”** “Beyond the impacts to the cost of everything from eggs to sneakers, the inflationary impact of high diesel fuel lasts much longer in the economy than gasoline prices, said John Auers, analyst at consulting firm RBN Energy. Trucking, rail and freight companies sign long-term supply contracts for their diesel, meaning that a higher price will be baked into their costs – and passed along to consumers — for months at a time.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

May 2026: Politico: Increases In Fuel Prices, Including Diesel, Were Largely Due To The Iran War And The Subsequent Closure Of Hormuz. “When fuel prices hit record highs under Biden, it was largely due to post-Covid supply disruptions and because Russia’s war on Ukraine threatened global energy markets. The current price increase is largely due to the U.S. and Israel attack on Iran and the subsequent closure of Hormuz. Diesel prices in February, before U.S. and Israeli forces attacked Iran, were about \$3.75.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

Ryan Elijah Message #2

Ryan Elijah proudly backed a law that allows insurance companies to jack up premiums and cut over a trillion dollars in Medicaid funding, is kicking millions of Floridians off their healthcare, and could raise health insurance premiums for seniors by at least \$1,200.

Ryan Elijah Proudly Backed A Law That Allows Insurance Companies To Jack Up Premiums And Cut Over A Trillion Dollars In Medicaid Funding, Is Kicking Millions Of Floridians Off Their Healthcare, And Could Raise Health Insurance Premiums For Seniors By At Least \$1,200.

Elijah Praised The One Big Beautiful Bill

Elijah Praised The One Big Beautiful Bill. ELIJAH: “Yeah, we can do tax cuts, and we’ve done a great job with those tax cuts that were in the Big Beautiful Bill.” [The Floridian via YouTube, 40:25, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

The One Big Beautiful Bill Let ACA Tax Credits Expire, Which Caused Insurers To Raise Their Premiums

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Nearly 5 Million Florida Residents That Got Coverage From ACA Marketplace Plans

2025: Nearly 5 Million Floridians Were Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans. [KFF, [4/2/25](#)]

Number Of Florida Residents Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans In 2025	
State	ACA Marketplace Enrollees
Florida	4,735,415

[KFF, [4/2/25](#)]

KFF Health System Tracker: Insurers That Participated In The ACA Marketplaces Raised Premiums On Average By 20% In 2026. “For 2026, across 312 insurers participating in the ACA Marketplaces from the 50 states and the District of Columbia, this analysis shows a median proposed premium increase of 18%, which is about 11 percentage points higher than last year. This is the largest rate change insurers have requested since 2018, the last time that policy uncertainty contributed to sharp premium increases. On average, ACA Marketplace insurers are raising premiums by about 20% in 2026. Based on a more detailed analysis of available documents from insurers in 19 states and the District of Columbia, like in prior years, growth in health care prices stood out as a key factor driving costs in 2026. Insurers cite increasing cost and utilization of high-priced drugs as well as general market factors, such as increasing labor costs and inflation, as contributing to premium increases.” [KFF Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans. “The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

- **The Majority Of Insurers Took Into Account The Potential Expiration Of Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For Their Rate Increases.** “In addition to rising healthcare costs, the majority of insurers are also taking into account the potential expiration of enhanced premium tax credits in their premium rate increases for the next year. The expiration of enhanced tax credits will lead to out-of-pocket premiums for ACA marketplace enrollees increasing by an average of more than 75%, with insurers expecting healthier enrollees to drop coverage. That, in turn, increases underlying premiums. Other federal policy changes, like the implementation of tariffs and the ACA Marketplace Integrity and Affordability rule were also discussed, though to a lesser extent.” [KFF Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]
- **The Majority Of Insurers Assumed Enhanced Tax Credits Would Expire At The End Of 2025, Which Caused Them To Raise Their Rates By An Average Of 4%.** “Uncertainty over federal policy changes has forced insurers to make some assumptions when developing their rates for 2026. Commonly mentioned by insurers is the impact of the expiration of enhanced premium tax credits, which are scheduled to sunset at the end of 2025, unless extended by Congress. The majority of insurers have assumed that enhanced tax credits will expire at the end of this year, driving rates an average of 4 percentage points higher than they otherwise would be. These increased rates are due to insurers anticipating that some healthier members will leave the ACA Marketplaces when their subsidies decrease, creating an enrollee base that is less healthy and more expensive on average.” [KFF Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]
- **The Expiration Of Enhanced Tax Credits Would Lead To Out-Of-Pocket Premiums For ACA Marketplace Enrollees Increasing By An Average Of Over 75%.** “In addition to rising healthcare costs, the majority of insurers are also taking into account the potential expiration of enhanced premium tax credits in their premium rate increases for the next year. The expiration of enhanced tax credits will lead to out-of-pocket premiums for ACA marketplace enrollees increasing by an average of more than 75%, with insurers expecting healthier enrollees to drop coverage. That, in turn, increases underlying premiums. Other federal policy changes, like the implementation of tariffs and the ACA Marketplace Integrity and Affordability rule were also discussed, though to a lesser extent.” [KFF Health System Tracker, [8/6/25](#)]

The Average ACA Premium Cost Among Enrollees Could Rise By 132% Or \$521 Annually In Florida

The Average ACA Premium Cost Among Enrollees Could Rise By 132% Or \$521 Annually In Florida. “As the federal government nears a shutdown, a central issue in the government funding fight is health care costs.

Premium tax credits (PTCs) help lower the cost of comprehensive insurance coverage for more than 20 million people who buy health insurance on their own through the Affordable Care Act marketplaces. [...] The Urban Institute estimated that among subsidized enrollees, the annual 2025 premium was \$460, though without the enhanced tax credits it would have been \$1,087 even if enrollees were to switch into the lowest-cost plan within their chosen metal tier. That represents a 136 percent increase. In other words, the average premium net of financial assistance would more than double if enhanced PTCs are not extended. These increases in the average net premium would vary by state, with some states seeing even higher increases: In Alaska, the average premium cost among enrollees with financial assistance will increase 346 percent, or \$909 annually. In California, the average premium cost among enrollees with financial assistance will increase 122 percent, or \$1,000 annually. In Florida, the average premium cost among enrollees with financial assistance will increase 132 percent, or \$521 annually.” [Center For American Progress, [9/29/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made About \$1 Trillion In Cuts To Medicaid, Slashed Local Hospital Revenue, And Would Kick More Than 1.6 Million Floridians Off Their Health Insurance

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made The Largest Cuts To Medicaid In The Program’s History

The Hill: Republicans Passed “The Largest Cuts To Medicaid Since The Program Began In The 1960s.”

“Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

- **The Hill: The Republicans’ Reconciliation Bill Made About \$1 Trillion In Cuts To Medicaid.** “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Take Health Insurance Away From More Than 14 Million People

KFF: “CBO Estimates Show That The Number Of Uninsured People Will Increase By More Than 14 Million In 2034.” [KFF, [8/20/25](#)]

Rolling Stone Headline: “Congress Officially Passes Trump’s Bill To Kick Millions Off Medicaid.” [Rolling Stone, [7/3/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Estimated To Kick More Than 1.6 Million Floridians Off Their Health Insurance

September 2025: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Estimated More Than 1.6 Million People Across Florida Would Lose Health Insurance By 2034 Due To Congressional Republicans’ Cuts To Medicaid And The ACA. “According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO)’s most recent analysis, President Trump and Congressional Republicans’ cuts to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) would result in 16 million people losing their health insurance by 2034. Using CBO’s analysis released June 4 and other research, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority calculated new estimates of the number of people who would lose health insurance.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, [September 2025](#)]

Florida: Health Insurance Losses From Cuts To Medicaid And The Affordable Care Act Under The One Big Beautiful Bill

Est. Number of People Losing Affordable Care Act Coverage	Est. Number of People Losing Medicaid Coverage	Est. Total Number of People Losing Insurance
1,606,300	79,927	1,686,227

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, [September 2025](#)]

Seniors Would See Their Premiums Increase By At Least \$1,200 On Average, And Seniors Would Face Higher Out-Of-Pocket Costs, Because Of The One Big Beautiful Bill

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Seniors On Medicare

HEADLINE: “House Republicans’ Big, ‘Beautiful’ Bill Would Make Health Care More Expensive For Americans With Medicare And Other Insurance.” [Center for American Progress, [6/12/25](#)]

- **Costs Would Increase For Americans With Medicaid, Medicare, ACA Marketplace Plans, And Job-Based Insurance.** “The House Republican-passed tax and budget reconciliation bill would increase health care costs for many Americans with Medicaid, Medicare, ACA marketplace plans, and job-based insurance. Individuals and families could face steep increases in premiums, deductibles, and other out-of-pocket expenses, amounting to thousands of dollars per year.” [Center for American Progress, [6/12/25](#)]

CBPP: The Republican Reconciliation Bill Would Terminate Cost-Sharing Assistance For 1.4 Million Seniors Dually Eligible For Medicaid And Medicare Resulting In Higher Out-Of-Pocket Costs. “The House Republicans’ bill includes provisions that will add burdens for seniors, contributing to coverage losses and higher costs. One such provision would block implementation of a rule designed to make it easier for low-income seniors and people with disabilities who receive Medicare to access Medicare Savings Programs, a part of state Medicaid programs that help pay people’s Medicare premiums and cost-sharing charges. According to data from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO), roughly 1.4 million people who are dually eligible for Medicaid and Medicare would no longer receive this cost-sharing assistance and would therefore face increased out-of-pocket costs under the bill.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [6/6/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Older Americans On ACA Marketplace Plans

Older Adults Would See Their Premiums Increase By At Least \$1,200 On Average Because Of The One Big Beautiful Bill. “Last month, House Republicans passed the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBBA) that, if enacted, would raise health insurance premiums for millions of Americans who purchase coverage through the Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplaces. A new Center for American Progress analysis makes clear that older adults would be hit especially hard—facing, on average, at least \$1,200 more in annual premiums to keep their current ACA marketplace plan. In multiple states, premium hikes for adults ages 55 and older would exceed an average of \$10,000—including a staggering \$15,200 average increase in West Virginia and a \$16,700 increase in Alaska. These increases would come as a result of the OBBBA’s provisions as well as congressional Republicans’ failure to extend the enhanced ACA premium tax credits after this year.” [Center for American Progress, [6/26/26](#)]

Ryan Elijah Message #3

Ryan Elijah is a millionaire insider whose only concern is his bottom line. He hid his assets from voters and Elijah even bragged that he has “strong relationships” with the lawmakers who passed a bill letting politicians continue to trade stocks while in office. On top of that, Elijah even filed official paperwork saying he intends to trade individual stocks while in Congress, allowing him to possibly make thousands from closed-door meetings only lawmakers have access to.

Ryan Elijah Is A Millionaire Insider Whose Only Concern Is His Bottom Line.

2026: Elijah Had An Estimated Net Worth Of \$3.1 Million

Quiver Quantitative: Ryan Elijah's Estimated Net Worth Was \$3.1 Million. [Quiver Quantitative, Ryan Elijah, Accessed [9/23/26](#)]

He Hid His Assets From Voters And Elijah Even Bragged That He Has “Strong Relationships” With The Lawmakers Who Passed A Law Letting Politicians Continue To Trade Stocks While In Office.

2026: Elijah Did Not Properly Disclose His Assets In His Financial Disclosure

An Ethics Complaint Against Elijah Said He Did Not Properly Disclose His Assets In His Financial Disclosure. “Ryan Elijah just beat a sitting Congressman in a GOP Primary who was under House Ethics Committee investigation. Now, an ethics complaint has been filed against the congressional candidate. Sarah Austin, an Oviedo woman in Florida's 7th Congressional District, said Elijah, a former television news anchor, failed to report details on up to \$5.1 million in personal assets listed in his financial disclosures. Elijah on June 14 reported his net worth included a Fidelity 401(k) account worth between \$1 million and \$5 million, as well as a Charles Schwab retirement account worth between \$50,000 and \$100,000. But he didn't disclose where any of that value was derived. ‘For neither account did Mr. Elijah identify a single underlying asset. No stock, no bond, no mutual fund, no exchange-traded fund, no cash position,’ Austin's complaint reads. That's a violation of House rules, which require disclosure of each asset, not just the existence of an account.” [Florida Politics, [9/2/26](#)]

Florida Politics Headline: “Ethics Complaint Filed Against Ryan Elijah Over Financial Disclosure Omissions.” [Florida Politics, [9/2/26](#)]

Elijah Said He Had “Strong Relationships In Washington” And Was Endorsed By Republican Representatives Including Anna Paulina Luna And Mike Haridopolos

Elijah: “I've Got A Lot Of Strong Relationships In Washington”

Elijah: “I've Got A Lot Of Strong Relationships In Washington.” ELIJAH: “Okay, so first of all, I think it's important we answer all questions. A few people have said it's not the issues. These are the issues. I know, but I'm just for the crowd. These are issues Democrats are coming for, and you need to understand that in September and October, these are their issues. They're going to address all those questions coming up. But I'm happy to hear that because these are the issues. But I would say this: I've been to Washington multiple times with multiple people. I have never made a promise to any single individual. I think relationships matter. I've got a lot of strong relationships in Washington. I'm proud to say that some of them are here tonight. There is nothing at all to apologize for for having strong relationships in our nation.” [FL07 GOP Primary Debate, 59:23, [8/5/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Florida Politics: Elijah Was Spending Time In Washington D.C., After Already Having Endorsements From Republican Members Of Congress. “Ryan Elijah challenged and defeated U.S. Rep. Cory Mills in a Primary in Florida's 7th Congressional District. He's already spending time in Washington, D.C., with other candidates for Congress defending a GOP majority in a challenging environment. [...] But by Primary Day in August, Elijah did have quite a few incumbent members of Congress publicly supporting him over Mills, a two-term incumbent chased by a series of personal scandals.” [Florida Politics, [9/16/26](#)]

- **Florida Politics Headline: “Ryan Elijah Seeks Support In Washington After Unseating A Member Of His Own Party.”** [Florida Politics, [9/16/26](#)]

August 2026: Florida GOP Reps. Anna Paulina Luna And Mike Haridopolos Endorsed Ryan Elijah

Florida GOP Reps. Anna Paulina Luna And Mike Haridopolos Endorsed Ryan Elijah. “Earlier Wednesday, Florida representatives Mike Haridopolos and Anna Paulina Luna endorsed Elijah's campaign. He's also recently received endorsements from Volusia County Sheriff Mike Chitwood and Seminole County Sheriff Dennis Lemma.” [WESH, [8/5/26](#)]

Luna, Haridopolos, And Other House Republicans Voted For The Stop Insider Trading Act Which Let Members Of Congress Continue To Profit From Stock Trades

July 22, 2026: Luna And Haridopolos Voted For The Stop Insider Trading Act

July 22, 2026: Luna Voted For The Stop Insider Trading Act. In July 2026, Luna voted for: “Passage of the bill that would ban members of Congress, their spouses and dependent children from purchasing new individual stock units of publicly traded companies while in office and would impose increased penalties for violations. It would impose fines equal to the sum of either \$2,000 or 10 percent of the value of the transaction in the covered investment, whichever is greater, and the net gain realized from the sale. The bill also would require individuals to present valid photo identification, such as a passport or state-issued driver’s license or identification card, in order to vote in a federal election.” The bill passed by a vote of 232-198. [H.R. 7008, [Vote #280](#), 7/22/26; CQ, [7/22/26](#)]

July 22, 2026: Haridopolos Voted For The Stop Insider Trading Act. In July 2026, Haridopolos voted for: “Passage of the bill that would ban members of Congress, their spouses and dependent children from purchasing new individual stock units of publicly traded companies while in office and would impose increased penalties for violations. It would impose fines equal to the sum of either \$2,000 or 10 percent of the value of the transaction in the covered investment, whichever is greater, and the net gain realized from the sale. The bill also would require individuals to present valid photo identification, such as a passport or state-issued driver’s license or identification card, in order to vote in a federal election.” The bill passed by a vote of 232-198. [H.R. 7008, [Vote #280](#), 7/22/26; CQ, [7/22/26](#)]

The Stop Insider Trading Act Did Not Ban Lawmakers From Owning And Profiting From Stock Trades, Or From Buying Stocks On Behalf Of Their Parents

Citizens For Responsibility And Ethics In Washington: The Stop Insider Trading Act Did Not Ban Lawmakers From Owning And Profiting From Stock Trades. “Today, CREW and 7 other good government organizations, including the Project on Government Oversight, Campaign Legal Center and Public Citizen, submitted a letter to Congress in opposition to the Stop Insider Trading Act (SITA), which purports to address congressional stock trading without actually preventing members of Congress from profiting off of their offices. Congress should instead pass the Restore Trust in Congress Act, a simple, popular and bipartisan solution that accomplishes what SITA purports to do. SITA does not ban members of Congress from owning stock, profiting from stock trades or making decisions that would increase the value of their stock portfolio that they hold. Nearly 50% of members of Congress own stock. The New York Times found that in 2022, at least 97 members of Congress bought or sold stock, bonds or other financial assets that intersected with their congressional work or reported similar transactions by their spouse or dependent child.” [CREW, [7/21/26](#)]

The Stop Insider Trading Act Would Ban Members Of Congress From Buying Stocks, But Does Not Prohibit Them From Owning Or Selling Stocks, Potentially For Profit. “‘This bill, quite simply, is a sham,’ said Joe Morelle, a Democratic congressman from New York and an influential voice on congressional stock trading. ‘The so-called Stop Insider Trading Act actually allows members of Congress to own and trade stocks,’ said Morelle, adding that the ID rider was a ‘poison pill.’ The bill leaves significant loopholes for members to potentially abuse. In particular, it bans members from buying stocks but not from selling them. And it stops short of an outright ban on owning stocks, a proposal that gained bipartisan steam in recent years. The legislation also does not halt members of the executive branch and their family members, including President Trump and his adult children from trading — buying and selling stocks, bonds and other financial assets — while in office. ‘Americans

across the political spectrum overwhelmingly support stronger restrictions on congressional stock trading,’ Eric Kashdan, director of federal advocacy at the Campaign Legal Center, a nonpartisan watchdog group, wrote in a letter to members. ‘Congress must instead pursue stronger reforms that prohibit both the ownership and trading of individual stocks, as well as other assets that create conflicts between private interests and public responsibilities,’ Kashdan said.” [New Jersey Spotlight News, [7/31/26](#)]

The Stop Insider Trading Act Allowed Members To Retain Their Current Stock Holdings And Sell Them Off Which Drew Criticism From Some Republicans In The Senate. “The U.S. House of Representatives passed the Stop Insider Trading Act Wednesday, banning members of Congress and their families from buying new stocks and requiring public notice before selling existing holdings. The bill, which was passed largely along party lines, now faces an uncertain future in the Senate. House Speaker Mike Johnson (R-La.) said the legislation addresses a long-standing concern about lawmakers enriching themselves while in office. ‘Too often, Americans struggling to make ends meet have watched as lawmakers have entered office to leave as multimillionaires,’ Johnson said. Most House Democrats opposed the measure, arguing it does not go far enough. House Minority Leader Hakeem Jeffries (D-N.Y.) said the bill falls short because it does not prohibit members from trading stock outright and excludes the president, vice president, and cabinet. ‘It doesn’t actually prohibit members from trading stock. And of course, it didn’t include the president, the vice president and the cabinet. Which is extraordinary, given that during Donald Trump’s first year as president, he’s traded more stocks than every other member of Congress combined,’ Jeffries said. The bill allows members to retain their current stock holdings and sell them off — a provision that has drawn criticism from some Republicans in the Senate as well. Sen. Josh Hawley (R-Mo.) said he is willing to work with the House-passed version but wants stronger language. ‘If that’s what the House is able to pass, I’m certainly happy to take that and to work with it. As I say, I’d like to see something stronger. I’d like to see tougher penalties. I’d like to see an across the board ban on any kind of stock trading in stock ownership by members of Congress,’ Hawley said.” [14 News, [7/25/26](#)]

New York Times: The Stop Insider Trading Act Allowed Members Of Congress “To Keep Their Existing Stock And Continue To Sell It If They Provide Seven To 14 Days Of Notice.” “House Republicans on Wednesday advanced a watered-down bill that would impose some restrictions on lawmakers who trade stock, a move that Democrats said only blunted momentum for a more stringent ban with bipartisan support that they were seeking to bring to the floor. The Stop Insider Trading Act, which is backed by Speaker Mike Johnson, would require new restrictions on stocks for lawmakers and their family members. It would bar lawmakers from buying individual stocks. But it would allow members of Congress to keep their existing stock and continue to sell it if they provide seven to 14 days of notice. It would also allow them to reinvest dividends in new stocks, and let spouses and other family members trade freely on behalf of a lawmaker.” [New York Times, [1/14/26](#)]

The Law Allowed Members Of Congress To Buy Stocks For Their Parents. “While the bill bans lawmakers, their spouses, and their dependent children from purchasing publicly traded stocks, they could keep those they already owned, and even sell them with seven days’ notice. And if current assets churn off a dividend, lawmakers are allowed to set their paydays on autopilot to reinvest in the same firm, growing that footprint. The bill also allows a curious work-around: lawmakers can buy any stocks they want for their parents on the assumption it would be part of their inheritance.” [TIME Magazine, [3/9/26](#)]

The Stop Insider Trading Act Did Not Ban Politicians In The Executive Branch From Trading Stocks

The Stop Insider Trading Act Did Not Halt Members Of The Executive Branch And Their Family Members, Including Trump, From Trading Stocks. “‘This bill, quite simply, is a sham,’ said Joe Morelle, a Democratic congressman from New York and an influential voice on congressional stock trading. ‘The so-called Stop Insider Trading Act actually allows members of Congress to own and trade stocks,’ said Morelle, adding that the ID rider was a ‘poison pill.’ The bill leaves significant loopholes for members to potentially abuse. In particular, it bans members from buying stocks but not from selling them. And it stops short of an outright ban on owning stocks, a proposal that gained bipartisan steam in recent years. The legislation also does not halt members of the executive branch and their family members, including President Trump and his adult children from trading — buying and selling stocks, bonds and other financial assets — while in office. ‘Americans across the political spectrum

overwhelmingly support stronger restrictions on congressional stock trading,' Eric Kashdan, director of federal advocacy at the Campaign Legal Center, a nonpartisan watchdog group, wrote in a letter to members. 'Congress must instead pursue stronger reforms that prohibit both the ownership and trading of individual stocks, as well as other assets that create conflicts between private interests and public responsibilities,' Kashdan said." [New Jersey Spotlight News, [7/31/26](#)]

On Top Of That, Elijah Even Filed Official Paperwork Saying He Intends To Trade Individual Stocks While In Congress,

Elijah Indicated On His Florida Candidate Oath Form He Intended To Directly Trade Stocks If He Was Elected To Congress

Elijah Indicated On His Florida Candidate Oath Form He Intended To Directly Trade Stocks If He Was Elected To Congress. [Florida Department Of State, Elections, Elijah Ryan, Federal Candidate Oath, received [6/1/26](#)]

CANDIDATE OATH FEDERAL OFFICE WITH PARTY AFFILIATION		RECEIVED DEPARTMENT OF STATE 2026 JUN -1 AM 10: 07 DIVISION OF ELECTIONS TALLAHASSEE, FL
		OFFICE USE ONLY
Name to appear on ballot: <u>Ryan Elijah</u>		
☐ Check box if there are two last names without hyphen. (Name cannot be changed after qualifying.) ☒ Check box if name includes nickname. (To use nickname, you must complete the Affidavit of Nickname on page 2 of this form.)		
I swear or affirm that I am a candidate for the office of <u>Representative in Congress</u> <u>7</u> (Office) (District #)		
I am qualified under the Constitution and the laws of the United States to hold the office to which I desire to be nominated or elected; I have qualified for no other public office in the state, the term of which office or any part thereof runs concurrent with the office I seek; and I will support the Constitution of the United States.		
I swear or affirm, in addition to being a citizen of the United States, that: (Check applicable box.) ☒ I am not a citizen of another country. ☐ I am a citizen of another country, specifically _____		
Statement of Party: I am a member of the <u>Republican</u> Party; I have been a registered member of this political party, for which I am seeking nomination as a candidate, for at least 365 consecutive days preceding the beginning of qualifying before the general election for which I seek to qualify; and I have paid the assessment levied against me, if any, by the executive committee of the above-stated political party.		
Statement of Legal Name Change: I have not legally changed my name through a petition pursuant to s. 68.07, F.S., during the 365-day period preceding the beginning of qualifying. (This does not apply to any change of name in proceedings for dissolution of marriage or adoption of children or based on a change of name conducted with a marriage certificate.)		
Statement of Outstanding Fines, Fees, or Penalties: (Check applicable box. If you do owe more than \$250, you must also specify the amount owed and each entity that levied the same on page 2 of this form.) I do not ☒ / I do ☐ owe outstanding fines, fees, or penalties that cumulatively exceed \$250, for any violations of s. 8, Art. II of the State Constitution, the Code of Ethics for Public Officers and Employees under part III of chapter 112, any local ethics ordinance governing standards of conduct and disclosure requirements, or chapter 106, (s. 99.021(1)(d), F.S.)		
Statement of Stock Trading: (Check all applicable boxes.) I do not ☐ / I do ☒ intend to trade stocks, if elected, in a manner other than through a trust or similar mechanism which strictly limits my ability to influence or exercise control over decisions regarding the management of assets. ☒ I did not previously hold federal office. ☐ I did previously hold federal office and I did not ☐ / I did ☐ trade stocks while in federal office in a manner other than through a trust or similar mechanism which strictly limits my ability to influence or exercise control over decisions regarding the management of assets.		
Signature of Candidate <u>T. R. Eljah</u> 5407 Via Veneto Ct Address of Legal Residence	Telephone Number <u>(407) 982-9095</u> Sanford City	Email Address <u>Ryan@elijahforcongress.com</u> FL 32771 State ZIP Code
STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF <u>Seminole</u>		Signature of Officer Administering Oath Affix Seal Below <u>CYNTHIA HERNANDEZ</u> Notary Public - State of Florida Commission # HH 797902 My Comm. Expires Apr 30, 2030 Bonded through National Notary Assn.
Sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me by means of online notarization ☐ OR physical presence ☒ this <u>29th</u> day of <u>May</u> , 20 <u>26</u> . Type of Identification Produced: <u>drivers license</u>		Emergency Rule 1SER26-2, F.A.C.

[Florida Department Of State, Elections, Elijah Ryan, Federal Candidate Oath, received [6/1/26](#)]

Politico: Elijah Checked Off A Box On His Qualifying Form He Intended To Trade Stocks While In Office. “Former Fox 35 Orlando host RYAN ELIJAH, Republican candidate for Florida’s 7th District, is among a small number of House candidates who checked off a box on his qualifying form saying that he intended to trade stocks while in office. His financial disclosure shows he has between \$50,000 to \$100,000 in a retirement account that includes shares in Palantir, Tesla and UnitedHealth Group. Asked about the decision, Elijah replied: ‘I checked the box in the interest of full transparency, that I don’t yet know how my retirement account will be managed, but will do so according to the law and with full transparency. What I do know is insider trading in Washington must stop, members of Congress should not have an advantage over the rest of America because they sit on a committee with potentially non-public information.’” [Politico, [9/11/26](#)]

Allowing Him To Possibly Make Thousands From Closed-Door Meetings Only Lawmakers Have Access To.

Members Of Congress Were Allowed To Trade Stocks And Have Access To Nonpublic Information That Could Move Financial Markets

Brennan Center: Members Of Congress Were Allowed To Trade Stocks And Have Access To Nonpublic Information That Could Move Financial Markets. “Members of Congress are allowed to trade stocks, and almost all of them own shares in public companies. Placing stricter rules on congressional stock trading is a key ethics reform that’s critical to restoring public trust in Congress. Lawmakers often have access to nonpublic information that can move financial markets, as well as the power to shape policies in sectors in which they have financial interests. This creates potential for members to personally profit off their offices when they trade individual stocks. Underscoring the risks, a New York Times investigation discovered that from 2019 to 2021, 18 percent of members of Congress traded stocks in sectors related to the work of the congressional committees they sat on.” [Brennan Center, [9/4/25](#)]

City & State Pennsylvania: Members Of Congress Traded Stocks With The Benefit Of Non-Public Information. “The age-old adage of ‘rules for thee, not for me’ continues to ring true in the halls of Congress, where members trading stocks with the benefit of non-public information has long been a feature – or a bug. While corporations have entire divisions dedicated to ensuring no one can use their position for an unfair advantage, good government advocates from across the political spectrum have made little to no progress in stopping stock trading by members of Congress, who can use potentially market-moving information to trade stock and reap a profit or avoid a loss – despite it being a widely unpopular practice among the general public.” [City & State Pennsylvania, [2/25/26](#)]

Ryan Elijah Message #4

Ryan Elijah believes that abortion should be illegal starting at conception. He even said that when women are raped, something “incredible” can come from it. Elijah even agreed that exceptions to save the life of the mother are a “moot point” because it’s rare for pregnancy to be life-threatening.

Ryan Elijah Believes That Abortion Should Be Illegal Starting At Conception.

Elijah Said He Strongly Agreed Life Started At Conception And Should Have “Legal Protection” From That Point

Elijah Said He Strongly Agreed Life Started At Conception And Should Have “Legal Protection” From That Point. [iVoterGuide, Ryan Elijah, accessed [9/21/26](#)]

RIGHT TO LIFE

Human life deserves legal protection from conception until natural death.

Strongly Agree

I believe every life is a gift from God and must be protected.

[iVoterGuide, Ryan Elijah, accessed [9/21/26](#)]

Elijah Said There Was No Circumstance For When An Elective Abortion Should Be Allowed

Elijah Said There Was No Circumstance For When An Elective Abortion Should Be Allowed. [iVoterGuide, Ryan Elijah, accessed [9/21/26](#)]

Under what circumstances should an elective abortion be allowed?

None.

[iVoterGuide, Ryan Elijah, accessed [9/21/26](#)]

He Even Said That When Women Are Raped, Something “Incredible” Can Come From It.

Elijah Said Victims Of Rape Could Turn Something “Incredible” Out Of It

Elijah Said Victims Of Rape Could Turn Something “Incredible” Out Of It. HOST: “Rape and incest you believe in an exception there. I’m gonna share with you. We had a lady on the show. A very good friend of ours. Who was the product of a rape and incest. She is now 77 years old. The the pastor who married us, she is his wife. Her mother when she was 13 years old, was raped by an uncle and became pregnant. And the doctor, her school teachers, because she got sick at school and they couldn’t figure out what’s wrong with her. She was having morning sickness. [...] And um, and so they all said, well, and it was illegal at the time. But the police were saying, hey, we know a place where you can get an abortion. And the 13 year old girl said, what’s an abortion? I don’t know. That says, well, it’s where they they take the baby, and she says take the baby. What do you mean take the baby? I and they said well they they they said well they they kill it and they take it out of you. And she’s, ‘Like no I don’t want that done. No no this no.’” ELIJAH: “I’m a big believer that God can take a mistake, in a crime in this case, and turn something incredible out of it. And I think that’s what most Christians would pray and go that route. So that’s where I feel on that. I would hope most people make that decision to have the baby.” [Pro-Life Radio, Ryan Eliza, 29:40 – 31:28, [6/28/26](#)] (AUDIO)

Elijah Even Agreed That Exceptions To Save The Life Of The Mother Are A “Moot Point” Because It’s Rare For Pregnancy To Be Life-Threatening.

Elijah Agreed That Exceptions To Save The Life Of The Mother Were A “Moot Point”

Elijah Agreed That Exceptions To Save The Life Of The Mother Were A “Moot Point.” HOST: “But there we’re only talking what 0.01 percent of the pregnancies are life-threatening to the mother.” ELIJAH: “Yeah.” HOST: “So that’s really kind of a moot point in my opinion.” ELIJAH: “I think so.” [Pro-Life Radio, Ryan Elijah, 28:49 [6/28/26](#)]