

Dan Green Overview Backup

Dan Green is nothing more than a partisan politician who will rubberstamp the higher costs and corruption that are hurting Florida families.

Dan Green Is Nothing More Than A Partisan Politician

Green Spent His Career Working For Establishment Republicans, The D.C. Bureaucracy, And Conservative Think Tanks

In College, Green Interned On The Hill for Republican Senator Connie Mack

Press Journal: In College, Green “Interned For Florida’s U.S. Sen. Connie Mack, The Institute For Central American Studies And U.S. Department Of Education.” “Green said he has been a political activist since elementary school. At Vero Beach High School, he was president of the Student Council and named senior male student of the year in 1994 by the Vero Beach Exchange Club. During college, he interned for Florida's U.S. Sen. Connie Mack, the Institute for Central American Studies and U.S. Department of Education.” [Press Journal, 11/6/00]

1998: After Graduating College, Green “Took An Unpaid Position With The Jeb Bush For Governor Campaign As The Central Florida Youth Director” And Worked At A Conservative Think Tank While Studying Politics

Indian River Guardian: After Graduating College, Green “Took An Unpaid Position With The Jeb Bush For Governor Campaign As The Central Florida Youth Director.” “When Dan Green graduated from Vero Beach High School in 1994, everyone knew he had a bright future. He was, after all, president of the Student Council, one of the top five seniors in his class and male Student of the Year. Green also spent six months as an exchange student in New Zealand. His father worked for Harris Corporation and his mother was a Vero Beach high school Spanish teacher. ‘I grew up in a household that was open to other cultures,’ says Green. He then attended American University in Washington, D.C., graduating with three majors, then back to Florida where he took an unpaid position with the Jeb Bush for Governor campaign as the Central Florida Youth Director. After that stint, he went to FSU for a Master’s Degree in International Affairs, then returned to D.C. doing research for the Republican National Committee. ‘It was my first paid political job at \$9 an hour.’ Among his other political jobs, he worked in Broward County on the Get Out the Vote campaign and participated in the infamous Florida recount of 2000. Then he served on George W. Bush’s Inaugural Committee as Director of Archives until getting an appointment at the Pentagon, where his life changed.” [Indian River Guardian, [1/15/14](#)]

After Working On The Jeb Bush Campaign, Green “Worked With The James Madison Institute, A Conservative Public Policy Think Tank In Tallahassee While Finishing A Master's Degree In International Affairs At Florida State University.” “In 1998, Green was in charge of the ‘Youth for Jeb Bush’ campaign in southern Florida. After the campaign, he worked with the James Madison Institute, a conservative public policy think tank in Tallahassee while finishing a master's degree in International Affairs at Florida State University. Tuesday, Green heads back to Washington, D.C., at 5:55 p.m. He wants to get there in time for the victory celebrations, he said.” [Press Journal, 11/6/00]

2003-2007: Green Was A Political Appointee At The State Department

2004: The Press Journal Described Green As A Political Appointee At The State Department.

“Tarin Kowt, a town in the mountains of the Oruzgan province of Afghanistan, is a place Dan Green still doesn't know much about, though he'll soon be living there. Small and remote, more than 200 miles from the country's capital of Kabul, it's the sort of place U.S. troops get to by helicopter, where soldiers wash laundry in buckets and sleeping in barracks rather than tents is a luxury. It's also a dangerous place. Only a few days ago, two soldiers were killed in the province by an improvised explosive device. The same day, others found stores of weapons kept by Taliban militants who remain in the area. Beyond that, Green, 28, a Vero Beach High School graduate now working as a political appointee to the State Department, is not sure what to expect when he arrives there in January. He asked for the six-month assignment in Tarin Kowt, where he'll work with a group of Americans -- some military, some from the U.S. Agency for International Development -- as part of what's called a provincial reconstruction team. They'll help with construction projects, building ties with local leaders, all with the hope of extending the authority of the fledgling Afghan government throughout the country. Green will travel through the Oruzgan province with an interpreter and guards, working for the U.S. embassy in Kabul as a local diplomat. ‘My task is to operate as eyes and ears of the embassy in the provinces,’ Green said. His main concern with his new assignment is how he'll be received by the people in Tarin Kowt, and how much he'll be able to help.” [Press Journal, 11/27/04]

2008-2009: Green Served As Policy Staff In The Office Of The Secretary Of Defense

2008-2009: Green Served As Policy Staff In The Office Of The Secretary Of Defense. “Dr. Daniel R. Green is the Former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Strategy and Force Development. He was responsible for defense strategy, force development, and strategic analysis within the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Policy) and advises the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Strategy, Plans, and Capabilities. His office develops the National Defense Strategy, the Defense Planning Guidance, as well as various Defense Planning Scenarios. Prior to his appointment, he served as a Defense Fellow at The Washington Institute for Near East Policy. He previously served in the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Policy) in 2001-2003 and from 2008-2009.” [United States Department of Defense, accessed [9/4/26](#)]

2011-2019: Green Was A Fellow At Conservative Think Tanks Including The Washington Institute For Near East Policy And The Foundation For Defense Of Democracies

2011-2019: Green Was A Defense Fellow With The Washington Institute For Near East Policy, A Conservative Think Tank

2011-2019: Green Was A Defense Fellow With The Washington Institute For Near East Policy.

“Vero Beach native Daniel R. Green, defense fellow with the Washington Institute for Near East Policy, was appointed to the position of deputy assistant secretary of defense for strategy and force development, the research institution announced Wednesday. ‘Dan is a perfect fit for this important role at the Pentagon,’ said Washington Institute executive director Robert Satloff. ‘He brings to the position a rare combination of scholarly excellence and professional experience that will make him an invaluable contributor to the making and execution of defense policy. All his colleagues join in wishing him great success in fulfilling his new responsibilities.’ Green has been a defense fellow with the Institute since 2011, focusing on counter-terrorism, counter-insurgency, and stability operations in the Middle East and Central Asia.” [TCPalm, [3/20/19](#)]

Media Bias/Fact Check Rated The Washington Institute For Near East Policy “Right-Center.”

[Media Bias/Fact Check, accessed [9/4/26](#)]

Washington Institute for Near East Policy – Bias and Credibility

Washington Institute for Near East Policy is rated Right-Center with Mixed factual reporting by Media Bias Fact Check.



Factual Reporting
Very High
High
Mostly Factual
MIXED
Low
Very Low

[Media Bias/Fact Check, accessed [9/4/26](#)]

2011-2012: Green Was A National Security Fellow At The Foundation For Defense Of Democracies

2011-2012: Green Was A National Security Fellow At The Foundation For Defense Of Democracies. [Foundation for Defense of Democracies, accessed [9/4/26](#)]



NATIONAL SECURITY NETWORK PARTICIPANT

DANIEL R. GREEN

The Washington Institute of Near East Policy

FDD's National Security Fellow
2011 - 2012

[Foundation for Defense of Democracies, accessed [9/4/26](#)]

Slate: The Foundation For Defense Of Democracies Billed Itself As “A Non-Partisan Policy Institute” But Was A Republican-Backed Organization Aligned With The Israeli Right. “FDD bills itself as ‘a non-partisan policy institute’ in the tradition of groups like the Council on Foreign Relations. Legally speaking, that’s true. But while FDD once had a few Democrats on its Board of Advisors, and can still find a few Democrats like Menendez who are receptive to its message, it has become a Republican-backed organization that reflects the growing political polarization in Washington. FDD also describes itself as a global research organization. Its purpose, it says, is to conduct ‘research and provide education on international terrorism—the most serious security threat to the United States and other free, democratic nations.’ But it has conducted its research from a particular vantage point and with a relatively narrow focus. Its research and advocacy have centered on the Middle East and in particular on conflicts and issues that impinge on Israel. And its positions have closely tracked those of the Likud party and its leader, Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu—not just on the Iran deal, but on the conflict between the Israelis and the Palestinians and the desirability of a two-state solution. Understanding the think tank’s ideological affinity with the Israeli government, and the roots of that affinity, helps explain the special

role that FDD has played in opposing the Iran deal and may shed light on what FDD hopes to accomplish by derailing President Obama's signature foreign policy accomplishment." [Slate, [8/18/15](#)]

2019-2021: Green Was Appointed Deputy Assistant Secretary Of Defense For Strategy And Force Development At The Pentagon Under Trump

Green Was Appointed To The Position Of Deputy Assistant Secretary Of Defense For Strategy And Force Development At The Pentagon. "Vero Beach native Daniel R. Green, defense fellow with the Washington Institute for Near East Policy, was appointed to the position of deputy assistant secretary of defense for strategy and force development, the research institution announced Wednesday. 'Dan is a perfect fit for this important role at the Pentagon,' said Washington Institute executive director Robert Satloff. 'He brings to the position a rare combination of scholarly excellence and professional experience that will make him an invaluable contributor to the making and execution of defense policy. All his colleagues join in wishing him great success in fulfilling his new responsibilities.'" [TCPalm, [3/20/19](#)]

After Working At Research Institutions, Green Became Deputy Assistant Secretary Of Defense For Strategy And Force Development During The Trump Administration. "That came before two military tours each in Afghanistan and Iraq, about three years total, Green said. He created unique, lasting connections. One was with an interpreter from Afghanistan. Green helped the man come to the United States with his wife and children just before the nation's fall. USA Today interviewed 'Z' recently, granting him rare anonymity to keep his other family safe from the Taliban. After working at research institutions, Green became deputy assistant secretary of defense for strategy and force development during the Trump administration. He worked on national defense strategy. He hadn't envisioned such a career. Green thought he'd come back to Florida to work in business and maybe teach on the side, he said. '(But) once I did my first tour in Afghanistan ... you feel committed, you feel very emotionally invested in the conflict,' he said. 'Once you're on the ground, you start to see things could be done a little bit better.' Given that he continues to serve in the military — now as a commander in the Navy Reserve — Green opted not to comment on the recent withdrawal from Afghanistan." [TCPalm, [9/10/21](#)]

2025-2026: Green Was A Research Fellow For Defense Analysis At The Heritage Foundation

2025-2026: Green Was A Research Fellow For Defense Analysis At The Heritage Foundation. "Presently full-time campaigning but was most recently at the Heritage Foundation serving as a Research Fellow for Defense Analysis. [...] The Heritage Foundation, Research Fellow-Defense Analysis, 2025-2026." [TCPalm, [6/28/26](#)]

Green Called Himself "A Political Activist Since Elementary School" Who Appeared To Have Had Political Ambitions For Years

In A November 2000 Local News Profile, Green Said He Had "Been A Political Activist Since Elementary School"

November 2000: Green Said He Had Been A Political Activist Since Elementary School. "Green said he has been a political activist since elementary school. At Vero Beach High School, he was president of the Student Council and named senior male student of the year in 1994 by the Vero Beach Exchange Club. During college, he interned for Florida's U.S. Sen. Connie Mack, the Institute for Central American Studies and U.S. Department of Education." [Press Journal, 11/6/00]

2014: Green Said He Had “Thought About” Running For Office

August 2014: Green Said He Had “Thought About” Running For Office. “Anyone know a British bookmaker taking bets on future gubernatorial races in Florida? If so, you might want to put a few pounds on Dan Green to win in, say, 2034 or ’38. The Vero Beach High School graduate is building an impressive resume for a political candidate of national stature. From Eagle Scout to officer in the Navy Reserve to author of two books on the Middle East, Green has positioned himself well for a future run at elected office. ‘I’ve thought about it,’ he said. As fate might one day have it, he was actively involved in the winning campaigns of Jeb Bush (governor) and George W. Bush (president).” [Press Journal, 8/26/14]

Who Will Rubberstamp The Higher Costs And Corruption That Are Hurting Florida Families.

June 2026: Green Said He Wanted To “Be A Reliable Ally And Voice Of Support For President Trump” In Congress, After Trump Passed The One Big Beautiful Bill

June 2026: Green Said He Wanted To “Be A Reliable Ally And Voice Of Support For President Trump” In Congress

VIDEO: June 2026: Green: “I Want To Be A Reliable Ally And Voice Of Support For President Trump.” GREEN: “I appreciate that. Yeah. You know, when you’ve served so overseas, you’ve been fighting sort of radical extremism overseas. And you come home, you see left-wing radicalism taking root here at home in our communities, our churches, our businesses. It’s incredibly frustrating. You know, I didn’t fight for our country overseas only to see it fall from within. And the thing I really admire about President Trump is his strength and his his willingness to take make the hard decisions and stick to them. You know, he builds a team, he makes the right call, and he implements it, and we’re all better off for it. And the thing I’m really focused on in this particular race is making America affordable again. You know, gas prices, grocery prices, all the prices are through the roof, and it’s hurting families, farmers, you know, small business owners, and veterans. We’ve got to get a better handle on that, and I want to be a reliable ally and voice of support for President Trump. You know, as you may know, I was fortunate enough to be appointed to his administration and serve at the Pentagon, and you know that faith and trust that he gave to me at that time to serve. I want to give that back to him as a member of Congress, to be a reliable member of his team, to stand with him, to really tackle the hard issues our country needs to face.” [Florida’s Voice via YouTube, 2:42:00, [6/8/26](#)] (VIDEO)

- **VIDEO: June 2026: Green: “I Want To Give That [Faith And Trust] Back To Him As A Member Of Congress, To Be A Reliable Member Of His Team, To Stand With Him.”** GREEN: “We’ve got to get a better handle on that, and I want to be a reliable ally and voice of support for President Trump. You know, as you may know, I was fortunate enough to be appointed to his administration and serve at the Pentagon, and you know that faith and trust that he gave to me at that time to serve. I want to give that back to him as a member of Congress, to be a reliable member of his team, to stand with him, to really tackle the hard issues our country needs to face.” [Florida’s Voice via YouTube, 2:42:00, [6/8/26](#)] (VIDEO)

July 2025: Trump Signed The One Big Beautiful Bill, His Signature Legislative Accomplishment Which “Advance[d] Many Of The President’s Top Legislative Priorities”

July 2025: Trump Signed The One Big Beautiful Bill Into Law, Which “Advance[d] Many Of The President’s Top Legislative Priorities.” “After weeks of partisan debate and internal Republican

disagreements, President Trump signed the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (H.R. 1) into law on July 4. The sweeping reconciliation package advances many of the President’s top legislative priorities—including an extension of the 2017 tax cuts, defense funding, border security measures, and energy policy—while also making deep cuts to Medicaid, health coverage, and student loan programs.” [Society of Gynecologic Oncology, [7/14/25](#)]

- **All But Two House Republicans Voted To Pass The One Big Beautiful Bill.** “President Trump on Friday signed a massive spending and tax bill that the White House has dubbed the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill’ to implement much of his domestic policy agenda. The bill passed Thursday after Republican leaders in the House of Representatives convinced holdouts in their own party to get in line behind the controversial legislation. [...] The legislative effort fulfills key campaign pledges that Trump made during his reelection bid — including making hefty tax cuts passed during his first term permanent. But it violates a key promise too: Trump promised repeatedly during the campaign not to touch Medicaid benefits, the joint federal and state program that provides health care for more than 70 million low-income, elderly and disabled Americans. House Republicans passed the bill by a vote of 218 to 214, nearly entirely along party lines. All 212 Democrats voted in unison against the bill, and they were joined by two Republicans, Reps. Thomas Massie of Kentucky and Brian Fitzpatrick of Pennsylvania. Leaders were forced to work all night to win the votes necessary to pass the bill and meet Trump's demand to sign the bill by July 4.” [NPR, [7/4/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Enacted The Largest Cuts To Medicaid In History, And Was Projected To Kick More Than 1.6 Million Floridians Off Their Health Insurance

The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Estimated To Kick More Than 1.6 Million Floridians Off Their Health Insurance

September 2025: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Estimated More Than 1.6 Million People Across Florida Would Lose Health Insurance By 2034 Due To Congressional Republicans’ Cuts To Medicaid And The ACA. “According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO)’s most recent analysis, President Trump and Congressional Republicans’ cuts to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) would result in 16 million people losing their health insurance by 2034. Using CBO’s analysis released June 4 and other research, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority calculated new estimates of the number of people who would lose health insurance.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, [September 2025](#)]

Florida: Health Insurance Losses From Cuts To Medicaid And The Affordable Care Act Under The One Big Beautiful Bill		
Est. Number of People Losing Affordable Care Act Coverage	Est. Number of People Losing Medicaid Coverage	Est. Total Number of People Losing Insurance
1,606,300	79,927	1,686,227

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, [September 2025](#)]

The Big Beautiful Bill Cut Medicaid By Nearly \$1 Trillion, In “The Biggest Rollback In Federal Support For Health Coverage Ever”

KFF: The Big Beautiful Bill Cut Medicaid By Nearly \$1 Trillion. “On July 4, President Trump signed into law a budget reconciliation package once called the ‘One Big, Beautiful Bill’ that made major reductions in federal health care spending to offset part of the costs of extending expiring tax cuts. The Congressional Budget Office’s (CBO) latest cost estimate shows that the reconciliation package would

reduce federal Medicaid spending over a decade by an estimated \$911 billion (after accounting for interactions that produce overlapping reductions across different provisions of the law) and increase the number of uninsured people by 10 million.” [KFF, [7/23/25](#)]

KFF Executive Vice President For Health Policy Larry Levitt: The Big Beautiful Bill Was “The Biggest Rollback In Federal Support For Health Coverage Ever.” “The ‘one big beautiful bill’ that was just passed by the Senate has not been framed as a health reform plan. But make no mistake: This Republican policy bill is effectively a partial repeal of the Affordable Care Act to help pay for tax cuts, and should it reach President Trump’s desk, it would represent the biggest rollback in federal support for health coverage ever. A preliminary estimate from the Congressional Budget Office projects that the bill would reduce federal spending for Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act’s provisions by more than \$1 trillion over a decade, resulting in nearly 12 million more people becoming uninsured by 2034.” [New York Times, KFF Executive Vice President for Health Policy Larry Levitt Op-Ed, [7/1/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Projected To Cut More Than \$10.5 Million In Revenue From Hospitals In FL-09

Under The One Big Beautiful Bill, Hospitals In FL-09 Were Projected To Lose More Than \$10.5 Million As A Result Of Medicaid Cuts. [Third Way, GOP Medicaid Cuts Will Decimate Hospitals Nationwide, [7/8/25](#)]

Projected Losses By FL-09 Hospital From Medicaid Cuts In The One Big Beautiful Bill			
Hospital Name	City	Zip Code	Projected Cut To Hospital Revenue
Osceola Regional Medical Center	Kissimmee	34741	\$4,397,888.00
HCA Florida Poinciana Hospital	Kissimmee	34758	\$1,531,615.43
Sebastian River Medical Center	Sebastian	32958	\$259,316.52
AdventHealth Sebring	Sebring	33872	\$2,060,530.35
HCA Florida Highlands Hospital	Sebring	33870	\$467,895.09
St. Cloud Regional Medical Center	St. Cloud	34769	\$733,786.90
Indian River Memorial Hospital	Vero Beach	32960	\$1,082,311.27
TOTAL:			\$10,533,343.57

[Third Way, GOP Medicaid Cuts Will Decimate Hospitals Nationwide, [7/8/25](#)]

NOTE: The above hospitals are all located in FL-09 post-2026 redistricting.

July 2026: Highlands News-Sun: The Impacts Of The One Big Beautiful Bill Were “Starting To Show In Rural Health Care Systems Like HCA Florida Healthcare And AdventHealth, Both Of Which Have Facilities In Highlands County.” “The impacts of the Trump administration’s so-called ‘One Big Beautiful Bill’ are starting to show in rural health care systems like HCA Florida Healthcare and AdventHealth, both of which have facilities in Highlands County. The Congressional Budget Office projects federal Medicaid spending cuts of \$911 billion over the next 10 years, but the cuts have already begun. Medicaid is a government program designed primarily to help people with limited incomes pay for medical care. However, it also covers specific groups regardless of income, such as individuals with disabilities, pregnant women and seniors. The Business Journals, which tracks the hospital industry, says AdventHealth stands to lose roughly \$1.5 billion in annual gross patient revenue tied to federal cuts in Medicaid and managed Medicaid.” [Highlands News-Sun, [7/17/26](#)]

Physicians Of Central Florida Press Release Headline: “Trump’s ‘Big Beautiful Bill’ To Slash \$3.8B From Florida Hospitals.” [Press Release, Physicians of Central Florida, [10/10/25](#)]

June 2026: The Number Of Floridians Receiving SNAP Dropped By 23% After The One Big Beautiful Bill Enacted The Largest Cuts To The Program In History, Causing More Than 685,000 People To Lose Benefits In The Second-Largest Drop In The Country

The House Reconciliation Bill, Known As The One Big Beautiful Bill, Enacted The Largest SNAP Cut In The Program's History

Center On Budget And Policy Priorities Headline: “House Reconciliation Bill Proposes Deepest SNAP Cut In History, Would Take Food Assistance Away From Millions of Low-Income Families.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [5/28/25](#)]

Center For American Progress: The One Big Beautiful Bill Enacted The Largest Cut To The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) In The Program's History. “The Senate has released its version of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, which would enact the largest cut to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) in the program's history according to Center for American Progress estimates. Despite the proposal cutting less than the House-passed version's nearly \$300 billion in cuts, it is even more extreme than the House version in some key aspects. Moreover, this legislation comes at a time when food insecurity is rising across all 50 states, reaching levels not seen since 2014.” [Center for American Progress, [6/26/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Cut SNAP By Around \$186 Billion. President Trump's massive tax and spending bill, which is advancing through the House after surviving its Republican push through the Senate, would slash food benefits for thousands. The big picture: It would mark a historic cut to the social safety net that Republicans claim weeds out waste, fraud and abuse — but experts say the restructuring of assistance programs could leave more people hungry and uninsured. [...] By the numbers: The bill would reduce nutrition funding, which includes SNAP, by around \$186 billion between 2025 and 2034. [Axios, [7/3/25](#)]

June 2025-June 2026: According To Data From The Florida Department Of Children And Families, The Number Of Floridians Receiving SNAP Dropped By 23 Percent, With More Than 685,000 Residents Losing Benefits

June 2025-June 2026: According To Data From The Florida Department Of Children And Families, The Number Of Floridians Receiving SNAP Dropped By 23 Percent, With More Than 685,000 Residents Losing Benefits. “The number of Florida residents who receive federal food assistance dropped by 23% during the past year, state data published this month show. There were 2,285,099 residents enrolled in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) in June, down from the 2,970,283 in June 2025, data maintained by the Department of Children and Families show. That's about a 23% reduction. SNAP enrollment dropped steadily in each of the past 12 months.” [Florida Phoenix, [7/23/26](#)]

- **June 2025-June 2026: There Was A 26.1% Decrease In Financial Assistance Awarded Through SNAP In Florida.** “Although significant, the decrease was outpaced by the 26.1% reduction in financial assistance awarded, from nearly \$541 million in June 2025 to about \$399 million last month. Enrollment in social services programs such as SNAP is countercyclical, meaning it increases as the economy worsens. But the drop-off in SNAP enrollment occurred even as the state's unemployment rate increased during the year, U.S. Bureau and Labor Statistics data show.” [Florida Phoenix, [7/23/26](#)]

April 2025-April 2026: United States Department Of Agriculture: The Number Of Households Participating In SNAP In Florida Dropped By Nearly 22% In The Second Largest Drop In The Country. According to July 2026 data from the United States Department of Agriculture, SNAP enrollment in Florida fell by 21.7% between April 2025 and April 2026. The only state with a larger decrease was Arizona, with a 50.2% decrease. [United States Department Of Agriculture, [7/10/26](#)]

July 2026: Number Of Florida Households Receiving SNAP Food Assistance			
April 2025	April 2026	Change In Total Participation	Percent Change In Total Participation
1,662,643	1,301,292	-361,351	-21.7%

[United States Department of Agriculture, [7/10/26](#)]

Naples Daily News: “More Than Half A Million Floridians Have Lost SNAP Benefits, Or Food Stamps, In Less Than A Year Since Cuts By The Federal Government.” “More than half a million Floridians have lost SNAP benefits, or food stamps, in less than a year since cuts by the federal government. The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities says 553,046 Floridians have lost their food assistance from July 2025 to April of this year. The figure nearly doubled since data in January showed 278,720 Floridians lost eligibility in the first six months after the federal cuts went into effect in July 2025, according to the progressive policy and research group based in Washington, D.C.” [Naples Daily News, [7/29/26](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Cuts To Clean Energy Tax Credits Were Projected Raise The Annual Average Energy Bill For Florida Households By \$430

The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Cuts To Clean Energy Tax Credits Were Projected Raise The Annual Average Energy Bill For Florida Households By \$430 By 2035

2025-2035: Energy Innovation Projected That Annual Florida Household Energy Bills Would Increase By 100 Percent, Or \$430 Due To The One Big Beautiful Bill, By 2035. “The ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act’ was signed into law by President Trump on July 4th after being passed by the United States Congress. [...] The bill will reduce additions of new, cost-effective electricity capacity in Florida, raising power prices for consumers and decreasing the state’s GDP and job growth in the coming years. This summary of impacts is an addendum to our national and state-by-state modeling of the House-passed text released on June 11th. [...] The loss of low-cost renewables and the resulting increase in gas prices would increase electricity prices in Florida. We forecast that wholesale electricity prices increase by 21 percent by 2030 and 100 percent by 2035. Wholesale electricity costs in Florida would balloon from \$7.5 billion in 2035 with current policies to \$16 billion in the Senate scenario, a 110-percent increase over current policies. Utilities are expected to pass these costs on to consumers; we forecast the bill will raise electricity rates by 13 to 18 percent for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers in Florida. Households in Florida will face significantly increased energy costs: We find \$200 annual increases in household energy bills by 2030 and \$430 by 2035.” [Energy Innovation, [7/1/25](#)]

2025: Joint Economic Committee Minority: The Average Energy Bill For A Florida Household Was \$140 Higher Than In 2024. “While campaigning in 2024, President Donald Trump repeatedly promised that if he was elected, energy bills across the country would be ‘cut in half’ within 12 months. However, this updated Joint Economic Committee – Minority analysis finds that electricity costs increased significantly in 2025, with households in nearly every state paying more for electricity in 2025 compared to 2024. The Committee measured state-level electricity costs for 2025 using monthly electricity bill data from the federal Energy Information Administration (EIA). On average, the Committee finds that American households paid approximately \$110 more in electricity costs in 2025

compared to 2024. This is even higher than the increase the Committee projected last November, prior to the release of data for all of 2025. The Committee also finds that annual electricity costs were higher in 2025 in nearly every state, and were at least 10 percent higher in 12 states and D.C.” [Joint Economic Committee, [3/17/26](#)]

2024-2025: Change In Average Total Electricity Expenses Per Household In Florida			
2024	2025	Difference In Annual Spending	Percent Change In Annual Spending
\$1,870	\$2,010	\$140	7.5%

[Joint Economic Committee, [3/17/26](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Slashed Clean Energy Tax Credits, Leading To Decreased Energy Supply

July 2025: Energy Innovation: Decreased Energy Capacity Due To Cuts To Clean Energy Tax Credits In The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Projected To Increase Annual Household Energy Bills.

“The ‘One Big Beautiful Bill Act’ was signed into law by President Trump on July 4th after being passed by the United States Congress. The final text slightly modifies the version passed by the U.S. House of Representatives in June, adjusting the timeline for repeal of some energy tax credits, changing domestic sourcing requirements, and excluding the repeal of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency tailpipe rules, which the Senate Parliamentarian ruled could not be included in reconciliation. The bill will reduce additions of new, cost-effective electricity capacity in Florida, raising power prices for consumers and decreasing the state’s GDP and job growth in the coming years. This summary of impacts is an addendum to our national and state-by-state modeling of the House-passed text released on June 11th.” [Energy Innovation, [7/1/25](#)]

- **July 2025: Energy Innovation: “By Quickly Phasing Out Technology-Neutral Clean Energy Tax Credits And Adding Complex Material Sourcing Requirements,” The One Big Beautiful Bill “Will Significantly Hamper The Development Of Domestic Electricity Generation Capacity.”** “By quickly phasing out technology-neutral clean energy tax credits and adding complex material sourcing requirements, the bill will significantly hamper the development of domestic electricity generation capacity. By 2035, we forecast a 3.5 gigawatt decrease in generation capacity in Minnesota due to the measures in the reconciliation bill.” [Energy Innovation, [7/1/25](#)]
- **Cuts To Clean Energy Tax Credits Would Cause Factories To Close And Decrease The Likelihood Of Future Project Development.** “President Donald Trump’s One Big Beautiful Bill Act ends long-standing federal support for solar and wind power, while creating a friendly environment for oil, gas and coal production. [...] A related tax credit for using U.S.-made components in solar and wind farms ends for projects that enter service after 2027. A carveout allows projects that start construction within one year of the law’s enactment to claim the credit. The credit was designed to spur demand at U.S. factories in order to break the nation’s dependence on equipment from China. ‘If nothing changes, factories start to close,’ Michael Carr, executive director of the Solar Energy Manufacturers Association, told CNBC. ‘Factories that are on the drawing board that probably penciled [favorably] two weeks ago, maybe don’t pencil now. We’ll see investment slow down in the sector going forward.’” [CNBC, [7/3/25](#)]
- **July 2025: Canary Media: Increasing The Nation’s Energy Supply Was Critical To Preventing Energy Price Hikes, As Demand For Electricity Rose Nationwide Due In Large Part To Data Centers.** “Clean energy is the cheapest and easiest way to get power onto the grid. With demand for electricity nationwide rising due in large part to AI data centers, swiftly bringing affordable energy

online is more crucial than ever. But if tax incentives are repealed, fewer solar, wind, and storage projects will be built. Between now and 2035, the U.S. could see 57% to 72% less new clean-energy capacity come online than it would have with the tax credits in place, according to Rhodium Group. Meanwhile, new gas construction likely can't make up the difference in the near term: Developers who want to build new gas power plants face wait times of up to five to seven years for turbines." [Canary Media, [7/3/25](#)]

Good Governance Groups Warned Corruption Was Proliferating Under Trump And Republican Control, And Harming Americans

Good Government Groups Documented "Litany" of Washington Corruption During Second Trump Administration

NPR: "Good Governance Watchdog Groups Have Cataloged A Litany Of Apparent Corruption And Conflict Of Interest Incidents In Trump's Second Term." "A half-century ago, President Richard Nixon's resignation in the aftermath of the Watergate scandal shifted how Americans viewed corruption in Washington. Today, as President Trump has shattered norms around public service ethics in ways that have enriched himself and his family, politicians in both parties agree that Nixon-era scandals seem quaint... Good governance watchdog groups have cataloged a litany of apparent corruption and conflict of interest incidents in Trump's second term. That includes a settlement between Trump and his own government that prevents the IRS from auditing the Trump family, high-dollar stock trades of companies directly involved in administration policy and outside cryptocurrency ventures that have dramatically increased his net worth." [NPR, [7/28/26](#)]

The Hill Op-Ed: Congress Was Unwilling To Combat the Corruption Of Trump And His Administration. "Public service is a privilege that comes with the responsibility to govern effectively within the boundaries established by our Constitution and laws. Congressional Republicans have proven themselves unworthy of the majorities they won in 2024. The coming midterm elections will give voters a chance to hold them accountable for their failures. Our constitutional form of government was designed with built-in checks and balances to prevent the rise of a tyrannical leader. But congressional Republicans have abandoned that important role. Rather than act as an independent branch of government, they have acted as if their primary duty is to carry out President Trump's dictatorial demands and protect him from any real oversight or accountability. That includes the unanimous vote by Republicans on the Senate Judiciary Committee to advance the nomination of Trump's former criminal defense lawyer, Todd Blanche, to be U.S. attorney general — even after Blanche engineered the most corrupt deals imaginable. He was involved in the deal to create a slush fund to reward Trump's allies and to give Trump and his family and their businesses legal immunity from on tax issues going forward. Every Republican on the committee backed Blanche, even though he has presided over the administration's illegal stonewalling on the Epstein files. They backed Blanche even though he has overseen the destruction of the Justice Department's credibility with the federal courts through lying and prosecutorial misconduct related to Immigration and Customs Enforcement brutality against immigrants and their supporters. It would only have taken a few Republican senators to prevent Blanche's confirmation and reject this travesty of justice — at a time when many Trump voters have come to realize that the president puts a higher priority on enriching his family and friends and building monuments to his own vanity than to helping American families struggling to get by. Instead, Blanche's confirmation serves as confirmation of the Trump regime's utter corruption of the Republican Party. The endorsement of Blanche's wrongdoing and enabling of Trump's corruption are parts of a larger pattern of congressional Republicans failing the American people... That's why we the people must hold him accountable. We must hold his congressional enablers and collaborators accountable. We must do everything we can to mobilize voters this fall — and to protect our elections from every corrupt scheme Trump and his supporters can come up with to try to



stop Americans from exercising their right to steer our country toward a better future.” [The Hill, President of People for the American Way Svante Myrick Op-Ed, [8/10/26](#)]

CREW: Trump’s Corruption Was Harming Everyday Americans

CREW: Trump’s Corruption Was Harming Everyday Americans. “While Americans across the country struggle with the costs of basic necessities, President Donald Trump is making more money than ever. That isn’t a coincidence. Trump has used the power of the presidency to enrich himself, reward wealthy allies and weaken the safeguards designed to hold his administration accountable. The result is a government that increasingly works for those with money, connections and access—not the people it’s supposed to serve. Here are five ways Trump’s corruption is harming everyday Americans. 1. Trump handed enormous power to his biggest financial supporter, putting programs Americans depend on at risk. [...] 2. Trump is steering taxpayer money and government business to his own properties. [...] 3. Trump is prioritizing wealthy interests over everyone else. [...] 4. Trump’s financial interests are colliding with policies that affect Americans. [...] 5. Trump is enabling fraudsters and wiping out restitution for victims.” [CREW, [8/26/26](#)]

CREW Headline: “How Trump’s Corruption Is Harming Everyday Americans.” [CREW, [8/26/26](#)]

Dan Green Message #1 Backup

Dan Green has promised to introduce a bill that is guaranteed to slash Social Security and Medicare – and supported a bill that raised costs for seniors and older Americans– in order to fund \$1.5 trillion in tax breaks for the richest elites.

Dan Green Has Promised To Introduce A Bill That Is Guaranteed To Slash Social Security And Medicare –

August 2026: Green Said The First Bill He Would Introduce In Congress Would Be A Balanced Budget Bill

August 2026: Green Said The First Bill He Would Introduce In Congress Would Be A Balanced Budget Bill

August 2026: Green Said The First Bill He Would Introduce In Congress Would Be A Balanced Budget Bill. Question: “If elected to Congress, what is the first bill you would file, and why?” Green: “The first bill I would introduce would be to call for a balanced budget, that is passed on time, and will help to pay off the debt.” [WESH2, Candidate Questionnaire, [8/4/26](#)]

A Balanced Budget Bill Would Subject Social Security And Medicare To “Potentially Deep Cuts”

A Balanced Budget Amendment Would Threaten Americans’ Social Security And Medicare, Subjecting Both Programs To “Potentially Deep Cuts”

Under A Balanced Budget Bill, “Programs Such As Social Security, Medicare, National Defense, And Veterans’ Benefits Could Be Cut By An Average Of One-Fifth”

Center for American Progress Headline: “The Balanced-Budget Amendment Threatens Americans’ Health Care, Social Security, and Jobs.” [Center for American Progress, [4/11/18](#)]

Center On Budget And Policy Priorities: Under A Balanced Budget Bill, “Programs Such As Social Security, Medicare, National Defense, And Veterans’ Benefits Could Be Cut By An Average Of One-Fifth.” “Programs such as Social Security, Medicare, national defense, and veterans’ benefits could be cut by an average of one-fifth under a constitutional amendment before the House this week to require a balanced budget in every year. If policymakers chose to protect some programs from cuts, the cuts in other programs would be even deeper. If they protected Social Security and Medicare, for example, all other programs would be cut by two-fifths.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [4/11/18](#)]

AARP Opposed A Balanced Budget Amendment Because It Would “Likely Harm Social Security And Medicare, Subjecting Both Programs To Potentially Deep Cuts.” “AARP is writing to express our opposition to a balanced budget amendment to the Constitution of the United States. [...] A balanced budget amendment would likely harm Social Security and Medicare, subjecting both programs to potentially deep cuts without regard to the impact on the health and financial security of individuals. It would also likely diminish the resources available for programs assisting Americans who are least able to provide for themselves – services such as meals or heating for those who are too poor or physically unable to take care of their basic needs without some support.” [AARP, Letter, [4/9/18](#)]

Under A Balanced Budget Bill, Medicare Part A Plans And Military And Federal Employee Pensions Would Be Rendered Null, As They Were Funded Through Funds Of Saved Treasury Securities

Under A Balanced Budget Bill, Medicare Part A Would Not Be Able To Pay For Hospitalization Costs, Because It Is Funded Through A Fund Of Saved Treasury Securities. “Medicare Part A — the Hospital Insurance trust fund — has the same structure as Social Security. That trust fund currently holds about \$200 billion in Treasury securities, but under a balanced budget amendment, it would be unconstitutional for Medicare to draw down those savings to pay hospitalization costs because all federal expenditures — which includes Medicare payments — would have to be covered by taxes collected in the same year.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [3/16/18](#)]

Center On Budget And Policy Priorities: Under A Balanced Budget Amendment, The Military And Federal Employee Civil Service Retirement Systems Would Not Be Able To Pay Retirees, Because They Were Funded Through Funds Of Saved Treasury Securities. “As a result, the \$700 billion in Treasury securities held by the military retirement trust fund and the \$900 billion in Treasury securities held by the civil service retirement trust fund would be unavailable to pay promised retirement pensions.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [3/16/18](#)]

A Balanced Budget Amendment Could Eventually Cut Social Security And Medicare By 21%
--

A Balanced Budget Amendment Could Eventually Cut Social Security And Medicare By 21%. “Programs such as Social Security, Medicare, national defense, and veterans’ benefits could be cut by an average of one-fifth under a constitutional amendment before the House this week to require a balanced budget in every year. If policymakers chose to protect some programs from cuts, the cuts in other programs would be even deeper. If they protected Social Security and Medicare, for example, all other programs would be cut by two-fifths. [...] On this basis, we calculate that the required cuts to balance the budget in 2025 would average 21 percent in that year, would total \$1.1 trillion in 2025 alone, and (if those program cuts were simply extended and not deepened) would total \$9.2 trillion through 2028. If those

cuts were distributed proportionally across all programs, Social Security would be cut by about \$325 billion in 2025 alone and by a total of \$2.6 trillion through 2028, for example. But policymakers could choose to leave Social Security untouched and focus the cuts on other programs. For instance, if they protected Social Security from cuts and did not raise taxes, they would have to cut all other programs, including Medicare, by an average of 30 percent. If they also protected Medicare, the cuts in all other programs would reach 41 percent.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [4/11/18](#)]

And Supported A Bill That Raised Costs For Seniors And Older Americans

The One Big Beautiful Was Designed To Cut Health Care And Food Assistance To Pay For Massive Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Households

The Bill Was Designed To Cut Health Care And Food Assistance To Pay For Massive Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Households. “The bill is designed to cause a shocking upward redistribution of income. It includes draconian spending cuts—mostly to health care and food assistance for children and families—in order to give massive tax cuts to the wealthiest households. Because these cuts to health care and food assistance are so broad and deep, and because the tax cuts for anybody who is not already rich are so paltry, the bill will cause the bottom 40% of households to actually lose income on average. This group includes roughly 125 million people, and for a family of three it will include households with incomes up to \$85,000. Meanwhile, households in the top 0.1% (those making over \$3.3 million per year) will gain over \$100,000 annually under this bill.” [Economic Policy Institute, [7/2/25](#)]

- **HEADLINE: “The Radical Republican Budget Bill Steals From The Poor To Give Tax Cuts To The Rich.”** [Economic Policy Institute, [7/2/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made The Largest Cuts To Medicaid In The Program’s History

The Hill: Republicans Passed “The Largest Cuts To Medicaid Since The Program Began In The 1960s.” “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

- **The Hill: The Republicans’ Reconciliation Bill Made About \$1 Trillion In Cuts To Medicaid.** “Senate Republicans on Tuesday passed the largest cuts to Medicaid since the program began in the 1960s, a move that would erode the social safety net and cause a spike in the number of uninsured Americans over the next decade. The tax and spending bill is projected to cost more than \$3 trillion during that time, but it would be partially paid for with about \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. Almost 12 million lower-income Americans would lose their health insurance by 2034, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO).” [The Hill, [7/1/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Seniors On Medicare, Potentially Impacting More Than 872,548 Florida Residents

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Seniors By Terminating Cost Sharing Assistance For Seniors Dually Eligible For Medicare And Medicaid

Center For American Progress Headline: “House Republicans’ Big, ‘Beautiful’ Bill Would Make Health Care More Expensive For Americans With Medicare And Other Insurance” [Center for American Progress, [6/12/25](#)]

- **Center For American Progress: Costs Would Increase For Americans With Medicaid, Medicare, ACA Marketplace Plans, And Job-Based Insurance.** “The House Republican-passed tax and budget reconciliation bill would increase health care costs for many Americans with Medicaid, Medicare, ACA marketplace plans, and job-based insurance. Individuals and families could face steep increases in premiums, deductibles, and other out-of-pocket expenses, amounting to thousands of dollars per year.” [Center for American Progress, [6/12/25](#)]

CBPP: The Republican Reconciliation Bill Would Terminate Cost-Sharing Assistance For 1.4 Million Seniors Dually Eligible For Medicaid And Medicare Resulting In Higher Out-Of-Pocket Costs. “The House Republicans’ bill includes provisions that will add burdens for seniors, contributing to coverage losses and higher costs. One such provision would block implementation of a rule designed to make it easier for low-income seniors and people with disabilities who receive Medicare to access Medicare Savings Programs, a part of state Medicaid programs that help pay people’s Medicare premiums and cost-sharing charges. According to data from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO), roughly 1.4 million people who are dually eligible for Medicaid and Medicare would no longer receive this cost-sharing assistance and would therefore face increased out-of-pocket costs under the bill.” [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [6/6/25](#)]

2025: 872,548 Florida Residents Were Dual-Eligible Individuals

2025: 872,548 Florida Residents Were Dual-Eligible Individuals. [KFF, accessed [5/13/26](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Older Americans On ACA Marketplace Plans
--

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Older Americans On ACA Marketplace Plans

The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend Enhanced Premium Tax Credits For ACA Marketplace Plans. “The OBBBA did not extend enhanced premium tax credits for ACA marketplace plans. As a result, when open enrollment begins on November 1, 2025, 20 million marketplace enrollees will see their premium costs spike.” [Center for American Progress, [7/29/25](#)]

Center For American Progress Headline: “Older Adults With ACA Coverage Would Face Steep Premium Hikes Under House Republicans’ One Big Beautiful Bill Act.” [Center for American Progress, [6/26/25](#)]

- **The House Republican Proposal Would Effectively Price Many Aging Americans Out Of Their Affordable Coverage That They Have Relied On.** “These sharp cost increases represent a real threat to older adults’ ability to access health care. If enacted, the House Republican proposal would effectively price many aging Americans out of the affordable coverage they rely on until they become eligible for Medicare.” [Center for American Progress, [6/26/25](#)]

The Republican Reconciliation Bill Would Increase The Costs For Older Adults In The ACA Marketplace. “Another element of House Republicans’ health agenda targets the ACA marketplaces,

which provide coverage and financial assistance to more than 20 million people, including many older people not yet eligible for Medicare. The legislation not only fails to extend the expiring enhanced premium tax credits for marketplace coverage, which will lead to skyrocketing premiums, but it also makes changes that will further increase people's costs, such as changing how maximum out-of-pocket limits are adjusted." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [6/6/25](#)]

Older Adults Would Face, On Average, At Least A \$1,200 Increase In Annual Premiums To Keep Their Current ACA Marketplace Plan. "Last month, House Republicans passed a tax and budget reconciliation bill that, if enacted, would raise health insurance premiums for millions of Americans who purchase coverage through the Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplaces. A new Center for American Progress analysis makes clear that older adults would be hit especially hard—facing, on average, at least \$1,200 more in annual premiums to keep their current ACA marketplace plan. In multiple states, premium hikes for adults 55 years old and older would exceed an average of \$10,000—including a staggering \$15,200 average increase in West Virginia and a \$16,700 average increase in Alaska." [Center for American Progress, [6/26/25](#)]

CBPP: The Big Beautiful Bill Would Leave Older Adults With Higher Costs And Less Health Care. "The House Republicans' budget reconciliation legislation would reduce assistance that helps seniors and older adults get needed health coverage and afford sufficient food. The so-called 'Big Beautiful Bill' is anything but beautiful; it would cause widespread harm by making massive cuts to Medicaid and SNAP, which would raise costs and make it much harder for people to afford the high cost of groceries and health care. More than 7 million seniors with low incomes receive health coverage and care through Medicaid, with over 3 in 5 nursing home residents having their care paid for by Medicaid. Medicaid also covers other long-term services and supports, including home-based services, and helps cover premiums, deductibles, and cost sharing for some Medicare beneficiaries. By cutting Medicaid and Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplaces by about \$1 trillion, the largest cuts in the programs' history, the House Republican bill would lead states to make large cuts in eligibility or benefits that could leave many older adults with higher costs and less health care." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [6/6/25](#)]

- **Center On Budget And Policy Priorities Headline: "2025 Budget Impacts: House Bill Would Cut Assistance And Raise Costs For Seniors."** [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, [6/6/25](#)]

In Order To Fund \$1.5 Trillion In Tax Breaks For The Richest Elites.

Green Supported The One Big Beautiful Bill Which Handed More Than \$1.5 Trillion In Tax Cuts To Wealthy Americans At The Expense Of Lower-Income Households

September 2026: Green Expressed Support For The One Big Beautiful Bill

Headline: "Dan Green Slams Rep. Darren Soto Over No-Tax-On-Tips Vote, Calls For Fresh Leadership In FL-09" [Florida's Voice, [9/9/26](#)]

Green Blasted Rep. Darren Soto For Voting Against The One Big Beautiful Bill. "Navy veteran and Republican congressional nominee Dan Green blasted incumbent Democratic Rep. Darren Soto for his legislative record during an interview on Florida's Voice Radio, accusing the nine-year lawmaker of failing to deliver tax relief for working families across Central Florida's 9th Congressional District. Speaking with host Drew Steele, Green—a former Navy officer and Trump administration defense official—highlighted key contrasts between his platform and Soto's voting record, pointing specifically to Soto's vote against the One Big Beautiful Bill, which included a federal tax deduction for qualifying tip income."

Green Faulted Soto For Voting Against No Tax On Tips, And Said That Was One Of The “Big Things” He Was Campaigning On. “‘You talk to working families, ranchers, farmers, small business owners, and they just want a new voice in Washington,’ Green said during the Tuesday broadcast. ‘How can you vote against getting rid of taxes on tips? We’re all trying to reduce costs. That’s one of the big things we’re campaigning on—making America affordable again.’ Green, who won a competitive Republican primary in August following Florida’s congressional redistricting, emphasized his position as an outsider running against a career politician.” [Florida’s Voice, [9/9/26](#)]

- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Act Included A Tax Exemption On Tipped Income Up To \$25,000 Per Year.** “On July 4, 2025, President Trump signed the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBA) into law. OBBA makes permanent trillions of dollars in tax cuts that were scheduled to expire on December 31, 2025, and includes several new tax cuts, such as ‘no tax on overtime’ and an additional deduction for seniors. The Congressional Budget Office projects the law will increase federal government deficits by \$3.4 trillion over 10 years. [...] ‘No tax on tips’ is structured as a federal income tax deduction, meaning it reduces taxable income and, by extension, tax liability for the person claiming it. The deduction is capped at \$25,000 per year, and it is available regardless of whether a taxpayer takes the standard deduction or itemizes.” [Florida’s Voice, [9/9/26](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Handed More Than \$1.5 Trillion In Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Americans At The Expense Of Lower-Income Households

The One Big Beautiful Bill Handed More Than \$1.5 Trillion In Tax Cuts To The Wealthiest Americans At The Expense Of Lower-Income Households. “The One Big Beautiful Bill Act would issue the largest transfer of wealth from working-class Americans to the ultrawealthy in the nation’s history. To do so, House Republicans are proposing to cut programs that are essential to people, especially in states that voted for Trump in the last election, in order to pay for tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans. Under this proposal, millionaires would see a larger tax cut than the bottom half of Americans combined—with more than \$1.5 trillion in tax cuts going to the top 5 percent. In total, households that make at least \$1 million per year would receive roughly twice as much in tax breaks as the bottom 50 percent of the nation combined. Roughly 57 million households in the bottom 60 percent would see a tax increase or a negligible tax change (\$100 in either direction) under the House Republican proposal. Not only does this proposal extend the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act’s tax breaks for the wealthy, but it also adds additional loopholes that skew the tax code even more in favor of the ultrawealthy. Polling demonstrates that Republican voters oppose the bill when they learn that the tax cuts overwhelmingly benefit those who are already rich.” [Center for American Progress, [6/13/25](#)]

Dan Green Message #2 Backup

Dan Green backs the devastating health care cuts that are eliminating health care coverage and raising premiums on millions of Florida families, and increasing monthly premiums for some seniors from \$700 to \$2600; and he backs plans that gutted the VA, and makes veterans pay more for their health care and wait longer for the benefits that they earned.

Dan Green Backs The Devastating Health Care Cuts That Are Eliminating Health Care Coverage And Raising Premiums On Millions Of Florida Families,

Green Supported The One Big Beautiful Bill

September 2026: Green Expressed Support For The One Big Beautiful Bill

Headline: “Dan Green Slams Rep. Darren Soto Over No-Tax-On-Tips Vote, Calls For Fresh Leadership In FL-09” [Florida’s Voice, [9/9/26](#)]

Green Blasted Rep. Darren Soto For Voting Against The One Big Beautiful Bill. “Navy veteran and Republican congressional nominee Dan Green blasted incumbent Democratic Rep. Darren Soto for his legislative record during an interview on Florida’s Voice Radio, accusing the nine-year lawmaker of failing to deliver tax relief for working families across Central Florida’s 9th Congressional District. Speaking with host Drew Steele, Green—a former Navy officer and Trump administration defense official—highlighted key contrasts between his platform and Soto’s voting record, pointing specifically to Soto’s vote against the One Big Beautiful Bill, which included a federal tax deduction for qualifying tip income.”

Green Faulted Soto For Voting Against No Tax On Tips, And Said That Was One Of The “Big Things” He Was Campaigning On. “‘You talk to working families, ranchers, farmers, small business owners, and they just want a new voice in Washington,’ Green said during the Tuesday broadcast. ‘How can you vote against getting rid of taxes on tips? We’re all trying to reduce costs. That’s one of the big things we’re campaigning on—making America affordable again.’ Green, who won a competitive Republican primary in August following Florida’s congressional redistricting, emphasized his position as an outsider running against a career politician.” [Florida’s Voice, [9/9/26](#)]

- **The One Big Beautiful Bill Act Included A Tax Exemption On Tipped Income Up To \$25,000 Per Year.** “On July 4, 2025, President Trump signed the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBA) into law. OBBA makes permanent trillions of dollars in tax cuts that were scheduled to expire on December 31, 2025, and includes several new tax cuts, such as ‘no tax on overtime’ and an additional deduction for seniors. The Congressional Budget Office projects the law will increase federal government deficits by \$3.4 trillion over 10 years. [...] ‘No tax on tips’ is structured as a federal income tax deduction, meaning it reduces taxable income and, by extension, tax liability for the person claiming it. The deduction is capped at \$25,000 per year, and it is available regardless of whether a taxpayer takes the standard deduction or itemizes.” [Florida’s Voice, [9/9/26](#)]

July 2025: Trump’s Signature Legislative Accomplishment Was The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which “Advance[d] Many Of The President’s Top Legislative Priorities”

July 2025: Trump Signed The One Big Beautiful Bill Into Law, Which “Advance[d] Many Of The President’s Top Legislative Priorities.” “After weeks of partisan debate and internal Republican disagreements, President Trump signed the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (H.R. 1) into law on July 4. The sweeping reconciliation package advances many of the President’s top legislative priorities—including an extension of the 2017 tax cuts, defense funding, border security measures, and energy policy—while also making deep cuts to Medicaid, health coverage, and student loan programs.” [Society of Gynecologic Oncology, [7/14/25](#)]

- **All But Two House Republicans Voted To Pass The One Big Beautiful Bill.** “President Trump on Friday signed a massive spending and tax bill that the White House has dubbed the ‘One Big Beautiful Bill’ to implement much of his domestic policy agenda. The bill passed Thursday after Republican leaders in the House of Representatives convinced holdouts in their own party to get in line behind the controversial legislation. [...] The legislative effort fulfills key campaign pledges that Trump made during his reelection bid — including making hefty tax cuts passed during his first term permanent. But it violates a key promise too: Trump promised repeatedly during the campaign not to

touch Medicaid benefits, the joint federal and state program that provides health care for more than 70 million low-income, elderly and disabled Americans. House Republicans passed the bill by a vote of 218 to 214, nearly entirely along party lines. All 212 Democrats voted in unison against the bill, and they were joined by two Republicans, Reps. Thomas Massie of Kentucky and Brian Fitzpatrick of Pennsylvania. Leaders were forced to work all night to win the votes necessary to pass the bill and meet Trump's demand to sign the bill by July 4.” [NPR, [7/4/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Cut Medicaid By Nearly \$1 Trillion And Was Projected To Kick More Than 1 Million Floridians Off Their Health Insurance

The Big Beautiful Bill Cut Medicaid By Nearly \$1 Trillion, In “The Biggest Rollback In Federal Support For Health Coverage Ever”

KFF: The Big Beautiful Bill Cut Medicaid By Nearly \$1 Trillion. “On July 4, President Trump signed into law a budget reconciliation package once called the ‘One Big, Beautiful Bill’ that made major reductions in federal health care spending to offset part of the costs of extending expiring tax cuts. The Congressional Budget Office’s (CBO) latest cost estimate shows that the reconciliation package would reduce federal Medicaid spending over a decade by an estimated \$911 billion (after accounting for interactions that produce overlapping reductions across different provisions of the law) and increase the number of uninsured people by 10 million.” [KFF, [7/23/25](#)]

KFF Executive Vice President For Health Policy Larry Levitt: The Big Beautiful Bill Was “The Biggest Rollback In Federal Support For Health Coverage Ever.” “The ‘one big beautiful bill’ that was just passed by the Senate has not been framed as a health reform plan. But make no mistake: This Republican policy bill is effectively a partial repeal of the Affordable Care Act to help pay for tax cuts, and should it reach President Trump’s desk, it would represent the biggest rollback in federal support for health coverage ever. A preliminary estimate from the Congressional Budget Office projects that the bill would reduce federal spending for Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act’s provisions by more than \$1 trillion over a decade, resulting in nearly 12 million more people becoming uninsured by 2034.” [New York Times, KFF Executive Vice President for Health Policy Larry Levitt Op-Ed, [7/1/25](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Was Estimated To Kick More Than 1.6 Million Floridians Off Their Health Insurance

September 2025: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Estimated More Than 1.6 Million People Across Florida Would Lose Health Insurance By 2034 Due To Congressional Republicans’ Cuts To Medicaid And The ACA. “According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO)’s most recent analysis, President Trump and Congressional Republicans’ cuts to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) would result in 16 million people losing their health insurance by 2034. Using CBO’s analysis released June 4 and other research, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority calculated new estimates of the number of people who would lose health insurance.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, [September 2025](#)]

Florida: Health Insurance Losses From Cuts To Medicaid And The Affordable Care Act Under The One Big Beautiful Bill		
Est. Number of People Losing Affordable Care Act Coverage	Est. Number of People Losing Medicaid Coverage	Est. Total Number of People Losing Insurance
1,606,300	79,927	1,686,227

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, Press Release, [September 2025](#)]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Made Health Care More Expensive For Nearly 5 Million Florida Residents That Got Coverage From ACA Marketplace Plans

January 2026: Affordable Care Act Advanced Premium Tax Credits Expired After Republicans Failed To Extend Them, Driving Up Health Care Costs For Americans

Central Florida Public Media: ACA Enhanced Premium Tax Credits Made Monthly Health Care Payments More Affordable For Eligible Households, But The Credits Weren't Included In President Donald Trump's One Big Beautiful Bill Act. “Some Central Floridians are starting to learn how much more they'll soon be expected to pay for health insurance, once Affordable Care Act tax credits expire at the end of this year. Those ‘enhanced premium tax credits’ make monthly healthcare payments more affordable for eligible households. But the credits weren’t included in President Donald Trump’s One Big Beautiful Bill Act enacted earlier this year. Now, with the ongoing federal government shutdown poised to become the longest in history, Democratic lawmakers say they won’t pass a budget that doesn't restore the ACA tax credits. Meanwhile, Republican lawmakers blame Democrats for keeping the government closed.” [Central Florida Public Media, [11/3/25](#)]

- **Central Florida Public Media: “Among The Changes Trump’s Big Beautiful Bill Made To American Healthcare, One Of Them Allows The Enhanced Premium Tax Credit To Expire - Effectively Repealing It.”** “The affordable healthcare marketplace insurance plans many Floridians use are expected to become a lot less affordable next year under President Donald Trump’s tax-spending package. Among the changes Trump’s Big Beautiful Bill made to American healthcare, one of them allows the enhanced premium tax credit to expire - effectively repealing it, said Erica Monet Li, a public health expert with the progressive-leaning Florida Policy Institute. ‘We're thinking about all the ways where this bill was kind of not a direct appeal, it’s death by a million cuts,’ Li said. It’s a big deal for Florida, which has the greatest number of Affordable Healthcare Act recipients in the country. The insurance is commonly known as Obamacare. There were 4.7 million care plans purchased for the 2025 coverage period, according to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. That’s up from 4.2 million plans in 2024.” [Central Florida Public Media, [11/3/25](#)]

Failure To Extend ACA Tax Credits Could Increase Health Care Premiums By 132% For Florida Residents On ACA Marketplace Plans

October 2025: NBC 6 Headline: “Florida’s Insurance Hikes And Uninsured Rates Will Be Among Nation's Largest If ACA Credits Expire.” [NBC 6, [10/22/25](#)]

September 2025: Center For American Progress: The Average Premium Cost Among Enrollees Who Received ACA Tax Credits Could Raise By 132%, Or \$521 Annually, In Florida With The Expiration Of Affordable Care Act Advanced Premium Tax Credits. “As the federal government nears a shutdown, a central issue in the government funding fight is health care costs. Premium tax credits (PTCs) help lower the cost of comprehensive insurance coverage for more than 20 million people who buy health insurance on their own through the Affordable Care Act marketplaces. [...] The Urban Institute estimated that among subsidized enrollees, the annual 2025 premium was \$460, though without the enhanced tax credits it would have been \$1,087 even if enrollees were to switch into the lowest-cost plan within their chosen metal tier. That represents a 136 percent increase. In other words, the average premium net of financial assistance would more than double if enhanced PTCs are not extended. These increases in the average net premium would vary by state, with some states seeing even higher increases: In Alaska, the average premium cost among enrollees with financial assistance will increase 346 percent, or \$909 annually. In California, the average premium cost among enrollees with financial

assistance will increase 122 percent, or \$1,000 annually. In Florida, the average premium cost among enrollees with financial assistance will increase 132 percent, or \$521 annually.” [Center For American Progress, [9/29/25](#)]

- **Central Florida Public Media: “The Affordable Healthcare Marketplace Insurance Plans Many Floridians Use Are Expected To Become A Lot Less Affordable Next Year” Due To The One Big Beautiful Bill’s Failure To Extend ACA Advanced Premium Tax Credits.** “The affordable healthcare marketplace insurance plans many Floridians use are expected to become a lot less affordable next year under President Donald Trump’s tax-spending package. Among the changes Trump’s Big Beautiful Bill made to American healthcare, one of them allows the enhanced premium tax credit to expire - effectively repealing it, said Erica Monet Li, a public health expert with the progressive-leaning Florida Policy Institute. ‘We’re thinking about all the ways where this bill was kind of not a direct appeal, it’s death by a million cuts,’ Li said. It’s a big deal for Florida, which has the greatest number of Affordable Healthcare Act recipients in the country. The insurance is commonly known as Obamacare. There were 4.7 million care plans purchased for the 2025 coverage period, according to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. That’s up from 4.2 million plans in 2024.” [Central Florida Public Media, [11/3/25](#)]

2025: Nearly 5 Million Floridians Received Health Care Through ACA Marketplace Plans

2025: Nearly 5 Million Floridians Were Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans. [KFF, [4/2/25](#)]

Number Of Florida Residents Enrolled In ACA Marketplace Plans In 2025	
State	ACA Marketplace Enrollees
Florida	4,735,415

[KFF, [4/2/25](#)]

When Asked About Floridians Facing 21% Increases In Health Care Premiums Due To The Failure To Extend ACA Tax Credits, Green Said He Was “A Strong Proponent Of Greater Free Market Solutions In Healthcare”

August 2026: In Response To A Question About Rising Health Care Premiums Due To The Failure To Extend ACA Enhanced Tax Credits, Green Said: “I Am A Strong Proponent Of Greater Free Market Solutions In Healthcare”

August 2026: In Response To A Question About Rising Health Care Premiums Due To The Failure To Extend ACA Enhanced Tax Credits, Green Said: “I Am A Strong Proponent Of Greater Free Market Solutions In Healthcare.” QUESTION: “Part of the affordability challenge is healthcare. Because of the expiration of enhanced tax credits, and changes made under President Trump, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplace has seen premiums rise an average of 21%, and employer-sponsored plans have seen deductibles continue to rise. What’s your solution?” GREEN: “I am a strong proponent of greater free market solutions in healthcare for individual Americans such as Medical Savings Accounts. We must do a better [sic] job of eliminating redundancy, bureaucracy, waste, and fraud in government health care programs. Any solution must involve healthcare providers, public leaders, and consumer advocates to collectively maintain coverage, reduce costs, and improve care. Our veterans, our elderly, and our children deserve better. We all deserve better.” [WESH2, Candidate Questionnaire, [8/4/26](#)]

- **WESH Asked Green: “Because Of The Expiration Of Enhanced Tax Credits, And Changes**

Made Under President Trump, The Affordable Care Act (ACA) Marketplace Has Seen Premiums Rise An Average Of 21%, And Employer-Sponsored Plans Have Seen Deductibles Continue To Rise. What's Your Solution?" QUESTION: "Part of the affordability challenge is healthcare. Because of the expiration of enhanced tax credits, and changes made under President Trump, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplace has seen premiums rise an average of 21%, and employer-sponsored plans have seen deductibles continue to rise. What's your solution?" [WESH2, Candidate Questionnaire, [8/4/26](#)]

And Increasing Monthly Premiums For Some Seniors From \$700 To \$2600;

Newsweek: After The Expiration Of ACA Tax Credits, A Florida Senior Saw His Health Insurance Premium Increase From \$723 To More Than \$2,600 A Month

Newsweek: A Florida Senior Saw His Health Insurance Premium Increase From \$723 To More Than \$2,600 A Month. "A Florida senior saw his health insurance premium spike 259 percent after enhanced federal health care subsidies expired at the start of the year. Michael Fell, a retired math teacher, was shocked to find his premium catapult from December to January as a result of the changes enacted under the administration of President Donald Trump, local station News 6 reported. Florida leads the nation in Affordable Care Act (ACA) enrollment, with about 4.6 to 4.7 million people covered through the marketplace. That's roughly one-quarter of Floridians under age 65. When federal policy changes stung ACA, Florida had more Americans exposed than any other state. [...] Last year, the couple paid \$723 a month for their health insurance through Florida Blue. This year, the premium jumped to more than \$2,600 a month, marking an increase of about 259 percent. For Fell, who made a living with numbers, this new retirement figure was shocking." [Newsweek, [2/18/26](#)]

Newsweek Headline: "Florida Senior Sees Health Insurance Premium Spike 259%." [Newsweek, [2/18/26](#)]

And He Backs Plans That Gutted The VA, And Makes Veterans Pay More For Their Health Care

Green Praised Cuts To Critical Federal Programs Like The Veterans Affairs Administration

June 2026: Green Praised Trump For "Cutting Bureaucracy" And "Cutting Waste" In His Second Term In A Nod To Trump's Department Of Government Efficiency (DOGE)

June 2026: Green Praised Trump For "Cutting Bureaucracy" And "Cutting Waste" In His Second Term

VIDEO: June 2026: Green Praised Trump For "Cutting Bureaucracy" And "Cutting Waste" In His Second Term. "HOST: You have such a background, but something that is pretty cool that not a lot of people get to do. I mean, you worked with President Trump. Can you tell us what it was like? That experience was like behind the scenes. GREEN: "You know, a lot of I'd love to say a lot of my time was at the Pentagon. You know, kind of laboring away for his agenda and the big bureaucracy of the beast. You know, a lot of that is just you know he has that clear vision of where he wants to take the country, and he what's really nice about the second term is he's got the the experience, he's got the team now to really make it happen. But at that time, the first administration, it was kind of touch and go sometimes. You know, he would have the vision, but he didn't always have the team rowing in the same direction that

he wanted to take. I think it was very clarifying for us to have that kind of north star of where he wanted to take the country, and especially the Department of Defense at that time. I tell you, it's it's the bureaucracy has its own agenda. You know, different generals and admirals have different visions of what needs to be done. But the president was very focused on, hey, you know, China's not our friend. We've got to be prepared to deter them, and that's going to take not just more runny and resources, as he's now doing in a big way, the second term, but leadership, cutting bureaucracy, cutting waste, getting rid of the woke agenda, and really prioritizing the things we need to do." [Florida's Voice via YouTube, 2:10:00, [6/25/26](#)] (VIDEO)

2025: The Trump Administration Created The So-Called Department Of Government Efficiency (DOGE) Under The Auspices Of Finding Fraud, Waste, And Abuse

2025: The Trump Administration Created The So-Called Department Of Government Efficiency (DOGE) Under The Auspices Of Finding Fraud, Waste, And Abuse. "Since the creation of the so-called Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE), confusion and a lack of transparency have reigned. Under the auspices of finding fraud, waste, and abuse, DOGE has hurt the middle class, created chaos, and attempted to usurp Congress' power of the purse with illegal impoundments. The President's constitutional role is to faithfully execute the laws enacted by Congress. The lack of transparency surrounding all aspects of DOGE raises unanswered questions about its leadership, fiscal structure, data security practices, and legal authority to execute the laws enacted by Congress." [House Committee on the Budget Minority, [3/11/25](#)]

2025: DOGE Slashed Positions At The Department Of Veterans Affairs Nationwide, Initiating A 15% Cut In Staffing

April 2025: The VA Secretary Released A Directive To Reduce VA Staff Nationwide By 15%. "And even as veterans lose their jobs at higher rates, President Donald Trump's cost-cutting push is squeezing the Department of Veterans Affairs, which is likely to impact access to medical care, disability payments, higher education and housing. The Carl T. Hayden VA Medical Center in central Phoenix has already lost workers under a directive from Veterans Affairs Secretary Doug Collins to reduce staff nationwide by 15%." [Cronkite News, [4/7/25](#)]

- **March 2025: VA Chief Of Staff Christopher Syrek Sent Out VA Memo Calling For A 80,000 Employee Reduction In Force At The VA To Comply With Trump's February DOGE Executive Order.** "The Department of Veterans Affairs plans to fire more than 80,000 employees under a sweeping reorganization effort being planned to comply with President Donald Trump's orders to slash the federal government, according to an internal VA memo obtained by Military.com. In the memo, VA Chief of Staff Christopher Syrek said the department's 'initial objective' is to return to 2019 staffing levels of 399,957 employees or about 83,000 fewer employees than the VA has right now. [...] The 'reduction in force,' as the layoffs are formally called, is being planned as part of Trump's February executive order requiring agencies to work with Musk's Department of Government Efficiency, or DOGE, and subsequent guidance from the White House offices in charge of budgets and personnel directing agencies to prepare for large-scale layoffs." [Military.com, [3/5/25](#)]
- **February 2025: More Than 2,400 VA Employees Were Fired By DOGE.** "Gutting VA staff has been a stated objective for the Trump administration since taking office last year. Over 2,400 VA workers were fired by Elon Musk's now defunct Department of Government Efficiency, known as DOGE, initiative in February 2025, but the bleeding did not stop there. The VA announced that the agency was on pace to reduce staff by 30,000 employees by the end of FY25 through federal hiring freezes, deferred resignations, voluntary early retirement and normal attrition. Southern Nevada VA

leaders raised concerns in the report about staffing losses as ‘500 of the facility’s approximately 3,600 staff met criteria for the voluntary early retirement program’—a 14% potential loss of staff while new hiring has stalled.” [Nevada Current, [2/13/26](#)]

Urban Institute: Over 267,000 Veterans Could Lose Their ACA Coverage Because The Premium Tax Credits Expired

Urban Institute: Over 267,000 Veterans Could Lose Their ACA Coverage Because The Premium Tax Credits Expired. “Many veterans receive care through the US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). However, eligibility is limited to certain priority groups, including veterans with low incomes or who have service-connected disabilities, and VA services can be inaccessible for those who do not live near VA facilities. As a result, subsidized coverage through Medicaid and Marketplaces plays an important role in coverage for nonelderly veterans (Banthin, Haley, and Simpson 2025). The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 enhanced premium tax credits (PTCs), making Marketplace plans more affordable, and Congress is currently considering whether to extend these enhancements after their planned expiration in December 2025. A recent brief found that 4.8 million people would lose coverage if the enhanced PTCs were to expire (Buettgens et al. 2025). In this analysis, we provide new information on the number of nonelderly veterans who would receive Marketplace PTCs in 2026 with and without the enhancements, using data from the Health Insurance Policy Simulation Model. 1 We found that the expiration of enhanced PTCs would lead to lower enrollment in subsidized Marketplace coverage among veterans. Under enhanced subsidies, an estimated 623,000 veterans would have Marketplace coverage with PTCs in 2026. If enhanced subsidies expire, this number would fall to 356,000—reducing the number of veterans with subsidized Marketplace coverage by 267,000 or 43 percent. Many of those losing coverage would become uninsured, while others would enroll in more expensive employer coverage or nongroup coverage without PTCs, which would have higher premiums. Moreover, premiums would be higher for those who do maintain subsidized Marketplace coverage.” [Urban Institute, [September 2025](#)]

- **Urban Institute: Many Veterans Losing Coverage “Would Become Uninsured.”** “Many veterans receive care through the US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). However, eligibility is limited to certain priority groups, including veterans with low incomes or who have service-connected disabilities, and VA services can be inaccessible for those who do not live near VA facilities. As a result, subsidized coverage through Medicaid and Marketplaces plays an important role in coverage for nonelderly veterans (Banthin, Haley, and Simpson 2025). The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 enhanced premium tax credits (PTCs), making Marketplace plans more affordable, and Congress is currently considering whether to extend these enhancements after their planned expiration in December 2025. A recent brief found that 4.8 million people would lose coverage if the enhanced PTCs were to expire (Buettgens et al. 2025). In this analysis, we provide new information on the number of nonelderly veterans who would receive Marketplace PTCs in 2026 with and without the enhancements, using data from the Health Insurance Policy Simulation Model. 1 We found that the expiration of enhanced PTCs would lead to lower enrollment in subsidized Marketplace coverage among veterans. Under enhanced subsidies, an estimated 623,000 veterans would have Marketplace coverage with PTCs in 2026. If enhanced subsidies expire, this number would fall to 356,000—reducing the number of veterans with subsidized Marketplace coverage by 267,000 or 43 percent. Many of those losing coverage would become uninsured, while others would enroll in more expensive employer coverage or nongroup coverage without PTCs, which would have higher premiums. Moreover, premiums would be higher for those who do maintain subsidized Marketplace coverage.” [Urban Institute, [September 2025](#)]

Keep Americans Covered Press Release: “267,000 U.S. Veterans To Lose Their Coverage Without Health Care Tax Credit.” [Keep Americans Covered, Press Release, [10/7/25](#)]

And Wait Longer For The Benefits That They Earned.

DOGE’s Cuts To The Department Of Veterans Affairs Caused Longer Wait Times, Understaffing, And Delays In Care

Veterans Faced Longer Wait Times, Understaffing, And Delays In Care Due To DOGE’s Staffing Cuts To The VA

PBS News: “The Veterans Health Administration Workforce Constitutes 90 Percent Of The VA’s 482,000 Workers, So Cuts To VA Workers Mean Cuts To Health Care.” “Cuts to the federal workforce are also affecting medical care for veterans. The Veterans Health Administration workforce constitutes 90 percent of the VA’s 482,000 workers, so cuts to VA workers mean cuts to health care. These cuts come at a time when veterans’ health care needs are increasing. The VA enrolled 400,000 veterans in its benefits system from March 2023 through March 2024, 30 percent more than the prior year. It also expanded eligibility for former service members to receive VA health care. Trump’s cuts will make it more difficult for the VA to provide health care for these newly eligible veterans.” [PBS News, [3/16/25](#)]

- **NIH National Library Of Medicine: “The VHA Is The Sub-Cabinet Level Agency Within The Department Of Veterans Affairs (VA) That Provides Health Care, Including Mental Health Care, To Millions Of Veterans.”** “This chapter provides an overview of the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) and how it fits into the broader U.S. health care landscape. The VHA is the sub-cabinet level agency within the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) that provides health care, including mental health care, to millions of veterans. The chapter begins with an explanation of how veterans enter the VHA health care system. It next describes the general organizational structure of the VA and the VHA.” [NIH National Library Of Medicine, accessed on [9/4/26](#)]

PBS News: “The VA Enrolled 400,000 Veterans In Its Benefits System From March 2023 Through March 2024 [...] Trump’s Cuts Will Make It More Difficult For The VA To Provide Health Care For These Newly Eligible Veterans.” “Cuts to the federal workforce are also affecting medical care for veterans. The Veterans Health Administration workforce constitutes 90 percent of the VA’s 482,000 workers, so cuts to VA workers mean cuts to health care. These cuts come at a time when veterans’ health care needs are increasing. The VA enrolled 400,000 veterans in its benefits system from March 2023 through March 2024, 30 percent more than the prior year. It also expanded eligibility for former service members to receive VA health care. Trump’s cuts will make it more difficult for the VA to provide health care for these newly eligible veterans.” [PBS News, [3/16/25](#)]

PFAS Water Experts: “According To Recent Reports From Internal VA Staff And Veterans’ Advocacy Groups, Budget Shortfalls Have Resulted In Delays In Oncology Consults, Reduced Access To Diagnostic Imaging, And Understaffing Of Specialized Cancer Clinics.” “According to recent reports from internal VA staff and veterans’ advocacy groups, budget shortfalls have resulted in delays in oncology consults, reduced access to diagnostic imaging, and understaffing of specialized cancer clinics. A 2024 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report revealed that cancer screening timelines for veterans increased by 18% year-over-year, particularly for high-risk cancers like kidney, prostate, and testicular cancer illnesses already disproportionately impacting veterans exposed to PFAS and firefighting foam chemicals. ‘Veterans are facing longer waits and fewer diagnostic options. It’s not

just about funding it's about lives,' senior VA clinician who asked to remain anonymous due to fear of retaliation." [PFAS Water Experts, accessed on [9/4/26](#)]

- **Senior VA Clinician: "Veterans Are Facing Longer Waits And Fewer Diagnostic Options. It's Not Just About Funding—It's About Lives."** "According to recent reports from internal VA staff and veterans' advocacy groups, budget shortfalls have resulted in delays in oncology consults, reduced access to diagnostic imaging, and understaffing of specialized cancer clinics. A 2024 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report revealed that cancer screening timelines for veterans increased by 18% year-over-year, particularly for high-risk cancers like kidney, prostate, and testicular cancer illnesses already disproportionately impacting veterans exposed to PFAS and firefighting foam chemicals. 'Veterans are facing longer waits and fewer diagnostic options. It's not just about funding it's about lives,' who asked to remain anonymous due to fear of retaliation." [PFAS Water Experts, accessed on [9/4/26](#)]

Dan Green Message #3 Backup

Dan Green will be a rubberstamp for the war with Iran and the reckless tariffs, which are raising prices, especially on gas and groceries

Dan Green Will Be A Rubberstamp For The War With Iran

Green Said He Supported Continuing The War With Iran Despite Rising Gas Prices, The War's Financial Costs, And Servicemember Deaths

August 2026: Green Said He Supported Continuing The War With Iran And In June 2026 Claimed "We're Not Talking About Other Conflicts Anymore" Besides China

August 2026: Green Said He Supported Continuing The War With Iran Despite Rising Gas Prices, The War's Financial Costs, And Servicemember Deaths. Question: "Internationally, the U.S. war with Iran has cost Americans billions of dollars at the gas pump with near-record gas prices. The military cost is so far \$100 billion. At least 18 service members have died. If elected, are you a 'yes' or a 'no' vote to continuing in this conflict?" GREEN: "The Iranian regime began this conflict in 1979, President Trump is seeking to create an enduring solution to it. Stopping our efforts now will not stop the conflict. Iran will continue its terrorist activities as it has done ceaselessly for decades. 'Death to America' is their constant call and action. Establishing an enduring peace is our goal, but this requires the correct continued pressure. As a multi-tour veteran of our nation's wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, I do not support prolonged conflicts and nor do I support partial victories." [WESH2, Candidate Questionnaire, [8/4/26](#)]

- **August 2026: When Asked If He Supported The Iran War Continuing Even As It "Cost Americans Billions Of Dollars At The Gas Pump, [...] Military Cost [Of] \$100 Billion, [...] "At Least 18 Service Members Have Died," Green Said: "Stopping Our Efforts Now Will Not Stop The Conflict."** Question: "Internationally, the U.S. war with Iran has cost Americans billions of dollars at the gas pump with near-record gas prices. The military cost is so far \$100 billion. At least 18 service members have died. If elected, are you a 'yes' or a 'no' vote to continuing in this conflict?" GREEN: "The Iranian regime began this conflict in 1979, President Trump is seeking to create an enduring solution to it. Stopping our efforts now will not stop the conflict. Iran will continue its terrorist activities as it has done ceaselessly for decades. 'Death to America' is their constant call and action. Establishing an enduring peace is our goal, but this requires the correct continued pressure. As a multi-tour veteran of our nation's wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, I do not support prolonged conflicts

and nor do I support partial victories.” [WESH2, Candidate Questionnaire, [8/4/26](#)]

VIDEO: June 2026: Green: Because Of Trump’s Leadership, “We’re All Talking About China These Days. We’re Not Talking About Other Conflicts Anymore, You Know That Real Focus Has Been Great.” HOST: “Yeah, how did those bureaucrats react when they saw a guy like President Trump, who’s not a politician, and come in and actually make them work and do things that benefit the American people.” GREEN: “Yeah, you know, there’s a great expression that Ronald Reagan said. I’m sure you know it. There’s no such thing as a temporary government program. You know, bureaucrats are the same for every generation. They have their own peculiar interests, their own narrow interests. They don’t often see the big picture that the president saw, and just frankly, the president seeing the whole vision of China—not just the military piece, but the economic piece—and really integrating those—that was the really the genius of his approach, I think. And so, just having that opportunity to to do those things for him and his agenda was just a great honor. And I tell you, because of his leadership, we’re all talking about China these days. We’re not talking about other conflicts anymore, you know that real focus has been great.” [Florida’s Voice via YouTube, 2:10:00, [6/25/26](#)] (VIDEO)

And The Reckless Tariffs,

July 2026: Green Said He Supported President Trump’s Approach To Tariff Policies

July 2026: Green Said He Supported President Trump’s Approach To Tariff Policies

VIDEO: July 2026: Green Said He Supported President Trump’s Approach To Tariff Policies. QUESTION: “Do you support President Trump’s approach to tariff policies?” [...] GREEN: “Yes.” [Republican Club of Indian River via YouTube, 1:18:00, [7/29/26](#)] (VIDEO)

VIDEO: June 2026: Green Said Trump Seeing “Not Just The Military Piece, But The Economic Piece” To The U.S. Relationship With China “And Really Integrating Those” Was “The Genius Of His Approach.” HOST: “Yeah, how did those bureaucrats react when they saw a guy like President Trump, who’s not a politician, and come in and actually make them work and do things that benefit the American people. GREEN: Yeah, you know, there’s a great expression that Ronald Reagan said. I’m sure you know it. There’s no such thing as a temporary government program. You know, bureaucrats are the same for every generation. They have their own peculiar interests, their own narrow interests. They don’t often see the big picture that the president saw, and just frankly, the president seeing the whole vision of China—not just the military piece, but the economic piece—and really integrating those—that was the really the genius of his approach, I think. And so, just having that opportunity to to do those things for him and his agenda was just a great honor. And I tell you, because of his leadership, we’re all talking about China these days. We’re not talking about other conflicts anymore, you know that real focus has been great.” [Florida’s Voice via YouTube, 2:10:00, [6/25/26](#)] (VIDEO)

Which Are Raising Prices, Especially On Gas And Groceries

Trump’s Tariffs Increased Costs For Floridians Across The Board

March 2026: Tariffs Were Expected To Cost Americans More Than \$2,500 Per Household In “The Largest U.S. Tax Increase As A Percent Of GDP Since 1993”

March 2026: Tariffs Were Expected To Cost Americans More Than \$2,500 Per Household In 2026

March 2026: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Projected That “American Families Will Pay More Than \$2,500 Each In Tariff Costs In 2026.” “Even though the Supreme Court ruled that the bulk of President Trump’s tariff agenda was illegal, the administration moved immediately to enact new tariffs that Treasury Secretary Bessent claims will result in ‘virtually unchanged tariff revenue in 2026’. If Bessent’s estimates hold true, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority estimates that American families will pay more than \$2,500 each in tariff costs in 2026. Building on its previous tariff cost estimates, the Committee combined official data from the U.S. Treasury Department on the amount of tariff revenue collected since the start of 2025 with independent estimates from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office of the percent of each tariff dollar that is ultimately paid by American consumers. The Committee found that if tariff revenue stays at the level recorded in January 2026 for the rest of the year, American families would in total pay more than \$330 billion in tariff costs in 2026, an average of more than \$2,500 per household in tariff costs this year. This is substantially higher than the more than \$1,700 in average tariff costs that the JEC – Minority previously estimated that families paid during the first year of Trump’s second term.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, Fact Sheet, [March 2026](#)]

February 2026: The Tax Foundation, A Nonpartisan Organization, Described Trump’s Tariffs As “The Largest U.S. Tax Increase As A Percent Of GDP Since 1993”

February 2026: The Tax Foundation, A Nonpartisan Organization, Described Trump’s Tariffs As “The Largest U.S. Tax Increase As A Percent Of GDP Since 1993.” “President Donald Trump’s tariffs cost the average American household \$1,000 last year, according to new research from the nonpartisan Tax Foundation... The research called Trump’s tariffs ‘the largest U.S. tax increase as a percent of GDP since 1993.’ It suggests the president’s signature economic policy is exacerbating cost of living concerns at a time when many households are grappling with persistently high prices. According to the research think tank Tax Foundation, the federal government collected \$264 billion in total tariff revenues in 2025 - far short of the trillions regularly touted by the White House. The research also finds the tariffs will offset most of the economic benefits of the new tax cuts from Trump’s signature tax law that took effect this year.” [ABC News, [2/9/26](#)]

Tariffs Were Projected To Increase Prices For Groceries, Everyday Goods, And Cars In Florida, With Grocery Bills Rising 10-15% And Car Prices Rising By \$6,000 Or More

An Average Floridian’s Weekly Grocery Bill Was Expected To Increase By 10-15% Because Of The Tariffs

An Average Floridian’s Weekly Grocery Bill Was Expected To Increase By 10-15% Because Of The Tariffs. “On April 2nd, 2025, a universal 10 percent tariff on all countries was announced by the federal government, with a few countries facing additional reciprocal tariffs of up to 50 percent. A week after the announcement, due to intense volatility in the bond market, a 90-day suspension on the tariffs was announced, with the exception of a 145 percent tariff on certain Chinese goods. [...] As the cost of production goes up for almost all commodities, the burden of this increase will fall on Florida consumers. Higher construction costs can increase the price of a typical house built by Florida developers by \$10,000. An increase in the cost of production in the automobile industry will lead to an increase in the price of a car, by at least \$6,000 - \$7,000. Florida ranks fifth among the U.S. in grocery costs. An average Floridian’s weekly grocery bill is expected to increase by 10 to 15 percent as a result of imposed tariffs.” [Florida Tax Watch, [7/8/25](#)]

September 2025: Axios Headline: “Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up.” [Axios, [9/11/25](#)]

- **Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries.** "President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration's policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons." [CNN, [9/20/25](#)]

WKMG Orlando Headline: "‘It Just Doesn’t Stop:’ Central Florida Shoppers See Effects Of Tariffs At Grocery Store." [WKMG Orlando, [8/15/25](#)]

CNN Headline: "The Maker Of Tide And Charmin Said It Will Raise Prices In Part Because Of Tariffs." [CNN, [7/29/25](#)]

Procter & Gamble, Maker Of Household Staples Including Detergent And Toilet Paper Raised Prices Because Of Donald Republican Tariffs. "Procter & Gamble, the maker of several household staples including Tide detergent and Charmin toilet paper, is hiking prices because of President Donald Trump's tariffs." [CNN, [7/29/25](#)]

2025: Tariffs Were Projected To Increase The Price Of A Car In Florida By At Least \$6,000-\$7,000

Florida Tax Watch: Tariffs Would Lead To An Increase In The Price Of A Car In Florida By At Least \$6,000-\$7,000. "On April 2nd, 2025, a universal 10 percent tariff on all countries was announced by the federal government, with a few countries facing additional reciprocal tariffs of up to 50 percent. A week after the announcement, due to intense volatility in the bond market, a 90-day suspension on the tariffs was announced, with the exception of a 145 percent tariff on certain Chinese goods. [...] As the cost of production goes up for almost all commodities, the burden of this increase will fall on Florida consumers. Higher construction costs can increase the price of a typical house built by Florida developers by \$10,000. An increase in the cost of production in the automobile industry will lead to an increase in the price of a car, by at least \$6,000 - \$7,000. Florida ranks fifth among the U.S. in grocery costs. An average Floridian's weekly grocery bill is expected to increase by 10 to 15 percent as a result of imposed tariffs." [Florida Tax Watch, [7/8/25](#)]

- **September 2025: Kelley Blue Book: "President Trump Has Announced A 25% Tariff On All Cars Built Outside The United States And On Many Parts Used In Cars Built Here. [...] Shoppers Can Expect The Tariffs To Increase Car Prices By As Much As \$6,000 On Vehicles Priced Under \$40,000."** "President Trump has announced a 25% tariff on all cars built outside the United States and on many parts used in cars built here. See our latest news updates below on where tariffs stand. Effective Dates: Imported Cars: Tariffs began on April 3. Car Parts: Tariffs began on May 3. These tariffs have started to increase car prices. To save money, consider locking in a new car deal before any potential price increases take effect. Can You Avoid a Car Tariff? No vehicle made at an assembly plant in the United States is 100% made here. Even Tesla sources its parts from other countries. This means that with tariffs, car prices will increase for American car buyers, though the timing remains unclear. You can potentially avoid paying a tariff on a new car by purchasing one that was imported to the U.S. before the tariffs took effect, or by buying a used car. How Will Tariffs Affect Car Buying? If new cars become too expensive, used cars will become more attractive. However, if demand spikes, used car prices may also increase. Here's more information: New cars:

Shoppers can expect the tariffs to increase car prices by as much as \$6,000 on vehicles priced under \$40,000. Higher car prices mean higher car taxes, financing, car insurance, and other ownership costs. This price pressure will make cars less affordable for consumers.” [Kelley Blue Book, [9/15/25](#)]

July 2026: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Found That Floridians Had Paid An Average Of \$472 More Per Household For Gas Since The Start Of The War With Iran

July 2026: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Found That Floridians Had Paid An Average Of \$472 More Per Household For Gas Since The Start Of The War With Iran On February 28th.

“As President Trump declares that the war with Iran has resumed, the Joint Economic Committee - Minority issued new data today that shows the extent to which the President’s war has driven up gas costs in all 50 states. Since the war began on February 28, Americans have paid a total of \$56.4 billion more, or \$477 more per household, on the gas they need to commute to work, run errands, pick up their kids, and live their daily lives. Additionally, today’s national average gas price sits at \$3.87 per gallon, which is about 30 percent higher than before the start of the war. ‘As President Trump declares that he’s ended his ceasefire with Iran, gas costs have already started rising again, at a time when Americans continue to face the squeeze of higher costs overall from Trump’s war, tariffs, and other actions. Yet President Trump says he doesn’t even think about the financial situation of Americans,’ said U.S. Senator Maggie Hassan, Ranking Member of the Committee.” [Joint Economic Committee Minority, [7/13/26](#)]

Excess Spending On Gas Since The Start Of The War With Iran		
State	Increase In Total Gasoline Spending Since Feb. 28	Increase In Household Gasoline Spending Since Feb. 28
Florida	+\$3,887,817,941	+\$472

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, [7/13/26](#)]

July 2026: ABC News Headline: “Gas Prices Top \$4 A Gallon As Iran War Escalates.” [ABC News, [7/20/26](#)]

- **July 2026: ABC News: “Gas Prices In The United States Topped \$4 Per Gallon On Average Monday As An Escalation Of The Iran War Renewed Fears Of A Global Oil Shortage.”** “Gas prices in the United States topped \$4 per gallon on average Monday as an escalation of the Iran war renewed fears of a global oil shortage. Prices at the pump have jumped 13 cents per gallon, or 3%, over the past week, AAA data showed, with the average price per gallon now at \$4.003. The recent cost rise brings gasoline prices back to a level achieved soon after the Iran war began in late February. The Middle East conflict prompted Iranian closure of the Strait of Hormuz, the maritime trading route that facilitates transportation of about one-fifth of global oil supply. The historic oil disruption sent gasoline prices surging.” [ABC News, [7/20/26](#)]

May 2026: The Iran War Was Expected To Drive Diesel Prices To “An All-Time High,” Which Raised Costs For Everyday Goods

May 2026: Politico: “Diesel Fuel Costs Are Also Poised To Set An All-Time High In The U.S., Which In Turn Is Expected To Drive Up Prices For Everything From Groceries To Postage.” “Most Americans are focused on the cost of gasoline at the station up the street — but diesel fuel costs are also poised to set an all-time high in the U.S., which in turn is expected to drive up prices for everything from groceries to postage. A spike in diesel fuel affects a wide array of commodities that need to move across the country – including for home building, manufacturing and much more. And it couldn’t come at a worse time for President Donald Trump and Republicans, just months ahead of the contentious midterm election season in which Democrats are expected to take back the House and possibly the Senate amid

intensifying voter anger over the rising cost of living and the unpopular war in Iran.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

- **Politico: The “Inflationary Impact Of High Diesel” Affected “The Cost Of Everything From Eggs To Sneakers,” And Resulted In Price Increases That Were “Passed Along To Consumers.”** “Beyond the impacts to the cost of everything from eggs to sneakers, the inflationary impact of high diesel fuel lasts much longer in the economy than gasoline prices, said John Auers, analyst at consulting firm RBN Energy. Trucking, rail and freight companies sign long-term supply contracts for their diesel, meaning that a higher price will be baked into their costs – and passed along to consumers — for months at a time.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

May 2026: Politico: Increases In Fuel Prices, Including Diesel, Were Largely Due To The Iran War And The Subsequent Closure Of Hormuz. “When fuel prices hit record highs under Biden, it was largely due to post-Covid supply disruptions and because Russia’s war on Ukraine threatened global energy markets. The current price increase is largely due to the U.S. and Israel attack on Iran and the subsequent closure of Hormuz. Diesel prices in February, before U.S. and Israeli forces attacked Iran, were about \$3.75.” [Politico, [5/6/26](#)]

July 2026: The Iran War Cost The U.S. Government And Taxpayers \$37.5 Billion

Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth Announced The Iran War Costed The United States \$37.5 Billion Through July 2026. “The cost of the United States’ war in Iran has risen to \$37.5 billion so far, U.S. Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth said on Tuesday, as he faced skeptical lawmakers for the first time since heavy bombings resumed to seek urgent funding for the unpopular conflict. With just six months before midterm elections in which President Donald Trump’s Republicans may face an uphill battle to keep their House of Representatives and Senate majorities, Democrats are riding high in public opinion polls as they attempt to link the unpopular Iran war with affordability.” [Reuters, [7/21/26](#)]

Headline: “War In Iran Has Cost The US \$37.5 Billion So Far, Pentagon Says” [Reuters, [7/21/26](#)]

As A Result Of The Iran War, U.S. Inflation Increased, Costing Americans More Than \$1,100 Per Household In Added Expenses

July 2026: ABC News Headline: “US Economy Slowed More Than Expected As The Iran War Took Hold.” [ABC News, [7/30/26](#)]

July 2026: The Iran War Cost U.S. Households About \$150 Billion, Or \$1,100 Per Household In Added Expenses. “A MARTÍNEZ, HOST: The latest escalation between the U.S. and Iran prolongs a war that has made life expensive for American households. Amid uncertainty over the ceasefire, costs are still piling up in a way that could have major implications for the midterm elections that are just four months away. Mark Zandi, chief economist at Moody’s Analytics, has put a number on that financial pain. Mark, so you’re trying to figure out what the average household in the U.S. is paying for the war? What did you find? [...] ZANDI: Then there’s the cost of interest rates. You know, they’re up a lot. We can talk about that as well. And, of course, the military. And if you add it all up, it comes to just about \$150 billion - almost, not quite. And there’s a lot of uncertainty around that, obviously. But there’s 133 million American households, so you do the accounting, you do the arithmetic, it’s \$1,100 per household.” [NPR, [7/10/26](#)]

CNBC: “Inflation Data Is Where The War’s Impact Shows Up Most Directly [...] The Consumer Price Index For All Items Rose 0.9% In March, Putting The Annual Inflation Rate At 3.3%.” “Inflation data is where the war’s impact shows up most directly, and the news so far has been mixed.

Predictably, headline inflation has leaped higher. The consumer price index for all items rose 0.9% in March, putting the annual inflation rate at 3.3%. Stripping out food and energy, though, left the monthly increase at just 0.2% and the annual core level at 2.6% — still above the Fed’s 2% bogey but moving in the right direction.

” [CNBC, [4/15/26](#)]

- **March 2026: The Cost Of Electricity Increased By 4.6% Year Over Year In The Month After The Iran War Started.** [CNBC, [4/15/26](#)]

U.S. inflation by category | March 2026

Year-over-year % change in the consumer price index

Energy	12.5%
Gasoline <i>All types</i>	18.9
Electricity	4.6
Piped gas service	6.4
All items less food and energy	2.6%
Motor vehicle maintenance + repair	6.1
Airline fares	14.9

[CNBC, [4/15/26](#)]

- **March 2026: The Cost Of Motor Vehicle Maintenance And Repairs Increased 6.1% Year Over Year In The Month After The Iran War Started.** [CNBC, [4/15/26](#)]

U.S. inflation by category | March 2026

Year-over-year % change in the consumer price index

Energy	12.5%
Gasoline <i>All types</i>	18.9
Electricity	4.6
Piped gas service	6.4
All items less food and energy	2.6%
Motor vehicle maintenance + repair	6.1
Airline fares	14.9

[CNBC, [4/15/26](#)]

- **March 2026: The Cost Of Airline Fares Increased 14.9% Year Over Year In The Month After The Iran War Started.** [CNBC, [4/15/26](#)]

U.S. inflation by category | March 2026

Year-over-year % change in the consumer price index

Energy	12.5%
Gasoline <i>All types</i>	18.9
Electricity	4.6
Piped gas service	6.4
All items less food and energy	2.6%
Motor vehicle maintenance + repair	6.1
Airline fares	14.9

[CNBC, [4/15/26](#)]

Dan Green Message #4 Backup

Voters need to hear directly from veterans in the district about Dan Green's hypocrisy on issues that affect veterans.

Voters Need To Hear Directly From Veterans In The District About Dan Green's Hypocrisy On Issues That Affect Veterans.

Although Green Was A Veteran...

Green Completed Four Tours Throughout The Middle East As A Part Of The United States Navy.

"Dan was working in the Pentagon on September 11th and experienced the devastating attack firsthand. The attack on our nation greatly impacted Dan and strengthened his resolve to serve his country. Dan joined the United States Navy, where he completed four tours throughout the Middle East and Central Asia. He also completed a civilian tour of duty to southern Afghanistan with the U.S. Department of State. Dan has earned the rank of Commander and has been selected for promotion to Captain. While serving in Afghanistan and Iraq, Dan was attached to two SEAL Team deployments, including in Fallujah and southern Afghanistan; he also served in Baghdad and Kabul. His boots-on-the-ground experience has made him an expert in counterterrorism, foreign policy, and the toll of war on our brave veterans." [Dan Green for Congress, accessed [8/20/26](#)]

...Green Supported Cuts To Federal Programs Like Veterans' Services, Which Were Affected By Trump's Department Of Government Efficiency Cuts

June 2026: Green Praised Trump For "Cutting Bureaucracy" And "Cutting Waste" In His Second Term In A Nod To Trump's Department Of Government Efficiency (DOGE)

June 2026: Green Praised Trump For "Cutting Bureaucracy" And "Cutting Waste" In His Second Term

VIDEO: June 2026: Green Praised Trump For “Cutting Bureaucracy” And “Cutting Waste” In His Second Term. “HOST: You have such a background, but something that is pretty cool that not a lot of people get to do. I mean, you worked with President Trump. Can you tell us what it was like? That experience was like behind the scenes. GREEN: “You know, a lot of I'd love to say a lot of my time was at the Pentagon. You know, kind of laboring away for his agenda and the big bureaucracy of the beast. You know, a lot of that is just you know he has that clear vision of where he wants to take the country, and he what's really nice about the second term is he's got the the experience, he's got the team now to really make it happen. But at that time, the first administration, it was kind of touch and go sometimes. You know, he would have the vision, but he didn't always have the team rowing in the same direction that he wanted to take. I think it was very clarifying for us to have that kind of north star of where he wanted to take the country, and especially the Department of Defense at that time. I tell you, it's it's the bureaucracy has its own agenda. You know, different generals and admirals have different visions of what needs to be done. But the president was very focused on, hey, you know, China's not our friend. We've got to be prepared to deter them, and that's going to take not just more runny and resources, as he's now doing in a big way, the second term, but leadership, cutting bureaucracy, cutting waste, getting rid of the woke agenda, and really prioritizing the things we need to do.” [Florida’s Voice via YouTube, 2:10:00, [6/25/26](#)] (VIDEO)

2025: The Trump Administration Created The So-Called Department Of Government Efficiency (DOGE) Under The Auspices Of Finding Fraud, Waste, And Abuse

2025: The Trump Administration Created The So-Called Department Of Government Efficiency (DOGE) Under The Auspices Of Finding Fraud, Waste, And Abuse. “Since the creation of the so-called Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE), confusion and a lack of transparency have reigned. Under the auspices of finding fraud, waste, and abuse, DOGE has hurt the middle class, created chaos, and attempted to usurp Congress’ power of the purse with illegal impoundments. The President’s constitutional role is to faithfully execute the laws enacted by Congress. The lack of transparency surrounding all aspects of DOGE raises unanswered questions about its leadership, fiscal structure, data security practices, and legal authority to execute the laws enacted by Congress.” [House Committee on the Budget Minority, [3/11/25](#)]

DOGE Slashed Positions At The Department Of Veterans Affairs, Causing Longer Wait Times, Understaffing, And Delays In Care

2025: DOGE Slashed Positions At The Department Of Veterans Affairs Nationwide, Initiating A 15% Cut In Staffing

April 2025: The VA Secretary Released A Directive To Reduce VA Staff Nationwide By 15%. “And even as veterans lose their jobs at higher rates, President Donald Trump’s cost-cutting push is squeezing the Department of Veterans Affairs, which is likely to impact access to medical care, disability payments, higher education and housing. The Carl T. Hayden VA Medical Center in central Phoenix has already lost workers under a directive from Veterans Affairs Secretary Doug Collins to reduce staff nationwide by 15%.” [Cronkite News, [4/7/25](#)]

- **March 2025: VA Chief Of Staff Christopher Syrek Sent Out VA Memo Calling For A 80,000 Employee Reduction In Force At The VA To Comply With Trump's February DOGE Executive Order.** “The Department of Veterans Affairs plans to fire more than 80,000 employees under a sweeping reorganization effort being planned to comply with President Donald Trump's orders to slash the federal government, according to an internal VA memo obtained by Military.com. In the memo, VA Chief of Staff Christopher Syrek said the department's ‘initial objective’ is to return

to 2019 staffing levels of 399,957 employees or about 83,000 fewer employees than the VA has right now [...]The ‘reduction in force,’ as the layoffs are formally called, is being planned as part of Trump's February executive order requiring agencies to work with Musk's Department of Government Efficiency, or DOGE, and subsequent guidance from the White House offices in charge of budgets and personnel directing agencies to prepare for large-scale layoffs.” [Military.com, [3/5/25](#)]

- **February 2025: More Than 2,400 VA Employees Were Fired By DOGE.** “Gutting VA staff has been a stated objective for the Trump administration since taking office last year. Over 2,400 VA workers were fired by Elon Musk’s now defunct Department of Government Efficiency, known as DOGE, initiative in February 2025, but the bleeding did not stop there. The VA announced that the agency was on pace to reduce staff by 30,000 employees by the end of FY25 through federal hiring freezes, deferred resignations, voluntary early retirement and normal attrition. Southern Nevada VA leaders raised concerns in the report about staffing losses as ‘500 of the facility’s approximately 3,600 staff met criteria for the voluntary early retirement program’—a 14% potential loss of staff while new hiring has stalled.” [Nevada Current, [2/13/26](#)]

Veterans Were Disproportionately Impacted By DOGE’s VA Cuts, Facing Longer Wait Times, Understaffing, And Delays In Care

PBS News: “The Veterans Health Administration Workforce Constitutes 90 Percent Of The VA’s 482,000 Workers, So Cuts To VA Workers Mean Cuts To Health Care.” “Cuts to the federal workforce are also affecting medical care for veterans. The Veterans Health Administration workforce constitutes 90 percent of the VA’s 482,000 workers, so cuts to VA workers mean cuts to health care. These cuts come at a time when veterans’ health care needs are increasing. The VA enrolled 400,000 veterans in its benefits system from March 2023 through March 2024, 30 percent more than the prior year. It also expanded eligibility for former service members to receive VA health care. Trump’s cuts will make it more difficult for the VA to provide health care for these newly eligible veterans.” [PBS News, [3/16/25](#)]

- **NIH National Library Of Medicine: “The VHA Is The Sub-Cabinet Level Agency Within The Department Of Veterans Affairs (VA) That Provides Health Care, Including Mental Health Care, To Millions Of Veterans.”** “This chapter provides an overview of the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) and how it fits into the broader U.S. health care landscape. The VHA is the sub-cabinet level agency within the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) that provides health care, including mental health care, to millions of veterans. The chapter begins with an explanation of how veterans enter the VHA health care system. It next describes the general organizational structure of the VA and the VHA.” [NIH National Library Of Medicine, accessed on [9/4/26](#)]

PBS News: “The VA Enrolled 400,000 Veterans In Its Benefits System From March 2023 Through March 2024 [...] Trump’s Cuts Will Make It More Difficult For The VA To Provide Health Care For These Newly Eligible Veterans.” “Cuts to the federal workforce are also affecting medical care for veterans. The Veterans Health Administration workforce constitutes 90 percent of the VA’s 482,000 workers, so cuts to VA workers mean cuts to health care. These cuts come at a time when veterans’ health care needs are increasing. The VA enrolled 400,000 veterans in its benefits system from March 2023 through March 2024, 30 percent more than the prior year. It also expanded eligibility for former service members to receive VA health care. Trump’s cuts will make it more difficult for the VA to provide health care for these newly eligible veterans.” [PBS News, [3/16/25](#)]

PFAS Water Experts: “According To Recent Reports From Internal VA Staff And Veterans’ Advocacy Groups, Budget Shortfalls Have Resulted In Delays In Oncology Consults, Reduced Access To Diagnostic Imaging, And Understaffing Of Specialized Cancer Clinics.” “According to

recent reports from internal VA staff and veterans' advocacy groups, budget shortfalls have resulted in delays in oncology consults, reduced access to diagnostic imaging, and understaffing of specialized cancer clinics. A 2024 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report revealed that cancer screening timelines for veterans increased by 18% year-over-year, particularly for high-risk cancers like kidney, prostate, and testicular cancer illnesses already disproportionately impacting veterans exposed to PFAS and firefighting foam chemicals. 'Veterans are facing longer waits and fewer diagnostic options. It's not just about funding it's about lives,' senior VA clinician who asked to remain anonymous due to fear of retaliation." [PFAS Water Experts, accessed on [9/4/26](#)]

- **Senior VA Clinician: “Veterans Are Facing Longer Waits And Fewer Diagnostic Options. It’s Not Just About Funding—It’s About Lives.”** “According to recent reports from internal VA staff and veterans' advocacy groups, budget shortfalls have resulted in delays in oncology consults, reduced access to diagnostic imaging, and understaffing of specialized cancer clinics. A 2024 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report revealed that cancer screening timelines for veterans increased by 18% year-over-year, particularly for high-risk cancers like kidney, prostate, and testicular cancer illnesses already disproportionately impacting veterans exposed to PFAS and firefighting foam chemicals. 'Veterans are facing longer waits and fewer diagnostic options. It's not just about funding it's about lives,' who asked to remain anonymous due to fear of retaliation.” [PFAS Water Experts, accessed on [9/4/26](#)]