Anna Paulina Luna Redbox Overview

Anna Paulina Luna is a corrupt Washington politician who has enriched herself in office and protected wealthy elites, while supporting policies that raise your costs.

Anna Paulina Luna Is A Corrupt Washington Politician Who Has Enriched Herself And Protected Wealthy Elites,

Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Increased By Up To $469,000 During Her Time In Congress

2022: Luna Was Elected To Congress

2022: Luna Was Elected To Congress. "Luna, 35, is a Southern California native and U.S. Air Force veteran whose endorsement by Donald Trump helped catapult her to the GOP nomination for Congress in 2022. She then defeated Democrat Eric Lynn by 8 percentage points, 53%-45%. The district has only become redder since then, but Fox, 37, campaigned on a platform that Luna was simply too radical for a district that historically has supported moderate-leaning Republicans and Democrats." [Florida Phoenix, 11/6/24]

2023-2026: While In Congress, Luna's Reported Net Worth Grew By Up To $469,000

2023: Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Was A Minimum Of $132,995. [Rep. Luna Financial Disclosure Report, 8/2/23]

- **2023: Luna Reported Making Up To $15,000 In Income For A Book Advance.** [Rep. Luna Financial Disclosure Report, 8/2/23]

2026: Luna Reported That Her Net Worth Was As Much As $601,999. [Rep. Luna Financial Disclosure Report, 5/15/26]

Luna Invested In An Oil And Gas Exploration Company Founded By Her Donor While She Sat On The House Natural Resources Committee, Which Prompted Questions About Conflicts Of Interest

2024: Luna Invested Up To $500,000 In America First Natural Resources LLC, A Company That Was Focused On Oil And Gas Exploration And Production

2025: Luna Disclosed That In 2024, She Invested Up To $500,000 In America First Natural Resources LLC.
[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed 7/24/25]

Filer Information

Name:	Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status:	Member
State/District:	FL13

Filing Information

Filing Type:	Annual Report
Filing Year:	2024
Filing Date:	07/24/2025

Schedule A: Assets and "Unearned" Income

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > $1,000?
America First Natural Resources LLC [OL] Location: San Antonio, TX, US Description: Energy production company		$250,001 - $500,000	None		

[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed 7/24/25]

In Her 2023 Personal Financial Disclosure Report, Luna Did Not Report An Investment In America First Natural Resources Made During The Year 2023. [U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2023 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed 5/15/24]

Filer Information

Name:	Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status:	Member
State/District:	FL13

Filing Information

Filing Type:	Annual Report
Filing Year:	2023
Filing Date:	05/15/2024

Schedule A: Assets and "Unearned" Income

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > $1,000?
AP Luna Enterprises Inc. [BA]	SP	$1,001 - $15,000	None		
Lineage Cell Therapeutics, Inc. (LCTX) [ST]	SP	$1,001 - $15,000	None		
Regents Bank Savings [BA]		$100,001 - $250,000	Interest	$1 - $200	
USAA Checking [BA]		$250,001 - $500,000	Interest	$1 - $200	
USAA Checking [BA]	JT	$15,001 - $50,000	None		

[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2023 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed 5/15/24]

Rosenthal Described America First Natural Resources LLC As A Private Equity Firm That Was Focused On Energy Exploration And Production. "Luna reported in July on a financial disclosure form that she has invested $250,001 to $500,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company founded and managed by one of her political donors, Bruce N. Rosenthal, who has described it as a private equity firm focused on domestic energy exploration and production." [NBC News, 9/9/25]

America First Natural Resources LLC Aimed To Produce Oil And Gas In The United States. "Luna, a conservative Republican seeking re-election to her St. Petersburg-area seat, disclosed in May that she had invested between $200,000 and $450,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company created by a political supporter that aims to produce oil and gas in the United States." [Miami Herald, 7/1/24]

America First Natural Resources Was Founded And Managed By One Of Luna's Political Donors

NBC News: "America First Natural Resources LLC" Was "A Company Founded And Managed By One Of Her Political Donors, Bruce N. Rosenthal." "Luna reported in July on a financial disclosure form that she has invested $250,001 to $500,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company founded and managed by one of her political donors, Bruce N. Rosenthal, who has described it as a private equity firm focused on domestic energy exploration and production." [NBC News, 9/9/25]

Miami Herald: Luna "Invested" In "A Company Created By A Political Supporter That Aims To Produce Oil And Gas In The United States." "Luna, a conservative Republican seeking re-election to her St. Petersburg-area seat, disclosed in May that she had invested between $200,000 and $450,000 in America First Natural Resources LLC, a company created by a political supporter that aims to produce oil and gas in the United States." [Miami Herald, 7/1/24]

2025: Luna Filed Her Personal Financial Disclosure, Which Covered The Year 2024, In Which She Disclosed Her Investment In America First Natural Resources

2025: Luna Filed Her Personal Financial Disclosure, Which Covered The Year 2024, In Which She Disclosed Her Investment In America First Natural Resources. [U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed 7/24/25]



FILER INFORMATION

Name: Hon. Anna Paulina Luna
Status: Member
State/District: FL13

FILING INFORMATION

Filing Type: Annual Report
Filing Year: 2024
Filing Date: 07/24/2025

SCHEDULE A: ASSETS AND "UNEARNED" INCOME

Asset	Owner	Value of Asset	Income Type(s)	Income	Tx. > $1,000?
America First Natural Resources LLC (OL)		$250,001 - $500,000	None		
Location: San Antonio, TX, US Description: Energy production company					

[U.S. House Clerk, Anna Paulina Luna 2024 Personal Financial Disclosure, filed 7/24/25]

2024: Luna Was A Member Of The House Natural Resources Committee Which Oversaw Energy And Mining On Federal Lands

2024: Luna Was A Member Of The House Natural Resources Committee And The Subcommittee On Economic Growth, Energy Policy And Regulatory Affairs. "Luna, an Air Force veteran who entered politics after working at the conservative nonprofit Turning Point USA, sits on the House Natural

Resources Committee and the House Oversight Committee's subcommittee on Economic Growth, Energy Policy and Regulatory Affairs. It wouldn't be a conflict of interest for her to vote on issues that might benefit America First Natural Resources, so long as the legislation would benefit all domestic producers of energy, said Kedric Payne, a former attorney at the Office of Congressional Ethics and the senior director of ethics at the nonpartisan Campaign Legal Center." [Miami Herald, 7/1/24]

The House Natural Resources Committee Oversaw Energy And Mining On Federal Lands. "Luna sits on both the House Natural Resources Committee, which oversees energy and mining on federal lands, and the Oversight and Government Reform Committee, which investigates government abuse, but her office said she is not a member of panels that have jurisdiction over her investments." [NBC News, 9/9/25]

A Nonpartisan Group And House Democrats Said Luna's Investment In Her Donor's Company Raised Questions About Conflicts Of Interest

The Nonpartisan Independent Project On Government Oversight Said Luna's Energy Investments Raised Red Flags And Were "Ripe For Manipulation" Or Some "Kind Of Conflict Of Interest." "The nonpartisan independent Project on Government Oversight (POGO), which has endorsed the proposed stock ban, said lawmaker investments focused on a specific industry, like Luna's in energy, raise red flags. They are 'ripe for manipulation or ripe for some kind of conflict of interest,' said Dylan Hedtler-Gaudette, who heads policy and government affairs at POGO." [NBC News, 9/9/25]

House Democrats Accused Luna Of Insider Trading Hypocrisy Due To Her Investment In Her Donor's Company, America First Natural Resources. "House Democrats just dropped five figures on a digital ad accusing U.S. Rep. Anna Paulina Luna of insider trading hypocrisy. The static ad, from the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), shows Luna beside a pile of cash with the headline 'Anna Paulina Luna invested up to $500,000 in her donor's company.' It sources a 2025 NBC News report showing that even while Luna pressured House leadership to take up an insider trading ban, her financial disclosures showed she invested between $250,000 and $500,000 in America First Natural Resources, a company founded by donor Bruce N. Rosenthal." [Florida Politics, 8/24/26]

America First Natural Resources Was Founded By Luna's Donor, Bruce N. Rosenthal. "House Democrats just dropped five figures on a digital ad accusing U.S. Rep. Anna Paulina Luna of insider trading hypocrisy. The static ad, from the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), shows Luna beside a pile of cash with the headline 'Anna Paulina Luna invested up to $500,000 in her donor's company.' It sources a 2025 NBC News report showing that even while Luna pressured House leadership to take up an insider trading ban, her financial disclosures showed she invested between $250,000 and $500,000 in America First Natural Resources, a company founded by donor Bruce N. Rosenthal." [Florida Politics, 8/24/26]

Luna Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill, Which Gave Tax Breaks To The Richest Americans

2025: Luna Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill

July 2025: Luna Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Luna voted for: "Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly $4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by $5 trillion and

appropriate more than $448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including $153 billion for defense, $89 billion for immigration enforcement, and $89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to $40,000 annually for five years for households making up to $500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to $10,000." The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, Vote #190, 7/3/25; CQ, 7/3/25]

May 2025: Luna Voted For Cutting Net Taxes By Approximately $3.8 Trillion And Reducing Spending By $1.5 Trillion As Instructed In The Fiscal 2025 Budget Resolution. In May 2025, Luna voted for "Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately $3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and $321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by $1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by $4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by $625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate "such sums as necessary" for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who've purchased "silver" plans on the 2010 health care law's exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at $40,000 for households with incomes below $500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions." The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, Vote #145, 5/22/25; CQ, 5/22/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Give The Richest 5% Of Americans More Than $1.5 Trillion In Tax Cuts

Center for American Progress: "Under This Proposal, Millionaires Would See A Larger Tax Cut Than The Bottom Half Of Americans Combined—With More Than $1.5 Trillion In Tax Cuts Going To The Top 5 Percent." "The One Big Beautiful Bill Act would issue the largest transfer of wealth from working-class Americans to the ultrawealthy in the nation's history. To do so, House Republicans are proposing to cut programs that are essential to people, especially in states that voted for Trump in the last election, in order to pay for tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans. Under this proposal, millionaires would see a larger tax cut than the bottom half of Americans combined—with more than $1.5 trillion in tax cuts going to the top 5 percent. In total, households that make at least $1 million per year would receive roughly twice as much in tax breaks as the bottom 50 percent of the nation combined. Roughly 57 million households in the bottom 60 percent would see a tax increase or a negligible tax change ($100 in either direction) under the House Republican proposal. Not only does this proposal extend the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act's tax breaks for the wealthy, but it also adds additional loopholes that skew the tax code even more in favor of the ultrawealthy. Polling demonstrates that Republican voters oppose the bill when they learn that the tax cuts overwhelmingly benefit those who are already rich." [Center for American Progress, 6/13/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Increase Income Inequality, With Low-Income Americans Feeling The Effects Of Aid Cuts And The Wealthy Seeing Most Of The Tax Gains

Washington Center For Equitable Growth: The Federal Budget Bill's Spending Cuts To Benefit Programs Would Increase Inequality. "Similar to the tax cuts they help finance, the bill's spending cuts are guaranteed to substantially increase inequality. They share another feature, too: These are complex changes to policy details that few Americans recognize or understand. Indeed, many involve the imposition of new administrative burdens on people who receive or apply for public benefits at the state level. There is only one way that regressive spending cuts can free up $1.3 trillion to finance regressive tax cuts: by taking away valued benefits from tens of millions of Americans." [Washington Center for Equitable Growth, 6/25/25]

The Budget Lab: The Bottom 10% Of American Households By Income Would See An Average Reduction In Incomes, While Those At The Top Would See An Increase Due To The Combined Effects Of The Reconciliation Bill And Tariffs. "The Budget Lab has previously estimated the net budget impact of combining the OBBBA and recent increases in tariffs. Conventionally scored, OBBBA costs $2.4 trillion as written ($4.0 trillion if the temporary provisions become permanent). The tariffs implemented as of June 1 would raise $2.4 trillion. A natural follow-up question is: what is the net distributional impact of both the OBBBA and tariffs? This report answers that question by incorporating tariffs into CBO's distributional estimates of the OBBBA. Our tariff estimates, which reflect the Trump Administration's 2025 tariff increases this year through June 1, are based on prior TBL analysis and are adjusted to match the income concepts in CBO's report. [...] The results of this exercise are shown in Figure 1, which reports average annual changes to after-tax-and-transfer income over the 2026-2034 period. We find that the combination of OBBBA and 2025 tariffs would reduce resources on average among the bottom 80 percent of households. The bottom ten percent of households would see an average reduction of more than 6.5 percent in incomes, while those at the top would see an increase of nearly 1.5 percent." [The Budget Lab, 6/16/25]

CNN: "Low-Income Americans Will Feel The Effect Of Changes To Aid Programs, While The Wealthy Will See Most Of The Windfall From Tax Cuts, According To Multiple Assessments." "It took intense lobbying from President Donald Trump, an all-nighter, and a vote on a bill for which many people did not yet have a clear grasp of the final changes, but House Republicans got it done this week. They passed their version of the 'one big, beautiful bill,' a behemoth that pairs tax cuts with new provisions that will push people off Medicaid and food assistance. Low-income Americans will feel the effect of changes to aid programs, while the wealthy will see most of the windfall from tax cuts, according to multiple assessments. [...] Higher-income taxpayers would come out ahead, with 60% of the tax cuts going to the top 20%, who have incomes of at least $217,000, next year and more than a third going to the top 5%, or those who earn $460,000 or more, according to the center. The following numbers show how the rich would get the biggest boost in their after-tax income compared to others. Those in the top 20% would see an average tax cut of $12,660 next year, increasing their after-tax income by 3.4%. But again, that's compared with current law, in which Trump's first-term tax cuts are expiring. Middle-income earners, those making between about $67,000 and $119,000, would get a tax break of $1,840, bumping up their after-tax income by 2.4%, while those in the lowest bracket, who earn less than about $35,000, would get a tax cut of $160, nudging up their after-tax income by 0.8%." [CNN, 5/24/25]

Washington Center For Equitable Growth: "The One Big Beautiful Bill Act Also Contains Changes To The Federal Estate Tax That Would Cost More Than $200 Billion Over 10 Years And Would Further Increase Economic Inequality." "The One Big Beautiful Bill Act also contains changes to the federal estate tax that would cost more than $200 billion over 10 years and would further increase economic inequality. Wealth inequality is not only high in the United States, compared with other rich

democracies, but is also growing at a faster rate. Though estimates vary, the share of wealth that comes from inheritances also is large: On the lower end, more than one-third of wealth is inherited among U.S. households, and high estimates put the share closer to two-thirds. Rather than strengthening a key policy tool that could reduce the ability of dynastic wealth to be transferred across generations, the Republican tax bill follows a trend that began at the turn of the 21st century. Dramatic increases in the estate tax exemption threshold have resulted in an infinitesimally small fraction of the nation's wealthiest individuals facing any liability at all." [Washington Center for Equitable Growth, 6/25/25]

While Supporting Policies That Raise Your Costs.

Luna Voted In Support Of The Iran War Which Raised Prices And Cost Billions In Taxpayer Money

July 2026: Luna Voted For A Fifth Time To Continue The War In Iran

July 23, 2026: Luna Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. On 7/23/26, LUNA voted NO on "H. Con. Res. 89 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran." The resolution passed in the House 214-208. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 89, House Roll Call Vote 282, 7/23/26]

- **7/23/26: Associated Press: The House Passed A Resolution To Halt U.S. Military Action In Iran In A Bipartisan Vote.** "The House on Thursday narrowly passed a resolution to halt U.S. military action in Iran, sending a warning to President Donald Trump for the second time as the war has escalated and the future of the conflict is increasingly uncertain. The 214-208 vote requiring congressional approval for the war comes as the Senate is expected to consider a similar resolution later Thursday morning. Though the votes forced by Democrats are largely symbolic, they are meant as a strong signal to the Republican president that his support on Capitol Hill is chipping away as the war drags on and lawmakers in both parties have questioned his administration's endgame." [Associated Press, 7/23/26]

June 2026: Luna Voted For A Fourth Time To Continue The War In Iran

June 3, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On June 3, 2026, LUNA voted against "H.Con.Res.86 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran." The bill was passed by a vote of 215-208. [H. Con. Res. 86, Vote #199, 6/3/26]

- **TIME Headline: "Four Republicans Join Democrats In House Vote To Rein In Trump's Iran War Powers"** [TIME, 6/3/26]

May 2026: Luna Voted For A Third Time To Continue The War In Iran, And Was The Deciding Vote To Continue The War

May 2026: Luna Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On May 14, 2026, LUNA voted against "Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove the United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran" The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-212." [H. Con. Res. 75, Vote #170, 5/14/26]

- **Reuters Headline: "US House Narrowly Rejects Bid To Rein In Trump Iran War Powers"** [Reuters, 5/14/26]

April 2026: Luna Voted For A Second Time To Continue The War In Iran

April 16, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, LUNA voted against: "Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack." The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, Vote #114, 4/16/26; CQ, 4/16/26]

- **NBC News Headline: "House Effort To End Trump's War With Iran Fails By One Vote"** [NBC News, 4/16/26]

March 2026: Luna Voted To Continue The War In Iran

March 5, 2026: Luna Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran. On 3/5/26, LUNA voted NO on "H.Con.Res.38 - Directing the President pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution to remove United States Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in the Islamic Republic of Iran." The bill failed in the House 212-219. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 38, House Roll Call Vote 85, 3/5/26]

- **The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran.** "The U.S. House of Representatives rejected an effort on Thursday to stop President Donald Trump's air war on Iran and require that any hostilities against Iran be authorized by Congress, backing the Republican president's military campaign on the sixth day of the expanding conflict. The vote was 219 to 212, largely along party lines, in the House, where Trump's fellow Republicans control a narrow majority of seats. Two Republicans voted in favor of the resolution and four Democrats voted against it. […] Supporters said the resolution, by requiring Trump to come to Congress for a war authorization, would force him to explain to Americans why the U.S. is fighting and how it might end." [Reuters, 3/6/26]

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began. "Gas at the pump costs 40% more than it did before the Iran war began in February, according to the Energy Information Administration. And whether you're a die-hard Democrat or red-blooded Republican or somewhere in between, everyone pays directly or indirectly for that hike. Historically, this would be awful news for the White House occupant. Political scientists seem to agree that, unlike some trends that preoccupy pundits, gas prices really do move the needle on presidential approval." [NOTUS, 8/28/26]

The Iran War Cost American Taxpayers An Estimated $132 Billion

The War With Iran Was Estimated To Have Cost U.S. Consumers And Taxpayers About $132 Billion. "Moody's Analytics estimates the war has cost U.S. consumers and taxpayers about $132 billion so far, and the meter is still running. The most visible piece of that cost is higher energy prices, resulting from the near shutdown of the Strait of Hormuz. Gasoline prices, which averaged just under $3 a gallon when the war began, soared as high as $4.56 a gallon after that vital artery for crude oil was cut off, according to AAA." [NPR, 6/17/26]

Luna Voted For Trump's Tariffs Multiple Times Which Raised Grocery And Household Prices On Americans

February 11, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Luna voted against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada." The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, Vote #65, 2/11/26; CQ, 2/11/26]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump's Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** "The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president's tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House's first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump's trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy." [New York Times, 2/11/26]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For To Block Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariffs. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #60, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, 2/10/26]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply

Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #59, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26; Congressional Record, 2/10/26]

September 16, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

September 2025: Luna Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026. In September 2025, Luna voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, 9/16/25; U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, 9/16/25]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gaveled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board." [Politico, 9/16/25]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Expedited Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariff Actions And Considering The Senate Amendment To The FY 2025 Budget Resolution. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, Vote #94, 4/9/25; CQ, 4/9/25]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress's Power To Override Trump's Tariffs.** "Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump's chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives' rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president's recently imposed taxes on foreign imports." [The Guardian, 4/9/25]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx-

N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump's disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, Vote #93, 4/9/25; CQ, 4/9/25; Congressional Record, 4/9/25]

April 8, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, Vote #91, 4/8/25; CQ, 4/8/25; Congressional Record, 4/8/25]

March 11, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales. In March 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager's amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, Vote #67, 3/11/25; CQ, 3/11/25]

- **New York Times: "House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump's Tariffs."** "House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump's tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber's ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, 3/11/25]

- **Politico: "GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump's Declaration Of A National Emergency."** "GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump's declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China." [Politico, 3/11/25]

- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked "The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets."** "The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. […] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans' six-month stopgap funding bill." [Reuters, 3/11/25]

Americans Were Paying More For Household Costs And Groceries Due To Trump's Tariffs

2025: Trump's Tariffs Increased The Average American Household's Costs By $1,200 Per Year. "By far the largest price increase on consumers that Trump has imposed came in the form of his sweeping tariffs on virtually every foreign country's goods. That has raised the effective US tariff rate to 13.6%, up from 1.2% in 2024 – a gigantic tax increase that has raised the average American household's costs this year by $1,200, according to the conservative-leaning Tax Foundation." [CNN, 11/17/25]

Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. "President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration's policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons." [CNN, 9/20/25]

HEADLINE: "Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up." [Axios, 9/11/25]

Anna Paulina Message #1

While Luna is enriching herself, she is also supporting bad policies that make life more expensive for regular Floridians. She voted eight times for tariffs that have raised prices for household items and groceries for families and hurt small businesses, calling the tariffs "genius." Luna said that the federal government "made the correct decision" in Iran, a war that she voted for six times and has caused the cost of gas to skyrocket to $4/gallon, and cost American taxpayers $132 billion. And she led the effort to sabotage a bipartisan housing affordability bill, putting her own political agenda ahead of addressing Florida's affordability crisis.

While Luna Is Enriching Herself, She Is Also Supporting Bad Policies That Make Life More Expensive For Regular Floridians.

See backup above

She Voted Eight Times For Tariffs That Have Raised Prices For Household Items And Groceries For Families And Hurt Small Businesses, Calling The Tariffs "Genius."

Luna Voted Eight Times To Protect Cost-Spiking Tariffs

February 11, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Luna voted against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada." The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, Vote #65, 2/11/26; CQ, 2/11/26]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump's Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** "The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president's tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House's first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump's trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy." [New York Times, 2/11/26]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Providing Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act, And Blocking Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariffs. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #60, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, 2/10/26]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an

attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #59, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26; Congressional Record, 2/10/26]

September 16, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

September 2025: Luna Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026. In September 2025, Luna voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, 9/16/25; U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, 9/16/25]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gaveled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board." [Politico, 9/16/25]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Expedited Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariff Actions And Considering The Senate Amendment To The FY 2025 Budget Resolution. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, Vote #94, 4/9/25; CQ, 4/9/25]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress's Power To Override Trump's Tariffs.** "Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump's chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives' rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president's recently imposed taxes on foreign imports." [The Guardian, 4/9/25]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we

defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump's disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, Vote #93, 4/9/25; CQ, 4/9/25; Congressional Record, 4/9/25]

April 8, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, Vote #91, 4/8/25; CQ, 4/8/25; Congressional Record, 4/8/25]

March 11, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales. In March 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager's amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, Vote #67, 3/11/25; CQ, 3/11/25]

- **New York Times: "House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump's Tariffs."** "House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump's tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber's ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, 3/11/25]

- **Politico: "GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump's Declaration Of A National Emergency."** "GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump's declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China." [Politico, 3/11/25]

- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked "The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets."** "The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to

quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. […] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans' six-month stopgap funding bill." [Reuters, 3/11/25]

Tariffs Raised The Price Of Household Items And Groceries For Families And Hurt Small Businesses

Americans Were Paying More For Household Costs And Groceries Due To Trump's Tariffs

2025: Trump's Tariffs Increased The Average American Household's Costs By $1,200 Per Year. "By far the largest price increase on consumers that Trump has imposed came in the form of his sweeping tariffs on virtually every foreign country's goods. That has raised the effective US tariff rate to 13.6%, up from 1.2% in 2024 – a gigantic tax increase that has raised the average American household's costs this year by $1,200, according to the conservative-leaning Tax Foundation." [CNN, 11/17/25]

Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. "President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration's policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons." [CNN, 9/20/25]

HEADLINE: "Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up." [Axios, 9/11/25]

The Average Household In Florida Spent $3,100 More Under Trump Because Of His Reckless Tariffs And The War In Iran

June 2026: The Average Household In Florida Spent $3,100 More Under Trump Because Of His Reckless Tariffs And The War In Iran. "As of June 2026, the average household in Florida has had to spend $3,100+ more on goods and services under President Trump. Despite President Trump's repeated pledges on the campaign trail that his administration would 'end inflation' and bring down costs for families, Trump and Republicans in Congress have instead caused costs to continue to rise significantly, including through Trump's reckless tariffs and war in Iran. Below are calculations from the Joint Economic Committee – Minority and other statistics on higher costs that families in Florida face for everyday essentials." [Joint Economic Committee, 7/22/26]

Trump's Tariffs Would Cause Higher Grocery Bills For Floridians


Trump's Tariffs Would Cause Higher Grocery Bills For Floridians. "The trickle-down effect of tariffs will not be limited to major industries. Floridians will see higher prices for food going on the dinner table as well, according to TaxWatch analysts. 'Florida ranks fifth among the U.S. in grocery costs. An average Floridian's weekly grocery bill is expected to increase by 10 to 15 percent as a result of imposed tariffs,' the report said." [Florida Politics, 7/8/25]

Trump's Tariffs Drove Up Costs And Triggered Economic Uncertainty For Small Businesses

Trump's Tariffs Drove Up Costs And Triggered Economic Uncertainty For Small Businesses. "The Joint Economic Committee - Minority issued a report today on the impact of President Trump's tariffs, which have driven up costs and triggered economic uncertainty for America's small businesses. The report includes new Committee data, including that the smallest businesses lost 4.5 times more jobs in 2025 than during the pandemic in 2020. This report comes during National Small Business Week, which runs from May 3 – 9, 2026." [Joint Economic Committee, 5/7/26]

Luna Called Trump's Tariffs "Genius"

Luna Said Regarding Tariffs: "What President Trump Did Was Genius." "'What President Trump did was genius... these reciprocal tariffs have actually lowered costs' Republican Congresswoman Anna Paulina Luna responds to the Supreme Court ruling against President Trump's tariffs." [BBC Newsnight, Twitter, 2/20/26]



[BBC Newsnight, Twitter, 2/20/26] (VIDEO)

Luna Said That The Federal Government "Made The Correct Decision" In Iran, A War That She Voted For Six Times And Has Caused The Cost Of Gas To Skyrocket To $4/Gallon, And Cost American Taxpayers $132 Billion.

September 2026: Luna Said Regarding The United States Initially Leading Strikes On Iran In February 2026, "I Think That [Trump] Made The Correct Decision"

September 2026: Luna Said Regarding The United States Initially Leading Strikes On Iran In February 2026, "I Think That [Trump] Made The Correct Decision." "Regarding the ongoing conflict with Iran, Luna was asked if—with the full benefit of hindsight—ordering the U.S.-led attacks on February 28th was the right call. 'I think given the intelligence that was presented that they are going to be striking our bases and that we were going to [be] receiving mass casualty, yes, I think that he made the correct decision,' Luna answered." [Fox 13, 9/4/26]

Luna Voted Repeatedly To Rubber-Stamp The War In Iran

September 2026: Luna Voted For A Sixth Time To Continue The War In Iran

September 15, 2026: Luna Voted Against The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. On September 15, 2026, Luna voted against: "H. Con. Res. 93 – Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran." The resolution passed in the House 220-204. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 93, Vote #307, 9/15/26]

- **9/15/26: Associated Press: The House Passed A Resolution To End The War In Iran.** "For a third time, the U.S. House has voted to end the war in Iran, approving a war powers resolution that would halt President Donald Trump's ability to continue military action without congressional approval. The roll call late Tuesday, 220-204, was similar to earlier efforts this summer, with a few more Republicans joining all Democrats voting to bring an end to the conflict. None of the resolutions have made it to the president's desk, where Trump would almost certainly veto the measure." [Associated Press, 9/15/26]

July 2026: Luna Voted For A Fifth Time To Continue The War In Iran

July 23, 2026: Luna Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. On 7/23/26, LUNA voted NO on "H. Con. Res. 89 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran." The resolution passed in the House 214-208. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 89, House Roll Call Vote 282, 7/23/26]

- **7/23/26: Associated Press: The House Passed A Resolution To Halt U.S. Military Action In Iran In A Bipartisan Vote.** "The House on Thursday narrowly passed a resolution to halt U.S. military action in Iran, sending a warning to President Donald Trump for the second time as the war has escalated and the future of the conflict is increasingly uncertain. The 214-208 vote requiring congressional approval for the war comes as the Senate is expected to consider a similar resolution later Thursday morning. Though the votes forced by Democrats are largely symbolic, they are meant as a strong signal to the Republican president that his support on Capitol Hill is chipping away as the war drags on and lawmakers in both parties have questioned his administration's endgame." [Associated Press, 7/23/26]

June 2026: Luna Voted For A Fourth Time To Continue The War In Iran

June 3, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On June 3, 2026, LUNA voted against "H.Con.Res.86 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran." The bill was passed by a vote of 215-208. [H. Con. Res. 86, Vote #199, 6/3/26]

- **TIME Headline: "Four Republicans Join Democrats In House Vote To Rein In Trump's Iran War Powers"** [TIME, 6/3/26]

May 2026: Luna Voted For A Third Time To Continue The War In Iran, And Was The Deciding Vote To Continue The War

---

May 2026: Luna Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On May 14, 2026, LUNA voted against "Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove the United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran" The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-212." [H. Con. Res. 75, Vote #170, 5/14/26]

- **Reuters Headline: "US House Narrowly Rejects Bid To Rein In Trump Iran War Powers"** [Reuters, 5/14/26]

April 2026: Luna Voted For A Second Time To Continue The War In Iran

---

April 16, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, LUNA voted against: "Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S. armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack." The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, Vote #114, 4/16/26; CQ, 4/16/26]

- **NBC News Headline: "House Effort To End Trump's War With Iran Fails By One Vote"** [NBC News, 4/16/26]

March 2026: Luna Voted To Continue The War In Iran

March 5, 2026: Luna Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran. On 3/5/26, LUNA voted NO on "H.Con.Res.38 - Directing the President pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution to remove United States Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in the Islamic Republic of Iran." The bill failed in the House 212-219. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 38, House Roll Call Vote 85, 3/5/26]

- **The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran.** "The U.S. House of Representatives rejected an effort on Thursday to stop President Donald Trump's air war on Iran and require that any hostilities against Iran be authorized by Congress, backing the Republican president's military campaign on the sixth day of the expanding conflict. The vote was 219 to 212, largely along party lines, in the House, where Trump's fellow Republicans control a narrow majority of seats. Two Republicans voted in favor of the resolution and four Democrats voted against it. […] Supporters said the resolution, by requiring Trump to come to Congress for a war authorization, would force him to explain to Americans why the U.S. is fighting and how it might end." [Reuters, 3/6/26]

Gas Prices In Florida Rose To $4/Gallon In Florida Amid The Iran War

WSTP Headline: "Florida Gas Prices Soar Back Above $4 A Gallon" [WSTP, 9/9/26]

September 2026: Gas Prices Were Among The Highest In Florida Since The War Began In Iran. "Wednesday's gas prices across Florida are higher than the averages of one week ago ($3.98), one month ago ($3.88), and one year ago ($3.06), and are among the highest since the war with Iran began in February. The surge comes as the price of oil has surpassed $100 a barrel for the first time in almost six weeks after attacks on oil facilities and ships in the Middle East." [WSTP, 9/9/26]

WSTP Headline: "Gas Over $4 And Rising Throughout The Tampa Bay Area" [WSTP, 3/31/26]

WFLA Headline: "Gas Prices Spike In Tampa Bay After Iran Conflict Drives Up Oil Prices" [WFLA, 3/2/26]

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began. "Gas at the pump costs 40% more than it did before the Iran war began in February, according to the Energy Information Administration. And whether you're a die-hard Democrat or red-blooded Republican or somewhere in between, everyone pays directly or indirectly for that hike. Historically, this would be awful news for the White House occupant. Political scientists seem to agree that, unlike some trends that preoccupy pundits, gas prices really do move the needle on presidential approval." [NOTUS, 8/28/26]

The Iran War Cost American Taxpayers An Estimated $132 Billion

The War With Iran Was Estimated To Have Cost U.S. Consumers And Taxpayers About $132 Billion. "Moody's Analytics estimates the war has cost U.S. consumers and taxpayers about $132 billion so far, and the meter is still running. The most visible piece of that cost is higher energy prices, resulting from the near shutdown of the Strait of Hormuz. Gasoline prices, which averaged just under $3 a gallon when the war began, soared as high as $4.56 a gallon after that vital artery for crude oil was cut off, according to AAA." [NPR, 6/17/26]

And She Led The Effort To Sabotage A Bipartisan Housing Affordability Bill, Putting Her Own Political Agenda Ahead Of Addressing Florida's Affordability Crisis.

Luna Called For Trump To Veto The 21st Century ROAD To Housing Act Until They Attached The SAVE Act To It


Luna: "The President Should VETO The Senate 'Housing' Bill Until They Attach SAVE America If House GOP Leadership Tries To Pull A Fast One And Remove All Our House Rules In Order To Get It Passed." "The President should VETO the Senate 'Housing' Bill until they attach SAVE America if House GOP leadership tries to pull a fast one and remove all our House Rules in order to get it passed." [Rep. Anna Paulina Luna, Twitter, 6/23/26]



Luna Said Of Bills Coming Out Of The Senate That Did Not Have The SAVE Act Attached, "[Trump] Should Actually Veto It, Because The Fact Is, Is That They're Not Doing Their Job." BARTIROMO: "Look, I know that you already -- you already passed the SAVE America Act out of the House, but it seems the Senate is just unwilling to take this on. What is the problem with the Senate?...Why are they so unwilling or unable to extend and execute President Trump's agenda?" LUNA: "Look, you heard J.D. Vance say it best. It is a defeatist perspective to say, well, the votes aren't there, so we're not going to try. But it's equally the fault of the House. And I actually just messaged the speaker. And I said, look, we can't allow them to put a Senate bill on the floor that they're going to use in their reelections without attaching the SAVE America Act to it. And I know that the president has been very vocal about this. And I do hope that, if it does pass, we're going to try to stop it. We're going to try to attach the SAVE America Act to it. But he should actually veto it, because the fact is, is that they're not doing their job. They are literally slapping the American people in the face. And this is an 80/20 issue. You want to talk about election integrity, you want to talk about national security, this is the one thing that we could do and deliver for the American people that is bipartisan and would help guarantee and secure elections in the future." [Sunday Morning Futures, Fox News, 6/21/26] (VIDEO)

Luna Signed A Letter Pledging To Vote Against Any Senate Bills, Including The Affordable Housing Bill, Until The SAVE America Act Was Passed

Luna Signed A Letter Pledging To Vote Against Any Senate Bills Unless The SAVE America Act Was Passed. "Myself and 24 other members have signed a letter pledging to vote NO on any Senate bills unless SAVE America is passed. Now, the Senate is sending a housing package to the House, and to honor my pledge I will oppose it unless SAVE America is attached. The Senate cannot keep obstructing President Trump's agenda while ignoring election integrity. I call on my fellow colleagues to stand firm and honor their pledge." [Anna Paulina Luna, Twitter, 6/22/26]



Trump Canceled A Signing Ceremony For The Bipartisan Housing Bill, Citing Demands For Passage Of The SAVE Act

CBS News Headline: "Trump Cancels Bipartisan Housing Bill Signing, Reiterates Demand For SAVE America Act" [CBS News, 6/24/26]

CBS News: "President Trump Canceled A Planned Signing Ceremony On Wednesday For A Landmark Housing Affordability Bill That Passed Congress By Wide Bipartisan Margins, Saying He Will Not Sign The Legislation Into Law Until Lawmakers Pass An Elections Bill Known As The SAVE America Act." "President Trump canceled a planned signing ceremony on Wednesday for a landmark housing affordability bill that passed Congress by wide bipartisan margins, saying he will not sign the legislation into law until lawmakers pass an elections bill known as the SAVE America Act. Mr. Trump was set to sign the bill, known as the 21st Century ROAD to Housing Act, during an event at the Capitol. The measure, the most comprehensive housing legislation in decades, aims to increase housing supply and bring down costs, including by limiting institutional investors from purchasing certain single-family homes. 'Today's Housing News Conference and Signing is hereby cancelled until such time as we pass the desperately needed SAVE AMERICA ACT, which I consider to be a National Emergency,' he wrote. 'Thank you for your attention to this matter! President DJT'" [CBS News, 6/24/26]

Luna Repeatedly Applauded Trump's Decision To Cancel A Signing Ceremony For A Bipartisan, Cost-Saving Housing Affordability Bill Until The Senate Passed The SAVE America Act

Luna Applauded Trump's Cancellation Of The Housing Bill Signing To Pass The SAVE America Act. "Well done @POTUS ! LET'S GET THE SAVE AMERICA ACT DONE!" [Anna Paulina Luna, Twitter, 6/24/26]



Luna Applauded Trump's Cancellation Of The Housing Bill Signing To Pass The SAVE America Act, Saying "I Am With You." "Well done @POTUS. I am with you." [Anna Paulina Luna, Twitter, 6/24/26]



The Housing Bill, The 21st Century ROAD To Housing Act, Was The Most Comprehensive Housing Legislation In Decades And Sought To Bring Down Costs And Increase Housing Supply

CBS News: "The Measure, The Most Comprehensive Housing Legislation In Decades, Aims To Increase Housing Supply And Bring Down Costs, Including By Limiting Institutional Investors

From Purchasing Certain Single-Family Homes." "Mr. Trump was set to sign the bill, known as the 21st Century ROAD to Housing Act, during an event at the Capitol. The measure, the most comprehensive housing legislation in decades, aims to increase housing supply and bring down costs, including by limiting institutional investors from purchasing certain single-family homes." [CBS News, 6/24/26]

Tampa Was Referred To As The "Foreclosure Epicenter" As A Combination Of Declining Home Values, Rising Insurance Premiums, And Increasing Daily Expenses Caused Distress For The Area's Residents

Fox 13 Headline: "Tampa Becomes Foreclosure Epicenter As Florida Tops Nation In Housing Distress" [Fox 13, 11/17/25]

Florida Had The Highest Foreclosure Rate In The Country, And Tampa Ranked First Among Large Metro Areas. "As foreclosure rates climb across the United States, Florida has emerged as the hardest-hit state, and Tampa is at the center of the surge. Real estate experts say a combination of declining home values, rising insurance premiums and increasing daily expenses is forcing more homeowners into financial distress. […] Florida posted the nation's highest foreclosure rate at one in every 1,829 homes. Tampa ranked first among large metro areas, with one in every 1,373 housing units facing foreclosure, a jump that ATTOM says reflects the resumption of data collection in Hillsborough County and the addition of backlogged filings." [Fox 13, 11/17/25]

- **Fox 13: "Real Estate Experts Say A Combination Of Declining Home Values, Rising Insurance Premiums And Increasing Daily Expenses Is Forcing More Homeowners Into Financial Distress."** "As foreclosure rates climb across the United States, Florida has emerged as the hardest-hit state, and Tampa is at the center of the surge. Real estate experts say a combination of declining home values, rising insurance premiums and increasing daily expenses is forcing more homeowners into financial distress. […] Florida posted the nation's highest foreclosure rate at one in every 1,829 homes. Tampa ranked first among large metro areas, with one in every 1,373 housing units facing foreclosure, a jump that ATTOM says reflects the resumption of data collection in Hillsborough County and the addition of backlogged filings." [Fox 13, 11/17/25]

Florida Homeowners' Insurance Increased At Double The National Rate Between 2021 And 2025

Miami Herald Headline: "Homeowners Insurance In Florida Increased At Double The National Rate, Report Says" [Miami Herald, 5/22/26]

Florida Homeowners' Insurance Premiums Rose Nearly Double The National Average Between 2021 And 2025, According To The Nonprofit Group Coalition For An Insurable Future. "A new report found that Florida homeowners' insurance premiums rose nearly double the national average between 2021 and 2025. Across the United States, homeowners insurance premiums increased an average of 38 percent, while in Florida, premiums shot up 75 percent during the same period, according to a report from national nonprofit group the Coalition for an Insurable Future. There's no consensus on what's driving Florida's soaring insurance rates. The report points to increasingly costly weather events — many of which are intensified by climate change — but some industry experts blame years of rampant litigation and soaring reinsurance costs. And despite recent state reforms that have helped stabilize parts of the insurance market and drawn some insurers back, the report projects continued steep increases, primarily because of extreme weather events driven by climate change." [Miami Herald, 5/22/26]

Nearly Half The Households In Pinellas And Hillsborough Counties Could Not Afford Basic Living Expenses

In Tampa Bay, Nearly Half The Households In Pinellas And Hillsborough Counties Couldn't Afford Basic Living Expenses, According To A Report By United Way. "At the same time Florida's pandemic-era sunshine rush slowed to a trickle, affordability concerns pushed working-age residents to relocate. […] Meanwhile, inflation and high housing costs combined with stagnant wages have pushed middle-class Floridians to move out of state, per the Journal article. Zoom in: In Tampa Bay for example, nearly half the households in Pinellas and Hillsborough counties can't afford basic living expenses, United Way reported last year." [Axios, 4/24/26]

Axios Headline: "Florida Migration Boom Fades As Rising Costs Push Residents Out" [Axios, 4/24/26]

Wall Street Journal Headline: "Florida's Population Boom Fizzles As High Costs Drive Away Middle Class" [Wall Street Journal, 4/19/26]

Anna Paulina Luna Message #2

Anna Paulina Luna is part of the problem in D.C. by voting to protect the Epstein class and wealthy elites. She voted repeatedly to cover up the Epstein files, and she voted to stop their release. She's even taken tens of thousands of dollars from people who appear in the Epstein files or had significant ties to Epstein. Luna even admitted she blocked the release to protect her political power.

Anna Paulina Luna Is Part Of The Problem In D.C. By Voting To Protect The Epstein Class And Wealthy Elites.

Backup below.

She Voted Repeatedly To Cover Up The Epstein Files, And She Voted To Stop Their Release.

Luna Voted To Prevent The Release Of The Epstein Files

July 15, 2025: Luna Voted For Ordering The Previous Question On H.Res.580. Luna voted for ordering the previous question during consideration of H.Res.580, which provided "for consideration of the bills (H.R. 4016) Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026; (H.R. 3633) CLARITY Act; (H.R. 1919) Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act; and (S. 1582) GENIUS Act." The question passed along party lines 211-210. The roll call vote was recorded at 2:12PM, and there were no earlier roll call votes recorded on the measure. [Congress.gov, H.Res.580, On Ordering the Previous Question, 211-210, Vote #194, 7/15/25]

- **7/15/25: Rep. Jim McGovern Tweeted That Voting To Order The Previous Question On H.Res.580 "Blocks Us From Even Debating The Epstein Files."** "HAPPENING NOW: A floor vote to require debate on whether the Trump Administration should release the Epstein files. A *YES* blocks us from even debating the Epstein files—protecting child sex abusers. A *NO* allows a debate and a vote on the files. Where will the chips fall?" He included a screenshot of the roll call vote on H.Res.580 and posted his tweet at 1:47PM. [Twitter, @RepMcGovern, 7/15/25]

July 2025: Luna Voted To Block Consideration Of The Bipartisan Epstein Files Transparency Act. In July 2025, Luna voted for "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 590) that would deem the House to have concurred in the Senate amendment to the budget rescissions package (HR 4))." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I urge that we defeat the previous question, and I will offer an amendment to the rule to immediately bring up to the House floor H.R. 4405, the bipartisan Epstein Files Transparency Act, introduced by Representatives KHANNA and MASSIE under a closed rule with 1 hour of debate." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218- 211. [H Res 590, Vote #202, 7/17/25; CQ, 7/17/25; Congressional Record, 7/17/25]

September 2025: Luna Voted For Tabling A Rule That Would Provide For Adoption Of A Resolution For Releasing The Epstein Files. In September 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule that would provide for floor consideration of the joint resolution (H J Res 104) disapproving the Bureau of Land Management rule relating to 'Miles City Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment,' the joint resolution (H J Res 105) disapproving the BLM rule relating to 'North Dakota Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan,' the joint resolution (H J Res 106) disapproving the BLM rule relating to 'Central Yukon Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan,' and the fiscal 2026 Energy-Water Appropriations bill (HR 4553). The rule would provide for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would make in order 36 amendments to HR 4553, which may be offered en bloc. It would consider as adopted a resolution (H Res 668) that would direct the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee to continue its ongoing inquiry into the possible mismanagement of the federal government's investigation of disgraced financier Jeffrey Epstein and his associate Ghislaine Maxwell, the circumstances surrounding Epstein's death in federal custody, sex trafficking rings and potential ethics violations by elected officials. It would consider as adopted a resolution (H Res 605) establishing a select investigative subcommittee of the House Judiciary Committee to investigate the events surrounding January 6, 2021. It also would table a rule (H Res 598) that would provide for the adoption of a resolution (H Res 589) concerning the release of certain documents related to the Epstein case." The bill passed 212 to 208. [H Res 672, Vote #222, 9/3/25; CQ, 9/3/25]

She's Even Taken Tens Of Thousands Of Dollars From People Who Appear In The Epstein Files Or Had Significant Ties To Epstein.

Luna Received $20,200 From Billionaires Who Were Named In The Epstein Files Or Significantly Tied To Epstein

Luna Received $20,200 From Individuals Who Were Named In The Epstein Files Or Tied To Epstein

Luna Received $20,200 From Individuals Who Were Named In The Epstein Files Or Tied To Epstein. [FEC.gov, accessed 10/6/26]

Contributions To Anna Paulina Luna For Congress From Individuals Listed In The Epstein Files			
Date	**Contributor**	**Employer**	**Amount**
6/30/2023	Lutnick, Howard W.	Cantor Fitzgerald	$3,300.00
6/30/2023	Lutnick, Howard W.	Cantor Fitzgerald	$3,300.00
3/24/2025	Musk, Elon	SpaceX	$3,100.00

3/24/2025	Musk, Elon	SpaceX	$3,500.00
2/25/2025	Thiel, Peter	Founders Fund	$3,500.00
2/25/2025	Thiel, Peter	Founders Fund	$3,500.00
TOTAL:			**$20,200.00**

[FEC.gov, accessed 10/6/26]

Elon Musk Was Listed In The Epstein Files

Elon Musk Was Listed In The Epstein Files. "CEO of Tesla and SpaceX. DOJ-released EFTA files (January 2026) revealed 16+ emails between Musk and Epstein from 2012 to 2014. In November 2012, Musk asked about visiting Epstein's island and inquired about 'the wildest party.' On November 25, 2012, Epstein asked Musk how many people he would be bringing for the helicopter ride to the island; Musk replied 'Probably just Talulah and me,' referring to then-wife Talulah Riley. Musk cancelled in January 2013 citing logistics." [Epstein Exposed, Elon Musk, accessed 9/30/26]



Billionaire Howard Lutnick Was Listed In The Epstein Files

Howard Lutnick Was Listed In The Epstein Files. "U.S. Secretary of Commerce (2025-present) and former Chairman and CEO of Cantor Fitzgerald. Documents show Lutnick and Epstein were in business together through a company called Adfin as late as 2012-2014, years after Epstein's 2008 conviction. In 1996, Epstein sold a property at 11 East 71st Street that became Lutnick's primary residence next door to Epstein's mansion. Lutnick interacted 'regularly' with Epstein per DOJ files, with correspondence through intermediaries in 2011-2012." [Epstein Exposed, Howard Lutnick, accessed 9/30/26]



- **Howard Lutnick Was A Billionaire.** [Forbes Profile, Howard Lutnick, 9/30/26]

Howard Lutnick Was Named In The Epstein Files. "In Lutnick's case, his name appears more than a hundred times in the files, including in many email exchanges directly with Epstein. Lutnick has profusely denied having a close relationship with him, instead portraying Epstein as an acquaintance. Lutnick previously said that he cut ties with Epstein in 2005, but records in the Epstein files, as well

as his own subsequent testimony, confirm that the two were in contact long after that." [NPR, 5/6/26]

Billionaire Peter Thiel Was Listed In Epstein's Black Book

Billionaire Peter Thiel Was Listed In Epstein's Black Book. "Billionaire venture capitalist, co-founder of PayPal and Palantir Technologies, early Facebook investor, and prominent Trump supporter. Appeared in Epstein's black book with three phone numbers. Documentary evidence shows a multi-year social and professional relationship with Epstein spanning 2012 through 2017, including direct email correspondence, attendance at shared gatherings at Epstein's Manhattan residence, inclusion on Epstein's seminar guest lists, and co-organizing the off-the-record 'Dialog 2014' retreat at Sundance Resort to which Epstein was explicitly invited." [Epstein Exposed, Peter Thiel, accessed 9/30/26]



Peter Thiel Was Named In The Epstein Files. "But at first glance, it seems harder to explain the five-year friendship of Epstein and tech investor extraordinaire Peter Thiel, which began in 2014, long after Epstein's pedophilic tendencies were widely known. Still, Thiel is all over the Epstein files; the two exchanged more than 2,000 messages over the years and met on multiple occasions. Epstein would ultimately invest some $40 million in venture capital funds managed by Valar Ventures, a firm cofounded by Thiel." [The Nation, 2/11/26]

Luna Even Admitted She Blocked The Release To Protect Her Political Power.

Luna Said She Voted Against The Motion To Force A Vote To Release The Epstein Files Because It "Would Have Turned Over The House To The Democrat Party"

July 15, 2025: Luna Voted To Prevent The Release Of The Epstein Files

July 15, 2025: Luna Voted For Ordering The Previous Question On H.Res.580. Luna voted for ordering the previous question during consideration of H.Res.580, which provided "for consideration of the bills (H.R. 4016) Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026; (H.R. 3633) CLARITY Act; (H.R. 1919) Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act; and (S. 1582) GENIUS Act." The question passed along party lines 211-210. The roll call vote was recorded at 2:12PM, and there were no earlier roll call votes recorded on the measure. [Congress.gov, H.Res.580, On Ordering the Previous Question, 211-210, Vote #194, 7/15/25]

- **7/15/25: Rep. Jim McGovern Tweeted That Voting To Order The Previous Question On H.Res.580 "Blocks Us From Even Debating The Epstein Files."** "HAPPENING NOW: A floor vote to require debate on whether the Trump Administration should release the Epstein files. A *YES* blocks us from even debating the Epstein files—protecting child sex abusers. A *NO* allows

a debate and a vote on the files. Where will the chips fall?" He included a screenshot of the roll call vote on H.Res.580 and posted his tweet at 1:47PM. [Twitter, @RepMcGovern, 7/15/25]

Luna Said She Voted Against The Motion Because "It Would Have Turned Over The House To The Democrat Party"

Luna Said She Voted Against The Motion To Force A Vote To Release The Epstein Files Because It "Would Have Turned Over The House To The Democrat Party." CHIEF TRUMPSTER: "The GOP just UNANIMOUSLY VOTED to BLOCK the Epstein Files release. All 211! MAGA!" LUNA: "This not true. This was a procedural vote that it failed would have turned over the house to the Democrat party. You should update your posting so that you're putting out factual information. Pull up the language for this vote and verify for yourself." [Anna Paulina Luna, Twitter, 7/15/25]



Anna Paulina Luna Message #3

Anna Paulina Luna, a corrupt Washington politician who is raising costs for families on gas, groceries, and insurance.

Anna Paulina Luna, A Corrupt Washington Politician Who Is Raising Costs For Families On Gas, Groceries, And Insurance.

Luna Voted In Support Of The Iran War Which Raised Prices And Cost Billions In Taxpayer Money

July 2026: Luna Voted For A Fifth Time To Continue The War In Iran

July 23, 2026: Luna Voted No On The War Powers Resolution To Direct The President To Remove Armed Forces From Hostilities With Iran. On 7/23/26, LUNA voted NO on "H. Con. Res. 89 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran." The resolution passed in the House 214-208. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 89, House Roll Call Vote 282, 7/23/26]

- **7/23/26: Associated Press: The House Passed A Resolution To Halt U.S. Military Action In Iran In A Bipartisan Vote.** "The House on Thursday narrowly passed a resolution to halt U.S. military action in Iran, sending a warning to President Donald Trump for the second time as the war has escalated and the future of the conflict is increasingly uncertain. The 214-208 vote requiring congressional approval for the war comes as the Senate is expected to consider a similar resolution later Thursday morning. Though the votes forced by Democrats are largely symbolic, they are meant as a strong signal to the Republican president that his support on Capitol Hill is chipping away as the war drags on and lawmakers in both parties have questioned his administration's endgame." [Associated Press, 7/23/26]

June 2026: Luna Voted For A Fourth Time To Continue The War In Iran

June 3, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On June 3, 2026, LUNA voted against "H.Con.Res.86 - Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran." The bill was passed by a vote of 215-208. [H. Con. Res. 86, Vote #199, 6/3/26]

- **TIME Headline: "Four Republicans Join Democrats In House Vote To Rein In Trump's Iran War Powers"** [TIME, 6/3/26]

May 2026: Luna Voted For A Third Time To Continue The War In Iran, And Was The Deciding Vote To Continue The War

May 2026: Luna Cast The Deciding Vote Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. On May 14, 2026, LUNA voted against "Directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove the United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran" The bill was rejected by a vote of 212-212." [H. Con. Res. 75, Vote #170, 5/14/26]

- **Reuters Headline: "US House Narrowly Rejects Bid To Rein In Trump Iran War Powers"** [Reuters, 5/14/26]

April 2026: Luna Voted For A Second Time To Continue The War In Iran

April 16, 2026: Luna Voted Against The Iran War Powers Resolution. In April 2026, LUNA voted against: "Passage of the concurrent resolution that would direct the president to terminate the use of U.S.

armed forces from hostilities against Iran unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or specific authorization for use of military force against Iran. The measure would exempt the use of U.S. armed forces that may be necessary to defend the U.S. or a U.S. ally or partner from imminent attack." The bill was rejected by a vote of 213-214. [H Con Res 40, Vote #114, 4/16/26; CQ, 4/16/26]

- **NBC News Headline: "House Effort To End Trump's War With Iran Fails By One Vote"** [NBC News, 4/16/26]

March 2026: Luna Voted To Continue The War In Iran

March 5, 2026: Luna Voted No On Removing The U.S. Armed Forces From Unauthorized Hostilities In Iran. On 3/5/26, LUNA voted NO on "H.Con.Res.38 - Directing the President pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution to remove United States Armed Forces from unauthorized hostilities in the Islamic Republic of Iran." The bill failed in the House 212-219. [U.S. House Clerk, H. Con. Res. 38, House Roll Call Vote 85, 3/5/26]

- **The War Powers Resolution Would Have Required President Trump To Obtain Congressional Authorization For His Air War In Iran.** "The U.S. House of Representatives rejected an effort on Thursday to stop President Donald Trump's air war on Iran and require that any hostilities against Iran be authorized by Congress, backing the Republican president's military campaign on the sixth day of the expanding conflict. The vote was 219 to 212, largely along party lines, in the House, where Trump's fellow Republicans control a narrow majority of seats. Two Republicans voted in favor of the resolution and four Democrats voted against it. […] Supporters said the resolution, by requiring Trump to come to Congress for a war authorization, would force him to explain to Americans why the U.S. is fighting and how it might end." [Reuters, 3/6/26]

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began

Gas Prices Increased By 40% Since The Iran War Began. "Gas at the pump costs 40% more than it did before the Iran war began in February, according to the Energy Information Administration. And whether you're a die-hard Democrat or red-blooded Republican or somewhere in between, everyone pays directly or indirectly for that hike. Historically, this would be awful news for the White House occupant. Political scientists seem to agree that, unlike some trends that preoccupy pundits, gas prices really do move the needle on presidential approval." [NOTUS, 8/28/26]

The Iran War Cost American Taxpayers An Estimated $132 Billion

The War With Iran Was Estimated To Have Cost U.S. Consumers And Taxpayers About $132 Billion. "Moody's Analytics estimates the war has cost U.S. consumers and taxpayers about $132 billion so far, and the meter is still running. The most visible piece of that cost is higher energy prices, resulting from the near shutdown of the Strait of Hormuz. Gasoline prices, which averaged just under $3 a gallon when the war began, soared as high as $4.56 a gallon after that vital artery for crude oil was cut off, according to AAA." [NPR, 6/17/26]

Luna Voted For Trump's Tariffs Multiple Times Which Raised Grocery And Household Prices On Americans

February 11, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted Against Terminating The National Emergency That Imposed Tariffs On Goods From Canada. In February 2026, Luna voted against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the Feb. 1, 2025, national emergency that imposed tariffs on goods from Canada." The bill passed by a vote of 219-211. [H. J. Res. 72, Vote #65, 2/11/26; CQ, 2/11/26]

- **The House Voted To Rescind Trump's Imposed Tariffs On Canada.** "The House voted on Wednesday to rescind tariffs that President Trump imposed on Canada last year, delivering a largely symbolic but politically consequential rebuke that Republicans had fought for a year to prevent. In a 219-to-211 vote, six Republicans joined nearly all Democrats in backing the resolution sponsored by Representative Gregory W. Meeks of New York, the top Democrat on the Foreign Affairs Committee. While the Senate has moved to cancel the president's tariffs in recent months, the vote was the House's first opportunity to formally register a position on Mr. Trump's trade policy since he returned to the White House and began deploying tariffs as an economic strategy." [New York Times, 2/11/26]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For To Block Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariffs. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617). The rule would allow for up to one hour of debate on each measure. It would consider as adopted a substitute amendment to HR 2189 appending the text of the Innovate Less Lethal to De-Escalate Tax Modernization Act (HR 4242), as reported by the Ways and Means Committee. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's 2025 tariff actions under the Feb. 1, April 2, July 30, and Aug. 6 executive orders by providing that each day during the period from Feb. 10, 2026 through July 31, 2026, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 214-217. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #60, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26]

- **The House Rejected A Republican Effort To Delay, For Months, Any Vote On Cancelling President Trump's Tariffs.** "The House on Tuesday rejected a Republican effort to change the chamber's rules and delay for months any vote on canceling President Trump's tariffs, as G.O.P. defectors rebuked party leaders who have tried for a year to avoid weighing in on levies that are unpopular with many voters." [New York Times, 2/10/26]

February 10, 2026: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of The Law-Enforcement Innovate To De-Escalate Act, The Undersea Cable Protection Act, And The Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act. In February 2026, Luna voted for: "Langworthy, R-N.Y., motion to order the previous question on the rule (H Res 1042) providing for floor consideration of the Law-Enforcement Innovate to De-Escalate Act (HR 2189), the Undersea Cable Protection Act (HR 261), and the Securing America's Critical Minerals Supply Act (HR 3617)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. Neguse said, "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to strike section 4, which would stop an attempt by Republican leadership to block the House from taking up-or-down votes on President Trump's disastrous tariff policies through the end of July." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 216-214. [H. Res. 1042, Vote #59, 2/10/26; CQ, 2/10/26; Congressional Record, 2/10/26]

September 16, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

September 2025: Luna Voted For H Res 707, Which Extended Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026. In September 2025, Luna voted for H Res 707, which passed by a vote of 213-211. According to a "vote note" from U.S. Rep. McClintock: "H Res 707 goes beyond the prerogative of the majority to decide what bills are taken to the floor. This resolution amends existing law to extend the authority of the President to impose tariffs until March 30. That makes this a substantive question of policy and the responsibility of individual members to pass judgment. Under the Constitution, the power to impose tariffs is a congressional power and needs to be restored to Congress." [Congress.gov, H Res 707, Vote #268, 9/16/25; U.S. Rep Tom McClintock, 9/16/25]

- **September 2025: Nearly Every U.S. House Republican Voted To Effectively Block Congress From Challenging Trump's Tariff Authority Through March 2026.** "House Republicans voted in near lockstep Tuesday to again cede congressional power over tariffs to President Donald Trump. A measure that effectively blocks challenges to Trump's sweeping global tariff declarations through March 2026 was adopted on a 213-211 vote. The vote was gaveled down only after GOP whips had a drawn-out struggle on the floor with a band of Republicans who initially opposed the legislation before flipping to yes. The vote was held open for more than a half-hour as they worked to bring the members back on board." [Politico, 9/16/25]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Expedited Consideration Of Joint Resolutions Terminating Trump's Tariff Actions And Considering The Senate Amendment To The FY 2025 Budget Resolution. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 313) that would provide for floor consideration of the Senate amendment to the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). The rule would provide up to one hour of debate on a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the measure. It also would block the expedited consideration of joint resolutions terminating President Donald Trump's tariff actions under the April 2 executive order by providing that each day during the period from April 9, 2025 through Sept. 30, 2025, will not constitute a calendar day under the federal law pertaining to terminating national emergencies." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-215. [H. Res. 313, Vote #94, 4/9/25; CQ, 4/9/25]

- **House Republicans Pushed A Procedural Rule That Would Curb Congress's Power To Override Trump's Tariffs.** "Republicans are quietly pushing a procedural rule that would curb the power of the US Congress to override Donald Trump's chaotic tariff policy. The House of Representatives' rules committee on Wednesday approved a measure that would forbid the House from voting on legislation to overturn the president's recently imposed taxes on foreign imports." [The Guardian, 4/9/25]

April 9, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of An Amendment Striking A Section Of The Rule That Would Prevent The House From Voting On Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. I will offer an amendment. My amendment will simply strike section 2 of the rule. That section, tucked in the rule by Republicans this morning, prevents the House from voting on Trump's disastrous worldwide tariffs, the ones destroying the economy and raising prices on regular Americans." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 217-212. [H Res 313, Vote #93, 4/9/25; CQ, 4/9/25; Congressional Record, 4/9/25]

April 8, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Blocking Consideration Of A Joint Resolution To Terminate Trump's Tariffs. In April 2025, Luna voted for: "Foxx, R-N.C., motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment)." According to the Congressional Record, Rep. McGovern said, "Mr. Speaker, I ask that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.J. Res. 91, a joint resolution to terminate the reckless tariffs that President Trump has put in place." A vote *for the motion* was a vote to block consideration of the bill. The motion was agreed to by a vote of 213-211. [H Res 294, Vote #91, 4/8/25; CQ, 4/8/25; Congressional Record, 4/8/25]

March 11, 2025: Luna Voted To Protect Trump's Tariffs

Luna Voted For Considering The FY 2025 Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Bill, The Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act, And The Joint Resolution Disapproving Of An Internal Revenue Service Rule Relating To Digital Asset Sales. In March 2025, Luna voted for: "Adoption of the rule (H Res 211) that would provide for floor consideration of the fiscal 2025 full-year continuing appropriations bill (HR 1968); the Pandemic Unemployment Fraud Enforcement Act (HR 1156); and the joint resolution (H J Res 25) providing for congressional disapproval of an Internal Revenue Service rule relating to digital asset sales. It would provide up to one hour of general debate on each measure. It would self-execute the Cole, R-Okla., manager's amendment to HR 1968 that would make technical corrections. The rule would also block expedited consideration of joint resolutions to terminate national emergencies declared by President Donald Trump on Feb. 1, 2025, by specifying that each day for the remainder of the first session of the 119th Congress will not be considered a calendar day under the National Emergencies Act." The rule was adopted by a vote of 216-214. [H Res 211, Vote #67, 3/11/25; CQ, 3/11/25]

- **New York Times: "House Republican Leaders On Tuesday Quietly Moved To Shield Their Members From Having To Vote On Whether To End President Trump's Tariffs."** "House Republican leaders on Tuesday quietly moved to shield their members from having to vote on whether to end President Trump's tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China, tucking language into a procedural measure that effectively removed their chamber's ability to undo the levies. [New York Times, 3/11/25]

- **Politico: "GOP Leadership Slipped Language Into A House Rule On Their Stopgap Funding Bill That Would Prevent Any Member Of Congress From Bringing Up A Resolution Terminating Trump's Declaration Of A National Emergency."** "GOP leadership slipped language into a House rule on their stopgap funding bill that would prevent any member of Congress from bringing up a resolution terminating Trump's declaration of a national emergency over fentanyl and undocumented immigrants entering the U.S. The president has used that emergency declaration to justify his tariffs on Canada, Mexico and China." [Politico, 3/11/25]

- **Reuters: The Procedural Vote Blocked "The Ability Of Congress To Quickly Challenge Tariffs Imposed By President Trump That Have Rattled Financial Markets."** "The Republican-controlled U.S. House of Representatives voted on Tuesday to block the ability of Congress to quickly challenge tariffs imposed by President Donald Trump that have rattled financial markets. […] The provision was tucked into a procedural vote related to the Republicans' six-month stopgap funding bill." [Reuters, 3/11/25]

Americans Were Paying More For Household Costs And Groceries Due To Trump's Tariffs

2025: Trump's Tariffs Increased The Average American Household's Costs By $1,200 Per Year. "By far the largest price increase on consumers that Trump has imposed came in the form of his sweeping tariffs on virtually every foreign country's goods. That has raised the effective US tariff rate to 13.6%, up from 1.2% in 2024 – a gigantic tax increase that has raised the average American household's costs this year by $1,200, according to the conservative-leaning Tax Foundation." [CNN, 11/17/25]

Trump's Tariffs Contributed To Prices Rising For Groceries. "President Donald Trump pledged to bring down grocery costs. But his administration's policies are contributing to an acceleration in prices, food economists and companies say. Grocery prices last month rose at their fastest pace in three years, stoked by Trump's tariffs, a crackdown on immigration, and extreme weather hurting food production. Prices jumped 0.6% in August from the month prior, according to the latest reading from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and they are up 2.7% from a year ago. Food prices are deeply personal to consumers, and weekly grocery bills shape their overall perceptions of the economy. More than half of Americans count grocery costs as a major stress in their lives. Low-income and middle-income Americans, hit the hardest by rising grocery costs, are changing where they shop and what they buy. Companies are also taking extreme measures to appeal to shoppers strained by higher prices, such as bringing back paper coupons." [CNN, 9/20/25]

HEADLINE: "Grocery Inflation Highest Since 2022 As Trump Tariffs Pile Up." [Axios, 9/11/25]

Luna Voted Twice For The One Big Beautiful Bill

July 2025: Luna Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill

July 2025: Luna Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill Act. In July 2025, Luna voted for: "Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill that would permanently extend nearly $4 trillion in expiring individual and business tax cuts, create several new tax breaks and fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades. It would cut Medicaid and other safety net programs to partly offset the cost. Among other provisions, it would raise the statutory debt ceiling by $5 trillion and appropriate more than $448 billion in mandatory funding for Trump administration priorities and other needs, including $153 billion for defense, $89 billion for immigration enforcement, and $89.5 billion for border control and security. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to $40,000 annually for five years for households making up to $500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to $10,000." The motion was agreed to by a vote of 218-214. [HR 1, Vote #190, 7/3/25; CQ, 7/3/25]

May 2025: Luna Voted For The One Big Beautiful Bill

May 2025: Luna Voted For Cutting Net Taxes By Approximately $3.8 Trillion And Reducing Spending By $1.5 Trillion As Instructed In The Fiscal 2025 Budget Resolution. In May 2025, Luna voted for "Passage of the bill that would provide for approximately $3.8 trillion in net tax cuts and $321 billion in military, border enforcement and judiciary spending, offset by $1.5 trillion in spending reductions, as instructed in the fiscal 2025 budget resolution (H Con Res 14). It would raise the statutory debt limit by $4 trillion and provide for increased spending on defense and border security, spending cuts on social safety net programs, such as Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It also includes a mix of tax breaks for businesses and individuals; tax increases on universities and foundations; and a phase-down of clean energy tax credits. It would reduce spending on Medicaid by $625 billion over a 10-year period and modify eligibility and cost provisions for enrollees and health

providers and impose work, volunteer and education requirements on adults ages 19 to 64 who are covered by the program. It would appropriate "such sums as necessary" for reducing cost-sharing payments for low-income individuals who've purchased "silver" plans on the 2010 health care law's exchanges. But payments to plans that cover abortions would be mostly barred. It would extend and make permanent individual tax brackets and rates included in the 2017 tax overhaul law (PL 115-97) and provide for the adjustment of the lower bounds of each tier based on inflation. It would reduce federal spending on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program by requiring states to shoulder more of the cost, expand work requirements for SNAP, extend programs authorized under the 2018 farm bill, and prohibit the U.S. Department of Agriculture from increasing the cost of the Thrifty Food Program. As amended, it would cap state and local tax deductions at $40,000 for households with incomes below $500,000 and prohibit Medicaid funding for gender transition therapies or procedures for minors and adults, among other provisions." The bill passed by a vote of 215-214. [H.R. 1, Vote #145, 5/22/25; CQ, 5/22/25]

Nearly 43,000 Of Luna's Own Constituents Were Expected To Lose Health Insurance Under The One Big Beautiful Bill

The Joint Economic Committee Estimated The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Kick 42,750 People In Luna's District Off Their Health Insurance

June 2025: The Joint Economic Committee Minority Estimated The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Kick 42,750 People In Florida's 13th Congressional District Off Their Health Insurance. "According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO)'s most recent analysis, President Trump and Congressional Republicans' cuts to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) would result in 16 million people losing their health insurance by 2034. Using CBO's analysis released June 4 and other research, the Joint Economic Committee – Minority calculated new estimates of the number of people who would lose health insurance. [...] FL-13 – 42,750."" [Joint Economic Committee Minority, accessed 7/15/25]

FL-13: Health Insurance Losses From Cuts To Medicaid And The Affordable Care Act Under The One Big Beautiful Bill		
Est. Number of People Losing Affordable Care Act Coverage	Est. Number of People Losing Medicaid Coverage	Est. Total Number of People Losing Insurance
37,200	5,550	42,750

[Joint Economic Committee Minority, accessed 7/15/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Raise Health Care Costs For Seniors And Older Floridians Who Wanted To Keep Their Current ACA Marketplace Plans

Center For American Progress: The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Make Older Floridians Pay $1,200 More Per Year On Average To Keep Their Current ACA Marketplace Plans

Center For American Progress: The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Make Older Floridians Pay An Average Increase Of $1,200 Per Year To Keep Their Current ACA Marketplace Plans. "A study from the Center For American Progress found that the One Big Beautiful Bill would cost Floridians 55 years or older an average increase of at least $1,200 more in annual premiums to keep their current ACA marketplace plan." [Center for American Progress, 6/26/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Raise Health Care Costs For Seniors And Older Adults

CBPP Headline: "2025 Budget Impacts: House Bill Would Cut Assistance And Raise Costs For Seniors." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 6/6/25]

CBPP: The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Leave Older Adults With Higher Costs And Less Health Care. "The House Republicans' budget reconciliation legislation would reduce assistance that helps seniors and older adults get needed health coverage and afford sufficient food. The so-called 'Big Beautiful Bill' is anything but beautiful; it would cause widespread harm by making massive cuts to Medicaid and SNAP, which would raise costs and make it much harder for people to afford the high cost of groceries and health care. More than 7 million seniors with low incomes receive health coverage and care through Medicaid, with over 3 in 5 nursing home residents having their care paid for by Medicaid. Medicaid also covers other long-term services and supports, including home-based services, and helps cover premiums, deductibles, and cost sharing for some Medicare beneficiaries. By cutting Medicaid and Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplaces by about $1 trillion, the largest cuts in the programs' history, the House Republican bill would lead states to make large cuts in eligibility or benefits that could leave many older adults with higher costs and less health care." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 6/6/25]

CBPP: The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Terminate Cost-Sharing Assistance For 1.4 Million Seniors Dually Eligible For Medicaid And Medicare, Resulting In Higher Out-Of-Pocket Costs. "The House Republicans' bill includes provisions that will add burdens for seniors, contributing to coverage losses and higher costs. One such provision would block implementation of a rule designed to make it easier for low-income seniors and people with disabilities who receive Medicare to access Medicare Savings Programs, a part of state Medicaid programs that help pay people's Medicare premiums and cost-sharing charges. According to data from the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO), roughly 1.4 million people who are dually eligible for Medicaid and Medicare would no longer receive this cost-sharing assistance and would therefore face increased out-of-pocket costs under the bill." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 6/6/25]

Center For American Progress Headline: "Older Adults With ACA Coverage Would Face Steep Premium Hikes Under House Republicans' One Big Beautiful Bill Act." [Center for American Progress, 6/26/25]

- **Center For American Progress: "The House Republican Proposal Would Effectively Price Many Aging Americans Out Of [Their] Affordable Coverage" That They Have Relied On.** "These sharp cost increases represent a real threat to older adults' ability to access health care. If enacted, the House Republican proposal would effectively price many aging Americans out of the affordable coverage they rely on until they become eligible for Medicare." [Center for American Progress, 6/26/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Would Increase Costs For Older Adults In The ACA Marketplace. "Another element of House Republicans' health agenda targets the ACA marketplaces, which provide coverage and financial assistance to more than 20 million people, including many older people not yet eligible for Medicare. The legislation not only fails to extend the expiring enhanced premium tax credits for marketplace coverage, which will lead to skyrocketing premiums, but it also makes changes that will further increase people's costs, such as changing how maximum out-of-pocket limits are adjusted." [Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 6/6/25]